

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 2120-2122 © 2018 IJCS

Received: 17-03-2018 Accepted: 18-04-2018

A Vivekanandhini

Ph.D., Scholar (Agronomy), Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

V Ravi

Professor of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

K Subrahmaniyan

Professor of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence A Vivekanandhini

Ph.D., Scholar (Agronomy), Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Effect of different crop establishment methods on soil physical, chemical and biological properties in rice-rice cropping sequence

A Vivekanandhini, V Ravi and K Subrahmaniyan

Abstrac

To study the effect of different crop establishment methods of rice on soil physical, chemical and biological properties, the field experiments were conducted in *Kharif 2016* and *Rabi* 2016-17 seasons at Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai. The study consisted of six treatments *viz.*, T₁– Non-puddled transplanted rice (NPTR) in *kharif* – No Till (NT) in *rabi*, T₂ – NPTR in *kharif* – Puddled transplanted rice (PTR) in *rabi*, T₃– Dry Seeded Rice (DSR) in *kharif* – NT in *rabi*, T₄– DSR in *kharif* – PTR in *rabi*, T₅ – PTR in *kharif* – NT in *rabi* and T₆ – PTR in both *kharif* and *rabi*. The results revealed that there is no significant difference between crop establishment methods on soil physical properties like soil bulk density, particle density, porosity and infiltration rate during both *kharif* 2016 and *rabi* 2016-17 season. However there is Significant different observed between crop establishment methods on soil chemical and biological properties. The soil available nutrients were lower in DSR followed by PTR during *kharif* 2016 and DSR-PTR during *rabi* 2016-17. The microbial population of the beneficial organisms namely *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and PSB were observed to be higher in DSR during *kharif* 2016 and DSR-PTR during *rabi* 2016-17. Therefore the higher grain yield was obtained under DSR in *kharif* 2016 and DSR-PTR in *rabi*2016-17 season.

Keywords: Crop establishment methods, soil physical, chemical, biological properties, yield

Introduction

Rice is a staple food for more than half of the world's population. Worldwide, rice is grown on 161 million hectares, with an annual production of about 678.7 million tons of paddy. Rice provides 30-75% of the total calories to more than 3 billion Asians. However, the sustainability of irrigated rice production, let alone the ability to increase productivity to keep up with population growth, is threatened by increasing water scarcity (Barker and Molle, 2004) [2]. In India, most commonly practiced establishment method under irrigated rice cultivation is transplanting of seedlings into puddled soil (Rao et al., 2007) [4]. In puddled condition the field was flooded for prolonged periods throughout the cropping period until shortly before harvest. The puddling have several disadvantages, including higher tillage costs, adverse effects on soil structure (So and Voase, 2000) [6], and high water requirement for crop establishment. The amount of irrigation water required for puddling varies from 100 mm (Yadav et al., 2011) [7] to 544 mm (Bhuiyan et al., 1995) [3]. Water input for crop establishment can be reduced by avoiding puddling. Transplanting can be done in non-puddled soil after saturating the soil and for dry seeded rice sowing was done on non-puddled dry soil, both reduce the water requirement for crop establishment (Balasubramanian and Hill, 2002) [1]. In Tamil Nadu, especially in Cauvery delta zones due to late release of canal water from dams and also sometimes late onset of rainfall there will be scarcity of water availability for cultivation of rice during initial stage for puddling. In such situation these non-puddled and dry seeded rice establishment methods can be done as a contingency measures to reduce the water requirement during initial stage of cultivation.

Methodology

A field experiments were conducted on *Kharif* 2016 and *rabi* 2016-17 at Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, to study the effect of different crop establishment methods on soil physical, chemical, biological properties and yield in rice-rice cropping sequence. The soil of experimental field was clayey loam in texture with a pH of 7.4.

The soil was very low in organic carbon content (0.12%), available nitrogen (230 Kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (35 Kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available potassium (288 Kg ha⁻¹ 1). The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with four replications. Treatments consisted of T₁-Non- puddled transplanted rice (NPTR) in kharif - No Till (NT) in rabi, T₂ - NPTR in kharif - Puddled transplanted rice (PTR) in rabi, T₃ - Dry Seeded Rice (DSR) in kharif - NT in rabi, T₄ - DSR in kharif - PTR in rabi, T₅ - PTR in kharif-NT in rabi and T₆ – PTR in both kharif and rabi. The varieties taken for experiment were ADT 43(110 days) during kuruvai 2016 and ADT 46(130 days) during thaladi 2016-17. The plot size of experiment was 10 x 10 m. A fertilizer schedule of 150: 50: 50 NPK kg ha⁻¹ was adopted as the common practice for the experiment. Land preparation for DSR was done by ploughing the field. Same as DSR, the land preparation of NPTR was also done by ploughing the field without puddling. Sowing was done on DSR by using happy seeder. Transplanting was done by machine transplanter on both PTR and NPTR.

Result and Discussion

Soil Physical and chemical properties

During *Kharif* 2016 and *rabi*2016-17 there is no significant difference observed between different crop establishment methods. Rucknagel *et al.* (2004) ^[5] reported that the long term tillage systems had a clear influence on the measured soil physical parameter. The bulk density was lower in DSR (1.52 Mg m⁻³) and particle density was lower in PTR (2.47 Mg m⁻³) during *Kharif* 2016. During *rabi*2016-17 the bulk density and particle density was lower in DSR-PTR (1.53Mg m⁻³) and DSR-NT (2.48Mg m⁻³).

Table 2: Effect of different crop establishment method onsoil physical properties at post-harvest stage (After first crop) during *Kharif*2016 and *Rabi* 2016-17

		Physical properties								
Treatments		Bulk Density (Mg m ⁻³)		Particle Density (Mg m ⁻³)		Porosity (%)		Infiltration Rate (cm hr ⁻¹)		
		K	R	K	R	K	R	K	R	
T_1	NPTR-NT	1.57	1.56	2.57	2.58	42.16	42.17	3.23	3.24	
T_2	NPTR-PTR	1.57	1.56	2.57	2.58	42.16	42.17	3.23	3.24	
T_3	DSR-NT	1.52	1.53	2.52	2.53	42.81	42.82	3.11	3.13	
T_4	DSR-PTR	1.52	1.53	2.52	2.53	42.81	42.82	3.11	3.13	
T_5	PTR-NT	1.54	1.54	2.47	2.48	43.01	43.01	3.21	3.24	
T_6	PTR-PTR	1.54	1.54	2.47	2.48	43.01	43.01	3.21	3.24	
	SEd	0.03	0.03	0.40	0.04	0.05	0.77	0.16	0.05	
	CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Note: K-Kharif 2016, R-Rabi2016-17, NPTR- Non- Puddled Transplanted Rice, DSR - Dry Seeded Rice, PTR- Puddled Transplanted Rice, NT- No Till

The soil available N, P and K was lower in DSR (203, 65 & 216 NPK kg ha⁻¹) followed by PTR (290, 68 & 236 NPK kg ha⁻¹) during *Kharif* 2016.Whereas during *rabi*2016-17 the

same was lower in DSR-PTR (205, 64 & 203 NPK kg ha⁻¹) these results shows that utilization of nutrients by crop was higher in those establishment methods.

Table 4: Effect of different crop establishment method onsoil available nutrients N, P and K kg ha⁻¹ during Kharif 2016 and Rabi 2016-17

Treatments		Nkg ha ⁻¹		Pk	g ha ⁻¹	Kkg ha ⁻¹		
		Kharif 2016	Rabi 2016-17	Kharif 2016	Rabi 2016-17	Kharif 2016	Rabi 2016-17	
T_1	NPTR	297	297	69	79	245	246	
T_2	NPTR	295	277	68	73	242	220	
T ₃	DSR	203	280	65	77	216	232	
T_4	DSR	200	205	64	64	213	203	
T_5	PTR	290	273	68	75	236	223	
T ₆	PTR	289	269	67	70	233	215	
	SEd	5.5	4.6	1.7	1.3	5.1	4.1	
	CD (P=0.05)	11.6	9.7	3.6	2.7	10.8	8.3	

Note: *K-Kharif* 2016, R-Rabi2016-17, NPTR- Non- Puddled Transplanted Rice, DSR - Dry Seeded Rice, PTR- Puddled Transplanted Rice, NT- No Till

Effect on beneficial microbial population

During *kharif* 2016 the different crop establishment methods had significant impact on soil microbial properties. The microbial population of the beneficial organisms namely *Azotobacter* (17), *Azospirillum* (0.72) and PSB (80) were

observed to be higher in DSR and the lowest population of *Azospirillum* (0.39) was noticed in NPT. The *Azotobacter* (6) and PSB (50) population were recorded to be lowest in PT. Meanwhile *Azotobacter* (9), *Azospirillum* (2.8) and PSB (45) were observed to be higher in DSR-NT during *rabi* 2016-17.

Table 4: Effect of different crop establishment method on microbial population during Kharif 2016 and Rabi 2016-17

	Microbial population ((cfu/g of soil)x10 ⁵)							
Treatments	Azotobacter		Azospiril	PSB				
	K	R	K	R	K	R		
NPTR-NT	12	2	0.52	1.7	72	25		
NPTR- PTR	10	0	0.39	1.3	69	23		
DSR - NT	17	9	0.72	2.8	80	45		
DSR- PTR	15	7	0.54	2.2	70	38		
PTR-NT	8	5	0.70	2.5	50	34		
PTR-PTR	6	3	0.54	2.1	60	29		

Note: *K-Kharif* 2016, R-Rabi2016-17, NPTR- Non- Puddled Transplanted Rice, DSR - Dry Seeded Rice, PTR- Puddled Transplanted Rice, NT- No Till

Yield and yield attributes

The grain yield was higher in DSR (5355 kg ha⁻¹) during *kharif* 2016 and DSR-PTR (7753 kg ha⁻¹) during *rabi*2016-17. Only weeds are the major problem in DSR cultivation. However availability of high-yielding short-duration varieties and new herbicides for weed control largely made suitable chance for high yielding of DSR than puddled transplanted rice. Meanwhile the panicles Nos. m⁻²was also higher in DSR (309) during *kharif* 2016 and DSR followed by PTR (364) during *rabi*2016-17.

Table 2: Effect of different crop establishment methods on productive tillers (Nos. m⁻²) and grain yield (Kgha⁻¹) *Kharif* 2016 and *Rabi* 2016-17

	Treatments		icles . m ⁻²)	Grain yield (Kgha ⁻¹)			
		K	R	K	R	Total	
T_1	NPTR- NT	218	315	4820	6519	11339	
T_2	NPTR- PTR	221	349	4813	7675	12488	
T 3	DSR- NT	297	323	5328	6627	11955	
T_4	DSR- PTR	309	364	5355	7721	13076	
T_5	PTR- NT	244	315	4858	6561	11419	
T_6	PTR- PTR	257	353	4862	7753	12615	
SEd		6.8	8.9	131.2	189.3		
CD (P=0.05)		14.2	18.6	274.2	395.4		

Note: K-Kharif 2016, R-Rabi 2016-17, NPTR- Non- Puddled Transplanted Rice, DSR - Dry Seeded Rice, PTR- Puddled Transplanted Rice, NT- No Till

Reference

- Balasubramanian V, Hill J. Direct seeding of rice in Asia: emerging issues and strategic research needs for the 21st century. In: Pandey S, Mortimer M, Wade L, Tuong T, Lopez K, Hardy B. (Eds.), Direct Seeding: Research Issues and Opportunities. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Direct Seeding in Asian Rice System: Strategic Research Issues and Opportunities, International Rice Research Institute Bangkok, Thailand. 2002, 15-42.
- Barker R, Molle F. Evolution of irrigation in south and Southeast Asia. Comprehensive Assessment Research Report. Comprehensive Assessment Secretariat, Colombo, Sri Lanka, 2004, 45.
- 3. Bhuiyan SI, Sattar MA, Khan MAK. Improving wateruse efficiency in rice irrigation through wet-seeding.Irrig. Sci. 1995; 16:1-8.
- 4. Rao AN, Johnson DE, Sivaprasad B, Ladha JK, Mortimer AM. Weed management in Direct-seeded Rice. Adv Agron. 2007; 93:153-255.
- 5. Rucknagel J, Hofman B, Olaf C. Effect of tillage on soil physical properties, total organic carbon content and winter barley yield in a long term experiment in Germany. 4th International crop science congress, 2004.
- 6. So HB, Ringrose-Voase AJ. Management of clay soils for rainfed lowland rice-based cropping systems: an overview. Soil Tillage Res 2000; 56:3-14.
- 7. Sudhir-Yadav, Humphreys E, Kukal SS, Gill G, Rangarajan R. Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice: Part 2: water balance and water productivity. Field Crops Res. 2011; 120:123-132.