# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 2598-2601 © 2018 IJCS Received: 24-03-2018 Accepted: 28-04-2018

### Dileep Kumar Tiwari

Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Sanjay Pathak

Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Atul Yadav

Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Bindhiya Prasad

Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Bhanu Pratap

Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Dileep Kumar Tiwari Department of Horticulture, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

# The effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on quality characters of mango cv. Amrapali

# Dileep Kumar Tiwari, Sanjay Pathak, Atul Yadav, Bindhiya Prasad and Bhanu Pratap

## Abstract

The present investigation was conducted with the objective to know the effect of different pruning intensity and nutrition on biochemical/quality characters *viz.*, total soluble solids, acidity, ascorbic acid content, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and total sugars. The experiments were conducted in Randomized Block Design with seven treatments i.e.  $T_1=5$  cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant,  $T_2=5$  cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant,  $T_3=5$  cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%,  $T_4=10$  cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant,  $T_5=10$  cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant,  $T_6=10$  cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant,  $T_7=15$  cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant,  $T_8=15$  cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant,  $T_9=15$  cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant,  $T_{10}=$  Control (no pruning+ water spray). The quality attributes viz., T.S.S, acidity (%), ascorbic acid (mg/100g), reducing sugars (%) and total sugars (%) influenced significantly due to different levels of pruning and application of organic and inorganic fertilizers during both the years. The maximum reducing, non-reducing sugars, total sugars and ascorbic acid content were noted in treatment  $T_8$  during both the years.

Keywords: Pruning intensity, organic, inorganic and quality characters

### Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is the fifth most important fruit of the world after apple, citrus, banana and grape. It is cultivated in more than 100 countries because of its delicious taste, excellent flavour, attractive fragrance and excellent source of vitamin A and C. The total annual production of mango in India is 18.43 million tonnes, cultivated in 2.52 million hectare with productivity (7.30 Mt/ ha), (Anonymous, 2014). The major mango producing states in India are Uttar Pradesh (4.30 million tonne) followed by Andhra Pradesh (2.74 million tonnes), and Karnataka (1.75 million tonnes) (Anonymous, 2014).

Considering the importance of mango there is dire need to initiate the nutrient management and pruning intensity programme to increase vegetative growth, fruit size, uniform ripening, fruit yield and quality of mango. In addition to nutrient intensity and pruning has also been reported to manage plant canopy and enhance the flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of many fruit crops (Ali *et al.*, 2001)<sup>[1]</sup>.

Chemical composition of mango differs with the variety and stage of maturity. It is a rich source of carbohydrate of well as vitamin A and C. A comprehensive report has been made on the chemical composition after analysis of more than 5 varieties of mango (Anonymous, 1966). According to this report chemical constituents in mango are moisture 73.0-86.7 per cent, carbohydrate 11.6-24.3 per cent, protein 0.3-1.0 per cent, fat 0.1-0.8 per cent, mineral 0.3-0.7 per cent, vitamin A 650-259 Yo T.U. and vitamin C 3-83 mg/100 g fruit.

In most of the Horticultural, Medicinal and Vegetable crops, FYM is the most common organic manure used for supplement the initial requirement of nutrients for better establishment such as animal, plant wastage i.e. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potash and micronutrients. The continuous applications of huge amount of chemical fertilizers hamper the fruit quality, soil health and generate pollution. The combination of organic and in-organic nutrients paves away to overcome of these problems.

Plant nutrient can be supplied from different sources viz., organic manures and chemical fertilizers for better utilization of resources and to produce crop with less expenditure. Organic manures enhance nutrient availability in order to improve the soil health, soil structure and provide conducive environment for the treatment of soil micro flora. Potentially of using organic manures along with balanced fertilizers are well established in increasing crop yield and sustained crop production (Nambiar and Abrol, 1992)<sup>[11]</sup>.

The micro-nutrients play vital role in growth, development, retention and quality of fruits. The foliar feeding of micronutrients has gained much importance in recent years and comparatively more effective for rapid recovery of plants, as under high soil pH conditions, most of macro and micronutrients are unavailable. Various trials have been conducted on foliar feeding of micro-nutrients in different fruit crops and found effective in improving the vegetative growth, yield and quality of fruits (Sindhu *et al.*, 1994; Banik *et al.*, 1997 and Babu and Singh, 1998) <sup>[14, 4, 3]</sup>.

# Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out on 25 year old mango orchard planted under sodic soil condition and site is located at Main Experiment Station, Horticulture, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad on the Raibarelly road at the distance of 42 km away from Faizabad district head quarter. Geographically it is situated at  $26^{0}$ - $47^{0}$ N latitude, 82.12°E longitude of 113 meter away from mean sea level. This site is located in typical saline-alkaline belt of indigenous plains of eastern Uttar Pradesh.

The values were corrected at 20<sup>o</sup> C and expressed as (<sup>o</sup>Brix) TSS of the fruit juice (Ranganna, 1978). Sugars were estimated by Fehlings 'A' and 'B' solution method given by Lane and Eynon (1943).

# **Results and Discussion**

The quality characters *viz.*, total soluble solids, acidity, ascorbic acid content, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and total sugars were significantly influenced due to different treatments of pruning intensity, organic and inorganic nutrients during both the years except reducing sugars.

Maximum accumulation of total soluble solids (T.S.S.) content in mango fruits was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> (10 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %) followed by T<sub>8</sub> (15 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %) while, minimum total soluble solids (T.S.S.) was obtained with the control (no pruning + water spray) during both the years shown in Table 4.19. Total Soluble Solids content of fruit may be due to fact that nutrients have played important role in photosynthesis which ultimately lead to the accumulation of carbohydrates and attributed to increase T.S.S. of mango fruit. Increase in the total soluble solids may be because of more carbon assimilation promoted by application of boric acid. The results are in closed conformity with the finding of Kundu et al. (1995)<sup>[9]</sup> reported significant effect of pruning on TSS in ber, Shirole et al. (2003)<sup>[13]</sup> noted that 5 kg Vermicompost + 25 Kg FYM per plant per year resulted highest TSS in sapota and Yadav (2006)<sup>[16]</sup> reported that application of Vermicompost with 100% NPK recorded maximum TSS, Total sugar and reduction in acidity of papaya fruit.

It is evident from the data that the application the treatment  $T_8$ (15 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %) accumulated highest reducing, non-reducing and total sugars followed by T<sub>5</sub> (10 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %) during both the years of investigation (2015-16 & 2016-17). While, minimum reducing, non-reducing and total sugars in treatment  $T_{10}$  (control) during both the years of experimentation. Increased in sugar per cent over control (unpruned tree + water spray) may be due to involve in the translocation of more sugar to the fruits. It has been reported that there is greater conversion of starch into sugar (source to sink. The results are conformed with findings of Khan et al. (2006) <sup>[6]</sup> noted that sugars significantly increased by pruning in Flordasum peach and Bohane and Tiwari (2014) <sup>[5]</sup>, while Arvind et al. (2012) <sup>[2]</sup> observed that foliar application of Borax 0.5%, K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 0.5% at marvel stage of mango fruit cv. Dashehari significantly increased maximum total sugar over control.

The effect of different pruning intensity, application of organic nutrients and spray of inorganic nutrients on ascorbic acid content have been portrayed in Table 5 clearly indicated that the maximum amount of ascorbic acid was found with the foliar spray of T<sub>8</sub> (15 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %) whereas, minimum was recorded with control (T<sub>10</sub>). The increased ascorbic acid content of fruit juice was due to increase synthesis of catalistic activity by enzyme and coenzyme, which are represented ascorbic acid synthesized. The adequate amounts of zinc improve the auxin content and it also acted as catalyst in oxidation process. These findings is closely confirmed with the results of a significant improvement in ascorbic acid content which noticed by Singh et al. (2001)<sup>[15]</sup> with the application of 0.5 % ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 % Borax and 0.4 % CuSO<sub>4</sub> in aonla cultivar fransis. Kumar et al. (2012)<sup>[7]</sup> reported the highest ascorbic acid using 50% NPK + 15 kg vermicompost + 5 kg neem cake treatment in lemon.

The use of different treatments significantly influence the acidity percentage in mango fruits. The minimum acidity percentage was noted T<sub>8</sub> (15 cm pruning + Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @ 0.4 %), whereas, maximum was noted in control treatment  $(T_{10})$  during both the years of experimentation. Acidity content of fruit decrease with the pruning intensity and application of organic and inorganic nutrients, might be due to increase in translocation of carbohydrates and increase metabolic conversion from acidity to sugar by the reaction involving reversal of glycolytic path way by used in respiration or both similarly. Acidity per cent was reduced with nutrients treated fruits, which might be due to early ripening induced by the nutrient spray during which degradation of acid might have occurred. The similar results were reported by Kundu and Mitra (1999)<sup>[8]</sup> observed that foliar spray of 0.3 per cent copper, 0.1% Borax and 0.3% Zn reduced acid content in guava fruits.

 Table 1: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on TSS of mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments		TSS ( <sup>0</sup> Brix)	
			2016-17	
$T_1$	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	18.18	18.23	
$T_2$	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	18.54	18.59	
T3	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	17.60	17.33	
$T_4$	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	18.69	18.63	

<b>T</b> 5	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	19.06	19.00
$T_6$	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	17.76	17.71
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	18.53	18.43
$T_8$	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	18.90	18.80
<b>T</b> 9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	17.62	17.52
$T_{10}$	Control (no pruning+ water spray)	17.28	17.05
	SEm±	0.50	0.50
	CD at 5%	1.49	1.48

Table 2: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on reducing sugar per cent in mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments		Reducing sugar (%)	
			2016-17	
<b>T</b> 1	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	4.20	4.15	
T <sub>2</sub>	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	4.27	4.25	
T3	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	4.03	4.05	
T <sub>4</sub>	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	4.30	4.24	
T5	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	4.38	4.32	
T <sub>6</sub>	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	4.10	4.03	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	4.34	4.28	
T <sub>8</sub>	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	4.43	4.36	
T9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	4.12	4.07	
T10	Control (no pruning+ water spray)	3.98	3.95	
	SEm±	0.19	0.20	
	CD at 5%	NS	NS	

Table 3: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on non reducing sugar in mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments		Non-reducing sugar (%)		
	1 reatments	2015-16	2016-17		
$T_1$	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	10.75	10.70		
$T_2$	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	10.98	10.90		
$T_3$	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	10.23	10.15		
$T_4$	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	11.05	10.91		
$T_5$	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	11.28	11.11		
$T_6$	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	10.50	10.37		
$T_7$	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	11.16	11.00		
$T_8$	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	11.37	11.22		
<b>T</b> 9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	10.63	10.45		
T <sub>10</sub>	Control (no pruning+ water spray) per plant	9.37	9.45		
	SEm±		0.29		
	CD at 5% 0.85 0.86				

 Table 4: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on total sugar in mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments	Total sugars (%)	
	Treatments		2016-17
$T_1$	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	14.95	14.85
$T_2$	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	15.25	15.15
$T_3$	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	14.21	14.10
$T_4$	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	15.35	15.15
<b>T</b> 5	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	15.66	15.43
$T_6$	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	14.60	14.40
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	15.50	15.28
$T_8$	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	15.80	15.58
<b>T</b> 9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	14.75	14.52
$T_{10}$	Control (no pruning+ water spray)	13.35	13.40
	SEm±	0.41	0.46
	CD at 5%	1.22	1.38

Table 5: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp) in mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments		Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp)		
	Treatments	2015-16	2016-17		
$T_1$	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	32.77	32.99		
$T_2$	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	33.42	33.64		
$T_3$	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	31.15	31.35		
$T_4$	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	32.42	32.53		
$T_5$	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	33.06	33.18		
$T_6$	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	31.49	31.63		
$T_7$	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	33.13	33.28		

$T_8$	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	34.00	34.10
<b>T</b> 9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	33.78	33.94
$T_{10}$	Control (no pruning+ water spray)	30.82	30.92
	SEm±	0.88	0.86
	CD at 5%	2.60	2.55

Table 6: Effect of pruning, organic and inorganic nutrition on acidity per cent in mango cv. Amrapali.

	Treatments		y (%)
			2016-17
$T_1$	5 cm pruning+FYM@ 20 kg per plant	0.28	0.26
$T_2$	5 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @ 10kg per plant	0.29	0.27
$T_3$	5 cm pruning+ ZnSO4 @ 1.0 % + Borax @ 0.4%	0.27	0.28
$T_4$	10 cm pruning+ FYM@ 20kg+ Zinc Sulphate@ 1.0% per plant	0.26	0.28
$T_5$	10 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax @0.4% per plant	0.27	0.29
$T_6$	10 cm pruning+PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	0.30	0.27
$T_7$	15 cm pruning+FYM @20kg+ Zinc Sulphate @1.0% per plant	0.29	0.27
$T_8$	15 cm pruning+ Vermicompost @10kg+ Borax@0.4% per plant	0.25	0.25
<b>T</b> 9	15 cm pruning+ PSB 250g+ MgSO4 @0.5% per plant	0.28	0.26
$T_{10}$	Control (no pruning+ water spray)	0.32	0.30
	SEm±	0.01	0.009
	CD at 5%	0.02	0.026

### References

- 1. Ali HS, Ghaffor A, Waseem K, Nadeem MA. Growth and yield response of phalsa (*Grewia asiatica* L.) to various pruning intensities and dates. Journal of Biological Sciences. 2001; 1(7):548-550.
- Arvind Bhatt, Mishra NK, Mishra D, Singh CP. Foliar application of potassium, calcium, zinc and boron enhanced yield, quality and shelf life of mango cv. Dashehari. Hort. Flora Research Spectrum. 2012; 1(4):300-305.
- 3. Babu N, Singh AR. Effect of boron, zinc and copper sprays on growth and development of litchi fruits. Punjab Hort. J. 1998; 34(3-4):75-79.
- Banik BC, Mitra SK, Sen SK, Bose TK. Interaction effect of zinc, iron and boron on physico-chemical composition of mango fruit cv.Fazli. Orissa J Hort. 1997; 25(1):5-9.
- 5. Bohane L, Tiwari R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on physico-chemical parameters of ber under malwa plateau conditions. Ann. Pl. Soil Res. 2014; 16(4):346-348.
- 6. Khan RS, Ahmad MS, Sultan A, Muhammad IM. Effect of different intensities of pruning on growth, yield and quality of apple. Sarhad J of Agri. 2006; 22(2):233-235.
- Kumar V, Singh MK, Singh M, Dev P, Mohan B. Influence of integrated nutrient management (INM) on yield and quality of lemon (*Citrus limon* Burn.) cv. pant lemon-I under western U.P. conditions. Annals of Hort. 2012; 5(1):137-139.
- 8. Kundu S, Mitra SK. Response of guava to foliar spray of copper, boron and zinc. Ind. Agr. 1999; 43(1-2):49-54.
- Kundu SS, Pareek OP, Gupta AK. Effect of time and severity of pruning on physico-chemical characteristics of ber (*Z. mauritiana*). Haryana J Hort. Sci. 1995; 24(1)53-58.
- 10. Lane JH, Eynnon L. Determination of reducing sugar by means of fehling solution method with methylene blue as internal indicator. J Soc. Chem. Ind. 1942; 42:327.
- Nambiar KKM, Abrol IP. Long term fertilizer experiment in India: An overview. Fertilizer News, 1992; 34(4):11-26.
- Rangana S. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable product. Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Co. Ltd. 1978, 26-27.

- Shirole AM, Konomadi VC, Thammaiah N. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of sapota cv. Kalipatti. In: National Symposium on Organic farming in Horticulture for sustainable production on pp. 36 held during 29-30 August at CISH, Lucknow, 2003.
- 14. Sindhu PC, Ahlawat VP, Nain AS. Effect of foliar spray of zinc sulphate on total soluble solids and acidity percentage of grapes cv. Perlette, Res. Bull; CCSHAU Hissar, 1994.
- 15. Singh HK, Srivastava AK, Dwivedi R, Kumar P. Effect of foliar feeding of micronutrients on plant growth fruit quality, yield and internal fruit necrosis of aonla cv. Francis. Prog. Hort. 2001; 33(1):83-83.
- Yadav HC. Studies on the effect of foliar application of micro nutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit yield and quality of rainy season guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis submitted to N.D.U.A. & T., Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.), 2006.