# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 2736-2742 © 2018 IJCS Received: 14-03-2018 Accepted: 18-04-2018

#### Dayal A

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Dahiya OS

Senior Scientist, Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Punia RC

Senior Scientist, Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Mor VS

Assistant Professor, Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Correspondence Dayal A Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS Hawana Agricultural

CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

## Effect of different priming treatments on seed quality of delinted desi cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) varieties picked at different intervals after fifteen months of controlled storage

### Dayal A, Dahiya OS, Punia RC and Mor VS

#### Abstract

The present study was carried out in the Department of Seed Science and Technology Section, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India. The study aimed to evaluate the effect of seed invigoration treatments on seed quality of desi cotton varieties (HD-123, HD-324 and HD-432) picked at different intervals and stored at 20° C with six per cent moisture content in sealed plastic containers. Study evaluated the effect of different seed priming treatments on germination, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigor indices desi cotton varieties (HD-123, HD-324 and HD-432) to determine and exploit their usefulness in improving cotton seed quality for better seedling establishment. Seed ageing or progressin storage period led to reduction in seed quality, during storage as there is reduction in seed vigor potential and performance, stand establishment, poor emergence and high seedling mortality, thus leading to poor crop stand. Improvement in germination by seed priming may combat this problem as this physiological technique results in faster and synchronous seed germination as found in the study that when cotton seeds were primed for two hr. at 25° C with different chemicals (Control, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, PEG, GA<sub>3</sub>, Hydration- Dehydration) showed increase in seed germination per cent. It was found that seeds primed with GA<sub>3</sub> significantly enhances the seed germination per cent, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigor indices than other treatments (KNO3, KH2PO4, PEG, Hydration). Maximum germination, seedling length, dry weight, vigor indices, seedling establishment, field emergence index was observed in seeds of second pick treated seeds whereas minimum was observed in third pick seeds.

Keywords: desi cotton, picking stage, seed quality, seed priming, seed germination, seedling dry weight

#### Introduction

Cotton is the major fibre and industrial crop of the world which is known as "white gold" and it is a member of the *malvaceae* family of flowering plants. It is classified with a genus of tropical and subtropical shrubs known as *Gossypium*. India accounts for 22 percent of total cotton production and it is the largest cotton growing country of the world. India is the second largest producer of cotton after China

Deterioration of stored seed is a serious problem in tropical and sub tropical countries like India where high temperature and humidity accelerate the seed ageing phenomenon. The process of deterioration in seeds comprises a sequence of biochemical and physiological changes initiated immediately after physiological maturity, which lead to reduced vigor, culminating in the loss of germination capacity. Changes in physiology of the seeds are indirectly related to the integrity of their cell membranes (Carvalho *et al.*, 2009) <sup>[5]</sup>, which, in turn depend on the nature of the enzymes and structural proteins of each species. The enzymes have been used in the assessment of physiological and biochemical changes in stored seeds (Santos *et al.*, 2004) <sup>[25]</sup>.

Seed ageing leads to reduction in seed quality, performance and stand establishment. During the process of seed deterioration, there are biochemical changes takes placewhich ultimately alters the structure of the seed. Once seed deterioration has happened, this catabolic process cannot be reversed. It is a sequence of events beginning with a chain of biochemical events, predominantly membrane damage and impairment of biosynthetic reactions, and then the resulting losses of various seed performance attributes, starting with reduced germination rate, reduced field emergence, increased numbers of abnormal seedlings and finally seed death. Improved seed invigoration techniques are being used in many parts of the world to reduce the germination time, synchronize germination improve germination rate and increase plant stand (Khan, 1992, Lee and kim, 2000) <sup>[16, 17]</sup> Various seed priming techniques have been developed including hydropriming (soaking in water), halopriming (soaking in inorganic salt solution of different organic osmotica), thermopriming (treating seeds with low or high temperature), solid matrix priming (treating seeds with solid matrices) and bio priming (hydration using biological compounds). Priming in its traditional sense is soaking of seeds in water before sowing and it has been practiced by farmers in India to improve crop stand establishment but the practice was without the knowledge of the safe limit of soaking duration (Harris, 1996)<sup>[9]</sup>. It is reported that seed priming is one of the most important developments to help rapid and uniform germination and emergence of seeds and to increase seed tolerance to adverse environmental conditions (Harris et al., 1999) [10]. Seed priming has presented promising, and even surprising results, for many crops including the cotton seeds.

Keeping in view the importance of crop and effect of seed invigoration treatment on stored cotton seeds the present study was planned.

#### **Material and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out in Department of Seed Science and Technology, CCS HAU. Desi cotton were picked at different intervals, starting with fifty per cent boll opening and total three pickings were done. After delinting, seeds of all three picking were stored at  $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C with 6 % moisture content up to 15 months in a sealed plastic container. After fifteen months of storage initial quality of seeds were analyzed and seeds were treated with different chemicals (Control, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, KNO<sub>3</sub>, PEG, GA<sub>3</sub>, Hydration- Dehydration) to enhance its quality. KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (10 gm/l) 10 gm of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> was dissolved in one litre of distilled water, GA<sub>3</sub> (0.1 gm/l) 50 miligram of gibberic acid was dissolved in one litre of water to make one litre solution of gibberlic acid solution. One to four drops of acetone were also added to mix GA3 as it is not dissolved in water. KNO3 (30 gm/l) 30 gram of KNO3 was added in one litre of water to make one litre solution, PEG (100 gm/ lit) 100 gm PEG was dissolved in one litre of water to make solution of one litre. Priming treatment were given to cotton seeds after 15 months of storage for enhancement of quality. Seeds were primed at  $25\pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 2 hours. After priming cotton seeds were dried to original moisture content and seed quality was evaluated.

### Standard germination per cent as per ISTA, 2011

Final count was recorded on 12 th day (ISTA, 2011) <sup>[13]</sup>. Normal seedlings were expressed as percent germination.

### Seedling Length (cm)

Seedling length (cm) of ten randomly selected normal seedlings was recorded and average seedling length was expressed in centimeters (cm).

**Dry Weight (mg)** For dry weight ten randomly taken normal seedlings whose length was measured were dried in a hot air oven for 24 h at  $103 \pm 1^{\circ}$  C.

### Vigour Indices (Abdul-Baki and Anderson, 1973)

(a) Vigour Index-I [Germination (%) ×Seedling length (cm)]

#### (b) Vigour index-II [Germination (%) ×Seedling weight (g)]

Electrical conductivity test ( $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>) as per ISTA, 1999. Three replicates of 50 normal seeds were soaked in a 100 ml beaker containing 75 ml of distilled water and kept at 25<sup>o</sup>C. The leachetes were measured after 24 h with systronic conductivity meter 306 and it was expressed as  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>.

### Mean Emergence Time (days)

The mean emergence time (MET) was calculated for each treatment combination using the formula cited by Ellis and Roberts (1980)<sup>[7]</sup>.

MET=  $\sum nt / \sum n$  Where,

n- number of seeds newly germinated at time 't' t - Days from sowing  $\sum n$  - Final emergence of seedlings

### Field Emergence Index

The number of seedlings emerged were counted on each day up to seedling establishment. The field emergence index (speed of emergence) was calculated as described by Maguire (1962) <sup>[18]</sup>.

No. of seedlings emerged	No. of seedlings emerged
Day of first count +	+ Day of final count

#### Statistical Analysis

The data presented in this thesis are the mean value of different parameters. The statistical method described by Panse and Sukatme (1967) was followed for analysis and interpretation of the experimental results.

#### **Result and Discussion**

According to Table 4.3.1d when percent increase in germination was worked out in primed seeds of all desi varieties, maximum enhancement was observed in third picking seeds where maximum fall in germination was found after fifteen months of storage in all desi varieties. Among desi varieties, maximum increase (18.52%) in germination was observed in HD-324 followed by (9.52%) in HD-432and minimum (3.54%) increase was observed in HD-123. Maximum percent increase in germination (15.32%) was observed in GA3 treatment and minimum (5.64%) noticed in Hydration treatment. Among pickings, maximum percent increase was found in third picking (14.52%) and minimum noticed in first picking (5.89%).

The relatively higher seed quality parameters in seeds treated with GA3 was attributed to enlarged embryos, higher rate of metabolic activity and respiration, better utilization and mobilization of metabolites to growing points and higher activity of enzymes as reported by Earlpuls and Lambeth, (1974Maximum enhancement was observed in third picking seeds where maximum fall in germination was found after fifteen months of storage in all American and desi varieties. It confirms previous studies that maximum increase in germination found in low quality seeds.). Results are in conformity with the findings of Tiwari et al., (2001) [31] who reported that GA3 was able to increase the germination rate and seedling length of onion. Similar results were reported by Warren and Bannet (1997) [33] in tomato, Jyotsana and Srivastava (1998) in pigeonpea, Ashraf and Rauf (2001)<sup>[4]</sup> in maize.

		(	Germinat	ion per c	ent					Seedling length					
Vari	ety	To	T1	T2	T3	T <sub>4</sub>	T5	mean	То	T1	T2	T3	T <sub>4</sub>	T5	mean
	P1	66.67	70.33	74.33	70	73.33	67.67	70.39	20.09	23.57	28.32	24.9	26.07	24.86	24.63
H-	P2	69.67	75.33	79.33	73.33	75.67	71.33	74.11	22.04	23.87	28.59	26.44	26.92	28.89	26.12
1098(I)	P3	56.67	66	68.33	64	66.73	63	64.12	16.61	21.62	24.69	21.54	23.42	20.16	21.34
	Mean	64.33	70.56	74	69.11	71.91	67.33	69.54	19.58	23.02	27.2	24.3	25.47	24.64	24.03
	P1	64.33	67.33	69	65.67	67.67	65.67	66.61	17.69	21.19	22.95	20.97	22.56	20.77	21.02
U 1117	P2	67.67	71.33	75.33	70	74.33	69.33	71.33	18.93	21.84	24.06	21.68	22.27	21.35	21.69
п-1117	P3	48	58.33	59.33	59	59	57.67	56.89	14.7	16.89	19.91	16.21	17.68	15.74	16.85
	Mean	60	65.67	67.89	64.89	67	64.22	64.94	17.11	19.97	22.31	19.62	20.84	19.29	19.85
	P1	67	74.67	76	73	75.7	69.67	72.67	21.05	25.85	27.73	24.07	26.23	23.49	24.74
II 1026	P2	70.67	77	83.67	75.33	79.1	72.67	76.41	25	28.16	29.81	26.26	28.22	25.65	27.18
п-1230	P3	57.33	65.33	69.66	64.33	67.33	63	64.5	17.17	21.52	25.79	20.69	23.55	19.76	21.41
	Mean	65	72.33	76.44	70.89	74.04	68.44	71.19	21.07	25.17	27.78	23.67	26	22.97	24.44
Grand	Mean	63.11	69.52	72.78	68.3	70.99	66.67	68.56	19.25	22.72	25.76	22.53	24.1	22.3	22.78
CD at	5.04	Variet	ty = 0.379	,Treatme	ent = 0.53	336, Variety × Treatment = Variety = 0.175, Treatment = 0.247, Variety × Treatment =									ment =
CD at	5 %		0.928, V	ariety ×P	icking ×7	Freatment	= 1.607			0.428, V	'ariety × I	Picking ×	Treatmen	t = 0.741	
						Pickir	ng and tre	atment in	teraction						
PICK	ING														
P1	Į	66	70.78	73.11	69.56	72.23	67.67	69.89	19.61	23.53	26.33	23.31	24.95	23.04	23.46
P2	2	69.33	74.56	79.44	72.89	76.37	71.11	73.95	21.99	24.62	27.49	24.79	25.8	25.3	25
P3	3	54	63.22	65.78	62.44	64.36	61.22	61.84	16.16	20.01	23.46	19.48	21.55	18.55	19.87
Mear	n C	63.11	69.52	72.78	68.3	70.99	66.67	68.56	19.25	22.72	25.76	22.53	24.1	22.3	22.78
CD at	5 %	Pickin	g = 0.379	, Treatme	$ent = \overline{0.53}$ 0.928	6, Pickin	g × Treat	ment =	Pickir	ng = 0.175	5, Treatm	ent =0.24 0.428	7, Pickin	g ×Treatr	nent =

Table 1: Effect of priming on germination per cent and seedling length (cm) of cotton varieties

Seedling length of desi cotton varieties was significantly influenced by different treatments and different priming treatments significantly enhanced seedling length of cotton varieties. Among different treatments studied,  $GA_3$  gave maximum seedling length (25.76 cm) followed by PEG (24.10 cm) and lowest seedling length (22.30 cm) observed in Hydration. Among varieties maximum seedling length (24.44 cm) observed in HD – 432 whereas lowest (19.85 cm) noticed in HD – 324.

Maximum seedling dry weight was observed in GA3 (0.252 mg followed by PEG (0.239 mg) and lowest seedling dry weight (0.214 mg) observed in control. Among varieties maximum seedling dry weight (0.253mg) in HD - 432 whereas lowest (0.211 mg) noticed in HD - 324. The interaction result showed, maximum seedling dry weight (0.294 mg) with GA3 treatment in second picking whereas lowest (0.182mg) observed in Hydration under third picking. The maximum seedling dry weight (0.253 mg) observed in second picking seeds whereas lowest seedling dry weight (0.211 mg) observed in third picking. Increase in seedling length in all varieties after priming, because there is activation of a amylase and antioxidant enzyme during priming treatment. The a amylase is a key enzyme for the germination and subsequent seedling growth of seeds (Mitsui, 1987; Mitsunga et al., 2007) [19]. Priming increases seedling

length and seedling dry weight as plant hormones increase the rate of absorption of water and available nutrients thereby resulting in better growth. The hormones might also have substantially enhanced cell enlargement and rapid increase in cell division. Results are in conformity with the earlier findings of Sedghi *et al.*, (2010) <sup>[26]</sup> Ghodrat and Rousta (2012) in onion, Toklu, F. (2015) in lentil.

Data indicated that different priming treatments significantly enhanced vigour index I of desi cotton varieties. Among different treatments studied, GA3 gave highest vigour index I (1893) followed by PEG (1727) and lowest vigour index I (1501) observed in Hydration. Among varieties, maximum vigour index I (1760) found in HD - 432 whereas, lowest (1306) noticed in HD - 324. The interaction table showed maximum vigour index I (2192) with GA3 treatment under second picking followed by PEG (1975) whereas lowest vigour index I (1141) observed under Hydration in third picking. The maximum vigour index I (1858) observed in second picking whereas, lowest vigour index I (1244) observed in third picking. The three way interaction between picking, treatment and variety, maximum vigour index I (2494) was observed in HD - 432 under second picking in GA<sub>3</sub> treatment whereas lowest vigour index I (908) observed in HD – 324 under third picking in Hydration treatment.

		S	eedling d	ry weigh	t (mg)						Vi	gor inde	x -I		
Variety		То	<b>T</b> 1	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> 3	<b>T</b> 4	T5	Mean	То	<b>T</b> 1	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> 3	T4	T5	Mean
	P1	0.221	0.242	0.265	0.238	0.248	0.227	0.24	1,338	1,657	2,105	1,743	1,912	1,682	1,740
H-	P2	0.244	0.258	0.279	0.255	0.262	0.248	0.258	1,535	1,798	2,268	1,939	2,037	2,061	1,940
1098(I)	P3	0.198	0.169	0.218	0.14	0.175	0.142	0.174	942	1,427	1,687	1,379	1,562	1,270	1,378
	Mean	0.221	0.223	0.254	0.211	0.228	0.206	0.224	1,272	1,627	2,020	1,687	1,837	1,671	1,686
	P1	0.176	0.215	0.232	0.208	0.22	0.198	0.208	1,138	1,427	1,584	1,377	1,527	1,364	1,403
U 1117	P2	0.198	0.251	0.261	0.218	0.255	0.204	0.231	1,281	1,558	1,812	1,517	1,655	1,480	1,551
п-111/	P3	0.181	0.199	0.204	0.191	0.201	0.182	0.193	705	985	1,181	956	1,043	908	963
	Mean	0.185	0.222	0.232	0.206	0.225	0.195	0.211	1,042	1,323	1,526	1,283	1,409	1,251	1,306
ц 1226	P1	0.243	0.269	0.272	0.262	0.269	0.261	0.263	1,410	1,930	2,107	1,757	1,985	1,637	1,804
11-1230	P2	0.255	0.271	0.294	0.271	0.285	0.258	0.272	1,766	2,168	2,494	1,978	2,232	1,864	2,084

International Journal of Chemical Studies

	P3	0.203	0.232	0.246	0.213	0.239	0.205	0.223	984	1,406	1,797	1,331	1,586	1,245	1,392
	Mean	0.234	0.257	0.271	0.249	0.264	0.241	0.253	1,387	1,835	2,133	1,689	1,935	1,582	1,760
Grand N	Mean	0.213	0.234	0.252	0.222	0.239	0.214	0.229	1,233	1,595	1,893	1,553	1,727	1,501	1,584
CD at /	5.04	Variety	Variety = 0.003, Treatment = 0.004, Variety × Treatment = 0.006, Variety = 13.42, Treatment = 18.98, Variety × Treatment = 32											nt =32.88,	
CD at .	5 %		Varie	ety ×Picki	ng × Trea	atment = (	0.0011		Variety × Picking ×Treatment= 56.95						
	Picking and Treatment Interaction														
Picki	ng														
P1		0.221	0.223	0.254	0.211	0.228	0.206	0.224	1,295	1,671	1,932	1,625	1,808	1,561	1,649
P2		0.234	0.257	0.271	0.249	0.264	0.241	0.253	1,528	1,841	2,192	1,812	1,975	1,802	1,858
P3		0.185	0.222	0.232	0.206	0.225	0.195	0.211	877	1,273	1,555	1,222	1,397	1,141	1,244
Mean	C	0.213	0.234	0.252	0.222	0.239	0.214	0.229	1,233	1,595	1,893	1,553	1,727	1,501	1,584
	-		Picking= 0.003, Treatment=0.006, Picking ×Treatment = 0.004 Picking =13.42, Treatment =18.98, Picking ×Treatment = 32.88												

The two and three factor interactions between treatments, pickings and variety were found significant for vigour index II. A perusal of data indicated that different priming treatments significantly enhanced vigour index II of desi cotton varieties. Among treatments, GA3 gave highest vigour index II (18.55) followed by PEG (17.14) and lowest vigour index II (14.39) observed in control. Among varieties maximum vigour index II (18.14) observed in HD – 432 whereas lowest (13.82) noticed in HD – 324. The picking and treatment interaction showed maximum (22.14) vigour index

II with GA3 treatment in second picking whereas lowest vigour index II (10.70) found in Hydration treatment under third picking. Increase in seedling dry weight may be due to to acceleration some metabolic processes even at low water potential (Sedghi *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[26]</sup>. The increase in seedling vigour index and seedling dry weight was due to increased germination percentage, root length and shoot length of seedlings. Similar results were found by Sedghi *et al.*, (2010) <sup>[26]</sup> in milk thistle, Narayanareddy and Biradarpatil, (2012) <sup>[21]</sup> in sunflower and Abraha and Yohannes (2013) <sup>[2]</sup> in maize.

Table 1: Effect of priming on vigor index II and Electrical conductivity of cotton varieties

			Vigor	index -II				Electrical conductivity							
Vari	ety	То	T <sub>1</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> 3	<b>T</b> 4	<b>T</b> 5	mean	То	<b>T</b> 1	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> 3	T <sub>4</sub>	<b>T</b> 5	mean
	P1	14.76	17.05	19.73	16.68	18.16	15.33	16.95	0.549	0.451	0.491	0.524	0.491	0.532	0.506
H-	P2	16.98	19.43	22.13	18.7	19.85	17.69	19.13	0.537	0.509	0.449	0.486	0.401	0.529	0.485
1098(I)	P3	11.2	11.16	14.92	8.98	11.68	8.97	11.15	0.552	0.509	0.515	0.525	0.501	0.541	0.524
	Mean	14.31	15.88	18.92	14.79	16.57	14	15.74	0.546	0.49	0.485	0.512	0.464	0.534	0.505
	P1	11.35	14.44	16	13.66	14.86	12.98	13.88	0.595	0.558	0.432	0.561	0.541	0.573	0.543
II 1117	P2	13.38	17.93	19.69	15.26	18.98	14.17	16.57	0.567	0.524	0.459	0.535	0.482	0.558	0.521
H-111/	P3	8.67	11.59	12.1	11.29	11.84	10.51	11	0.605	0.561	0.508	0.567	0.548	0.585	0.562
	Mean	11.13	14.65	15.93	13.4	15.23	12.55	13.82	0.589	0.548	0.466	0.554	0.524	0.572	0.542
	P1	16.31	20.06	20.69	19.11	20.33	18.19	19.11	0.527	0.492	0.435	0.506	0.484	0.527	0.495
11 1000	P2	18.02	20.89	24.6	20.39	22.52	18.75	20.86	0.487	0.412	0.388	0.427	0.399	0.442	0.426
H-1230	P3	11.64	15.17	17.12	13.73	16.07	12.9	14.44	0.541	0.562	0.51	0.565	0.551	0.572	0.55
	Mean	15.32	18.71	20.8	17.74	19.64	16.61	18.14	0.518	0.489	0.444	0.499	0.478	0.514	0.49
Grand I	Mean	13.59	16.41	18.55	15.31	17.14	14.39	15.9		0.551	0.509	0.465	0.522	0.489	0.54
CD -+	5.0/	Variet	y = 0.197	,Treatme	nt = 0.27	8, Variet	y × Treati	nent =	Variet	y = 0.004	,Treatme	ent = 0.00	5, Variet	y × Treatı	nent =
CD at	5 %		0.481, V	ariety × l	Picking ×	Treatmen	t = 0.834			0.009, V	ariety × 1	Picking ×	Treatmer	t = 0.015	
						Pickin	g and Tre	eatment Iı	nteraction						
Picki	ing														
P1		14.14	17.18	18.81	16.48	17.79	15.5	16.65	0.557	0.5	0.453	0.53	0.506	0.544	0.515
P2	2	16.12	19.42	22.14	18.12	20.45	16.87	18.85	0.531	0.482	0.432	0.483	0.428	0.51	0.477
P3	3	10.5	12.64	14.71	11.33	13.2	10.79	12.2	0.566	0.544	0.513	0.553	0.533	0.566	0.546
Mear	n C	13.59	16.41	18.55	15.31	17.14	14.39	15.90	0.551	0.509	0.466	0.522	0.489	0.54	0.513
CD at	5.0/	Pickir	$ng = 0.19^{\circ}$	7, Treatm	ent =0.27	8, Pickin	g ×Treatr	nent =	Pickir	ng = 0.002	3, Treatm	ent =0.00	5, Pickin	g ×Treatr	ment =
CD at	J %0	0.481								-		0.007		-	

Data indicated that different priming treatments significantly lowered electrical conductivity of cotton varieties. Among various treatments, GA3 showed minimum electrical conductivity (0.465) followed by PEG (0.489) and highest (0.540) electrical conductivity in Hydration treatment. Among varieties minimum electrical conductivity (0.490) reported in HD – 432 whereas, maximum (0.542) in HD – 324. The interaction table showed minimum electrical conductivity (0.428) in PEG treatment under second picking whereas highest (0.566) electrical conductivity observed in Hydration treatment under third picking. The lower electrical conductivity of seed leachate for GA3 treated seeds may be due to beneficial effect of strengthening the cell membrane integrity and permeability and it play an important role in

mobilization of endosperm reserves during germination of seeds (Weiss and Ori, 2007) and this may be due to better plasma membrane structure by slow hydration while increased seed leachates was probably due to the loss of ability to reorganize cellular membranes rapidly and completely. The results clearly indicates that damage to membrane systems seems to be a major cause of seed viability and germination loss during storage which can be overcome upto a certain extent by priming treatment. The pre-soaking of seeds allows the hydration of membranes and proteins, and the initiation of various metabolic systems as reported by Okcu *et al.*, (2005). Similar results are reported in soybean by Sung and Jeng (1994) <sup>[29]</sup>, Sung and Chu, (1995) <sup>[30]</sup> in soybean.

			Mea	n germir	ation ti	ne			Field emergence index						
Varie	ety	To	T <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T5	Mean	To	T <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	<b>T</b> 5	Mean
	P1	4.12	3.55	3.16	3.61	3.21	3.94	3.6	12.9	12.23	12.77	14.83	12.4	14.17	12.88
H-	P2	3.8	3.63	2.89	3.77	3.54	3.78	3.57	14.1	12	12.1	14	13.67	14.17	12.92
1098(I)	P3	4.55	4.17	3.15	4.07	3.23	4.22	3.9	12.07	12.9	14.07	13.03	13.2	12.07	13.12
	Mean	4.16	3.78	3.06	3.82	3.33	3.98	3.69	13.02	12.71	13.31	14.22	14.26	13.13	12
	P1	4.51	3.8	3.52	3.83	3.61	4.11	3.9	13.33	15.93	12.9	15.23	13.2	14.3	13.48
U 1117	P2	3.92	3.57	3.3	3.78	3.35	3.83	3.62	13.57	12.4	16.53	12.17	13.7	14.77	13.19
п-1117	P3	4.6	4.31	4.12	4.35	4.21	4.45	4.34	14.1	13	16.1	12	15.67	14.67	14.92
	Mean	4.34	3.89	3.65	3.99	3.72	4.13	3.95	13.86	13.24	16.03	14.91	15.14	14.42	14.31
	P1	4.32	3.59	3.24	3.74	3.27	3.76	3.65	13.53	14.47	11.47	14.2	11.2	11.13	12.3
ц 1226	P2	3.79	3.46	3.03	3.53	3.23	3.72	3.46	13.97	12.67	11.57	14.13	13.1	12	14.57
п-1230	P3	4.96	3.77	3.38	3.58	3.46	3.85	3.83	13.33	13.23	12.1	12.67	14.33	14	14.11
	Mean	4.36	3.61	3.21	3.62	3.32	3.78	3.65	13.61	14.12	15.38	14.33	14.88	14.04	14.39
Grand I	Mean	4.28	3.76	3.31	3.81	3.46	3.96	3.76	13.5	12.69	12.57	12.49	12.13	14.03	14.57
CD at	5.04	Variet	ty = 0.05	9,Treatm	ent = 0.0	)83, Vari	ety × Tre	eatment =	Variety	= 0.004,7	Freatment	= 0.108,	Variety ×	Treatmen	nt = NA,
CD at	J 70		0.144,	Variety >	< Picking	xTreatr	nent= 0.2	25	Variety × Picking ×Treatment= N/A						
						Pi	cking an	d Treatment	Interaction	on					
PICKI	NG			-			-			-			-	-	
P1		4.31	3.64	3.31	3.73	3.36	3.94	3.72	12.92	14.2	12.76	12.21	11.6	12.04	12.29
P2		3.84	3.55	3.07	3.69	3.37	3.78	3.55	11.88	11.48	12.43	11.02	12.49	12.07	12.26
P3		4.7	4.08	3.55	4	3.63	4.17	4.02	11.69	13.42	12.28	10.84	12.29	12.61	12.86
Mean	n C	4.29	3.76	3.31	3.81	3.46	3.96	3.76	11.5	12.03	12.49	11.69	15.13	12.27	12.37
CD at 5 % Picking = 0.083, Treatment =0.083, Picking ×Treatment 0.144					eatment =	Pickin	g = 0.108	, Treatme	nt =128, I	Picking ×	Treatmen	t = N/A			

Table 4: Effect of priming on Mean germination time and Field emergence index of cotton varieties

Data indicated that different priming treatments significantly lowered mean germination time of desi varieties. Among the different treatments studied, GA3 showed lowest mean germination time (3.31) followed by PEG (3.46) and highest mean germination time (3.96) observed in hydration treatment. Among varieties minimum mean germination time (3.65) reported in HD – 432 whereas maximum (3.95) studied in HD – 324. The interaction between picking and treatments showed minimum mean germination time (3.07) in GA3 treatment under second picking followed by (3.31) in first picking with PEG whereas highest mean germination time (4.17) observed in Hydration treatment under third picking. It is evident from the given table 4.3.7b that field emergence index of cotton varieties was non significantly influenced by different treatments. The two and three factor interactions between treatments, pickings and variety differed non significantly.

				Seedling Est	ablishment								
Variet	ty	То	$T_1$	T <sub>2</sub>	T3	T4	T5	Mean					
	P1	60.2	61.7	64.55	63	61.15	62.22	62.34					
II 1009/I)	P2	63.23	63.45	64.69	64.04	63.37	63.88	63.86					
п-1098(1)	P3	57.17	57.81	58.47	58.02	57.4	57.92	57.88					
	Mean	60.2	60.99	62.57	61.69	60.64	61.34	61.36					
	P1	58.22	58.88	60.91	59.12	58.51	59.04	59.23					
II 1117	P2	58.19	58.99	61.97	60	58.63	59.44	59.72					
п-111/	P3	42.19	57.14	58.17	58.05	56.07	57.32	54.57					
	Mean	52.87	58.34	60.35	59.06	57.74	58.6	57.84					
	P1	60.84	61.8	64.79	63.23	61.6	62.82	62.7					
Ц 1226	P2	63.43	63.48	65.02	64.24	63.54	63.88	64.01					
п-1230	P3	57.44	57.87	58.74	58.09	57.57	57.99	58.03					
	Mean	60.57	61.05	62.85	61.85	60.9	61.56	61.58					
Grand M	lean	57.88	60.12	61.92	60.87	59.76	60.5	60.26					
CD at 5	5 %	Variety = 0.059, Treatment = 0.083, Variety × Treatment = 0.144, Variety × Picking × Treatment = 0.25											
			F	Picking and Treat	ment Interaction								
PICKI	NG												
P1		59.75	61.36	63.42	60.8	61.78	60.42	61.26					
P2		61.62	62.4	63.9	61.97	62.76	61.85	62.42					
P3		52.27	57.74	58.46	57.61	58.05	57.01	56.86					
Mean	С	57.88	60.5	61.92	60.13	60.87	59.76	60.18					
CD at 5	5 %		Picking	g = 0.083, Treatn	nent =0.083, Pick	ting ×Treatment =	= 0.144						

Maximum seedling establishment (61.92) found in GA3 followed by  $KNO_3$  (60.87) and lowest (59.76) observed in PEG. Among varieties, HD – 432 had highest (61.58) followed by (57.88) noticed in HD – 123 which is statitically at par with HD – 324 (57.84). When seeds were treated with

different treatments, second picking showed highest seedling establishment (62.42) whereas, lowest seedling establishment (56.86) noticed in third picking. The interaction effect of different picking and treatments clearly stated that maximum seedling establishment (63.90) observed in GA3 under second picking whereas lowest noticed in Hydration treatment (57.01) under third picking. The three way interaction showed maximum (65.02) seedling establishment was observed in GA3 in HD -432 under second picking whereas lowest noticed in PEG (56.07) under third picking in HD -324. The probable reason for early emergence of the primed seed may be due to the completion of pre germination metabolic activities making seed ready for radicle protrusion and the primed seed germinated soon after planting compared with untreated dry seed (Arif, 2005)<sup>[3]</sup>. Like germination percentage, primed seeds had lower Mean Emergence Time (MET) compared with unprimed seeds. These positive effects are probably due to the stimulatory effects of priming on the early stages of germination process by mediation of cell division in germinating seeds (Hassanpouraghdam *et al.*, 2009; Sivritepe et al., 2003) <sup>[11, 28]</sup>. Similar results were reported by Ruan et al., (2002) [24] in rice, Dhedhi et al., (2006) <sup>[6]</sup> in groundnut, Jha, (2007) <sup>[14]</sup> in groundnut, Narayanreddy et.al. (2008) in sunflower, Singh et.al. (2004) in okra and Sadeghi et al., (2011) in soybean.

### Conclusion

The present study revealed that among various treatments, all the treatment enhanced germination percent in all seed lots. Maximum germination percent was observed with GA<sub>3</sub> treatment followed by PEG and minimum found in Hydration. Maximum enhancement in seed germination per cent was observed in second pick stored seeds in all varieties. FEI and MET for both American and desi varieties, meaning thereby the treatments which enhanced the SET percentages also emerged more quickly (in less time) and uniformly. The seedling establishment found significantly and positively correlated with vigour indices (VI-I and VI-II), and FEI and negatively with MET.

#### Acknowledgement

Autor is thankful to Dr. O.S Dahiya, Dr. R.C. Punia, Dr. V.S. Mor and Department of Seed Science and technology, CCS HAU for their continuous guidance, efforts and support.

### References

- 1. Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigour determination in soybean by multiple criteria. Crop Sci. 1973; 10:31-34.
- Abraha B, Yohannes G. The role of seed priming in improving seedling growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under salt stress at field conditions. Agricul. Sci. 2013; 4(12):666-672.
- 3. Arif M, Ali S, Shah H, Javed N, Rashid A. Seed priming maize for improving emergence and seedling growth. Sarhad J Agric. 2005; 21:239-243.
- 4. Ashraf M, Rauf H. Inducing salt tolerance in maize (Zea mays) thorugh seed priming with chloride salts: Growth and ion transport at early growth stages. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2001; 23:407-414.
- Carvalho LF, Sediyama CS, Reis MS, Dias DCFS, Moreira MA. Influência da temperatura de embebição da semente de soja no teste de condutividade elétrica para avaliação da qualidade fisiológica. Revista Brasileira de Sementes, 2009a; 31(1):9-17.
- 6. Dhedhi KK, Dangaria CJ, Parsana GJ, Joshi AK. Effect of pre-sowing seed treatments for better crop establishment in summer groundnut. Seed Res. 2006; 34(2):168-172.
- 7. Ellis RH, Roberts EH. Towards a rational basis for testing seed quality. In seed production (ed. P.D.

Hebblethwaite), pp: 605-635. Butterworths, London, 1980.

- Ghodrat V, Rousta MJ. Effect of priming with gibberellic acid (GA3) on germination and growth of corn (Zea mays L.) under saline conditions. Int J Agri Crop Sci. 2012; 4:883-885.
- 9. Harris D. The effects of manure, genotype, seed priming, depth and date of sowing on the emergence and early growth of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench in semiarid Botswana. Soil and Till. Res. 1996; 40:73-88.
- 10. Harris D, Joshi A, Khan PA, Gothkar P, Sodhi PS. Onfarm seed priming in semi-arid agriculture: Development and evaluation in maize, rice and chickpea in India using participatory methods. Exp. Agric. 1999; 35:15-29.
- 11. Hassanpouraghdam MB, Pardaz JE, Akhtar NF. The effect of osmo-priming on germination and seedling growth of Brassica napus L. under salinity conditions. J. Food, Agri. and Enviro. 2009; 7(2):620-622.
- 12. International Seed Testing Association [ISTA]. International rules for seed testing. Seed Science and Technology. 1999; 27:1-333 (supplement).
- ISTA. International Rules for Seed Testing.Chapter 5: the Germination Test. ISBN 978-3-906549-53-8. International Seed Testing Association, Baserdorf, Switzerland, 2011.
- 14. Jha KK. Studies on seed storability in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). M.Sc. Thesis, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India, 2007.
- Jyotsna V, Srivastava AK. Physiological basis of salt stress resistance in pigeonpea (Cajanuscajan L.)-II. Presowing seed soaking treatment in regulating early seedling metabolism during seed germination. Pl. Physiol. Biochem. 1998; 25:89-94.
- Khan AA. Preplant physiological conditioning. Hort. Rev. 1992; 13:131-181.
- 17. Lee SS, Kim JH. Total sugars, and amylase activity, and germination after priming of normal and aged rice seeds. Kor. J. Crop Sci. 2000; 45:108-111.
- Maguire JD. Speed of germination Aid in selection and evolution for seedling emergence and vigour. Crop Sci. 1962; 2:176-177.
- 19. Mitsui T. Biosynthesis of secretory enzyme. Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso. 1987, 386-397.
- 20. Mitsunaga S, Kobayashi M, Fukui S, Fukuoka K, Kawakami O, Yamaguchi J, et al. Alpha-Amylase production is induced by sulfuric acid in rice aleurone cells. Pl. Physiol. and Biochem. 2007; 45:922-925.
- Narayanareddy AB, Biradarpatil NK. Effect of presowing invigouration seed treatments on seed quality and crop establishment in sunflower hybrid KBSH-1. Kr. J. Agric. Sci. 2012; 25(1):475-83.
- 22. Narayanareddy AB, Biradarpatil NK, Hunje Ravi, Hulihalli UK. Effect of pre-sowing invigoration seed treatments on seed quality and field performance in sunflower hybrid KBSH-1. Paper presented in National Seed Congress held at University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 2008.
- 23. Okcu G, Demir M, Ataka M. Effects of salt and drought stresses on germination and seedlings growth of pea (Pisum sativum L.). Turkish J. of Agri. 2005; 20:237-242.
- 24. Ruan S, Xue Q, Tylkowska L. Effects of seed priming on germination and health of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds. Seed Sci. and Technol. 2002; 30:451-458.

- 25. Santos CMR, Menezes NL, Villela FA. Alterações fisiológicas e bioquímicas em sementes de feijão envelhecidas artificialmente. Revista Brasileira de Sementes. 2004; 26(1):110-119.
- 26. Sedghi M, Nemati A, Balaneji BA, Gholipouri A. Influence of Different Priming Materials on Germination and Seedling Establishment of Milk Thistle (Silybum marianum) under Salinity Stress. World Applied Sci. J 2010; 11(5):604-609.
- 27. Singh J, Kanwar JS, Bassi G. Seed vigour as influenced by different seed priming treatments in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Seed Res. 2004; 32(2):122-125.
- Sivritepe N, Sivritepe HO, Eris A. The effects of NaCl priming on salt tolerance in melon seedling grown under saline conditions. Scientia Holticuturae. 2003; 97:229-237.
- 29. Sung JM, Chiu CC. Lipid peroxidation and peroxide scavenging enzymes of naturally aged edible soybean seeds. Plant Sci. 1995; 110:45-52.
- 30. Sung JM, Jeng TL. Lipid peroxidation and peroxide scavenging enzymes associated with accelerated aging of peanut seed. Physiol. Plant. 1994; 91:51-55.
- 31. Tiwari AN, Tiwari SN, Rathi JPS, Verma RN, Tripathi AK. Crop weed competition studies in chickpea having A.tenuifolius dominated weed community under rainfed condition. Ind. J. Weed Sci. 2001; 33:198-199.
- 32. Toklu, F. Effects of different priming treatments on seed germination properties, yield components and grain yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobo. 2015; 43(1):153-158.
- 33. Warren JE, Bennett MA. Seed hydration using the drum priming system. Hort Sci. 1997; 32:1220-1221.
- Weiss D, Ori N. Mechanisms of cross talk between gibberellin and other hormones. Plant Physiol. 2007; 144:1240-1246.