

# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 2856-2858 © 2018 IJCS Received: 04-03-2018 Accepted: 09-04-2018

#### Kavita Sinha

Deputy Project Director (ATMA), Department of Agriculture, Kanker, Chhattisgarh, India

#### **BD** Narzary

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Assam Agriculture University Jorhat, Assam, India

#### Vikrant Khare

Junior Scientist, Lok Bharti Wheat Research Station, Sanosara, Gujarat, India

Correspondence Kavita Sinha Deputy Project Director (ATMA), Department of Agriculture, Kanker, Chhattisgarh, India

# Economics analysis of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Capitata* L.) Under different level of fertigation with and without mulch

# Kavita Sinha, BD Narzary and Vikrant Khare

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in the experimental farm of the department of horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat during 2011- 2012 in the winter season to evaluate the economic feasibility of cabbage. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design. The experiment conducted had 3 irrigation levels, 3 Fertigation levels and levels of mulch. The benefit cost ratio was found to be the highest gross return and net return was obtained by 100% OPE, 100%RD of N&K with mulch (Rs. 1043920), (856567.6) and B: C ratios were (4.57).

Keywords: cabbage, fertigation, mulch, economics

#### Introduction

Although India has the largest irrigation network in the world; its irrigation efficiency has not been more than 40 per cent. Bringing more area under irrigation will largely depend upon efficient use of water. In this context, micro irrigation has most significant role to achieve not only higher productivity and water use efficiency but also to have sustainability with economic use and productivity. Fertigation is the process wherein fertilizer is applied through an efficient irrigation system like drip. In fertigation, nutrient use efficiency could be as high as 90 per cent compared to 40 to 60 per cent in conventional methods (Solaimalai et al., 2005) [1]. Drip irrigation has proved its superiority over other methods owing to direct application of water in the root zone. Indiscriminate use of water through conventional irrigation system with only 60 per cent application efficiency is causing serious threat to available ground water resources. Drip irrigation can play a vital role in maximizing water use efficiency. Low nitrogen use efficiency in conventional method of irrigation is also a major reason for low productivity of crops. Drip irrigation is at present economically feasible in high value crops. Mulching is used to cover soil surface around the plants to create congenial condition for the plant growth. Polythylene mulches are widely used in the cultivation of vegetables. Weed control, temperature moderation, salinity reduction etc. are the desirable effects of plastic mulching It also exerts decisive effects on earliness, yield and quality of the crop (Raina et al., 1999)<sup>[2]</sup>; (Bharadwaj, 2013)<sup>[3]</sup>

The present investigation was carried out to evaluate economics analysis of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Capitata* L.) Under different level of fertigation with and with out mulch black film mulch. The experiment was carried out in the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat during 2012. Eighteen treatments were allocated in a randomized block design with three replications. The treatment comprised of three levels of irrigation *viz.*, 100% OPE (D<sub>1</sub>), 75% OPE (D<sub>2</sub>), 50% OPE (D<sub>3</sub>) based on previous ten years open pan evaporation data and three levels of fertigation *viz.*, 100% RD of N and K (F<sub>1</sub>), 75% RD of N and K (F<sub>2</sub>) and 50% RD of N and K (F<sub>3</sub>) based on recommended doses @ 120:60: 60, P was applied as basal dose with mulch (M<sub>1</sub>) and without mulch (M<sub>2</sub>). Cabbage variety selected in the present study was Green Express. Green Express is a F<sub>1</sub> hybrid. It is a popular early variety, ready to harvest 55 to 60 days after transplanting. The seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 60 cm x 45 cm. Gap filling was done seven days after transplanting.

# Treatment combinations

Treatments and treatment combination used in the experiment are as follow:

International Journal of Chemical Studies

Notation	Treatments	Notation	Treatments
T1 (D1F1M1)	100% OPE, 100% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{10} (D_1 F_1 M_0)$	100% OPE, 100% RD of N&K without mulch
T2 ( D1F2M1)	100% OPE, 75% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{11} (D_1 F_2 M_0)$	100% OPE, 75% RD of N&K without mulch
$T_3 (D_1F_3M_1)$	100% OPE, 50% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{12} (D_1 F_3 M_0)$	100% OPE, 50% RD of N&K without mulch
$T_4 (D_2F_1M_1)$	75% OPE, 100% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{13} (D_2 F_1 M_0)$	75% OPE, 100% RD of N&K without mulch
$T_5 (D_2F_2M_1)$	75% OPE, 75% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{14} (D_2 F_2 M_0)$	75% OPE, 75% RD of N&K without mulch
T6 (D2F3M1)	75% OPE, 50% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{15} (D_2 F_3 M_0)$	75% OPE, 50% N&K without mulch
T7 (D3F1M1)	50% OPE, 100% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{16} (D_3 F_1 M_0)$	50% OPE, 100% RD of N&K without mulch
$T_8 (D_3 F_2 M_1)$	50% OPE, 75% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{17} (D_3 F_2 M_0)$	50% OPE, 75% RD of N&K without mulch
$T_9 (D_3 F_3 M_1)$	50% OPE, 50% RD of N&K with mulch	$T_{18}(D_3F_3M_0)$	50% OPE, 50% RD of N&K without mulch

The different treatments showed the water impact on the comparative economics of the activation of cabbage. The highest benefit to cost ratio under the treatment  $T_1$  (100% OPE, 100% RD of and N and K under mulch may be due to higher yield even though the cost increased under this treatment was more than unmulch ones. Maximum net profit of Rs 856567.6/ha with B:C ratio of 4.57 was recorded in treatment black plastic mulch and minimum net profit of Rs 346476.5/ha with a B:C ratio of 2.00 without mulch was recorded in (Table 1 ). The results are in conformity with the findings of Singh (2007) <sup>[4]</sup>; Brahma *et al* (2010) <sup>[5]</sup> and Baruah (1993) <sup>[6]</sup>.

From the forgoing discussion it is clearly indicated that the drip irrigation particularly higher level of OPE replenishment

with fertigation of 100% RD of N and K under mulch had produced profound beneficial influenced over the vegetative growth, yield and yield attributing characters of cabbage and yield. Considering the yield and economics of cultivation, drip fertigation at 100% OPE with 100% RD of N and K with mulch can be considered as best treatment for cabbage under prevailing weather condition.

From the discussion, it is also clear that mulching is beneficial on cabbage. Mulching is found to be superior in respect of growth and yield than unmulch plots. Hence, mulching and drip irrigation at 100% OPE along with fertigation at 100% RD of N and K can be considered as best treatment for cabbage.

Item	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>8</sub>	T9	
1.Fixed cost (Rs)										
System cost	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	
a) Annualized cost	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	
b) Interest on investment @ 12%	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	
Total fixed cost (a+ b)	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	
2. Variable cost (Rs)										
a) Maintenance cost @ 2%	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	
b) Operational cost.	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	
c) Treatment cost	23675.45	22776.79	21877.51	23643.6	22744.94	21845.66	23604.47	22705.81	21806.53	
3. Total variable cost $(a+b+c)$	164989.7	164091	163191.8	164957.9	164059.2	163159.9	164918.7	164020.1	163120.8	
4. Seasonal total cost (Rs/ha) (1+2)	187352.4	186453.8	185554.5	187320.6	186421.9	185522.6	187281.4	186382.8	185483.5	
5. Yield (t/ha)	130.49	117.50	104.33	97.93	96.85	91.40	79.04	78.13	71.87	
6. Income from produce: (Rs) Yield @ Rs 8/ kg	1043920	940000	834640	783440	774800	731200	632320	625040	574960	
7. Net income (6-4 ) (Rs)	856567.6	753546.2	649085.5	596119.4	588378.1	545677.4	445038.6	438657.2	389476.5	
8. Benefit cost ratio	4.57	4.04	3.49	3.18	3.15	2.94	2.31	2.35	2.09	

# Table 1: Benefit cost ratio

### Contd/-

Item	T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>11</sub>	T <sub>12</sub>	T13	T14	T15	T16	T <sub>17</sub>	T <sub>18</sub>	
1.Fixed cost (Rs)										
System cost	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	101648.75	
a) Annualized cost	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	10164.87	
b) Interest on investment @ 12%	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	12197.85	
Total fixed cost (a+b)	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	22362.72	
2. Variable cost (Rs)										
a) Maintenance cost @ 2%	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	2032.97	
b) Operational cost.	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	139281.28	
c) Treatment cost	10675.45	9776.79	8877.51	10643.6	9744.99	8845.66	10604.47	9705.81	8806.53	
3. Total variable cost $(a+b+c)$	151989.7	151091.0	151091.8	151957.9	151059.2	150159.9	151918.7	151020.1	150120.8	
4. Seasonal total cost (Rs/ha) (1+2)	174352.4	173453.8	172554.5	174320.6	173422	172522.6	174281.4	173382.8	172483.5	
5. Yield (t/ha)	96.76	87.67	81.80	77.67	77.47	77.47	69.20	67.50	64.87	
6. Income from produce: (Rs) Yield @ Rs 8/ kg	774080	701360	654400	621360	619760	619760	553600	540000	518960	
7. Net income (6-4 ) (Rs)	599727.6	527906.2	481845.5	447039.4	446338	447237.4	379318.6	366617.2	346476.5	
8. Benefit cost ratio	3.43	3.04	2.79	2.56	2.57	2.59	2.17	2.11	2.00	

Sale price: @ Rs. 8/- per kg

## References

- Solaimalai A, Baskar M, Sadasakthi A, and Subburamu K. Fertigation in high value crops. Agric. Rev. 2005; 26(1):1-13.
- Bharadwaj RL. Effect of mulching on crop production under rainfed condition-A review. Agricultural Reviews. 2013; 34:188-197.
- 3. Raina JN, Thakur BC, Verma ML. Effect of drip irrigation and polythene mulch on yield, quality and water use efficiency of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1999; 69:430-433.
- 4. Singh A. Economic feasibility of drip irrigated tomato crop under rainfed condition. Agricultural Engineering Today, ISAE. 2007; 31(3&4):1-5.
- 5. Brahma Sanchita, Phookan Deepa Borbora, Barua Pankaj, Saikia Luchon. Effect of drip-fertigation on performance of tomato under Assam conditions. Ind. J of Hort. 2010; 67:56-60.
- 6. Baruah T. Irrigation scheduling of cabbage (*Brassica* oleracea var. capitata L.). MSc. Thesis Assam Agriculture University, 1993.