



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(3): 2889-2892

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 27-03-2018

Accepted: 29-04-2018

**Rahul Kumar**

Department of Horticulture,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology &  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Saket Mishra**

Department of Horticulture,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology &  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Sandeep Singh**

Department of Horticulture,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology &  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Ashish Kumar Pal**

Department of Horticulture,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology &  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

**Correspondence****Rahul Kumar**

Department of Horticulture,  
Sam Higginbottom University of  
Agriculture, Technology &  
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar  
Pradesh, India

## Improve the fruit setting and quality of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Bhagwa by spraying the plant growth regulators under Allahabad agro climatic conditions

**Rahul Kumar, Saket Mishra, Sandeep Singh and Ashish Kumar Pal**

### Abstract

An experiment was conducted at Central Research Field, Dept. of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Naini, Allahabad, during 2017-18. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments and each replicated thrice, to study the response of various plant growth regulators at different concentrations namely, ethrel (50, 75, 100 ppm), GA<sub>3</sub> (25, 40, 60 ppm) and 2,4-D (5, 7.5, 10 ppm) on fruit set and fruit quality of pomegranate cv. Bhagwa. The results indicated that T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 60 ppm) was found beneficial in increasing plant height (236.66 cm), plant spread (198.00 cm), number of branches (9.67) and minimum total acidity (0.37 %). T<sub>3</sub> (ethrel 100 ppm) best perform in term of number of flower per plant (115.33), minimum days taken to first ripe fruit (169.33), number of fruit per plant (29.33), yield per plant (5.51 kg), fruit yield per hectare (2204.00 kg), fruit weight (188.16 g), specific gravity (1.005), pH (4.50), and ascorbic acid (10.25 mg/100 g) then T<sub>9</sub> (2, 4 -D 10 ppm) best in term of total soluble solid (14.80 °Brix).

**Keywords:** PGR, GA<sub>3</sub>, ethrel, 2, 4-D, vegetative growth, quality

### Introduction

Pomegranate is native to Persia (Iran), Afghanistan and Baluchistan (De Candolle, 1967) <sup>[1]</sup>. The fruit is commercially cultivated in countries like Morocco, Pakistan (Baluchistan), Iraq, Iran, China, Japan, Russia and India. Although, pomegranate was adapted to Mediterranean climate, yet it thrives well under hot dry summer with cool winter. However, it is a hardy plant and can also withstand considerable amount of drought. It can tolerate frost to a considerable extent during dormant stage but gets injured at temperature below -11°C (Kaulgud, 2001) <sup>[2]</sup>. It was introduced into Spanish America in the late 16<sup>th</sup> century and California, by Spanish settlers, in 1769. Today, it is widely cultivated throughout the Middle East and Caucasus region, north and tropical Africa, the Indian subcontinent, Central Asia, the drier parts of South East Asia, and parts of the Mediterranean Basin. It is also cultivated in parts of Arizona and California. In recent years, it has become more common in the commercial markets of Europe and the Western Hemisphere.

According to the National Horticulture Board of India there is a undersized increase in the area of pomegranate cultivation in India from 109.83 thousand ha in 2015-16 to 121.09 thousand ha in 2016-17; similarly, the production has increased from 1924.22 thousand tons to 2038.44 thousand tone (NHB database 2016-2017).

Maharashtra is the leading State with 136.75 thousands hectare area under pomegranate cultivation, followed by Karnataka and Gujarat with 28.09 thousand hectare and 18.54 thousand hectare respectively. Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh stood at fourth and fifth position with 7.71 and 9.23 thousand ha of pomegranate cultivation in India.

There is a growing demand for good quality fruits both in the form of fresh and processed products such as juice, syrup, anardana and wine. The rind of the pomegranate fruit contains tannins, which are successfully used in leather industry and pharmaceuticals (Siddappa, 1943) <sup>[8]</sup>. The rind of fruit is also a source of dye which has been used for dyeing the wool and silk (Patil and Karale, 1985) <sup>[4]</sup>. The pomegranate rind is used in the preparation of medicines that has properties of curing intestinal disorders (Salunkhe, *et al.*, 1963) <sup>[9]</sup>. It has high therapeutic values for sickness; indigestion and leprosy cure (Sheikh, 2006) <sup>[10]</sup>.

**Nutritional composition per 100 g edible portion**

**Minerals:** Magnesium 3%, Manganese 5%, Phosphorus 5%, Zinc 3%.

**Vitamins:** Vitamin C 17%, Vitamin K 14%, Thiamin 5.5%, Riboflavin 4%,

**Other:** Energy 4%, Carbohydrate 14%, Protein 3%, Fat 6%, Fiber 11%,

**Materials and Methods**

The present experiment was conducted in Central Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, N. A. I., Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and sciences, Allahabad-211007, (U.P.) during 2017-18. The experiment was tested in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and consisted of 10 treatments namely Treatment combination T<sub>0</sub> Control, T<sub>1</sub> Ethrel (50 ppm), T<sub>2</sub> Ethrel (75ppm), T<sub>3</sub> Ethrel (100 ppm), T<sub>4</sub> GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm), T<sub>5</sub> GA<sub>3</sub> (40 ppm), T<sub>6</sub> GA<sub>3</sub> (60 ppm), T<sub>7</sub> 2, 4-D (5ppm), T<sub>8</sub> 2, 4-D (7.5 ppm), T<sub>9</sub> 2, 4-D (10ppm). Observations are recorded on plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of branches, number of flowers plants<sup>-1</sup>, Days taken to first fruit, number of fruit plant<sup>-1</sup>, Fruit yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (kg), Fruit yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (kg), Weight of fruit (g), Specific gravity, Total soluble solids (<sup>0</sup>Brix), pH of the fruit juice, Total acidity (%), Ascorbic acid (mg/100g of fruits)

**Results and Discussion**

Table -1 and Fig-1 show the growth of pomegranate plant was significantly influenced by GA<sub>3</sub>, Ethrel and 2, 4-D. Maximum plant height (236.66 cm) Pandey (1999) [5], plant spread (198.00 cm), number of branches (9.67) and minimum total acidity (0.37 %) were recorded by the application of T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 60 ppm) followed by treatments comprising of T<sub>3</sub> (Ethrel 100

ppm) where plant height (235.66 cm), plant spread (192.66cm), number of branches (9.33) and total acidity (0.39 %) then maximum number of flower per plant (115.33), minimum days taken to first ripe fruit (169.33), number of fruit per plant (29.33), yield per plant (5.51 kg), Reddy and Prasad (2012), fruit yield per hectare (2204.00 kg), Table -2 and Fig -2 show the maximum fruit weight (188.16 g), Pawar, *et al.* (2005) [6], specific gravity (1.005), pH (4.50), and ascorbic acid (10.25 mg/ 100 g) , were recorded by the application of cent per cent T<sub>3</sub> (Ethrel 100 ppm) followed by treatments comprising of T<sub>6</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 60 ppm) where maximum number of flower per plant (109.00), minimum days taken to first ripe fruit (170.33), number of fruit per plant (28.00), yield per plant (5.15 kg), fruit yield per hectare (2060.00 kg), fruit weight (184.00 g), specific gravity (1.004), pH (4.30), and ascorbic acid (9.90 mg/ 100 g) found T<sub>2</sub> (ethrel 75 ppm) then maximum total soluble solids (T.S.S.) (<sup>0</sup>Brix) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (2, 4-D 10 ppm) with (14.80 <sup>0</sup>Brix), Mohamed (2004) [3], followed by T<sub>8</sub> (2, 4-D 7.5 ppm) with (14.60 <sup>0</sup>Brix).


**Conclusion**

On the basis of results obtained, It is concluded that the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Ethrel 100 ppm) was found to be the best in terms of maximum yield (2204.00 kg) and quality of pomegranate with net return (121255Rs/ha.) and maximum benefit cost ratio (3.90).

Plant growth regulators have become powerful tools to modify several physiological process in plants which are extensively and profitably used in horticultural crops. They are also used for increasing yield and improving quality of fruits to exogenous application of plant growth regulators has been reported by several workers.

**Table 1:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on vegetative growth & yield of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Bhagwa under Allahabad agro climatic condition


| Treatments                             | Plant height | Plant spread | Number of branches | Days taken for first ripe fruit | No. of flower /plant | Fruit/plant | yield/plant (kg) | yield/ha (kg) |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| T <sub>0</sub> Control                 | 179.66       | 146.50       | 7.33               | 190.66                          | 51.66                | 13.00       | 1.40             | 560.00        |
| T <sub>1</sub> Ethrel (50ppm)          | 189.66       | 173.41       | 9.00               | 176.33                          | 82.00                | 20.33       | 3.36             | 1344.00       |
| T <sub>2</sub> Ethrel (75ppm)          | 215.00       | 178.16       | 8.33               | 174.33                          | 87.33                | 22.33       | 3.26             | 1304.00       |
| T <sub>3</sub> Ethrel (100ppm)         | 235.66       | 187.58       | 9.33               | 169.33                          | 115.33               | 29.33       | 5.51             | 2204.00       |
| T <sub>4</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (25ppm) | 220.00       | 183.75       | 9.00               | 174.00                          | 86.00                | 22.00       | 3.28             | 1312.00       |
| T <sub>5</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (40ppm) | 217.50       | 190.00       | 9.33               | 175.66                          | 95.33                | 25.00       | 3.92             | 1568.00       |
| T <sub>6</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (60ppm) | 236.66       | 198.00       | 9.67               | 170.33                          | 109.00               | 28.00       | 5.15             | 2060.00       |
| T <sub>7</sub> 2, 4-D (5ppm)           | 216.33       | 192.66       | 7.67               | 174.00                          | 78.66                | 20.00       | 2.90             | 1160.00       |
| T <sub>8</sub> 2, 4-D (7.5ppm)         | 228.83       | 183.25       | 8.33               | 172.66                          | 73.00                | 20.33       | 2.93             | 1172.00       |
| T <sub>9</sub> 2, 4-D (10ppm)          | 221.33       | 171.71       | 7.67               | 176.00                          | 70.66                | 21.00       | 2.37             | 948.00        |
| F- test                                | S            | S            | S                  | S                               | S                    | S           | S                | S             |
| S. Ed. (±)                             | 2.882        | 3.866        | 0.58               | 1.112                           | 3.653                | 2.145       | 0.423            | 6.964         |
| C. D. (P = 0.05)                       | 5.948        | 7.980        | 1.22               | 2.295                           | 7.539                | 4.427       | 0.874            | 14.375        |



**Fig 1:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on vegetative growth & yield of pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*) cv. Bhagwa

**Table 2:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on physical and chemical properties of pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*) cv. Bhagwa under Allahabad agro climatic condition

| Treatments                             | average fruit weight (gm) | specify gravity | TSS   | pH    | acidity | ascorbic acid | Benefit cost ratio) |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|---------------|---------------------|
| T <sub>0</sub> Control                 | 108.00                    | 0.997           | 10.66 | 3.60  | 0.50    | 8.22          | 1.02                |
| T <sub>1</sub> Ethrel (50ppm)          | 168.50                    | 0.980           | 13.66 | 4.00  | 0.43    | 9.45          | 2.39                |
| T <sub>2</sub> Ethrel (75ppm)          | 146.33                    | 0.970           | 13.83 | 4.16  | 0.42    | 9.90          | 2.31                |
| T <sub>3</sub> Ethrel (100ppm)         | 188.16                    | 1.005           | 14.00 | 4.50  | 0.39    | 10.25         | 3.90                |
| T <sub>4</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (25ppm) | 149.33                    | 0.990           | 12.45 | 4.30  | 0.44    | 9.30          | 2.33                |
| T <sub>5</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (40ppm) | 157.16                    | 0.960           | 13.33 | 3.80  | 0.41    | 8.82          | 2.79                |
| T <sub>6</sub> GA <sub>3</sub> (60ppm) | 184.00                    | 1.004           | 12.33 | 4.25  | 0.37    | 8.96          | 3.66                |
| T <sub>7</sub> 2, 4-D (5ppm)           | 145.00                    | 0.900           | 14.20 | 3.60  | 0.45    | 9.75          | 2.07                |
| T <sub>8</sub> 2, 4-D (7.5ppm)         | 144.33                    | 1.000           | 14.60 | 4.06  | 0.40    | 9.00          | 2.09                |
| T <sub>9</sub> 2, 4-D (10ppm)          | 113.33                    | 0.998           | 14.80 | 3.90  | 0.46    | 8.50          | 1.69                |
| F- test                                | S                         | NS              | S     | NS    | S       | S             |                     |
| S. Ed. (±)                             | 2.369                     | 0.249           | 0.593 | 0.219 | 0.177   | 0.386         |                     |
| C. D. (P = 0.05)                       | 4.890                     | 0.333           | 1.044 | 0.633 | 0.184   | 0.796         |                     |



**Fig 2:** Effect of different plant growth regulators on physical and chemical properties of pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*) cv. Bhagwa

**References**

- De candolle A. Origin of cultivated plants. Hafner Publication Co-operative, New York and London. 1967, 237-240.
- Kaulgud SN. The Pomegranate, In: Chadha, KL., (ed.) Handbook of Horticulture. 2001, 297-304.
- Mohamed AKA. Effect of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and benzyladimine (BA) on splitting and quality of Manfalouty pomegranate fruits. Assiut J. Agril. Sci. 2004; 35:11-21.
- Patil AV, Karale AR. Pomegranate. In: Fruits of India, Tropical and Sub-Tropical. Edited by T. K. Bose. Publication. Naya Prakash, Calcutta, 1985, 537-548.

5. Pandey V. Effect of NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> spray on fruit retention, growth, yield and quality of ber cv. Banarasi Karka. Orissa J. Hort., 1999; 27:69-73.
6. Pawar PS, Jagtap DD, Garad BV, Shirsath HK. Effect of plant growth regulators on maturity, yield and fruit weight of pomegranate cv. Mridula. Adv. Pl. Sci., 2005; 18:167-170.
7. Reddy P, Prasad D. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit characters and yield of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Ganesh International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2012, 93.
8. Siddappa GS. Pomegranate juice, Indian Fmg. 1943; 4(5):196-198.
9. Salunkhe DK, Moser FY, Call AB. New pomegranate facts. Utah Farmer, 1963; 82(2):9-12.
10. Sheikh MK. The Pomegranate. International Book Distributing Co-operative. U.P. Lucknow, 2006, 1-2.