

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 3397-3399 © 2018 IJCS Received: 28-03-2018 Accepted: 30-04-2018

## **RS Bhamare**

M.Sc. (Agri) student, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

### **DD** Sawale

Assistant Professor, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

### **PB** Jagtap

Associate Professor, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

#### **BD** Tamboli

Professor, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

#### Mangal Kadam

Assistant Professor, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

## Correspondence RS Bhamare

M.Sc. (Agri) student, Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

# Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of French bean in iron and zinc deficient inceptisol soil

# RS Bhamare, DD Sawale, PB Jagtap, BD Tamboli and Mangal Kadam

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at National Agriculture Research Project, Ganeshkhind, Pune (M. S.) on french bean (*cv.* Phule Suyash) during *kharif* 2017 to study the effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of french bean on iron and zinc deficient inceptisol with eleven treatments and three replications. Among the various treatments the application of GRDF + soil application of FeSO4 @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO4 @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased yield contributing parameters *viz.* number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of green pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, green pod weight, green pod length, green pod breadth. The green pod yield were increased due to application of GRDF + soil application of FeSO4 @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO4 @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was closely followed by GRDF + three foliar sprays of each chelated iron + chelated zinc @ 0.2 % at 25, 40 and 55 days after sowing. The chlorophyll and protein content was also increased as that of yield due to application of GRDF + soil application of FeSO4 @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO4 @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by GRDF + three foliar sprays of each chelated zinc @ 0.2 % at 25, 40 and 55 days after sowing.

Keywords: French bean, iron, zinc, yield, inceptisol

## Introduction

French bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is a member of family Leguminaceae which is selfpollinated annual plant (Cobley et al., 1976)<sup>[5]</sup>. It is a short season crop having a range 65-110 days from emergence to physiological maturity (Buruchara, 2007)<sup>[4]</sup>. French bean is good source of energy, it contains high protein content, dietary fiber, complex carbohydrates and also provides folic acid (Edje et al., 1980)<sup>[7]</sup>. Micronutrient deficiency of iron and zinc is increasing in most of the annual crops because of intensive cropping systems, use of modern high yielding cultivars, loss of topsoil organic matter content by erosion, burning crop residues and use of inadequate rates of micronutrients in most cropping systems. Iron is an essential nutrient element for plant growth and development and is involved in chlorophyll and thylakoid synthesis and chloroplast development. Micronutrients, such as zinc (Zn) and iron (Fe) play an important role in human growth, development and maintenance of the immune system (Shenkin, 2006) <sup>[16]</sup>. Iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) are most important micronutrients and approximately 2 billion people suffer from Fe and Zn deficiency worldwide, which has often been claimed to be the predominant cause of anemia (Welch and Graham, 1999) <sup>[17]</sup>. Zinc application increased the grain yield of pea owing to its influence on auxin synthesis, nodulation status and N fixation which promoted plant growth and development, thereby influenced the grain yield favorably (Kasthurikrishna and Ahlawat, 2000)<sup>[11]</sup>.

The demand for increasing pulses production will require a thorough knowledge on the relationship between nutrients and crop growth. The available information regarding the impact of micronutrients on pulse crops is scanty. Based on this background, the present study was undertaken to study the influence of iron and zinc on growth and yield of french bean.

## **Material and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted at National Agriculture Research Project, Ganeshkhind, Pune on french bean during *kharif* 2017 to test the effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of french bean on iron and zinc deficient Inceptisol with eleven treatments. The treatments comprised of T<sub>1</sub>: Absolute control, T<sub>2</sub>: GRDF + water spray, T<sub>3</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.1%), T<sub>4</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2%), T<sub>5</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.1%), T<sub>6</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2%), T<sub>7</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.1%) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.1 %), T<sub>8</sub>: GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2 %) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2%), T<sub>9</sub>: GRDF + soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>10</sub>: GRDF + soil application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and T<sub>11</sub>: GRDF + soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The GRDF i.e. general recommended dose of fertilizers of N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O (50:110:110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + FYM were applied to French bean.

Soil sample was collected before sowing of french bean and analyzed as per standard methods (Jackson, 1973)<sup>[9]</sup>. The experimental soil was clay loam in texture had a pH (7.3) slightly alkaline in reaction, low in soluble salts (EC 0.27 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), medium in organic carbon (0.67%), low in available N (188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in available P (31.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available K (318 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The soil was deficient in iron (4.17 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and zinc (0.51 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) content. The data on growth parameters, yield contributing characters and green pod yield were recorded at harvest stage. The data on various parameters recorded during the period of investigation were

tabulated and statistically analyzed (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967)<sup>[13]</sup>.

# **Results and discussion**

# Growth parameters

# Days to 50 per cent flowering

Data pertaining to days to 50 per cent flowering are presented in Table 1. The results revealed that there were no significant differences obtained on days to 50 per cent flowering recorded due to different treatments (Table 1).

# Number of primary branches

Data pertaining to the number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> are presented in Table 1. The results revealed that treatment  $T_{11}$ i.e. GRDF + soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher number of branches (6.30) which was closely followed by treatment  $T_8$ i.e. GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2 %) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2%). The results are in conformity with the findings of Nagaraju and Yadahalli (1996)<sup>[12]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Effect of iron and zinc application on growth parameters of french bean

Treat. No.	Treatments	Days to 50% flowering	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>
T1	Absolute control	31.67	3.20
T <sub>2</sub>	GRDF + water spray	31.67	3.50
T <sub>3</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.1%).	31.67	4.83
$T_4$	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2%).	31.33	4.70
T5	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.1%).	31.67	4.27
T <sub>6</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2 %)	31.33	4.57
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron $(0.1 \%)$ + foliar spray of chelated zinc $(0.1 \%)$	31.00	5.50
T <sub>8</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2 %) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2%)	31.00	6.23
<b>T</b> 9	GRDF + soil application of FeSO <sub>4</sub> @15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	31.67	5.13
T <sub>10</sub>	GRDF + soil application of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	31.00	4.53
T <sub>11</sub>	GRDF + soil application of FeSO <sub>4</sub> @15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	31.00	6.30
	SE <u>+</u>	0.26	0.30
	CD (0.05)	NS	0.89

## Yield contributing parameters Number of green pods plant<sup>-1</sup>

The results revealed that application of Fe and Zn either alone or combination through foliar or soil significantly influenced number of green pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in french bean (Table 2). The soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with GRDF recorded significantly higher number of green pods (22.07) plant<sup>-1</sup> and it was at par with foliar spray of each chelated Fe and chelated Zn @ 0.2 %. While it was lowest in absolute control treatment (15.27). Increased in pods plant<sup>-1</sup> might be due to increase in plant height and number of branches as zinc as a component of carbonic unhydrase as well as several dehydrogenase and auxin production which in turn enhanced the growth. However, Fe is necessary for biosynthesis of chlorophyll and cytochrome leading to increase in plant height and number of branches (Prasad *et al.*, 1984, Jana and Jahangir, 1987)<sup>[15, 10]</sup>.

# Green pod length

The green pod length was significantly influenced due to application of iron and zinc either through foliar or soil application along with GRDF (Table 2). The soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with GRDF recorded significantly higher green pod length (13.20 cm) and closely followed by application of each chelated iron and chelated zinc @ 0.2% foliar spray (13 cm). Both the treatments were at par with each other and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. While lowest green pod length (8.20 cm) was recorded in absolute control treatment.

The improvement in pod length could be attributed to combined effect of iron and zinc either through soil or foliar application. The beneficial effects of iron and zinc in cowpea crop were also reported by Anitha *et al.* (2005)<sup>[3]</sup> and Patel *et al.* (2008)<sup>[14]</sup>.

# Green pod breadth

The results showed that soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with GRDF recorded significantly higher green pod breadth (1.13 cm). It was closely followed by foliar application of each chelated iron and chelated zinc @ 0.2% along with GRDF (Table 2). Both the treatments were significantly superior over rest of treatments and at par with each other. This might be due to role of zinc in production of biomass and that of iron is necessary to chlorophyll synthesis and many essential roles in plant growth and development (Abdollahi *et al.*, 2010)<sup>[2]</sup>.

# Green pod weight

Among the various treatments the soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with GRDF recorded significantly higher green pod weight (8.03 g) which was on par with foliar application of each chelated iron and chelated zinc @ 0.2% along with GRDF (Table 2). Both the treatments were significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Similar results were also reported by Abd-El-Lateef *et al.* (1998)<sup>[1]</sup> and El-Tohamy and El-Greadly (2007)<sup>[8]</sup> in mung bean and snap bean respectively.

Tr. No.	Treatments	Number of green pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Green pod length (cm)	Green pod breadth (cm)	Green pod weight (g)	Green pod yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$T_1$	Absolute control	15.27	8.20	0.99	6.17	119.51
$T_2$	GRDF + water spray	16.67	8.73	1.01	6.27	168.17
T3	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.1%).	16.63	9.27	1.03	6.47	177.79
T <sub>4</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2%).	19.07	10.60	1.06	7.17	180.17
T5	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.1%).	18.03	9.77	1.04	6.67	178.49
T <sub>6</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2 %)	19.40	10.97	1.08	7.43	181.41
<b>T</b> 7	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.1 %) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.1 %)	20.67	12.17	1.07	7.67	183.18
<b>T</b> <sub>8</sub>	GRDF + foliar spray of chelated iron (0.2 %) + foliar spray of chelated zinc (0.2%)	21.63	13.00	1.12	7.93	189.28
T9	GRDF + soil application of FeSO <sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	18.67	10.10	1.06	6.87	178.90
T <sub>10</sub>	GRDF + soil application of ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	19.90	11.43	1.07	7.43	181.79
T <sub>11</sub>	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{GRDF} + \text{soil application of FeSO}_4 @ 15 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} + \text{ZnSO}_4 \\ @ 10 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \end{array} $	22.07	13.20	1.13	8.03	189.89
SE <u>+</u>		0.18	0.09	0.004	0.04	0.26
CD (0.05)		0.53	0.26	0.01	0.12	0.76

Table 2: Effect of iron and zinc application on yield contributing parameters of french bean

# Green pod yield

The green pod yield of french bean was significantly influenced by iron and zinc application either through soil or foliar application is presented in Table 2. The results revealed that the soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with GRDF recorded significantly higher green pod yield (189.89 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and closely followed by foliar application of each chelated iron and chelated zinc @ 0.2% along with GRDF.

## Conclusion

From the results it concluded that either the application of GRDF + soil application of FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or three foliar sprays of each chelated iron + chelated zinc @ 0.2 % at 25, 40 and 55 days after sowing is beneficial to increase in growth and yield of french bean.

# References

- 1. Abd-El-Lateef EM, Ashour NI, Farrag AA. Effect of foliar spray with urea and some micronutrients on mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) growth, yield and seed chemical composition. Bulletin of the National Research Center, Cairo. 1998; 23:219-232.
- Abdollahi M, Eshghi S, Tafazoli E. Interaction of Paclobutrazol, Boron and Zinc on Vegetative Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × Ananassa Duch. cv. Selva). Journal Biology Environmental Science. 2010; 4:67-75.
- 3. Anitha S, Sreenivan E, Purushothaman SM. Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) to foliar nutrition of zinc and iron in the oxisols of Kerala. *Legume Research* 2005; 28:294-296.
- Buruchara R. Background information on Common Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) in Biotechnology, Breeding & Seed Systems for African Crops. Nairobi, Kenya: The Rockfeller Foundation, 2007.
- Cobley LS, Steele WM. An introduction to the botany of tropical crops, Longman group Limited, London. Current status and policy issues. A Master of Science Thesis, Department of Agricultural economics, Michigan State University, USA, 1976.
- Dongre SM, Mahorkar VK, Joshi PS, Deo DD. Effect of micro-nutrients spray on yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) var. Jayanti. Agriculture Science. Digest. 2000; 20:106-107.

- 7. Edje OT, Mughogho LK, Rao YP, Msuku WAB. Bean production in Malawi. In potential for field beans in Eastern Africa. Proceedings of a Regional Workshop held in Lilongwe, Malawi, 1980, 9-14.
- 8. El-Tohamy WA, El-Greadly NHM. Physiological responses, growth, yield and quality of snap beans in response to foliar application of yeast, vitamin E and zinc under sandy soil conditions. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2007; 1:294-299.
- 9. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973, 69-182.
- Jana BK, Jahangir K. Influence of micronutrients on growth and yield of french bean *cv*. Contender under polyhouse conditions. *Vegetable Science*. 1987; 14:124-127.
- 11. Kasturikrishna S, Ahlawat IPS. Effect of moisture stress and phosphorus, sulphur and zinc fertilizer on growth and development of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000; 45:353-356.
- 12. Nagaraju AP, Yadahalli. Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to sources and levels of phosphorus and zinc. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1996; 41:88-90.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for a Agricultural Workers. ICAER, New Delhi, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. 1967, 99.
- 14. Patel MM, Patel IC, Patel PH, Patel AG, Acharya S, Tikka SBS. Impact of foliar nutrition of zinc and iron on the productivity of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) under rainfed condition. In National Symposium on Enhancing Productivity, Nutritional Security and Export Potential through Arid Legumes. 28-30 June, CAZRI, Jodhpur, 2008.
- 15. Prasad B, Singh AP, Sinha MK. Effect of poultry manure as a source of zinc, iron and as complexing agents on Zn and Fe availability and crop field in calcareous soil. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 1984; 32:519-521.
- 16. Shenkin A. The key role of micronutrients. Clinical Nutrition. 2006; 25:1-13.
- 17. Welch RM, Graham RD. A new paradigm for world agriculture: meeting human needs: Productive, sustainable, nutritious. Field Crops and Research. 1999; 60:1-10.