# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(3): 3573-3578 © 2018 IJCS Received: 05-03-2018 Accepted: 08-04-2018

#### Jyoti Pawar

Department of Agronomy College of Agriculture G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar Uttarakhand, India

#### Richa Khanna

Department of Agronomy College of Agriculture G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar Uttarakhand, India

#### Correspondence Jyoti Pawar Department of Agronomy College of Agriculture G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar Uttarakhand, India

# More crop per drop: Ways to increase water use efficiency for crop production: A review

# Jyoti Pawar and Richa Khanna

#### Abstract

Water is the most crucial input for agricultural production. Vagaries of monsoon and declining water table due to over exploitation of water have resulted in shortage of fresh water supply for agricultural use, which calls for an efficient use of this precious resource. In the background of shrinking water resources and competition from other sectors, the share of water allocated to irrigation is likely to decrease by 10 to 15 per cent in the next two decades. Thus, producing more with less is the only option. One of the ways of alleviating water scarcity is by enhancing its use efficiency or productivity. Strategies for efficient management of water for agricultural use involves reduction in water losses in conveyance and distribution system through periodic maintenance, applying the right quantity at right time, participation of farmers in water management, right cultivation techniques and irrigation practices including increased use of water saving devices like sprinkler and drip, precision levelling, provision of proper drainage channels, conjunctive use of surface and ground waters and moisture conservation practices. In this paper, we have discussed various ways of enhancing use efficiency and productivity of water in agricultural production system. These include: better utilization of stored soil moisture by adjusting time and method of sowing, improved planting patterns reducing evaporation loss of soil moisture by mulching, intercropping, supplemental and deficit irrigation provided to crops at critical growth stages, removal of nutrient constraints by supplying optimum fertilizer inputs and improved irrigation methods like sprinkler and drip irrigation.

Keywords: Water use efficiency, crop management practices, crop production, irrigation water

#### Introduction

Water plays an important role in agricultural development under rainfed condition. Continuous population growth and the predicted impacts of climate change, including shifts in precipitation and glacier melt, makes the water challenge greater. In the background of shrinking water resources and competition from other sectors, the share of water allocated to irrigation is likely to decrease by 10 to 15 per cent in the next two decades. As of now irrigation sector consumes about 83% of the total water use which may reduce to about 72% by 2025 (Mo WR, 2014)<sup>[19]</sup>.

#### The concept of Water Use Efficiency (WUE)

In general term efficiency is used to quantify the relative output obtainable from a given input. So, water use efficiency is output obtained by inputting the known amount of water in general terms. Water use efficiency is an important physiological characteristic that is related to the ability of crop to cope with water stress. In simple terms it is characterized by crop yield per unit of water used. WUE can be defined as biomass produced per unit area per unit water evapo-transpired. WUE is expressed in equation as follows:

#### WUE = Y/ET

Where, WUE = water use efficiency (kg/ha mm of water) Y = marketable yield (kg/ha) ET = evapo-transpiration (mm)

#### Enhancing the water use efficiency 1. Selection of crop

It should be done on the basis of availability of water under rainfed crops. WUE of different

crop varies differently because of many reasons, like C4 plants are more water efficient as compared to C3 plants as they lack photorespiration and have various adaptive mechanisms to water scarcity condition, apart from this climate, soil and crop characteristics are also responsible for variation in water use efficiency of different crops.

CROP	WUE (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Rice	0.30-0.54
Wheat	0.58-2.25
Maize	0.49-1.63
Chickpea	0.40-4.02
Mustard	0.41-0.98
Sugarcane	3.25-7.83
Cotton	0.17-0.40

Source: Yadav et al. (2000) [46]

## 2. Varieties

The yields and water use efficiency of cultivars/hybrids of crops differs significantly. Those varieties/hybrids which have ability to produce more yield than the water used should be grown under the limited water areas to increase the water productivity per unit area. Shivani *et al.* (2001, 2003) <sup>[38, 37]</sup> and Behera *et al.* (2002) <sup>[4]</sup> reported that wheat cultivars HUW 234 and Lok 1 had higher water use efficiency. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (2004) <sup>[43]</sup> in chickpea for genotype Avarodhi, Awasthi *et al.* (2007) <sup>[2]</sup> and Panda *et al.* (2004) <sup>[21]</sup> reported that Indian mustard varieties such as Vaibhav and SEJ 2, Kumar *et al.* (2003) <sup>[16]</sup> and Rathore *et al.* (2008) <sup>[34]</sup> in pearl millet hybrid HHB 67-2,

HHB 94 and HHB 117, Hooda *et al.* (1999) <sup>[9]</sup> in field pea variety HFP-8712 and Patel *et al.* (2008) <sup>[25]</sup> in cowpea variety GC 4, respectively.

# 3. Time of Planting and tillage practices

Time of sowing is a non-monitory input which is not only ensures the higher yields but also optimum utilization of the applied resources. One of the main reason for choosing the optimum dates for sowing is to ensure good germination by placing the seed in the optimum moisture zone (Singh et al. 2013a) <sup>[39]</sup> Choice of crop cultivar is also a vital production input as all the cultivars of wheat cannot perform equally well under timely and late sown condition (Singh et al. 1998)<sup>[42]</sup>. In another field experiment highest grain yield, WUE and net productivity of used water was recorded under early sowing with minimum tillage (table 2). Gulati and Nayak (2002)<sup>[8]</sup> conducted a field experiment at Orissa having treatment combinations of 4 irrigation levels and 6 dates of planting. Cane yield and water requirement were maximum at 1.2 IW/CPE treatment but water use efficiency was recorded maximum at 0.6 IW/CPE. In planting dates, October planting recorded the maximum cane yield, water requirement and WUE over delayed planting. Hence, during dry season when water is not available, particularly in tail reach, early sowing of crops with minimum tillage can increase the water productivity by utilising residual soil moisture.

Tillage modifies hydrological properties of the soil and influence the root growth, canopy development of crops, water extraction pattern and transport of water and solutes. Conservation tillage practice stores more plant available moisture than the conventional tillage practices.

Table 2: Grain Yield, Evapotranspiration, WUE and Net Water Productivity in Horse Gram under different sowing time and tillage practices

Treatment	Grain Yield (kg/ha)	Total ET (mm)	WUE (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	Net Productivity of water (Rs m <sup>-3</sup> )
Early sowing <sup>1</sup> with minimum tillage	1290	241.3	0.60	4.85
Late sowing <sup>2</sup> with minimum tillage	1060	182.8	0.53	4.30
Paira cropping <sup>3</sup> without tillage	750	188.6	0.40	2.93
CD (P=0.05)	130	21.4	0.06	0.37

<sup>1</sup> on 15th October, <sup>2</sup> on 1st November, <sup>3</sup> on 15th October, 10 days before rice harvest **Source**: Singh *et al.* (2008) <sup>[44]</sup>, Orissa

# 4. Intercropping

Intercropping systems are generally recommended for rainfed crops to get stable yields. The total water used in intercropping system is almost the same as for sole crops, but yields are increased, thus water use efficiency is higher than sole crops (Singh *et al.* 2013b) <sup>[41]</sup>. Parihar *et al.* (1999) <sup>[24]</sup> and Singh *et al.* (2004) <sup>[43]</sup> reported that rice-coriander-maize + cowpea (F) and rice-lentil-maize + cowpea (F) and had the lowest water use resulted in highest water use efficiency in flood prone and semi-deep water situation, respectively. A field experiment was conducted by Bharti *et al.* 2007 <sup>[5]</sup>

during winter season of 2002-03 and 2003-04 at Pusa in Bihar to study the effect of inter cropping system. Among the treatments maximum water use efficiency (on the basis of maize equivalent yield) was obtained with maize + potato (Table 3). Tetarwal and Rana (2006) <sup>[45]</sup> and Kumar and Rana (2007) <sup>[15]</sup> reported that one row of moth bean in paired row of pearl millet + and one row of green gram between paired rows of pigeonpea recorded higher water use efficiency over sole crop, respectively. This might be due to higher grain yields of both the crops than the amount of water used for biomass production.

Table 3: Effect of intercropping system on yield and water use efficiency of winter maize

Treatment	Water requirement(cm)	Maize equivalent yield (q/ha)	WUE (kg/ha-cm)
Sole maize	56.9	55.1	213.7
Maize+ potato	51.0	123.5	526.2
Maize + rajmash	50.6	83.8	352.8
Maize + toria	50.9	57.7	247.3
CD (P=0.05)	0.3	7.4	31.0

Source: Bharti *et al.* (2007) <sup>[5]</sup>

## **Planting techniques/methods**

Another agronomic method for increasing water use efficiency is to follow proper planting techniques/methods. Broad bed and furrows (BBF) are formed for rainy season crops. For some crops like maize, vegetables etc. the field has to be laid out into ridges and furrows. Sugarcane is planted in the furrows or trenches. Crops like tobacco, tomato, chillies are planted with equal inter and intra-row spacing so as to facilitate two-way inter-cultivation (Singh et al. 2012)<sup>[40]</sup>. Planting crop on raised beds is a practice for increasing water use efficiency. The crop is sown with drill or planted on beds and water is applied in furrows. The comparable or higher yields are obtained with saving of about 25-30 percent of water. This had been practiced in different crops like wheat, sarson, soybean and rice. Jat and Gautam (2001) [11] reported that sowing of bajra in ridges and furrows (45 cm apart) resulted in higher seed yield as compared to paired row sowing and uniform row sowing (45 cm). However, Ghadage et al. (2005) <sup>[6]</sup> reported that the water use efficiency of cotton was more in paired row planting (90 cm x 105 cm) because this method consumed less water than the water used by normal planting method (120 cm x 90 cm). Gill et al. (2006) <sup>[7]</sup> reported that better water use efficiency and water productivity were observed in direct seeded rice. Ridge and furrow sowing also resulted in maximum water use efficiency. Kaur (2006) <sup>[13]</sup> reported that water use efficiency of wheat planted on beds was highest followed by conventional and zero tillage. Similar results reported by Ali and Ehsanullah (2007)<sup>[1]</sup> in cotton, Zhang et al. (2007)<sup>[47]</sup> in winter wheat, Idnani and Gautam (2008) <sup>[10]</sup> in summer greengram and Mahey et al. (2008) <sup>[10]</sup> in soybean. In an experiment maximum chickpea grain yield was recorded under raised bed planting which was significantly higher by 16.8% and 15.9% over flatbed technique, during 2005-06 and 2006-07 (Pramanik et al. 2009) [26] (Table 4).

 
 Table 4: Yield and water use efficiency of Chickpea as influenced by planting techniques

Planting	Grain yield (t/ha)		WUE (kg/ha-mm)	
techniques	2005-06	2006-07	2005-06	2006-07
Flat bed	1.84	2.01	10.27	9.72
Raised bed	2.15	2.33	12.06	11.33
CD(P=0.05)	0.11	0.16		

Source: Pramanik et al. (2009) <sup>[26]</sup>, U.P.

#### 6. Irrigation Scheduling

Under adequate water availability the main emphasis is on securing potential yield of the crops without wasting water. Whereas, under limited water supply, the objective is to achieve maximum WUE. Nadeem et al. (2007)<sup>[20]</sup> reported that maximum water use efficiency of wheat was recorded at IW: CPE ratio 1.25, which was statistically on a par with that at IW: CPE ratio 1.0. The increase in water use efficiency with increase in irrigation level might be due to greater grain vield. Kibe and Singh (2003) <sup>[14]</sup> reported that water use efficiency of wheat was the maximum with 2 irrigations given at crown root initiation stage and flowering stages in the first season and with one irrigation given at crown root initiation stage in the second season, followed by no post-sowing treatment. Reddy et al. (2008) [35] reported that higher water use efficiency of pigeon pea was recorded with 0.3 IW: CPE as compared to 0.6 and 0.9 IW: CPE ratio. Maintenance of favourable moisture and absence of water logging were the critical factors for higher yield in rabi pigeonpea (Kantwa et al. 2005) <sup>[12]</sup>. Bharati et al. (2007) <sup>[5]</sup> reported that water use efficiency of maize was the highest with the application of irrigation at 0.6 IW: CPE ratio as compared to 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 IW: CPE ratio. Idnani and Gautam (2008) <sup>[10]</sup> reported that irrigation at 80 mm cumulative pan evaporation recorded the highest consumptive use of water and rate of water use and irrigation at 200 mm cumulative pan evaporation resulted in the highest water use efficiency and the lowest consumptive use of water and rate of water use of green gram. Deficit irrigation is an optimizing strategy under which crops are deliberately allowed to sustain some degree of water deficit and yield reduction. The proper application of deficit irrigation practices can generate significant savings in irrigation water allocation and crops like cotton is well suited for deficit irrigation. Rao *et al.* (2016a) <sup>[29]</sup> reported that irrigation in cotton with drip irrigation at 0.8 ETC had significant benefits in terms of saved irrigation water without reducing yield (table 5).

<b>Table 5:</b> Effect of deficit irrigation on yield and water productivity
of cotton

Deficit irrigation	Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)	Water Productivity (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
1.0 ET <sub>C</sub>	2482	0.40
0.8 ETc	2393	0.41
0.6 ET <sub>C</sub>	1884	0.38
CD (P=0.05)	92	0.02

Source: Rao et al. (2016a)<sup>[29]</sup>, Rajasthan

# 7. Moisture conservation practices

Moisture conservation practices have been widely practiced as a mean of improving yields in water limited environment. Raskar and Bhoi (2003) [32] reported that the water use efficiency of groundnut was higher with use of plastic film mulch with kaolin and was lowest in the control. It could be due to the reduction in the evapotranspiration with plastic film mulch and kaolin spray. Ghadage et al. (2005) [6] reported that the water use efficiency of cotton was more under the plastic film mulch due to the lowest water consumed by the crop under plastic film mulch. Rajput and Singh (1970) <sup>[27]</sup> reported saving of water by mulches. Kumar and Rana (2007) <sup>[15]</sup> reported that application of soil mulch +FYM 5 t/ha + Kaolin 6% spray was found the best moisture conservation practice by recording the maximum values of pigeon peaequivalent yield (pigeonpea + green gram), nutrient uptake and water use efficiency. In another study, Pandey et al. (1988) [22] reported that on rainfed land, straw mulch, presowing seed treatment with KNO<sub>3</sub> and kaolin spray on pearl millet (BK 560-230) greatly increased the grain yield (0.83, 0.74 and 0.49 t/ha), respectively and water use efficiency (2.25, 1.80 and 1.34 kg grain/ha/mm, respectively) compared with the untreated control (Table 6). Rashidi et al. (2009) [31] also reported that black plastic mulch has pronounced effect in increasing yield and yield components in tomato in timely and late planted crop in comparison to tomatoes grown without mulch.

**Table 6:** Consumptive water use and water use efficiency as

 influenced by mulch and transpiration suppressants in pearl millet

Mulch and transpiration	Consumptive use	WUE (kg/ha-
suppressant	( <b>mm</b> )	mm)
Untreated control	333	5.45
Straw mulch	316	7.45
Seed treatment with KNO3	323	7.00
Borax spray	327	5.92
Kaolin spray	320	6.55
Atrazine spray	325	6.00

Source: Pandey et al. 1988<sup>[22]</sup>

# 8. Irrigation Method

Efficient micro-irrigation methods like sprinkler and drip irrigation for utilization of available water in case of scarce in lean season developed mainly for high value horticultural and plantation crops could save up to 50 per cent of water and also increase the crop yield and quality substantially. To meet the ever-increasing demand of food with decreasing fresh water availability to agriculture, crops must produce more with less water. The use of pressurized irrigation technology could increase water-use efficiency and reduce cost. Results revealed that pressurized irrigation system i.e. MS, DS and their combination with check basin method resulted in significantly higher seed yield, production efficiency and B: C ratio as compared with check basic alone (table 7). SWI with drip emitters spaced at 30 cm is a promising adaptation for reducing wheat crop's demand for water and energy (Rao et al. 2016b) <sup>[30]</sup>. Santosh Kumari, 2012 <sup>[17]</sup> reported that drip irrigation along with black polyethylene mulch may prove a viable tool for source sink alteration, early stolon initiation for obtaining maximum yield with 50% saving of irrigation water in potato. Bandyopadhyay et al. (2010) [3] reported that when 20 cm irrigation was supplied up to flowering stage or 14 cm irrigation was supplied up to tillering stage, through sprinkler method in 4 and 3 splits, respectively at critical growth stages, it resulted in higher grain yield and WUE of wheat in a Vertisol than that in flood irrigation method.

 Table 7: Effect of irrigation methods on Seed Yield and Water use efficiency (WUE) of Indian mustard

Irrigation system	Seed yield (t/ha)	WUE (kg/ha-mm)
Check Basin	1.51	12.7
Drip System	1.79	29.8
Micro Sprinkler	1.87	31.3
Micro Sprinkler + Check Basin	1.88	21.9
Drip System + Check Basin	1.68	19.8
CD (P=0.05)	0.21	2.1

Source: Rathore *et al.* (2014) <sup>[34]</sup>

# 9. Fertilization

Fertilizer use can also have a very marked effect on crop yield and water use efficiency. Nitrogen, phosphorus, combination of chemical fertilizer with organic fertilizer or chemical fertilizer with bio fertilizer has been shown to increase growth and development in both dry and irrigated areas. Kumar et al. (2003) <sup>[16]</sup> reported that increasing levels of N from 0 to 150 kg/ha application markedly improved the water use efficiency of pearl millet. Tetarwal and Rana (2006)<sup>[45]</sup> reported that the highest water use efficiency, consumptive use and rate of moisture use were recorded with 80 kg N + 40 kg P2O5/ha, followed by 40 kg N + 20 kg P2O5/ha and the control. It might be due to that increase in pearl millet-equivalent yield was more than the corresponding increase in consumptive use of water due to fertility level. Behera et al. (2002) [4] reported that fertilizing the cotton crop at 160 kg N/ha recorded significantly higher water use efficiency than lower levels of nitrogen, 120 and 80 kg/ha. It might be due to higher seed cotton yield obtained under higher nitrogen level. Kibe and Singh (2003) <sup>[14]</sup> reported that water use efficiency of wheat was increased with addition of N fertilizer to a maximum with 100 kg N/ha (table 8). Singh et al. (2004) [43] reported that application of 40 kg S/ha to chickpea resulted in higher water use efficiency than no sulphur and 20 kg S/ha. Parihar (2004) <sup>[23]</sup> reported that the highest water use efficiency of rice was recorded with 120 kg N/ha which was 16.77% higher than 80 kg N/ha. Sarma et al. (2005) [36] reported the maximum water use efficiency of wheat with application of 187.5 kg N + 10 tFYM/ha + Azotobacter. However, Ramakrishna et al. (2007) <sup>[28]</sup> reported that maximum water use efficiency and field water use efficiency of rice with 150 per cent N of recommended fertilizer dose (25 per cent substituted by FYM) Kumar and Rana (2007)<sup>[15]</sup> reported that application of

40 kg P2O5/ha + 25 kg S/ha + phosphate-stabilizing bacteria (PSB) recorded the maximum values of pigeonpea-equivalent yield, nutrient uptake, water use efficiency and net returns.

Table 8: Water use efficiency as influenced by nitrogen levels

Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Water use efficiency (kg grain/m <sup>3</sup> water used)		
	1999-2000	2000-2001	
0	1.09	1.12	
50	1.30	1.35	
100	1.46	1.52	

Source: Kibe and Singh, 2003 [14]

## Conclusion

To meet ever increasing demand for food with decreasing fresh water availability to agriculture, crop must produce more with less water. The main challenge confronting both rainfed and irrigated agriculture is to improve productivity or use efficiency of water and sustainable water use for agriculture. This can be achieved through (i) an increase in crop water productivity (an increased marketable crop yield per unit of water taken up by crop), (ii) a decrease in water outflows from the crop root zone other than that required by plants, (iii) an increase in soil water storage within the crop root zone through better soil and water management practices at farm and catchment scales, and (iv) reallocating water from low to high priority uses. Adoption of novel irrigation technologies for crop production and multi-uses of water with introduction of fishery, dairy and other enterprises in the farming can further enhance productivity and use efficiency of water in agriculture. Besides technological advancement, favourable public policy to create conducive socio-economic environment is required for enhancing water productivity in the agricultural sector of our country.

# References

- 1. Ali Ehsanullah L. Water use efficiency of different planting methods in cotton. J of Agril. Res. 2007; 45:299-306.
- 2. Awasthi UD, Singh RB, Dubey SD. Effect of sowing date and moisture conservation practice on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties. Indian J Agron. 2007; 52(2):151-153.
- Bandyopadhyay KK, Misra AK, Ghosh PK, Hati KM, Mandal KG, Mohanty M. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen application methods on input use efficiency of wheat under limited water supply in a Vertisol of Central India. Irrigation Sci. 2010; 28:285-299.
- 4. Behera UK, Ruwali KN, Verma PK, Pandey HN. Productivity and water use efficiency of macaroni (*Triticum durum*) and bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under varying irrigation levels and schedules in the vertisols of central India. Indian J. Agron. 2002; 47(4):518-525.
- 5. Bharthi V, Nandan R, Kumar V, Pandey IB. Effect of irrigation levels on yield, water-use efficiency and economics of winter maize (*Zea mays*)-based intercropping systems. Indian J Agro. 2007; 52(1):27-30.
- Ghadage HL, Pawar VS, Gaikward CB. Influence of planting patterns, irrigation techniques and mulches on growth, yield, water use and economics of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) under irrigated conditions of Western Maharashtra. Indian J Agron. 2005; 50(2):159-161.
- 7. Gill MS, Kumar P, Kumar A. Growth and yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) as influenced by seeding

technique and seed rate under irrigated conditions. Indian J Agron. 2006; 51(4):283-287.

- 8. Gulati JML, Nayak BC. Growth, cane yield and wateruse efficiency of sugarcane as influenced by irrigation and planting dates. Indian J Agron. 2002; 47(1):114-119.
- 9. Hooda RS, Dahiya DR, Phogat SB. Effect of irrigation and row spacings on water use and radiation characteristics in fieldpea genotypes. Haryana J Agron. 1999; 15(1):63-68.
- 10. Idnani LK, Gautam HK. Water economization in summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* var radiata) as influenced by irrigation regimes and configurations. Indian J Agri Sci. 2008; 78(3):214-219.
- 11. Jat ML, Gautam RC. Productivity and water use of rain fed pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) as influenced by summer ploughing and *in-situ* moisture conservation practices under semi-arid conditions of northwest India. Indian J Agron. 2001; 46(2):266-272.
- 12. Kantwa SR, Ahlawat IPS, Gangaiah B. Effect of land configuration, post-monsoon irrigation and phosphorus on performance of sole and intercropped pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan L.*) Indian J Agron. 2005; 50:278-280.
- 13. Kaur Rajneet. Response of zero-till and bed planted wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to varying irrigation schedules. M.Sc. thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, 2006.
- Kibe AM, Singh Subedar. Influence of irrigation, nitrogen and zinc on productivity and water use by late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian J Agron. 2003; 48(3):186-191.
- 15. Kumar A, Rana KS. Performance of pigeonpea (*Cajanus Cajan*) + greengram (*Phaseolus radiates*) intercropping system as influenced by moisture conservation practice and fertility level under rainfed conditions. Indian J Agron. 2007; 52(1):31-35.
- Kumar M, Singh H, Hooda RS, Khippal A, Singh T. Grain yield, water use and water use efficiency of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) hybrids under variable nitrogen application. Indian J Agron. 2003; 48(1):53-55.
- 17. Kumari S. Influence of Drip Irrigation and Mulch on Leaf Area Maximization, Water Use Efficiency and Yield of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J of Agril. Sci, 2012, 4(1).
- Mahey RK, Kaur M, Vashist KK, Mahal SS, Deol KS, Sidhu AS. Effect of planting techniques and seed rate on soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril) and irrigation water saving. Indian J Eco. 2008; 35(2):159-161.
- 19. Mo WR. Guidelines for improving water use efficiency in irrigation, domestic and industrial sectors. Performance Overview & Management Improvement Organization Irrigation Performance Overview Directorate, RK. Puram, Sewa Bhawan, New Delhi, 2014.
- 20. Nadeem MA, Tanveer A, Ayub AAM, Tahir M. Effect of weed control practice and irrigation levels on weeds and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian J Agron. 2007; 52(1):60-63.
- 21. Panda BB, Bandyopdhyay SK, Shivay YS. Effect of irrigation level, sowing dates and varieties on yield attributes, yield, consumptive water use and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian J Agri Sci. 2004; 74(6):339-342.
- 22. Pandey SK, Kaushik SK, Gautam RC. Response of rainfed pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) to plant density

and moisture conservation. Indian J Agri Sci. 1988; 58(7):517-20

- 23. Parihar SS. Effect of crop establishment method, tillage, irrigation and nitrogen on production potential of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian J Agron. 2004; 49(1):1-5.
- 24. Parihar SS, Pandey D, Sukla RK, Verma AK, Choudhary KK, Pandaya KS. Energetic, yield, water use and economics of rice-based cropping system. Indian J Agron. 1999; 44(2):205-209.
- 25. Patel IC, Patel BS, Patel MM, Patel AG, Tikka SBS. Effect of irrigation schedule, dates of sowing and genotypes on yield, water use efficiency, water expense efficiency and water extraction pattern of cowpea. J of Food Legumes. 2008; 21(3):175-177.
- 26. Pramanik SC, Singh NB, Singh KK. Yield, economics and water use efficiency of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) under various irrigation regimes on raised bed planting system. Indian J Agron. 2009; 54(3):315-318.
- Rajput RK, Singh M. Efficiency of different mulches in conserving soil moisture in cotton. Indian J Agron. 1970; 15:41-45.
- 28. Ramakrishna Y, Singh Subedar, Prihar SS. Influence of irrigation regime and nitrogen management on productivity, nitrogen uptake and water use by rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J Agron. 2007; 52(2):102-106.
- 29. Rao KVR, Bajpai A, Gangwar S, Chourasia L, Soni K. Maximising water productivity of wheat crop by adopting drip irrigation. Res. on Crops. 2016; 17(1):163-168.
- Rao SS, Tanwar SPS, Regar PL. Effect of deficit irrigation, phosphorous inoculation and cycocel spray on root growth, seed cotton yield and water productivity of drip irrigated cotton in arid environment. Agril. Water Management. 2016; 169:14-25.
- Rashidi M, Abbasi S, Gholami M. Interactive effect of plastic mulch and tillage methods on yield and yield components of tomato. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences. 2009; 5(3):420-7.
- Raskar BS, Bhoi PG. Response of summer groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) to irrigation regime and mulching. Indian J Agron. 2003; 48(3):210-213.
- 33. Rathore BS, Rana VS, Nanwal RK. Effect of plant density and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) hybrids under limited irrigation conditions in semi-arid environment. Indian J Agri Sci. 2008; 78(8):667-670.
- 34. Rathore SS, Shekhawat K, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. Comparative effect of irrigation systems and nitrogen fertigation on growth, productivity and water-use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan Indian J Agro. 2014; 59(1):112-118.
- 35. Reddy MM, Padmaja B, Jalapathi RL. Response of *rabi* pigeonpea to irrigation scheduling and weed management in Alfisols. Jfood Legumes. 2008; 21(4):237-239.
- 36. Sarma A, Singh H, Nanwal RK. Growth, yield and water use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management under adequate and limited irrigation. Haryana J Agron. 2005; 21(2):96-100.
- 37. Shivani Verma UNL, Kumar Sanjeev, Pal SK, Thakur R. Growth analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars under different seeding dates and irrigation levels in Jharkhand. Indian J Agron. 2003; 48(4):282-286.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

- Shivani Verma UN, Pal SK, Thakur R, Kumar S. Production potential and water use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cultivars under different dates of seeding and irrigation levels. Indian J Agron. 2001; 46(4):659-664.
- 39. Singh AK, Bhatt BP, Sundaram PK, Gupta AK, Singh D. Planting geometry to optimize growth and productivity faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) and soil fertility. J Environ. Biol. 2013a; 34(1):117-122.
- 40. Singh AK, Bhatt BP, Sundaram PK, Chandra N, Bharati RC, Patel SK. Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) phenology and performance in response to its seed size class and planting depth. Int. J of Agril. & Stat. Sci. 2012; 8(1):97-109.
- 41. Singh AK, Singh KA, Bharati RC, Chandra N. Response of intercrops and nutrient management on the performance of tobacco based intercropping system and assessment of system sustainability. Bangladesh J Bot. 2013b; 42(2):343-348.
- 42. Singh DK, Agarwal RL, Ahuja KN. Response of wheat varieties to different seeding dates for agro climatic conditions of Agra region. Annals of Agril. Res. 1998; 19(4):496-498.
- 43. Singh MK, Singh RP, Singh RK. Influence of crop geometry, cultivar and weed-management practice on crop-weed competition in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Indian J of Agron. 2004; 49(4):258-261.
- 44. Singh R, Kundu DK, Kannan K, Thakur AK, Mohanty RK, Kumar A. Technologies for Improving Farm-level Water Productivity in Canal Commands. Water Technology Centre for Eastern Region, Bhubaneswar, India, Research Bulletin No. 2008; 43:1-56.
- 45. Tetarwal JP, Rana KS. Impact of cropping system, fertility level and moisture-conservation practice on productivity, nutrient uptake, water use and profitability of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under rainfed conditions. Indian J Agron. 2006; 51(4):263-266.
- 46. Yadav RL, Singh SR, Prasad K, Dwivedi BS, Batta RK, Singh AK *et al.* Management of irrigated agro ecosystem. In Natural Resource Management for Agricultural Production in India (J.S.P. Yadav and G.B. Singh, Eds.), Indian Society of Soil Science, New Delhi, 2000, 775-870.
- 47. Zhang J, Sun J, Duan A, Wang J, Shen X, Liu X. Effects of different planting patterns on water use and yield performance of winter wheat in the Huang-Huai-Hai plain of China. Agri. Water Mgt. 2007; 92:41-47.