



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(3): 705-708

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 04-03-2018

Accepted: 07-04-2018

Virendra Kumar

Department of Agronomy,
Institute of Agricultural
Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

RP Singh

Department of Agronomy,
Institute of Agricultural
Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Santosh Kumar

Department of Agriculture
(Agronomy) Mata Gujri College,
Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India

UN Shukla

Department of Agronomy,
Institute of Agricultural
Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

K Kumar

Department of Agronomy,
Institute of Agricultural
Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence**Virendra Kumar**

Department of Agronomy,
Institute of Agricultural
Sciences, Banaras Hindu
University, Varanasi,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Performance of pearl millet + greengram intercropping as influenced by different planting techniques and integrated nitrogen management under rainfed condition

Virendra Kumar, RP Singh, Santosh Kumar, UN Shukla and K Kumar

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted to evaluate the impact of planting techniques and integrated nitrogen management on growth attribute, yield attribute and yield of pearl millet and greengram at agricultural research farm of Banaras Hindu University during kharif season of 2010 and 2011. The experiment comprised four planting techniques viz. uniform row, paired row, ridge-furrow and raised bed system and six integrated nitrogen management practices viz. 100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + no fertilizer to greengram, 100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 25% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 50% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram and 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram. Raised bed system being at par with ridge-furrow system showed its significant superiority over paired row and uniform row system in respect of yield attributes, yield, protein content, quality characters and protein harvest. Application of 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram was found significantly most effective in increasing growth attributes, yield attributes and yield over others treatments.

Keywords: Growth, integrated nitrogen, planting techniques and yield

1. Introduction

Pearlmillet is widely grown by resource per farmers of Varanasi region particularly under dryland condition. It is a short duration crop well adapted to less and erratic rainfall conditions. In order to utilize the receding profile moisture efficiently farmers follow intercropping mungbean with pearl millet improving system productivity. It has been estimated that by inclusion of legumes in intercropping system, the extent of N addition would be 0.746 mt (Saraf *et al.*, 1990)^[11]. Among all the pulses in India, greengram is third most important crop. Among various agronomic factors limiting crop production, planting pattern is considered of great importance. Increase in crop production can be ensured by maintaining appropriate plant population through different planting patterns. In this context, planting techniques play an important role in utilization resources particularly soil moisture and nutrients for enhanced crop production. Planting pattern is an important factor for agricultural sustainability development in influencing the soil protection (Govaerts, 2006)^[4]. Planting pattern is an agronomic practice that optimizes the available natural and un-natural resources, the adjustment of row space and density is done to improve the effect of planting patterns on crop development. Sowing of pearl millet in paired row system has been proved advantageous over uniform row system, as it widens the scope of introducing a legume as an intercrop without having any adverse effect on the productivity of the base crop. Modified planting patterns, viz. ridge and furrow, paired row and paired rows + intercrop recorded significantly higher growth, yield and nutrient uptake of pearl millet than uniform row system of planting (Rathore *et al.*, 2006)^[10].

Application of nitrogenous fertilizer has played a key role in increasing food grains production in the country and will continue to do so in future. Integration of organic and inorganic nutrients also helps in improving soil health and crop production. The gap between nutrient removal and supply under rainfed situation, calls for integrated nutrient management strategy involving use of fertilizer, organic manure and bio-fertilizers.

Nitrogenous fertilizer has played a key role in increasing food grains production in India and will continue to do so in future (Prasad, 2011) [8]. Considering the importance of these factors in view, the present study was proposed to be undertaken for selecting suitable planting method and integrated nutrient practice for enhancing the productivity of pearl millet + greengram intercropping system.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted for two years during *kharif* seasons of 2010 and 2011 at Agricultural Research Farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam texture with a pH of 7.30. It was moderately fertile, being low in organic carbon (0.35%), available nitrogen (191.12 kg/ha) and medium in available phosphorus (18.60 kg/ha) and potassium (198.15 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications. Four planting techniques, *viz.* uniform row, paired row, ridged furrow and raised bed planting were allocated to main plot. Six treatments of integrated nitrogen levels *viz.*, 100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + no fertilizer to greengram, 100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 25% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 50% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram, 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram and 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram where, RDF represents recommended dose of N, P, K (20–40–20 kg/ha) through inorganic fertilizers to greengram and RDN recommended dose of N (80 kg/ha) through inorganic fertilizers and 25% N (20 kg/ha) through vermicompost and full dose of P, K (40–30 kg/ha) through inorganic fertilizers to pearl millet were allocated to subplot. Thus, in all total twenty four treatment combinations were replicated thrice. 5 additional plots (one plot with all four planting techniques each for sole pearl millet and 1 plot for sole greengram) were taken separately for comparison. The sources of inorganic fertilizers were urea, DAP, MOP. As per treatment, dose of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal. Application of vermicompost was done on the basis of its nitrogen content only, ignoring the other nutrients. The pearl millet cultivar 'TCTP-8203' and greengram cultivar 'HUM-16' were taken as test crops. The other crop management practices were performed as per standard recommendation of the region. Crops were sown on 06.08.10 and 03.08.11 and harvested on 17.11.10 and 14.11.11, respectively during first and second year. The grain yield of each plot was recorded and converted in hectare. Statistical analysis was done as per the procedures given by Gomez and Gomez (1984) [3].

Results and Discussion

Effect on growth character

Planting techniques significantly influenced the growth attributes (plant height, number of tillers/plant, number of branches/plant, LAI and dry matter production/plant), (Table 1) of both crop. The highest values were recorded with raised bed system and minimum with uniform row system during both the years. Raised bed and ridge-furrow systems, being at par to each other, proved significantly superior to paired and uniform row planting systems. This improvement in growth attributes could be assigned to highest moisture availability for crop as a result of low water loss through evaporation, percolation and seepage, low weed infestation and better soil

environment in raised bed planting system. The results of the present investigation are in close conformity with the findings of Ardesna *et al.* (2013) [1], Parihar *et al.* (2013) [7], Rathore *et al.* (2006) [10] and Singh & Sharma (2005) [12]

Integrated nutrient levels caused significant variation in growth parameters [*viz.*, plant height, number of tillers/plant, number of branches/plant, LAI and dry matter production /plant at all the stages (except at 20 DAS)] of both crops. In the case of pearl millet, application of 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₆) proved significantly superior over rest of the integrated nitrogen levels during both the years. However, application of 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₅) was found statistically at par with 100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₂) but significantly better than F₄, F₁ and F₃. While, in the case of greengram, application of 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₆) was found significantly superior to all the integrated nitrogen levels during both the years, except application of 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram which remained at par during both the years. Organic manures have synergistic relationship with inorganic fertilizers, thereby helping in mineralization of applied nitrogen and phosphorus, which might have helped in increased growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of crops. These findings are in agreement with the results reported by Das *et al.* (2013) [2], Parihar *et al.* (2013) [7], Yakadri and Gautam (2001) [13].

Effect on yield attribute and yield

Planting techniques significantly influenced the yield attributes (number of effective tillers/plant, ear weight, grains weight/ear, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod and 1000-grain weight) and yields (grain and stover yield) (Table 2 and 3) of both crop. Raised bed system registered highest values of yield attributes and yields which was found comparable to ridge-furrow system and both the planting systems proved significantly superior to others in this respect. Similarly, paired row system showed its significant superiority over uniform row system during both the years. This improvement in growth attributes could be assigned to highest moisture availability for crop as a result of low water loss through evaporation, percolation and seepage, low weed infestation and better soil environment in raised bed planting system. The results of the present investigation are in close conformity with the findings of Parihar *et al.* (2013) [7], Hosmath *et al.* (2011) [5] and Idnani & Gautam (2008) [6].

The integration of nitrogen with vermicompost improved the yield-attributing characters and yields of pearl millet and greengram significantly (Table 2) during both the years. Application of 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₆) produced highest number of effective tillers/plant, ear weight, grain weight /ear, 1000-grain weight and yields (grain and stover) of pearl millet and proved significantly superior to rest of the integrated nutrient levels during both the years of investigation. However, significantly lowest values were observed with 25% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDF (IF) to greengram (F₃). Similarly, application of 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to main crop + 100% RDF (IF) to intercrop (F₅) and 100% RDN (IF) to main + 100% RDF (IF) to intercrop (F₂) were found comparable to each other and proved significantly superior to rest of the levels (F₄, F₁, and F₃) during both the years.

Application of 100% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + full recommended dose of nutrient to greengram (F_6) produced maximum number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod, 1000-grain weight and highest yield (seed and straw) of greengram which was statistically at par with 75% RDN (IF) + 25% RDN (VC) to pearl millet + 100% RDN (IF) to greengram (F_5) and both were found significantly instrumental in enhancing the yield attributes and yield as compared to other nutrient levels during both the years of

study. Similarly, applying full dose of nutrients to both the component crops (F_2) resulted into significantly higher seed yield of greengram than other three nutrients levels. However, lowest yield of greengram was observed under F_1 [100% RDN (IF) to pearl millet + no fertilizers to greengram] which appeared to be least performer in this respect during both the years. Similar results were reported by Rajkhowa *et al.* (2002) [9].

Table 1: Plant height (cm) of pearl millet and greengram as influenced by treatments

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				LAI				Dry matter production/plant(g)				Tillers/Branches/plant			
	Pearlmillet		Greengram		Pearlmillet		Greengram		Pearlmillet		Greengram		Pearlmillet		Greengram	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Planting Techniques																
P ₁	147.94	151.97	27.68	29.04	2.27	2.35	2.34	2.38	3.71	4.18	17.06	19.89	3.7	4.2	1.7	1.9
P ₂	158.34	162.47	30.67	32.24	2.44	2.53	2.58	2.62	4.63	5.10	19.34	22.58	4.6	5.1	2.1	2.4
P ₃	171.00	175.25	33.56	35.27	2.64	2.72	2.79	2.88	5.42	6.02	21.66	25.31	5.4	6.0	2.7	2.9
P ₄	177.67	181.99	34.51	36.26	2.72	2.82	2.87	2.93	6.00	6.58	22.71	26.54	6.0	6.6	2.8	3.0
SE m _±	2.68	2.71	0.70	0.73	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.20	0.22	0.44	0.51	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
CD (P=0.05)	9.29	9.38	2.44	2.52	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.71	0.75	1.51	1.78	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.4
CV (%)	6.96	6.85	9.46	9.31	7.08	7.11	7.77	8.47	17.54	16.87	9.19	9.26	17.5	16.9	20.5	19.1
Integrated Nitrogen Management																
F ₁	152.81	155.43	25.13	26.51	2.38	2.47	2.20	2.23	4.13	4.63	15.17	17.67	4.1	4.6	1.1	1.3
F ₂	169.46	175.27	33.18	34.84	2.61	2.69	2.76	2.82	5.42	6.02	21.36	24.95	5.4	6.0	2.5	2.8
F ₃	143.43	145.95	28.30	29.79	2.23	2.32	2.38	2.44	3.34	3.81	17.33	20.21	3.3	3.8	1.7	1.9
F ₄	156.99	161.67	30.89	32.46	2.40	2.50	2.55	2.64	4.52	5.01	19.38	22.62	4.5	5.0	2.2	2.4
F ₅	175.42	179.95	35.56	37.30	2.66	2.74	2.95	3.01	5.76	6.31	23.65	27.65	5.8	6.3	3.1	3.3
F ₆	184.32	189.25	36.56	38.33	2.82	2.90	3.05	3.06	6.46	7.03	24.28	28.38	6.5	7.0	3.4	3.6
SE m _±	2.87	2.90	0.77	0.79	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.21	0.21	0.38	0.45	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
CD (P=0.05)	8.21	8.30	2.19	2.27	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.59	0.60	1.10	1.29	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
CV (%)	6.08	5.99	8.40	8.27	6.29	6.29	6.55	7.24	14.59	13.34	6.58	6.63	14.6	13.3	19.1	17.8

Table 2: Yield attributes of pearl millet and greengram as influenced by treatments

Treatments	Pearlmillet								Greengram					
	Effective tillers/plant		Ear weight (g)		Grain weight/ear (g)		1000- grain weight (g)		Pods/plant		Seeds/pod		1000- seed weight (g)	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Planting Techniques														
P ₁	1.75	2.19	36.04	37.61	21.42	22.17	8.36	8.80	13.54	14.49	7.54	9.68	38.59	41.50
P ₂	2.37	2.83	40.23	41.98	23.94	24.77	9.37	9.83	15.21	16.21	8.42	10.58	43.16	46.14
P ₃	3.43	3.93	43.98	45.87	26.18	27.08	10.27	10.76	16.70	17.73	9.20	11.37	47.24	50.27
P ₄	3.65	4.16	45.80	47.78	27.28	28.21	10.71	11.21	17.43	18.48	9.59	11.76	49.22	52.29
SE m _±	0.13	0.14	0.73	0.75	0.44	0.45	0.18	0.18	0.29	0.30	0.15	0.16	0.80	0.81
CD (P=0.05)	0.44	0.48	2.53	2.60	1.52	1.56	0.61	0.63	1.01	1.03	0.53	0.54	2.76	2.79
CV (%)	19.26	17.97	7.48	7.37	7.54	7.51	7.70	7.57	7.86	7.54	7.51	6.07	7.59	7.19
Integrated Nitrogen Management														
F ₁	2.41	2.84	38.23	39.86	22.80	23.66	8.84	9.25	13.03	13.82	7.27	9.46	36.49	38.88
F ₂	3.07	3.57	43.08	44.86	25.99	26.46	10.08	10.70	16.25	17.45	9.03	11.18	46.77	50.09
F ₃	1.82	2.23	35.41	37.22	21.14	21.73	8.04	8.24	14.30	15.01	7.88	10.04	39.68	42.60
F ₄	2.56	3.00	40.49	42.19	23.58	24.87	9.31	9.74	15.27	16.35	8.44	10.60	43.18	46.13
F ₅	3.10	3.70	44.58	46.49	26.35	27.30	10.44	11.02	17.31	18.50	9.57	11.76	49.67	53.04
F ₆	3.84	4.32	47.29	49.25	28.37	29.33	11.35	11.95	18.17	19.24	9.93	12.05	51.52	54.56
SE m _±	0.14	0.14	0.82	0.85	0.49	0.51	0.20	0.20	0.33	0.34	0.17	0.18	0.90	0.91
CD (P=0.05)	0.39	0.41	2.35	2.43	1.41	1.45	0.56	0.58	0.94	0.96	0.49	0.50	2.56	2.60
CV (%)	16.87	15.28	6.87	6.81	6.92	6.89	7.07	6.95	7.23	6.95	6.89	5.59	6.97	6.62

Table 3: Yield of pearl millet and greengram as influenced by treatments

Treatments	Pearlmillet yield (kg/ha)				Greengram yield (kg/ha)			
	Grain		Stover		Seed		Straw	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2011		2010	2011
Planting Techniques								
P ₁	1400	1485	4537	4648	521	615	1647	1854
P ₂	1680	1788	5259	5350	610	700	1868	2049
P ₃	2012	2113	6147	6365	666	770	2005	2218
P ₄	2061	2189	6269	6441	692	797	2062	2297
SE m _±	35	36	105	109	13	13	39	39
CD (P=0.05)	122	126	364	376	44	45	134	135
CV (%)	8.36	8.17	8.04	8.10	8.66	7.62	8.67	7.86
Integrated Nitrogen Management								

F ₁	1581	1662	4897	5073	491	578	1532	1714
F ₂	1906	2019	5906	6025	634	742	1961	2167
F ₃	1364	1440	4376	4509	535	630	1679	1873
F ₄	1691	1777	5238	5426	590	692	1818	2019
F ₅	2007	2131	6232	6374	729	831	2168	2404
F ₆	2181	2334	6669	6798	752	851	2214	2451
SE m _±	40	41	123	127	14	15	45	46
CD (P=0.05)	114	117	353	364	41	42	130	132
CV (%)	7.70	7.52	7.70	7.74	7.97	7.03	8.31	7.58

Sole Green gram yield- 844.47 kg/ha (2010), 935.37 kg/ha (2011)

Sole Pearlmillet yield- P₁= 1648.28 kg/ha (2010), 1736.53 kg/ha (2011), P₂= 1786.67 kg/ha (2010), 1883.39 kg/ha (2011),

P₃= 1973.83 kg/ha (2010), 2053.32 kg/ha (2011), P₄= 2008.67 kg/ha (2010), 2113.32 kg/ha (2011)

References

1. Ardesbna RB, Arvadia MK, Patil RG, Savani NG. Effect of land configuration and soil conditioners on growth and yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(3):412-415.
2. Das DM, Raghavaiah R, Sreenivas G, Sailaja V, Sivasankar A. Response of Bt. Cotton hybrid (*Gossypium hirsutum*) to integrated nitrogen management and potassium. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013; 58(3):391-395.
3. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research 2nd Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1984, 680.
4. Govaerts B, Mezzalama M, Sayre KD, Crossa J, Nicol JM, Deckers J. Longterm consequences of tillage, residue management, and crop rotation on maize/wheat root rot and nematode populations in subtropical high-lands. App Soil Ecol. 2006; 32:305-315.
5. Hosmath JA, Birader DP, Deshpande SK. Response of Bt. Cotton to organic and inorganic nutrient management under rainfed and irrigated system. International Journal of Plant Science. 2011; 81:244-248.
6. Idnani LK, Gautam HK. Water economization in summer green gram (*Vigna radiate* var *radiata*) as influenced by irrigation regimes and land configurations. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008; 78(3):214-219.
7. Kantwa SR, Ahlawat IPS, Gangaiah B. Effect of land configuration, post-monsoon irrigation and phosphorus on performance of sole and intercropped pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2005; 50(4):278-280.
8. Parihar CM, Bhakar RN, Rana KS, Jat ML, Singh AK, Jat SL *et al.* Energy scenario, carbon efficiency, nitrogen and phosphorus dynamics of pearlmillet –mustard system under diverse nutrient and tillage management practices, African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(10):903-915.
9. Prasad R. Nitrogen and food grain production in India. Indian Journal of Fertilizers. 2011; 7(12):66-76.
10. Rajkhowa DJ, Saikia M, Rajkhowa KM. Effect of vermicompost with and without fertilizer on green gram. Legume Research. 2002; 25(4):295-296.
11. Rathore VS, Singh P, Gautam RC. Productivity and water-use efficiency of rainfed pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) as influenced by planting patterns and integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2006; 51(1):46-48.
12. Saraf CS, Shinde VS, Hegde R. Agronomic research towards sustainable agriculture. Indian Society of Agronomy, 1990, 153-162.
13. Sharma SK, Singh J. Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) - legume compatibility in inter and strip cropping system under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008; 78(4):355-357.
14. Yakadri M, Gautam RC. Dry matter accumulation and uptake of N, P by pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) intercropping with groundnut in relation to fertilizer levels under dryland conditions. Agricultural Science Digest. 2001; 21(1):43-45.