



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(4): 2807-2809

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 27-05-2018

Accepted: 30-06-2018

**SB Dugmod**

PG Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**AM Bhosale**

Assistant Professor (Horticulture), Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**SJ Syed**

PhD Scholar, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**Correspondence****SB Dugmod**

PG Student, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

## International Journal of Chemical Studies

# Influence of bioenzymes on yield and quality parameters of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) var. golden acre

SB Dugmod, AM Bhosale and SJ Syed

**Abstract**

The experiment was conducted during 2007-2008, on the experimental field of Horticulture Research Scheme (Vegetable), V.N. M. K.V., Parbhani. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with ten treatments and three replications, on Cabbage var. Golden acre. The result revealed that, the control treatment (water spray) against all other treatments not performs well in increasing yield and quality of cabbage var. golden acre. Among the various concentrations of bioenzymes sprayed at different intervals and recorded observations at regular intervals of 15, 30, 45, and 60 days after transplanting. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> (Synzyme 3ml. /l) spray of bioenzyme performed well in overall characters of yield and quality parameters over other treatments.

**Keywords:** bioenzymes, synzyme, cabbage, rbd, biozyme, golden acre, zymegold

**1. Introduction**

Vegetables are high yielding and provide nutritional security, employment, cash, and more foreign exchange. India is the second largest producer (next to china) of vegetable in year 2002-2003 area 5.9 million hectares and production 84.8 million tones, in year 2003-04 area 6.7 million hectares and production 101.4 million tons in 2004-05 area 7.1 million hectares and production 108.2 million tones and in year 2005-06 7.2 million hectares area and production 113.5 million tones. During the year 2006-07 vegetables have 108.00 million tones production (Anonymous 2008) [2]. Per capita consumption has also increased from 95 g to 175 g per day as against 280 g, recommended dietary requirement per day.

In Maharashtra area under vegetable 4.05 lakh hectares with production of 47.69 lakh tone with productivity of 11.8 tone /hectares (Anonymous 2007) [1] With improved varieties and hybrids, improved production technologies, better infrastructure, ensured genuine seed production, supply policy and participation of all entrepreneurs, India is poised achieve a revolution in vegetable production to fulfill the largest of 220 million tones by7 the end of 2020 AD (Som Dutt, 2001) [6].

Among different vegetables cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) is one of the most important winter vegetable among the Cole crops which belongs to the genus Brassica of the family, Cruciferae, Cabbage is essentially a cold weathers hardy crop and thrives best in cool and moist climate. Cabbage having cross pollination system.

The group Cole crops is said to be derived from the wild cabbage Cole warts Coastal region of England, Southern and Western Europe are native place. Cabbage was introduced in our country much earlier than cauliflower. Though exact date of introduction is not available but it was reported to be grown during Mughal period. It appears to have been introduced by Portuguese through the crop became popular during British rule.

This was originated from Cyprus and the first crop of cabbage was introduced in India in 6<sup>th</sup> century A.D and India occupies third position in cabbage production of world. In India area 3.34 lakh hectares with annual production of 53.92 lakh tones and productivity of 23.1 t/ha.

Area under cabbage in Maharashtra for the year 2004 is estimated around 13.125 (thousand hectare) with production of 328.13 (thousand tones) with productivity of 25 tons /hectare (Anonymous 2007) [1] Cabbage is the fifth most important vegetable crop of the country primarily grown in winter season. In India among vegetable cabbage having an area of 0.28 million hectares with production of 4.80 million tons during the year 2002-03.

Cabbage grown for its thickened main bud called head. It contains adequate quantity of vitamins like A, B, C and minerals like phosphorus potassium calcium sodium iron. It is used as salad boiled vegetables cooked in curried, pickles as well as dehydrated vegetables.

During the last two or three decades there is rapid increase in the population which increased the heavy demand for vegetable production. Efforts have been made to increase the vegetable production by developing large number of high yielding quality and disease resistant varieties and hybrids and production and protection technologies. However there is need to achieve over target to meet the requirements of 1 billion Population reached by 2000 A.D. All these are tried and there appears to be limit in application of these technologies for increasing yield. Moreover excessive use of fertilizers reduces quality of crop and now a day people are demanding fruit and vegetables. Without the use of pesticides or in other words vegetables produced with use of organic manures and biopesticides.

Plant growth regulators play an important role in modifying various parameters in horticultural crops. Recently bio enzymes are extensively which are the products containing enzymes Auxins and hydrolyzed proteins.

In recent years bioenzyme a commercial product obtained from *Asephyllum modosum*, a seaweed algae known to rich in cytokinin and auxin precursors. Wide range of trace elements (B, Mo, Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn and Cl). Enzymes and hydrolyzed proteins foliar spray of bio enzymes at 1 to 4 ml/lit. of water have enhanced vegetative growth and fruits per plant with good quality. Thus Bioenzymes is a new tool to increase yield of vegetables (More, 2000) [3].

There are different bioenzymes reported to promote the vegetative growth increase the yield per plant and affect the quality of produce in different crops. Maxitalol and Vipul increased growth flowering and yield of okra (Pandita *et al.* 1991) [4]. In this context use of bioenzymes, which does not have any residual effect appears to be most important new tool in increasing the yield of vegetables (Rana and Vasishtha, 1985; More 2000) [3].

## 2. Materials and Method

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments and three replication at Horticulture Research Scheme (Vegetables), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during 2007-2008, treatment details given in following Table.

### Treatment details

S. No	Treatment No.	Treatments
1	T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme
2	T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme
3	T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme
4	T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme
5	T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme
6	T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme
7	T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit Zymegold
8	T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit Zymegold
9	T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit Zymegold
10	T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays (control)

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Yield

#### 3.1.1 Weight of head (g)

Data presented in Table 1, revealed that there were significant differences in respect of mean weight of head in different levels of bioenzymes. The treatments T<sub>6</sub> were found (1670.00g) highest weight of head which statistically superior over all rest of the treatments. Followed by treatments T<sub>6</sub> synzyme 3ml/lit. Maximum weight of head found in T<sub>9</sub> (1608.00g) and T<sub>3</sub> (1496.00g). The lowest weight of head observed in T<sub>10</sub> control (986.00g).

**Table 1:** Effect of bioenzymes on mean weight of head (g).

Tr. No	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	1183
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	1291
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	1496
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	1193
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	1422
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	1670
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	1191
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	1359
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	1608
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	986
	S.E.+	20.21
	CD at 5%	58.04

#### 3.1.2 Yield per plot (kg)

Perusal of data presented in Table 2 revealed that, yield per plot (kg) in different Bioenzymes. Maximum yield per plot were found in T<sub>6</sub> synzyme 3ml/lit. (15.03kg) followed by treatments T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and which were statistically at par with the treatments T<sub>6</sub>. Whereas the minimum yield per plot was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> control (8.54kg).

**Table 2:** Effect of bioenzymes on mean yield of head per plot (kg)

Tr. No.	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	10.64
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	11.61
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	13.46
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	10.73
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	12.79
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	15.03
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	10.71
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	12.23
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	14.47
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	8.54
	S.E.+	1.03
	CD at 5%	3.08

#### 3.1.3 Yield per hectare (q)

Significant differences in respect of total yield per hectare were observed in different bioenzymes as evident from the data presented in table 3.

Maximum yield per hectare was found in treatments T<sub>6</sub> (463.52q/ha) which is statistically over all other treatments followed by T<sub>6</sub> maximum yield per hectare found in treatments T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> respectively. The treatments T<sub>4</sub> (331.65q/ha) was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>7</sub> (330.65q/ha). The lowest yield per hectare was observed in treatment T<sub>10</sub> (272.01q/ha).

**Table 3:** Effect of bioenzymes on yield per hectare (q).

Tr. No	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	382.12
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	357.34
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	415.25
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	331.65
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	393.32
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	463.52
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	330.65
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	377.37
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	446.66
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	272.01
	S.E.+	1.29
	CD at 5%	3.85

### 3.2 Quality

#### 3.2.1 Staying capacity of head (days).

The data presented in table 4 revealed that, the significant differences in respect of staying capacity in field conditions was observed due to application of bioenzymes. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> showed highest staying capacity (10.67 days) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (10.00days) and T<sub>3</sub>(9.67days) treatment T<sub>6</sub> was found statistically superior over T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> which were statistically at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub>. Treatment T<sub>7</sub> (9.33) found statistically at par with treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>. Treatments T<sub>7</sub> showed lowest staying capacity (7.67) of head except T<sub>10</sub> (6.33) which was lowest among all treatments.

**Table 4:** Effect of bioenzymes on mean staying capacity of head

Tr. No	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	8.67
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	8.00
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	9.67
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	9.00
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	8.67
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	10.67
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	7.67
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	9.33
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	10.00
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	6.33
	S.E.+	0.38
	CD at 5%	1.14

#### 3.2.2 Keeping quality of head (days)

Keeping quality of head was judged under different treatments after harvesting. The data were statistically analyzed and same are reported in table no.5. Maximum keeping quality of heads was found in treatments T<sub>6</sub> (11.33) and followed by T<sub>9</sub> (12.33) next treatments T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> (11.33) were recorded equal days for keeping quality of head. Whereas treatments T<sub>10</sub> (control) showed lowest (8.33) keeping quality of head.

**Table 5:** Effect of bioenzymes on mean keeping quality of head.

Tr. No	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	10.67
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	10.33
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	11.33
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	10.33
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	11.00
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	12.67
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	11.33
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	10.67
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	12.33
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	8.33
	S.E.+	0.52
	CD at 5%	1.54

#### 3.2.3 TSS content

Significant differences were observed in respect of mean total soluble solid content amongst different level of combination of bioenzymes spray as can be seen from the days after transplanting presented in table no. 6. Maximum TSS (5.9 °B) was observed in T<sub>6</sub> (synzyme 3ml/lit) which was statistically superior over all other treatments except T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> which were statistically at par with the treatments T<sub>6</sub> (synzyme 3ml/lit.) Treatment T<sub>4</sub> were found statistically at par with the treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> respectively. Significantly minimum TSS (4.47B) was recorded in treatment T<sub>10</sub> (control).

**Table 6:** Effect of bioenzymes on mean total soluble solids contents

Tr. No	Treatments	Head diameter(cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	1ml/lit Biozyme	5.00
T <sub>2</sub>	2ml/lit Biozyme	5.20
T <sub>3</sub>	3ml/lit Biozyme	5.70
T <sub>4</sub>	1ml/lit Synzyme	5.33
T <sub>5</sub>	2ml/lit Synzyme	5.40
T <sub>6</sub>	3ml/lit Synzyme	5.90
T <sub>7</sub>	1ml/lit zymegold	5.10
T <sub>8</sub>	2ml/lit zymegold	5.30
T <sub>9</sub>	3ml/lit zymegold	5.80
T <sub>10</sub>	Water sprays(control)	4.17
	S.E.+	0.17
	CD at 5%	0.53

### 4. References

1. Anonymous, 2007. www.heritibizyindia.org.
2. Anonymous, 2008. www.agri.mah.nic.in.
3. More SP. Effect of aminocel and bioenzymes on vegetative growth and seed production of okra M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, M.A.U., Parbhani, 2000.
4. Pandita ML, Sharma NK, Arora SK. Effect of mixtalol and vipul on growth, flowering and yield of okra. Veg. Sci. 1991; 18(1):32-40.
5. Rana BS, Vasishta. Effect of various chemicals on growth yield characteristics of radish. Haryana J Hort. Sci. 1985; 14:97-101.
6. Som Dutt. Horticulture an emerging industry in India. Employment news (New Delhi), 2001, 1.