# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(4): 576-579 © 2018 IJCS Received: 17-05-2018 Accepted: 18-06-2018

#### Kanjiya RR

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Shah KD

Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Patil VM

Ph. D. Scholar, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Kanani MK

Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Khapat, Porbandar, Gujarat, India

#### Gadhiya VC

Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Amreli, Gujarat, India

#### Correspondence Kanjiya RR

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

# Efficacy of different insecticides against fennel aphid, *Hyadaphis coriandri*

# Kanjiya RR, Shah KD, Patil VM, Kanani MK and Gadhiya VC

#### Abstract

An experiment was carried out under field condition at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh during *Rabi* season, 2015-16. There were two spray of different nine insecticides *viz.*, dimethoate 0.003%, flonicamid 0.015%, clothianidin 0.025%, acetamiprid 0.008%, imidacloprid 0.005%, thiamethoxam 0.01%, thiacloprid 0.021%, difenthiuron 0.05% and dinotefuran 0.01% were done against fennel aphid, *H. coriandri*. Among nine tested insecticides dimethoate 0.003%, flonicamid 0.015% and clothianidin 0.025% were effectively managed. Acetamiprid 0.008%, imidacloprid 0.005% and thiamethoxam 0.01% could also be exhibited satisfactory protection but only up to 50 percent reduction over control. Whereas, thiacloprid 0.021%, difenthiuron 0.05% and dinotefuran 0.01% did not provide satisfactory protection against aphid to fennel crop.

**Keywords:** Fennel, aphid, dimethoate, flonicamid, clothianidin, acetamiprid, imidacloprid, thiamethoxam, thiacloprid, difenthiuron, dinotefuran and efficacy

## Introduction

Fennel, *Foeniculum vulgare* (Miller) is believed to be native of Southern Europe, North America and coastal Mediterranean area of India (Tanira *et al.*, 1996; Marino *et al.*, 2007; Aprotosoaie *et al.*, 2010; Bayazit, 2010; Hendawy and El-Din, 2010; He and Huang, 2011; Meena *et al.*, 2010) <sup>[17, 12, 3, 5, 9, 8, 13]</sup>. It is commonly known as '*Variali /Variari*' in Gujarati, where '*Saunf*' in Hindi and considered as an important spices crop. India is the leader producer of fennel as the crop is grown in about 38,660 hectares and produces 59,750 tonnes (T) of seeds during 2014-15 (Anon., 2015) <sup>[1]</sup>. Fennel is mainly grown in India for the states of Gujarat and Rajasthan and to some extent in Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Haryana and Jammu & Kashmir but Gujarat is the "heart" of India for the production of fennel. In Gujarat, the total area under Fennel is 45,400 hectares with annual production of 96,773 tonnes (T) of seeds during 2015-16 with the highest productivity of 1440 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Meena *et al.*, 2010; Anon., 2016) <sup>[13, 2]</sup>.

There are many insect-pests which are causing damage to the fennel crop. Among the different pests there are some sucking insect which causes economic loss to the crop *viz.*, Aphids, *Hyadaphis coriandri* Das and *Aphis gossypii* (Glover); Jassids, *Empoasca kerri* (Das); Thrips, *Thrips tabaci* (Lindeman), *Thrips flavus* (Schrank), *Scirtothrips dorsalis* (Hood); Pentatomid bugs, *Calcoris noregicus* (Gml); fennel flower bug, *Otinotus spp.*; Lygus bugs, *Lygus spp.* and Seed midges, *Systole coriandri* (Nikol) and *Systole albipennis* (Walkar). Among the different pests, aphid, *H. coriandri* causes maximum damage to the fennel crop as both nymph and adults suck the cell sap from leaves, stem and umbels and as a result plant becomes weak and stunted. In addition, it exudes copious quantity of honeydew, which favour the growth of sooty mould and results into retarded growth of the plant. Mittal and Butani (1989) <sup>[14]</sup> recorded the losses of fennel seeds caused by aphid up to 903 kg/ha, which means 50 percent of the crop losses in Gujarat and it is considered as a major or key pest of fennel which poses a threat to seed spices under Gujarat condition.

Several chemical pesticides have been recommended for combating *H. coriandri*. However, problems like residues in seeds and environmental contamination are the result of injudicious use of chemical pesticides. Such reliance on insecticides has created many problems such as very frequent application of insecticides, excessive residues on market spices that concerns general consumer health and the environment, pesticide resistance, trade implications, poisoning, hazards to non-target organisms, increased production costs etc. Among the several avenues to overcome the insecticidal resistance problem, replacement with new molecules of

insecticides is one of the important considerations. Evaluation of newer molecules for their efficacy against *H. Coriandri* is also a continuous process as newer molecules having novel mode of action are introduced in the market. Considering above facts, the investigations was carried out on Efficacy of different insecticides to management of *H. coriandri* in fennel.

# Material and Method

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications having plot size of 4.00 x 2.70 m during Rabi 2015-16 at Instructional farm, Department of agronomy, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. Fennel variety GF-12 was sown at a spacing of 45 x 20 cm in November, 2015. All agronomical practices were adopted as per the recommendation in vogue. The first insecticidal treatment was given on first spray carried out when the pest crossed its ETL (1.0 aphid index /plant) and subsequent sprays was applied at 15 day interval, through manually operated hydraulic knapsak sprayer with a pressure of 3.5 kg /cm2 on slight run-off stage. The quantity of spray solution was used @ 500 1 /ha. The observations on aphid index from 10 cm terminal twigs from five randomly selected plant was recorded from the net plot prior to first spray and 3, 7, 10 and 14 day(s) after each spray. The data obtained were statistically analyzed after following appropriate transformation. Number of natural enemies were also recorded from randomly selected five plants. Fennel seed yield (Kg /ha) was recorded from the net plot area in each treatment. Further, the toxicity of different insecticidal treatments was adjudged based on percent reduction in the population of aphid over control *i.e.* Harmless: < 25% reduction, slightly harmful: 25-50% reduction, moderately harmful: 51-75% reduction and Harmful: > 75% reduction (Hassan et al., 1985)<sup>[7]</sup>.

# Results and Discussion First spray

The incidence of aphid, H. coriandri was crossed it's ETL (1.0 aphid index) after 13<sup>th</sup> week of sowing the fennel crop. The data of pooled over periods on mean aphid index after first application of insecticides presented in (Table 1) revealed that all the treatments recorded significantly lower damage [0.85 to 1.38 A.I. /plant] than control [2.61 A.I. /plant]. The order of insecticidal treatments in comparison to control based on aphid index on fennel damage due to, H. coriandri given in bracket was: dimethoate (0.85) > flonicamid (0.89) >clothianidin (0.94) > acetamiprid (0.96) > imidacloprid (1.00)> thiamethoxam (1.03) > thiacloprid (1.34) > difenthiuron (1.34) > Dinotefuran (1.38) > control (2.61). Dimethoate 0.03% found significantly superior [0.85 A.I. /plant] to the rest of the treatments but it was found at par with flonicamid 0.015% [0.89], clothianidin 0.025% [0.94], acetamiprid 0.008% [0.96], imidacloprid 0.005% [1.00] and thiamethoxam 0.01% [1.03]. It was also found that dimethoate 0.03% reduced 67.43 percent aphid damage as compare to control and the following treatments also condense the damage in the range of 60.53 to 65.90 percent over control. Thiacloprid 0.021% [1.34], difenthiuron 0.05% [1.34] and dinotefuran 0.01% [1.38] revealed to be less effective against H. coriandri which were at par with each other. These treatments reduced the damage less than 50 percent over control, while thiacloprid 0.021% proved to be the least effective insecticide as it avoided only 48.65 percent fennel infestation over untreated plots. Thus, fennel twig damage due to H. coriandri is effectively managed by spray application of dimethoate, flonicamid, clothianidin, acetamiprid, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam. While, in case thiacloprid, difenthiuron and Dinotefuran did not perform better in giving satisfactory protection to fennel crop due to *H. coriandri*.

# Second spray

The subsequent spray *i.e.*, second spray was carried out just after the 15 days of first spray as the pest population is very high at particular stage. The data of pooled over periods on mean aphid index after second application of insecticides presented in (Table 1) revealed that all the treatments recorded significantly lower damage [0.36 to 1.30 A.I. /plant] than control [2.10 A.I. /plant]. The order of insecticidal treatments in comparison to control based on aphid index on fennel damage due to, H. coriandri given in bracket was: dimethoate (0.36) > flonicamid (0.44) > clothianidin (0.47) >imidacloprid (0.55) > acetamiprid (0.58) > thiamethoxam (0.59) > difentiiuron (1.10) > thiacloprid (1.17) > dinotefuran (1.30) > control (2.10). Among the different treatments, dimethoate 0.03% found significantly superior [0.36 A.I. /plant] to the rest of the treatments but it was found at par with flonicamid 0.015% [0.44] and clothianidin 0.025% [0.47]. It was also found that dimethoate 0.03% reduced 82.85 percent aphid damage as compare to control and the following treatments also condense the damage in the range of 77.61 to 79.04 percent over control. Imidacloprid 0.005% [0.55], acetamiprid 0.008% [0.58] and thiamethoxam 0.01% [0.59] revealed to be slightly less effective as compared to dimethoate 0.03% but they were at par with flonicamid 0.015%. Difenthiuron 0.05% [1.10], thiacloprid 0.021% [1.17], and dinotefuran 0.01% [1.30] revealed to be less effective against *H. coriandri* which were at par with each other. These treatments reduced the damage less than 50 percent over control, while difenthiuron 0.05% proved to be the least effective insecticide as it avoided only 47.61 percent fennel infestation over untreated plots. Thus, fennel twig damage due to *H. coriandri* is effectively managed by spray application of dimethoate, flonicamid, clothianidin, acetamiprid, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam.

In nut-shell aphid, H. coriandri can be effectively managed by spray application of dimethoate, flonicamid and clothianidin. Acetamiprid, imidacloprid and thiamethoxam could also be exhibited satisfactory protection but only up to 50 percent over control. While, thiacloprid, difenthiuron and dinotefuran failed to provide satisfactory protection to fennel crop against aphid infestation. The obtained results are in close conformity with the earlier workers as Hirpara (2000) <sup>[10]</sup> observed dimethoate 0.03% and imidacloprid 0.006% were found to be the most effective for the control of aphid on fennel. According to Jat et al. (2008) [11] and Sachan et al. (2010) <sup>[15]</sup>, dimethoate 0.03 percent gives best result by reducing the aphid population in fennel and coriander, respectively. Thiomethoxam 25 WG (0.005%) and imidacloprid (0.005%) stood as a most effective and economical insecticide against fennel aphid in coriander (Bana et al., 2011 & Tetarwal and Sharma, 2012) [4, 18]. Imidacloprid proved to be most effective in reducing the aphid population (95.20%) followed by thiomethoxam (91.40%) and dimethoate (87.00%) (Hake et al., 2015). In the present investigation, more or less same trend was also observed.

Table 1: Efficacy	of different	insecticides	against fennel	aphid, H.	coriandri
- and	01 011101010		againer renner		001100110

Aphid index /plant Aphid index /plant   Imidacloprid 17.8 SL, 0.005% 1.97 (3.39) 1.18 (0.90)   [59.00]cd [88.35]cd   Thiamethoxam 25 WG, 0.01% 1.91 (3.13) 0.71 (0.00)   Acetamiprid 20 SP, 0.008% 1.72 (2.46) 0.84 (0.20)   [70.25]cd [97.41]de   Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025% 1.66 (2.24) 0.95 (0.41)   Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) 0.97 (0.43)   Dinotefuran 20 SG 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } & 1.97 (3.39) & 1.18 (0.90) \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
Imidacioprid 17.8 SL, 0.005% [59.00]cd [88.35]cd   Thiamethoxam 25 WG, 0.01% 1.91 (3.13) 0.71 (0.00)   [62.15]cd [100.00]e   Acetamiprid 20 SP, 0.008% 1.72 (2.46) 0.84 (0.20)   [70.25]cd [97.41]de   Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025% 1.66 (2.24) 0.95 (0.41)   [72.91]cd [94.69]cde   Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) 0.97 (0.43)   [60.10]cd [94.43]cde 1.88 (3.03)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Infantetitioxani 25 wG, 0.01% [62.15]cd [100.00]e   Acetamiprid 20 SP, 0.008% 1.72 (2.46) 0.84 (0.20)   [70.25]cd [97.41]de   Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025% 1.66 (2.24) 0.95 (0.41)   [72.91]cd [94.69]cde   Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) 0.97 (0.43)   [60.10]cd [94.43]cde   Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
$ \begin{array}{c c} Acetamiprid 20 \text{ SP}, 0.008\% & 1.72 (2.46) & 0.84 (0.20) \\ \hline [70.25]cd & [97.41]de \\ \hline \\ Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025\% & 1.66 (2.24) & 0.95 (0.41) \\ \hline [72.91]cd & [94.69]cde \\ \hline \\ Thiacloprid 21.7 \text{ SC}, 0.021\% & 1.95 (3.30) & 0.97 (0.43) \\ \hline [60.10]cd & [94.43]cde \\ \hline \\ Dinotefuran 20 \text{ SG}, 0.01\% & 2.80 (7.35) & 1.88 (3.03) \\ \end{array} $
Acceleration [70.25]cd [97.41]de   Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025% 1.66 (2.24) 0.95 (0.41)   [72.91]cd [94.69]cde   Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) 0.97 (0.43)   [60.10]cd [94.43]cde   Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Clothianidin 50 WDG, 0.025\% & 1.66 (2.24) & 0.95 (0.41) \\ \hline [72.91]cd & [94.69]cde \\ \hline Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021\% & 1.95 (3.30) & 0.97 (0.43) \\ \hline [60.10]cd & [94.43]cde \\ \hline Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01\% & 2.80 (7.35) & 1.88 (3.03) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$
Clothlandin 30 wDG, 0.023% [72.91]cd [94.69]cde   Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) 0.97 (0.43)   [60.10]cd [94.43]cde   Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
Thiacloprid 21.7 SC, 0.021% 1.95 (3.30) [60.10]cd 0.97 (0.43) [94.43]cde   Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
Infactophd 21.7 SC, 0.021% [60.10]cd [94.43]cde   Dinotefuran 20 SG, 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
Dinotefuran 20 SG 0.01% 2.80 (7.35) 1.88 (3.03)
[11.12]ab [60.80]b
Difathings 50 WD 0.050/ 2.20 (4.35) 1.40 (1.45)
[47.44]bc [81.24]c
Eloniarmid 50 WG, 0.015% 1.64 (2.19) 1.08 (0.67)
[73.51]cd [91.33]cde
Dimethese 20 EC 0.020/ 1.33 (1.27) 0.75 (0.06)
[84.64]d [99.22]de
Control (No Spray) 2.96 (8.27)a 2.87 (7.73)a
Mean 2.01 (3.54) 1.26 (1.09)
ANOVA
S. Em. + Treatment (T) 0.24 0.15
Periods (P) 0.05 0.04
T x P 0.16 0.12
C. D. at 5% (T) 0.68 0.45
(P) 0.13 0.11
T x P 0.46 0.34
C.V% 13.91 16.51

Notes:

1. NS: Non significant

2. Figures in parentheses () are retransformed values; those outside are transformed value, while figures in parentheses [] are percent decrease over control.

3. Treatment mean with letter(s) in common are not significant at 5% level of significance within a column.

### Reference

- 1. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2015.
- 2. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2016.
- 3. Aprotosoaie AC, Spac A, Hancianu M, Miron A, Tanasescu VF, Dorneanu V *et al*. The chemical profile of essential oils obtained from fennel fruits (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Farmacia. 2010; 58:46-53.
- 4. Bana JK, Deshwal HL, Jat BL, Singh H. Bio-efficacy of insecticides against aphid, *Hyadaphis coriandari* (Das) on coriander. J. Insect Sci. 2011; 24(1):96-98.
- Bayazit V. Assessment of effects of nanomaterials in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Miller) seed on the cloth dissolution after spontaneously stroke of male mole rat (*Spalax leucodon*) in MUS, Turkey. Digest J. Nanomaterials Biostructures. 2010; 5:503-510.
- 6. Hake LG, Deshwal HL, Yadav VS. Bioefficacy of insecticides against aphid, *Hyadaphis coriandari* on fennel. Indian J Plant Prot., 2015; 43(2):241-243.
- 7. Hassan SA, Bigler F, Blaisinger P, Bogenschutz H, Brun J, Chiverton P *et al.*, 1985.
- 8. He W, Huang B. A review of chemistry and bioactivities of a medicinal spice: *Foeniculum vulgare*. J Med. Plants Res. 2011; 5:3595-3600.
- Hendawy SF, El-Din AAE. Growth and yield of Foeniculum vulgare var. azoricum as influenced by some vitamins and amino acids. Ozean. J Appl. Sci. 2010; 3:113-123.

- 10. Hirpara KD. Bionomics and control of *H. coriandri* (Das) on fennel. M.Sc. thesis submitted to G.A.U., Junagadh campus, 2000.
- Jat BL, Choudhary RK, Kumawat KC. Bioefficacy and economics of newer insecticides and neem products against aphid, *Hyadaphis coriandari* (Das) on fennel. Ind. J. of Ento. 2008; 71(2):974-976.
- Marino S, Gala F, Borbone N, Zollo F, Vitalini S, Visioli F, Iorizzi M. Phenolic glycosides from *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit and evaluation of antioxidative activity. Phytochem. 2007; 68:1805-1812.
- Meena RS, Anwar MM, Lal G, Mehta RS, Kakani RK, Panwar A. Genetic diversity analysis in fennel. Indian J. Hort. 2010; 67:500-504.
- Mittal VP, Butani PG. Evaluation of some insecticides against coriander aphid (*Hyadaphis coriandri*) Abstract: First National Seminar on Seed Spices, Jaipur, 24-25th October, 1989, 41-42.
- Sachan SK, Singh DV, Singh H. Efficacy of botanicals and chemical insecticides against coriander aphid, *Hyadaphis* (*Bravicoryne*) coriandri. Progressive-Agriculture. 2010; 10(2):389-390.
- 16. Standard methods to test the side-effects of pesticides on natural enemies of insects and mites developed by the IOBC/WPRS Working Group Pesticides and Beneficial Organisms. EPPO Bulletin, 15, 214–255.
- 17. Tanira MOM, Mohsin A, Quresh AMAS. Pharmacological and toxicological investigations on *Foeniculum vulgare* dried fruit extract in experimental animals. Phytotherapy Res., 1996; 10:33-36.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

18. Tetarwal AS, Sharma A. Bio-efficacy of different pesticides and organic products against *Hyadaphis coriandri* (Das). Bio-pest. International, 2012; 8(1):88-91.