



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(4): 711-714

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 11-05-2018

Accepted: 12-06-2018

**OS Khetre**

Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Vasantnao Naik  
Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**VS Shinde**

Ex-DI and Dean, F/A,  
Vasantnao Naik Marathwada  
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**BV Asewar**

Chief scientist, AICRP on  
Dryland Agriculture, Vasantnao  
Naik Marathwada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**Mirza IAB**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Agronomy, Vasantnao Naik  
Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**Correspondence****OS Khetre**

Research Scholar, Department of  
Agronomy, Vasantnao Naik  
Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

## Studies of yield and economic parameters as influenced by planting densities and growth regulators in Bt cotton

OS Khetre, VS Shinde, BV Asewar and Mirza IAB

**Abstract**

A field research trial was conducted at Agronomy farm, Department of Agronomy, VNMKV, Parbhani during 2014-15 and 2015-16. Present investigation was carried out to Studies of yield and economic parameters as influenced by planting densities and growth regulators in Bt cotton. The soil of the experimental plot was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium and slightly alkaline in reaction. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four plant densities *i.e.* S<sub>1</sub>-120 x 45 cm (18518 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>2</sub>-90 x 45 cm (24691 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>3</sub>-90 x 30 cm (37037 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>4</sub>-90 x 15 cm (74074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and six growth regulator treatments G<sub>1</sub>- CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>2</sub>- CCC (60 ppm) at flowering and boll formation, G<sub>3</sub>- Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>4</sub>- Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and boll formation, G<sub>5</sub>- Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>6</sub>- Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at flowering and boll formation. Study revealed that the plant spacing of 120 x 45 cm recorded significantly increased yield contributing characters *i.e.* number of picked bolls per plant, boll weight (g), seed cotton yield per plant (g) over rest of the spacings. Similarly maximum seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), GMR NMR and B:C ratio were recorded with plant spacing of 90 x 30 cm than plant spacing's of 90 x 45 cm, 120 x 45 cm and 90 x 15 cm. Significantly higher number of picked bolls, seed cotton yield per plant (g) and boll weight were improved due to application of mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) as compared with other growth regulator. While significantly higher seed cotton yield per ha, GMR NMR and B:C ratio was recorded with application of mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) as compared to other growth regulators treatments.

**Keywords:** Plant spacing, growth regulators, yield attributes, yield Bt cotton, economics

**Introduction**

Cotton (*Gossypium spp* L.) is one of the predominant fibre crops playing a pivotal role in agriculture, industrial development, employment generation and economy of India. It is also called as king of fibre due to higher economical value among all cash crops in India. Cotton is the most important cash and commercial crop contributing nearly 75 per cent of total raw material needs of textile industry in India. Textile industry is the number one export enterprise in the country earning revenue of over \$ 8.5 billion. Hence, it is also called as 'White gold'. Cotton belongs to the genus *Gossypium* under tribe *Gossypiceae* of *Malvaceae* family. There are four species of cotton under cultivation *i.e.* *Gossypium herbaceum* L., *G. arboreum* L., *G. hirsutum* L., and *G. barbadense* L. India is the only country where all four cultivated species of cotton are grown. Cotton is multipurpose crop that supplies basic products like fibre, oil, oil cake, hulls and linters (Anonymous 2015-16) [1-2].

It is cultivated on about 33.0 million hectare across the world. India has the distinction of having the largest area under cotton cultivation in the world ranging between 11-12 million hectare. It accounts for about 33% of the global cotton area and contributes 21% (5.86 million tonnes) of the global cotton produce, currently ranking second after China. The yield per hectare is however the lowest *i.e.* 481 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> against the world average 763 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and major cotton producing countries viz., Brazil (1600 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), China (1311 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), USA (945 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Uzbekistan (859 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Pakistan (684 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Anonymous 2015-16) [1-2]. In Maharashtra cotton is cultivated over an area of 38.72 lakh hectares with production of 81 lakh bales and having productivity of 356 kg lint ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous 2015-16) [1-2]. Low productivity of cotton in Maharashtra is mainly due to growing of cotton under rainfed condition

(96-97% of area). Plant population is one of the most important factors for efficient utilization of available sources. The manipulation of plant density and crop geometry is a time tested agronomic technique for achieving high crop yield. The manipulation of row spacing, plant density and the spatial arrangements of cotton plants, for obtaining higher yield have been attempted by agronomists for several decades in many countries. In wider spacing, reduction in yield is due to less plant per unit area; where as in closer spacing reduction in yield is due to competition within the plants. Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is a subtropical plant with an indeterminate growth habit. Vegetative and reproductive development occurs simultaneously. While vegetative growth is necessary to support reproductive growth, excessive vegetative growth can be detrimental. Growth habits of cotton varieties are inconsistent, with many characterized by their tendency for aggressive vegetative growth. The growth habit of these varieties combined with high availability of nutrients, timely rainfall or irrigation, and delayed fruit retention can encourage excessive vegetative growth. Under excessive vegetative growth causes fruit abortion, delayed maturity, Boll rot, Shading of the lower canopy and harvest reduced. One of the important physiological disorders which reduce seed cotton yield is boll shading. To get maximum yield in cotton it is essential to retain more bolls per plant. Plant growth regulators modify plant growth and divert energy allocation within the plant. It decreases cotton vegetative growth by modifying the production of plant hormones such as gibberellins, auxins and cytokinins.

## Materials and Methods

A experiment on Bt cotton was conducted during 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Agronomy Department Farm, College of Agriculture, Parbhani. The soil of the experimental plot was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium and slightly alkaline in reaction.

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four plant densities that is S<sub>1</sub>-120 x 45 cm (18518 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>2</sub>-90 x 45 cm (24691 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>3</sub>-90 x 30 cm (37037 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and S<sub>4</sub>-90 x 15 cm (74074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and six growth regulator treatments G<sub>1</sub>- CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>2</sub>- CCC (60 ppm) at flowering and boll formation, G<sub>3</sub>- Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>4</sub>- Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and boll formation, G<sub>5</sub>- Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at square formation and flowering, G<sub>6</sub>- Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at flowering and boll formation. Well decomposed FYM 5 t and recommended fertilizers dose 120:60:60 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied.

The other usual common package of practices was carried out time to time and periodical growth observations were recorded at an interval of 30 days.

## Results and Discussion

### Yield attributes and yield studies

#### Effect of plant densities

The data in Table 1 stated that during both the year's plant spacing of 120 x 45 cm was found to be significantly superior over other plant spacing's in recording more number of picked bolls per plant and seed cotton yield per plant except plant spacing 90 x 45 cm was found at par to it while boll weight was non significant. This might be due to the maximum interception of solar radiation, maximum utilization of available nutrient, least competition for moisture

and adequate aeration resulted in synthesis of higher photosynthetes and ultimately produced higher seed cotton yield under wider plant spacing. The significant decrease in number of bolls plant<sup>-1</sup> with increase in plant density was also experienced by Prasad and Prasad (1993).

The plant spacing 90 x 30 cm was recorded significantly more seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over other plant spacing's during 2014-15, 2015-16 and in pooled analysis but it was found at par to plant spacing 90 x 15 cm during 2014-15 and 2015-16. Though number of bolls per plant and the boll weight was higher in wider spacing's, the beneficial effect was offset due to less number of plants per unit area and higher number of plants per unit area in closer spacing. The results are in conformity with those obtained earlier by Srinivasulu *et al.* (2006) [8].

#### Effect of growth regulators

From the table 1 it was observed that application of mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) was recorded significantly higher number of picked bolls per plant and mean seed cotton yield per plant compared to other treatments except mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and boll formation (G<sub>4</sub>) was found at par to it during both the years while boll weight was non significant. The increase in no of picked bolls per plant with mepiquat chloride application was due to improved source sink relationship and setting percentage, increased no. of sympodials and no. of square. The results are in conformity with the results reported by More *et al.* (1993) [4].

Application mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) produced higher seed cotton yield of 2048, 1766 and 1907 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2014-15, 2015-16 and pooled data respectively and was found significantly superior over other growth regulator during both the years and pooled data except growth regulator treatment mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and boll formation (G<sub>4</sub>) and CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>1</sub>) were at par to it during both the years. The increased seed cotton yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to better fruiting efficiency, vegetative growth, maximum retention of squares per plant, no. of picked bolls per plant and bigger boll size which ultimately reflected in higher seed cotton yield per plant and finally seed cotton yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). These results are also comparable with by Nawalagathi *et al.* (2011) [5].

#### Interaction effects

Interaction effects between plant densities and growth regulators were found non significant.

## Economics

### Effect of plant densities

The data in Table 2 plant spacing of 90 x 30 cm was also observed in gross monetary returns (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (Table 60, 61 and 62). The gross monetary returns (90678, 82177, 86428 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (39987, 31607, 35797 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (1.79, 1.62 and 1.71) were higher in plant spacing of 90 x 30 cm compared to other plant densities during 2014-15 and 2015-16 and in pooled analysis, respectively (Table 2). This was mainly because of higher seed cotton yield with plant spacing of 90 x 30 cm. Similar results were reported by Srinivasulu *et al.* (2006) [8].

#### Effect of growth regulators

Data presented in Table 2 revealed that application of

mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) was observed in gross monetary returns (90225, 83114 and 86670 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), Net monetary returns (39168, 32153 and 35661 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were significantly higher over rest of the treatment during both the years and in pooled analysis except plant growth regulator mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at flowering and boll formation (G<sub>4</sub>) and CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>1</sub>) were at

par to it during both the years. The highest benefit cost ratio (1.77, 1.63 and 1.71) was recorded with the mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering (G<sub>3</sub>) during both the years and in pooled mean. This was mainly because of higher seed cotton yield with growth regulator application. These findings are in close agreement with Rao *et al.* (2015)<sup>[6]</sup> and Shekar *et al.* (2015)<sup>[7]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Studies of yield parameters as influenced by planting densities and growth regulators in Bt cotton

Treatments	No. of picked bolls		Boll weight (g)		Seed cotton yield per plant (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )		Seed cotton yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	Pooled
<b>Plant densities (cm)</b>									
S <sub>1</sub> -120 x 45 (18518 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	28.09	25.99	3.25	3.08	91.38	80.15	1498	1230	1364
S <sub>2</sub> - 90 x 45 (24691 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	26.48	24.01	3.15	3.07	83.41	73.74	1766	1517	1642
S <sub>3</sub> - 90 x 30 (37037 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	21.65	18.90	3.05	3.04	66.04	57.57	2055	1743	1899
S <sub>4</sub> - 90 x 15 (74074 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.54	8.76	3.00	3.03	31.49	26.57	1882	1601	1742
S.E.(m)±	0.60	0.58	0.05	0.03	2.33	2.15	62.50	54.53	32.25
C.D. at 5%	2.09	2.02	NS	NS	8.05	7.43	189	158	102
<b>Growth regulators</b>									
G <sub>1</sub> - CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering	22.31	19.87	3.13	3.07	70.38	61.07	1851	1614	1733
G <sub>2</sub> - CCC (60ppm) at flowering and boll formation	21.08	18.78	3.08	3.03	65.71	56.91	1760	1494	1627
G <sub>3</sub> - Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at square formation and flowering	25.17	22.96	3.14	3.10	79.77	71.62	2048	1766	1907
G <sub>4</sub> - Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at flowering and boll formation	23.48	21.38	3.11	3.06	73.92	65.58	1920	1618	1769
G <sub>5</sub> - Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at square formation and flowering	20.04	17.76	3.10	3.05	62.59	54.28	1696	1404	1550
G <sub>6</sub> - Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at flowering and boll formation	18.04	15.73	3.11	3.02	56.11	47.59	1529	1240	1385
S.E.(m)±	0.80	0.80	0.03	0.03	2.97	2.72	69	76.04	40.75
C.D. at 5%	2.28	2.27	NS	NS	8.48	7.77	197	217	120
<b>S x G Interaction</b>									
S.E.(m)±	1.60	1.59	0.06	0.06	5.94	5.43	137	152	97.53
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
GM	21.66	19.41	3.11	3.06	67.99	59.51	1800	1522	1661

**Table 2:** Studies of economic parameters as influenced by planting densities and growth regulators in Bt cotton

Treatments	GMR			NMR			B:C ratio		
	2014	2015	Pooled	2015	2014	Pooled	2014	2015	Mean
<b>Plant densities (cm)</b>									
S <sub>1</sub> -120 x 45 (18518 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	66922	58789	62856	21811	14289	18050	1.48	1.32	1.40
S <sub>2</sub> - 90 x 45 (24691 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	78263	71837	75050	30911	24713	27812	1.65	1.52	1.59
S <sub>3</sub> - 90 x 30 (37037 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	90678	82177	86428	39987	31607	35797	1.79	1.62	1.71
S <sub>4</sub> - 90 x 15 (74074 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	83793	76315	80054	27677	20502	24090	1.49	1.36	1.43
S.E.(m)±	2319	2054	1250	2319	2054	938	-	-	-
C.D. at 5%	6845	5750	3687	6845	5750	2785	-	-	-
<b>Growth regulators</b>									
G <sub>1</sub> - CCC (60 ppm) at square formation and flowering	81962	76286	79124	31892	26238	29065	1.64	1.52	1.58
G <sub>2</sub> - CCC (60ppm) at flowering and boll formation	78183	70992	74588	28569	21664	25117	1.57	1.44	1.51
G <sub>3</sub> - Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at square formation and flowering	90225	83114	86670	39168	32153	35661	1.77	1.63	1.70
G <sub>4</sub> - Mepiquat chloride (50 g ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at flowering and boll formation	84860	76515	80688	34446	26439	30443	1.68	1.53	1.61
G <sub>5</sub> - Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at square formation and flowering	75717	67146	71432	26424	18355	22390	1.54	1.38	1.46
G <sub>6</sub> - Nitrobenzene (400 ppm) at flowering and boll formation	68537	59624	64081	20079	11816	15948	1.41	1.25	1.33
S.E.(m)±	2880	3428	1594	2880	3428	1574	-	-	-
C.D. at 5%	8322	9798	4853	8322	9798	3723	-	-	-
<b>S x G Interaction</b>									
S.E.(m)±	5753	6855	2536	5753	6841	3252	-	-	-
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-	-	-
GM	79914	72279	76097	30096	22778	26437	1.60	1.46	1.53

## Conclusion

From the results of present investigation it can be concluded that Bt cotton sown at 90 x 30 cm spacing was found beneficial for achieving higher seed cotton yield, maximum GMR, NMR and B:C ratio and it was followed by 90 x 15 cm spacing while Application of mepiquat chloride (50 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) at square formation and flowering was found effective in reducing plant height and increasing yield attributes as well as seed cotton yield, GMR, NMR and B:C ratio of Bt cotton.

## References

- Anonymous 2015-16. <http://www.cicr.org.in/Database/apyirr.html>.
- Anonymous. Cotton Statistics at a Glance. Ministry of Agriculture, India, 2015-16.
- Hallikeri SS, Halemani HL. Effect of spacing and fertilization on *hirsutum* cotton variety Sahana under irrigated conditions. J. Cotton Res. Dev. 2002; 16(2):184-185.

4. More PR, Waykar SK, Coulwar SB. Effect of Cycocel (CCC) on morphological and yield contributing characters of cotton. *J. Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*. 1993; 18:294-295.
5. Nawalagatti CM, Doddamani MB, Jyothi RH, Chetti MB. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, biochemical traits, yield and yield attributes in Bt cotton. *J. Eco-friendly Agri*. 2011; 6(1):25-28.
6. Rao S, Bheemanna M, Ajaykumar MY, Venkanna. Evaluation of Bt cotton under different plant densities and fertilizer levels in conjunction with growth regulator under irrigation. *National Symposium on Future Technologies: Indian cotton in the next decades at Acharya Nagarjuna University, Gunture*: 2015, 44p.
7. Shekar K, Venkataramana M, Ratnakumari S. Response of hybrid cotton to chloromepiquat chloride and detopping under high density planting. *J. Cotton Res. Dev*. 2015; 29(1):84-86.
8. Srinivasulu K, Hema K, Prasad SD, Krishna Rao KV. Performance of cotton hybrids under different spacings and nitrogen levels in black cotton soils of coastal Andhra Pradesh. *J Cotton Res. Dev*. 2006; 20(1):99-101.