

# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(4): 1064-1069 © 2018 IJCS Received: 04-05-2018 Accepted: 08-06-2018

#### Dr. Kalyanrao Patil

Assistant Professor Department of Seed Science and Technology BA College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### Ravat Anilkumar L

Assistant Professor Department of Seed Science and Technology BA. College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### Vrushank Trivedi

Senior Research Fellow Department of Seed Science and Technology BA College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### Dr. Anjita Hirpara

Senior Research Fellow Department of Seed Science and Technology BA. College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### Dr. Sasidharan N

Department of Seed Science and Technology BA College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Correspondence Dr. Kalyanrao Patil Assistant Professor Department of Seed Science and Technology BA College of Agriculture Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

# Effect of seed priming treatment in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

# Dr. Kalyanrao Patil, Ravat Anilkumar L, Vrushank Trivedi, Dr. Anjita Hirpara and Dr. Sasidharan N

#### Abstract

The Experiment was conducted at Department of Seed Science and Technology, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand. Effect of priming on seed quality enhancement was studied with two chickpea varieties, GG-1 and GJG-3 for two consecutive years by giving different priming treatments *viz.*, KNO<sub>3</sub>, PEG, Bavistin and Neem oil with different concentrations along with control. The result showed significantly higher seed quality parameters when seeds were treated with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm for the year 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled basis.

Keywords: chickpea- priming- seed enhancement-seed vigour

#### Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* (L.)) 2n=16, belongs to family *leguminaceae*. It is also known as Bengal gram. It is a cool season legume crop and is grown in several countries worldwide as a food source. Seed is the main edible part of the plant and is a rich source of protein (23.3-28.9%), carbohydrates (61.5%), fats (4.5%) and minerals (phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc). Seed priming is a physiological strategy that involves soaking of seeds in a solution of a specific priming agent followed by drying of seeds that initiates germination related process. This has been recognized as an important technology to obtain good germination, rapid development and improved yields in some field crops. The effectiveness of the priming with simple salt solution, perhaps, depends both on the osmotic potential and the chemical nature of the salt species used. It is reported that nitrate containing compounds may function more efficiently than other salts as priming agents. It has been found many salts can be used very effectively for priming. In the present experiment therefore an attempt has been mad to study effects of seed priming with KNO<sub>3</sub>100 ppm, KNO<sub>3</sub>200 ppm, PEG (6000) -1.2 M.pa, Bavistin (2g/kg) and neem oil (3 %) on the response of two Chick pea varieties viz. GG-1 andGJG-3, an experiment was designed keeping hydro primed seeds as controls.

According to Farooq *et al.*, (2008) <sup>[8]</sup> pre-sowing seed treatments can improved the seedling emergence, root and shoot length, seedling fresh, dry weights and root score considerably compared with control both at optimal and chilling temperatures. One of the efficient practices which can improve seedling vigour and establishment and consequently crop performance in the field is seed priming (McDonald, 2000). The different methods of seed priming such as hydro priming and halo priming can be applied as pre-sowing treatments under various conditions. Although priming improves germination and seedling early growth predominantly under undesirable conditions (Beckers and Conrath, 2007, Jisha *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[3, 16]</sup>, the efficiency of different priming agents varies under different stresses and in different crop species (Ashraf and Foolad, 2005) <sup>[2]</sup>.

#### Material Method

The present experiment was carried out in the Department of seed Science and Technology, B.A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat. Chickpea was selected for the present experiment. It is widely cultivated in Gujarat. The varieties taken namely were GG-1 and GJG-3. Both of these varieties belong to the desi type with coloured and thick seed coat. The experiment was conducted in laboratory. One way of improving productivity of chickpea in drought prone area is seed priming. International Journal of Chemical Studies

The seed priming process simply involves soaking the seed overnight (for about 8 hrs), surface drying them and put it on germination test in germination chamber to hasten germination and promotes seedling vigour (Harris *et al.*, 1999)<sup>[12]</sup>. It includes soaking seed in water. The present study was initiated with the objectives to determine the effectiveness of seed priming treatment and variety on seed quality. The osmo-priming, halopriming has positive effect on the seed germination and their consequences. They help to release in enzymes and accelerate seed metabolism and physiological activities (Jie *et al.*, 2002)<sup>[15]</sup>.

## Laboratory

The experiment was laid out into two factorial arrangement (two chickpea varieties and Six priming treatments: KNO<sub>3</sub>100 ppm, KNO<sub>3</sub> 200 ppm, PEG (6000) -1.2 M.pa, Bavistin (2g/kg), neem oil (3 %) and control in complete randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

# **Standard Germination Test (%)**

In the laboratory 400 chickpea seeds in four replications for every treatment were tested for standard germination by keeping the seeds in between paper method at a temperature of 25°C. The final count was made on the 8<sup>th</sup> days. At the end of the test, the seedlings were evaluated and clustered into normal, abnormal, and dead seed. The normal seedlings including hard seeds were considered as standard germination and their respective percentages were calculated for all the four replications (ISTA, 2004).

**Germination** % = Number of normal seedlings x100/ Number of seeds sown

# Seedling shoot and root length

The seedlings shoot and root lengths were measured after the final count in standard germination test. Ten normal seedlings were selected randomly from each replicate. The shoot length was measured from point of the attachment of the cotyledon to the tip of the seedling. Similarly, the root length was measured from the point of attachment to the tip of the root. Average shoot or root length (cm) was computed by dividing the total shoot or root length by total number of normal seedlings measured (Fiala, 1987)<sup>[9]</sup>.

# Seedling fresh Weight

Seedling fresh weight was measured in grams after final count in the standard germination test. Ten randomly selected seedlings from each replicate were cut free from their cotyledons and placed in envelopes on analytical balance for taking a weight. The fresh seedlings were weighed to the nearest grams and the average fresh weight was calculated. Fresh Weight (gm) was computed by dividing the total fresh Weight (gm) by total number of normal seedlings measured (Fiala, 1987)<sup>[9]</sup>.

# Seedling Dry Weight

Seedling dry weight was measured in grams after final count in the standard germination test. Ten randomly selected seedlings from each replicate were cut free from their cotyledons and placed in envelopes and dried in an oven at  $80\pm10$ C for 24 hours. The dried seedlings were weighed to the nearest grams and the average dry weight was calculated. Average Seedling dry Weight (gm) was computed by dividing the total Seedling dry Weight (gm) by total number of normal seedlings measured (Fiala, 1987)<sup>[9]</sup>.

**Seedling Vigor Index I:** This was calculated by multiplying the standard germination with the average sum of shoot length (cm) and root length (cm) on the 8th day of germination (Islam *et al.*, 2009).

**SVI- I:** Germination (%) × Seedling length (cm)

**Seedling vigor index II:** It was calculated by multiplying the standard germination with mean seedling dry weight (Fiala, 1987)<sup>[9]</sup>.

**SVI- II:** Germination (%) × Seedling dry weight (gm)

### **Results and Discussion**

Effect of priming treatment on seed quality enhancement was studied with two chickpea varieties, GG-1 and GJG-3 for two consecutive years by giving different priming treatments *viz.*, priming with KNO<sub>3</sub>, PEG, Bavistin and Neem oil with different concentrations along with control. The critical difference (CD) and standard error (SE) were worked out for each case. The results as represented in table 1 to 8 have been described in the following manner:

Table 1: Effect of different seed priming treatments on germination (%), during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed.

		Germination (%)										
Turantan		2016-17			2017-18			Pooled				
Treatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties					
	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean			
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	94.66	92.66	93.66	95.00	92.67	93.83	94.83	92.66	93.75			
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	91.33	87.33	89.33	92.67	90.00	91.33	92.00	88.67	90.33			
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	88.00	82.66	85.33	91.33	85.33	88.33	89.67	84.00	86.83			
T4:Bavistin (2g/kg)	81.33	70.66	76.00	87.00	82.00	84.50	84.16	76.33	80.25			
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3 %)	84.66	78.66	81.66	89.33	84.67	87.00	87.00	81.66	84.33			
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	72.66	72.00	72.66	79.33	79.33	79.33	76.00	75.66	75.83			
Mean	85.44	80.66	83.05	89.11	85.67	87.38	87.27	83.16	85.22			
S.Em ± V		0.34		0.38			0.25					
C.D. @ 5% V		1.00			1.10			0.72				
$S.Em \pm T$		0.59			0.65		1.58					
C.D. @ 5% T		1.73		1.90			5.75					
$S.Em \pm V X T$		0.83			0.90			0.61				
C.D. @ 5% V X T		2.44			2.70			1.76				
CV %		1.75		1.80			1.78					

The variety GG-1 recorded significantly highest germination percentage of 85.44 % and 89.11 % during 2016-17 and 2017-

18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO\_3 @ 100 ppm recorded highest germination percentage 94.66 %

and 95.00 % during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GG-1 Variety seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was showed higher germination percentage (94.83 %). Guzman and Olave reported that seed priming with nitrate solutions led to improved germination rate. Mohammadi & Amiri (2010) <sup>[21]</sup> proved positive effect of KNO<sub>3</sub> on germination and vigour of the rapeseed seed. Similarly, Arin *et al.* (2011) <sup>[1]</sup> determined improvement of onion seed germination.Sorghum seeds soaked in CaCl<sub>2</sub> or KNO<sub>3</sub> solution increased the activity of total amylase and proteases in germinating seeds under salt stress (Kadiri and Hussaini, 1999) <sup>[18]</sup>. In pigeon pea seed treatment with CaCl<sub>2</sub> or KNO<sub>3</sub> generally exhibited improvement in proteins, free amino acid and soluble sugars during germinating under salt stress (Jyotsna and Srivastava, 1998) <sup>[17]</sup>.

Table 2: Effect of different seed priming treatments on Root length (cm), during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed.

				Roo	ot length (	cm)				
Treatments		2016-17			2017-18			Pooled		
Treatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties			
	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean	
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	12.54	20.90	16.72	17.33	20.07	18.70	14.94	20.48	17.71	
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	12.18	20.41	16.29	15.70	17.70	16.72	13.94	19.07	16.50	
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	11.71	19.63	15.67	13.90	15.60	14.75	12.81	17.61	15.21	
T4: Bavistin (2g/kg)	11.04	18.63	14.83	11.90	13.21	12.56	11.47	15.92	13.70	
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	11.27	19.03	15.15	13.00	14.23	13.62	12.13	16.63	14.38	
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	10.66	17.84	14.25	11.47	11.71	11.59	11.06	14.77	12.92	
Mean	11.56	19.40	15.49	13.88	15.43	14.65	12.72	17.41	15.07	
S.Em ± V		0.02		0.15			0.22			
C.D. @ 5% V		0.07			0.44			NS		
S.Em ± T		0.04			0.26		0.87			
C.D. @ 5% T		0.12			0.76			NS		
$S.Em \pm V X T$	0.05			0.37			0.18			
C.D. @ 5% V X T	0.17			NS			0.53			
CV %		0.66		4.41			3.07			

The result revealed that GJG-3 recorded significantly highest root length of 19.40 and 15.53 cm during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest root length of 20.90 and 20.07 cm during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was showed higher root length (20.48 cm). Demir and Oztokat (2003) <sup>[6]</sup> also found that root and shoot lengths increased in seeds due to salt priming as compared to non-

primed seeds. It has been observed that different types of seed priming improved seed germination rate and seedling emergence in a number of studies (Srivastava *et al.*, 2010; Chen and Arora 2011)<sup>[5]</sup>. The fastest seedling emergence was recorded in KNO<sub>3</sub> primed seeds followed by hydro priming. The result corroborate the findings of Chang-Zheng *et al.* (2002)<sup>[4]</sup> who reported that rice seed treated with mixed salt solution germinated significantly more rapidly than unprimed seed.

Table 3: Effect of different seed priming treatments on Shoot length (cm), during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed

	Shoot length (cm)										
Treatments	2016-17			2017-18			Pooled				
Treatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties				
	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean		
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	7.10	9.63	8.37	8.23	9.40	8.82	7.67	9.51	8.59		
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	7.10	9.35	8.22	7.20	8.47	7.83	7.15	8.91	8.03		
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	6.89	9.06	7.97	7.36	8.34	7.85	7.12	8.70	7.91		
T <sub>4</sub> : Bavistin (2g/kg)	6.66	8.28	7.47	6.52	7.75	7.13	6.59	8.01	7.30		
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	6.81	8.65	7.73	7.13	8.22	7.67	6.97	8.44	7.70		
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	6.20	8.04	7.12	7.00	7.25	7.13	6.60	7.65	7.12		
Mean	6.79	8.83	7.81	7.24	8.24	7.73	7.01	8.53	7.77		
S.Em ± V		0.02		0.06			0.37				
C.D. @ 5% V		0.06			0.18			NS			
S.Em ± T		0.04			0.10		0.15				
C.D. @ 5% T		0.11		0.31			0.54				
S.Em ± V X T	0.05			0.15			0.15				
C.D.@ 5% V X T	0.16			0.44			NS				
CV %		1.25		3.42			2.57				

The result found that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Shoot length 8.83 and 8.24 cm during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest Shoot length of 9.63 and 9.40 cm during 2016-17 and

2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed with  $KNO_3$  @ 100 ppm was showed higher Shoot length (9.51 cm).

Table 4: Effect of different seed priming treatments on Seedling length (cm), during2016-17, and 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed.

		Seedling length (cm)										
Treatments	2016-17			2017-18			Pooled					
Ireatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties					
	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean			
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	19.65	30.53	25.09	25.57	29.47	27.51	22.61	30.00	26.30			
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	19.28	29.77	24.52	22.90	26.20	24.55	21.09	27.98	24.53			
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	18.60	28.69	23.64	21.26	23.94	22.60	19.93	26.31	23.12			
T <sub>4</sub> : Bavistin (2g/kg)	17.71	26.91	22.31	18.42	20.96	19.69	18.07	23.94	21.00			
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	18.03	27.68	22.88	20.13	22.45	21.29	16.10	25.07	22.09			
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	16.86	25.89	21.37	18.47	18.96	18.71	17.66	22.42	20.04			
Mean	18.36	28.24	23.30	21.12	23.66	22.39	19.74	25.95	22.84			
S.Em ± V		0.03		0.15			2.59					
C.D. @ 5% V		0.10			0.43			NS				
$S.Em \pm T$		0.06			0.25		0.96					
C.D. @ 5% T		0.17			0.75		3.49					
$S.Em \pm V X T$		0.08			0.36			0.18				
C.D. @5% V X T		0.02			1.05			0.54				
CV %		0.65		2.84			2.03					

The result found that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Seedling length 28.24 and 23.66 cm during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest Seedling length of

30.53 and 29.47 cm during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was showed higher Seedling length (30.00 cm).

Table 5: Effect of different seed priming treatments on seedling fresh weigh (gm) during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed

			S	Seedling	fresh we	ight (gm	ı)			
Treatments		2016-17			2017-18			Pooled		
Treatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties			
	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean	<b>GG-1</b>	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	
T1: KNO3 100 ppm	4.75	5.66	5.21	5.09	5.37	5.23	4.92	5.52	5.22	
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	4.66	5.50	5.08	4.88	5.16	5.02	4.77	5.33	5.05	
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	4.17	5.31	4.74	4.62	5.06	4.84	4.39	5.19	4.79	
T4:Bavistin (2g/kg)	3.55	4.64	4.10	4.14	4.66	4.40	3.85	4.65	4.25	
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	3.78	5.04	4.41	4.58	4.88	4.73	4.43	4.96	4.57	
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	3.04	4.05	3.54	4.13	4.43	4.28	3.58	4.24	3.91	
Mean	3.99	5.03	4.51	4.57	4.93	4.75	4.28	4.98	4.63	
$S.Em \pm V$		0.01		0.01			0.24			
C.D. @ 5% V		0.05			0.05		NS			
$S.Em \pm T$		0.03			0.03		0.14			
C.D. @ 5% T		0.09		0.08			0.52			
$S.Em \pm V X T$	0.04			0.04			0.05			
C.D. @ 5% V X T	0.13			0.13			NS			
CV %		1.73		1.58			1.65			

The result revealed that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Seedling fresh weight 5.03 and 4.93 g during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest Seedling fresh

weight of 5.66 and 5.37g during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed with  $KNO_3$  @ 100 ppm was showed higher Seedling fresh weight (5.52 g).

				Seedli	ing dry wei	ght (g)					
Treatments		2016-17			2017-18			Pooled			
Treatments		Varieties			Varieties			Varieties			
	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean		
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	0.87	1.11	0.99	0.84	0.95	0.90	0.85	1.03	0.94		
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	0.83	0.98	0.91	0.81	0.91	0.86	0.82	0.94	0.88		
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	0.77	0.94	0.85	0.76	0.85	0.81	0.76	0.89	0.83		
T <sub>4</sub> :Bavistin (2g/kg)	0.73	0.82	0.78	0.73	0.78	0.75	0.73	0.80	0.76		
$T_5$ : Neem oil (3%)	0.71	0.88	0.80	0.75	0.81	0.78	0.73	0.85	0.79		
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	0.68	0.77	0.72	0.71	0.74	0.73	0.70	0.75	0.72		
Mean	0.76	0.92	0.84	0.77	0.84	0.80	0.76	0.88	0.82		
$S.Em \pm V$		0.002			0.005		0.028				
C.D. @ 5% V		0.007			0.01		NS				
$S.Em \pm T$		0.004			0.009		0.017				
C.D. @ 5% T		0.012			0.02		0.61				
$S.Em \pm V X T$	0.006			0.01			0.014				
C.D. @ 5% V X T		0.01			0.03			0.051			
CV %		1.23			2.55			1.97			

The result showed that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Seedling dry weight 0.92 and 0.84 g during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO3 @ 100 ppm recorded highest Seedling dry weight of 1.11 and 0.95 g during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed

with KNO3 @ 100 ppm was showed higher Seedling dry weight (1.03 g). Mohammadi (2009) <sup>[20]</sup> on soybean (*Glycine max* L.) in field and laboratorial studies, found that seed primed with potassium nitrate increased Germination Percentage (GP), Germination Rate (GR), Seedling Dry Weight (SDW) and 1000-seed weight as compared to control.

Table 7: Effect of different seed priming treatments on seedling vigour index I during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed

		Seedling vigour index I										
Treatments		2016-17			2017-18			Pooled				
Ireatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties					
	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean	GG-1	GJG-3	Mean			
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	1860	2829	2344	2429	2731	2580	2114	2779	2462			
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	1761	2600	2180	2121	2358	2240	1940	2479	2209			
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	1637	2371	2004	1942	2043	1993	1789	2207	1998			
T4: Bavistin (2g/kg)	1440	1902	1671	1602	1719	1661	1521	1810	1666			
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	1531	2178	1854	1798	1901	1850	1664	2039	1852			
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	1225	2261	1743	1465	1504	1485	1345	1882	1613			
Mean	1575	2357	1966	1893	2043	1968	1734	2199	1966			
$S.Em \pm V$		7.05		16.56			223.23					
C.D. @ 5% V		20.58			48.36			NS				
$S.Em \pm T$		12.21			28.69			79.31				
C.D. @ 5% T		35.64			83.76			288.39				
$S.Em \pm V X T$		17.27			40.58			75.06				
C.D. @ 5% V X T		50.41			118.45			NS				
CV %		1.52		3.57			2.75					

The result has been recorded that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Seedling vigour index-I 2357 and 2043 during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest Seedling vigour index-I of 2829 and 2731 during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3

Variety seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was showed higher Seedling vigour index-I (2779). Mohammadi (2009) <sup>[20]</sup> reported that soaking seed in potassium nitrate had the best effect on germination and soybean seed vigour with late sowing.

Table 8: Effect of different seed priming treatments on seedling vigour index II during 2016-17, 2017-18 and pooled of chickpea seed.

				Seedlin	ıg vigour i	ndex II			
Treatments	2016-17			2017-18			Pooled		
Treatments	Varieties			Varieties			Varieties		
	GG1	GJG-3	Mean	GG1	GJG-3	Mean	GG1	GJG-3	Mean
T <sub>1</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm	82.44	103.69	93.07	79.81	88.32	84.06	81.13	96.00	88.56
T <sub>2</sub> : KNO <sub>3</sub> 200 ppm	76.06	86.14	81.10	74.75	81.59	78.17	75.40	83.86	79.63
T <sub>3</sub> : -PEG (6000) -1.2 Mpa	67.78	78.17	72.97	69.43	72.51	70.97	68.60	75.34	71.97
T4: Bavistin (2g/kg)	60.16	58.35	59.25	63.23	63.95	63.59	61.69	61.15	61.42
T <sub>5</sub> : Neem oil (3%)	60.37	69.61	64.99	67.29	68.80	68.05	63.83	69.20	66.52
T <sub>6</sub> : Control	49.81	67.25	58.53	56.60	58.71	57.65	53.20	62.98	58.09
Mean	66.11	77.20	71.65	68.52	72.31	70.41	67.31	74.75	71.03
$S.Em \pm V$		0.28		0.40			2.58		
C.D. @ 5% V		0.84			1.17		NS		
$S.Em \pm T$		0.49			0.69		2.38		
C.D. @ 5% T		1.45		2.02			8.67		
$S.Em \pm V X T$	0.70			0.98			2.27		
C.D. @ 5% V X T	2.06			2.86			NS		
CV %		1.71		2.42			2.09		

The result found that variety GJG-3 recorded significantly highest Seedling vigour index-II 77.20 and 72.31 during 2016-17 and 2017-18. Among the priming treatments, seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm recorded highest Seedling vigour index-II of 103.69 and 88.32 during 2016-17 and 2017-18 respectively. On the basis of pooled year data GJG-3 Variety seeds primed with KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was showed higher Seedling vigour index-II (96.0). Singh and Rao (1993) <sup>[23]</sup> stated that KNO<sub>3</sub> effectively improved germination, seedling growth and vigor index of the seeds of sunflower varieties with the low germination. It has been reported that

primed seeds showed better germination pattern and higher vigour level than non- primed (Ruan *et al.*, 2002).

### **Summary and Conclusion**

Seeds of chickpea were treated with various priming treatments recorded higher seed quality parameters compared to control.Seeds treated with KNO<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm gave the significant results. It is concluded from the results of the experiment that among all the treatments, KNO3 100 ppm showed significant performance for Seed quality parameter, Germination (%), root length (cm), shoot length (cm), seedling length (cm), Seedling fresh weight (g), seedling dry

weight (g), seedling vigour index-I and Seedling vigour index-II followed by other treatments. Therefore, as presowing treatment  $KNO_3$  100 ppm are recommended for treating chickpea seed for better seed quality parameters.

# References

- Arin L, Polat S, Deveci M, Salk A. Effects of different osmotic solutions on onion seed emergence. Afr. J Agric. Res. 2011; 6:986-991.
- 2. Ashraf M, MR Foolad. Pre-sowing seed treatment- A shotgun approach to improve germination, plant growth and crop yield under saline and non-saline conditions. Advances in Agronomy. 2005; 88:223-271.
- 3. Beckers GJM, Conrath U. Priming for stress resistance: from the lab to the field. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2007; 10:425-431.
- Chang-Zheng H, Jin H, Zhi-yu Z, Song-Lin R, Wen-Jian S. Effect of seed priming with mixed-salt solution on germination and physiological characteristics of seedling in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under stress conditions. - Journal of Zhejiang University (Agriculture & Life Sciences). 2002; 28:175-178.
- 5. Chen K, Arora R. Dynamics of the antioxidant system during seed osmopriming, post priming germination, and seedling establishment in Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*). Plant Sciences. 2011; 180:212-220.
- Demir I, Oztokat C. Effect of salt priming on germination and seedling growth at low temperatures in water melon seeds during development. Seed Science Technology. 2003; 31:765-770.
- 7. Farooq M, Aziz T, Basra SMA, Cheema MA, Rehman H. Chilling tolerance in hybrid maize induced by seed priming with salicylic acid-Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science. 2008; 194:161-168.
- 8. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Ahmed N. Rice seed priming. International Rice Research Notes. 2005; 30:45-48.
- 9. Fiala F. Handbook of Vigor Test Methods, ISTA Publication, 1987.
- Guzman M, J Olave. Response of growth and biomass production of primed melon (*Cucumis melo* L. Cv. Primal) to germination salinity level and N-forms in nursery, J Food Agriculture and P.S. Sodhi, 1999. Onfarm seed priming in semi-arid Environment. 2006; 4:163-165.
- 11. Hardegree SP, Van Vactor SS. Germination and emergence of primed grass seeds under field and simulated-field temperature regimes. Annals of Botany. 2000; 85:379-390.
- 12. Harris DA, Joshi PA, Khan P, Gothkar, Sodhi PS. On farm seed priming in semi-arid agriculture development and evaluation in maize, rice and chickpea in India, using participatory methods. Experimental Agriculture. 1999; 35:15-29.
- Islam AK, Anuar N, Yaakob Z. Effect of genotypes and pre-sowing treatments on seed germination behavior of jatropha. Asian Journal of Plant Science. 2009; 8:433-439.
- ISTA. International Rules for Seed Testing. Edition 2004. International Seed Testing Association, Bassersdorf, Switzerland, 2004.
- Jie L, Gong She L, Dong Mei O, Fang Fang L, En Hua.
  W. Effect of PEG on germination and active oxygen metabolism in wild rye (*Leymus chinensis*) seed. *Actaprata culturae sinica*, 2002, 1159-64.

- Jisha KC, Vijayakumari K, Puthur JP. Seed priming for abiotic stress tolerance: an overview. – Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2013; 5:1381-1396.
- Jyotsna V, Srivastva AK. Physiological basis of salt stress resistance in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.)-11. Pre-sowing seed soaking treatment in regulating early seeding metabolism during seed germination. Pl Physiol Biochem, 1998, 2589-94.
- Kadiri M, Hussaini MA. Effect of hardening pretreatment on vegetative growth, enzyme activities and yield of *Pennisetum americanum* and *sorghum bicolour* L. Global J Pure Appl Sci. 1999; 5:179-183.
- Mc Donald MB. Seed Priming. In: Seed Technology and its Biological Basis, Black, M. and J. D. Bewley (Eds.). Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, UK. 2000, 287-325.
- 20. Mohammadi GR. The effect of seed priming on plant traits of late-spring seeded soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Am. Eur. J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 2009; 5:322-326.
- 21. Mohammadi GR, Amiri F. The effect of priming on seed performance of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) under drought stress. Am. Eur. J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 2010; 9:202-207.
- 22. Raun S, Xue Q, Tylko wska K. Effect of seed priming on germination of rice seeds. Seed Sci Tech. 2002; 30:451-58.
- Singh BG, G Rao. Effect of chemical soaking of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed on vigour index. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 1993; 63:232-233.
- Srivastava AK, Lokhande VH Patade, VY Suprasanna, P Sjahril R, D'souza SF. Comparative evaluation of hydro-, chemo-,and hormonal-priming methods for imparting salt and PEG stress tolerance in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). - Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2010; 32:1135-1144.