# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(5): 2643-2647 © 2018 IJCS Received: 25-07-2018 Accepted: 30-08-2018

#### N Goutami

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### CH Sujani Rao

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### A Sireesha

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### **CH Pulla Rao**

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### A Vijaya Gopal

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Correspondence N Goutami

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

# Effect of long-term use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and their combination on active carbon pools under rice-rice cropping system

# N Goutami, CH Sujani Rao, A Sireesha, CH Pulla Rao and A Vijaya Gopal

### Abstract

A field experiment entitled "Carbon sequestration and soil health under long term soil fertility management in rice-rice cropping system" was carried out under field conditions during both *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2016-2017 and 2017- 2018 at Andhra Pradesh Rice Research Institute and Regional Agricultural Research Station, Maruteru, West Godavari district in the ongoing All India Coordinated Research Project on Long Term Fertilizer Experiment Project. The results reported that MBC, WSC and KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C representing active carbon pools were observed highest with the application of 100% RDF in combination with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and FYM @5t ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, it was on par with that of application of 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM except for MBC. The higher MBC was observed with the application of 100% RDF in combination with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and FYM @5t ha<sup>-1</sup> and it was on par with the only organic treated plot i.e. FYM @ 10t ha<sup>-1</sup> and with the application of 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM.

Keywords: organic manures, inorganics, MBC and KMnO4-C

#### Introduction

Soil is the major reservoir of terrestrial organic carbon in the biosphere, which plays an important role in global carbon cycle. Large scale changes in land use like deforestation and agricultural activities like biomass burning, ploughing, drainage, low input farming have resulted in significant changes in SOC pools (Lal, 2013)<sup>[4]</sup>. To better understand the mechanism by which C is lost of stabilized in the soil, the SOC pools is separated in to a labile or actively cycling pool, a slow pool and a stable or passive, recalcitrant pool with varying residence times (Parton and Rasmussen, 1994)<sup>[6]</sup>. The total carbon pool constitutes a major global reservoir comprising of SOC pool and SIC pool.

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the principal food crop of the world, contributes to about 60% of the world's food. Rice is the major cereal crop feeding two- third of the global population. Rice occupies one-third of the world's crop land planted to cereals and provides 30-60% of the calories consumed by nearly three billion people (Gurra *et al.*, 1998) <sup>[2]</sup>. Higher production requirements for the future to meet the demands of growing population need to be achieved, maintaining the soil quality and sustainability of the productivity at the same time.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A long-term field experiment was initiated in *kharif*, 1989 with rice-rice cropping system at APRRI & RARS, Maruteru, West Godavari. The experiment was carried out under field conditions during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons of 2016-2017 and 2017- 2018 at Andhra Pradesh Rice Research Institute and Regional Agricultural Research Station, Maruteru, West Godavari district in the ongoing All India Coordinated Research Project on Long Term Fertilizer Experiment Project. The treatments consisted of control, 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK, 100 per cent recommended dose of NK, 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK, 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK+ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ ha, 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK+ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ ha + FYM @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 50 per cent recommended dose of NPK, 50% NPK + 50% N through green manures, 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM, 50% NPK + 25% N through green manures + 25% N through FYM and FYM only @ 10 t/ha. There were twelve treatments laidout in RBD with three replications for both *kharif* and *rabi* seasons in two years of study.

Nitrogen was applied through urea in three equal splits (1/3<sup>rd</sup> basal+ $1/3^{rd}$  at tillering+ $1/3^{rd}$  at panicle initiation stage). Phosphorus was applied through DAP was used duly taking its N content into account and potassium as muriate of potash (60% K<sub>2</sub>O) and zinc as zinc sulphate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O). The entire dose of phosphorus, potassium and zinc were applied as basal. Recommended dose of fertilizer for kharif season was 90: 60: 60 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and for rabi season it was 180: 90: 60 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Well decomposed farmyard manure (FYM) manure and Calotropis (green leaf manure) were applied two weeks before transplanting. The experiment on rice - rice sequence as detailed above was repeated on a same site during kharif 2016-17 and rabi 2017-18, respectively. Popular cultivars of kharif rice and rabi rice, MTU-1061, MTU-1010 respectively, were used for the study. Data was collected on active carbon pools (MBC, WSC and KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C) of both *kharif* and *rabi* rice. MBC was estimated by fumigation- extraction method. Water soluble organic carbon in soil was determined as per the procedure outlined by Mc Gill et al. (1986) <sup>[5]</sup>. Blair et al. (1995) <sup>[1]</sup> suggested the method for determination of soil labile carbon using potassium permanganate which has been modified by Weil et al. (2003)<sup>[10]</sup>.

# Results and Discussion Microbial Biomass Carbon (MBC)

Data pertaining to microbial biomass carbon as influenced by different treatments are presented in the table (1, 2, 3 and 4) and indicated that microbial biomass carbon increased at harvest when compared to initial stage in all the four seasons of study during 2016-17 and 2017-18.

At initial stage among the twelve treatments, significantly highest microbial biomass carbon 410.6, 417.9 and 423.1, 427.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif, rabi* 2016-17 and *kharif, rabi* 2017-18, respectively was recorded in treatment  $T_7$  (FYM along with 100 per cent RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) over all other treatments and the lowest microbial biomass carbon (187.6, 190.6 and 193.5, 195.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in the control plot ( $T_1$ ). During both the years of *rabi* season, among the inorganic treatments the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest MBC and significantly superior over treatment  $T_4$  (100% PK)

and  $T_8$  (50% NPK) however it was on par with treatment  $T_3$  (100% NK) and  $T_2(100\%$  NPK).

At initial stage among the inorganic treatments the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded highest MBC and significantly superior over treatments  $T_4$  (100% PK),  $T_5$  (100% NP) and  $T_8$  (50% NPK) but it was on par with treatment  $T_2$  (100% NPK) and  $T_3$  (100% NK). Similar results were observed in both years of study in *kharif* and *rabi* seasons.

At harvest, among the different the treatments the  $T_7$  (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + FYM) treatment recorded significantly higher microbial biomass carbon (418.8, 423.8 and 428.7, 430.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif, rabi* 2016-17 and *kharif, rabi* 2017-18, respectively) over all other treatments and the lowest SMBC content (191.5, 194.8 and 196.9, 199.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in  $T_1$  (control). All the conjuctive treatments showed significant effect on SMBC content.

Among the inorganic treatments, the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded the highest MBC and it was significantly superior over treatment  $T_4$  (100% PK) and  $T_8$  (50% NPK) however it was on par with treatment  $T_3$  (100% NK),  $T_5$ (100% NP) and T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF). Similar results were observed in both the years of study in *kharif* and *rabi* seasons. Among the organic sources, FYM maintained higher MBC content than green manure incorporation. This might be due to wider C: N ratio of organic sources like FYM which had triggered the microbial population and thus MBC. FYM might have provided congenial conditions for microbial growth and multiplication. Microbial biomass carbon was related to microbial population and the imbalanced use of fertilizers or nutrients decreased MBC due to limitation imposed by other major nutrients which were essential for microbial synthesis (Rudrappa et al., 2006)<sup>[7]</sup>.

The experimental results revealed that the application of FYM alone or in combination with chemical fertilizers significantly increased the soil microbial biomass carbon (SMBC). Similar results were also reported by Verma and Mathur (2009)<sup>[9]</sup>. The supply of additional mineralizable and readily hydrolysable C due to organic manure application resulted in higher soil microbial biomass carbon. It indicated that manure addition resulted in higher SMBC than inorganic fertilization or no fertilization (control).

 Table 1: Effect of long-term use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and their combination on soil MBC, WSC and KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) under rice (*Kharif*, 2016)

	Microbial biomass		Water soluble carbon		KMnO <sub>4</sub> - extractable	
Treatments	carbon		(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		carbon (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest
T <sub>1</sub> Control	187.6	191.5	14.2	15.8	250.6	252.9
T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDF	301.4	307.8	17.1	19.9	341.8	345.7
T <sub>3</sub> 100% NK	269.8	274.5	16.5	18.2	336.2	339.5
T4 100% PK	227.5	232.9	15.4	17.5	328.4	331.8
T5 100% NP	249.2	256.7	15.9	18.0	330.9	333.6
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha	309.5	315.4	17.5	20.1	345.7	349.8
T7 100% RDF + ZnSO4 @ 40 kg/ha + FYM @ 5t/ha	410.6	418.8	20.6	24.7	462.4	467.3
T <sub>8</sub> 50% NPK	263.4	269.6	16.0	18.1	306.2	309.4
T <sub>9</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N through Green Manures	330.2	339.9	18.1	22.3	406.7	411.6
$T_{10}$ 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM	347.5	358.7	19.8	23.0	432.8	437.9
T <sub>11</sub> 50% NPK + 25% N through GM + 25% N through FYM	328.5	339.6	18.5	22.9	416.9	421.5
T <sub>12</sub> FYM only @ 10 t/ha	367.8	378.4	19.2	21.7	386.3	390.7
SEm ±	12.30	13.57	0.409	0.546	12.922	13.67
CD @ 0.05	36.1	39.8	1.2	1.6	37.9	40.1
CV (%)	7.12	7.85	6.9	6.5	6.8	7.1

Table 2: Effect of long-term use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and their combination on soil MBC, WSC and KMnO4-C (mg kg-1)
under rice ( <i>Rabi</i> , 2017)

Treatments		Microbial biomass		Water soluble carbon		KMnO <sub>4</sub> - extractable	
		carbon		(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		carbon (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
		Harvest	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest	
T <sub>1</sub> Control	190.6	194.8	14.6	17.9	251.8	253.9	
T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDF	306.4	312.4	18.6	21.4	344.9	348.1	
T <sub>3</sub> 100% NK	273.8	278.9	17.9	20.5	338.3	341.9	
T4 100% PK	231.6	235.4	16.3	19.8	330.7	333.7	
T <sub>5</sub> 100% NP	255.9	258.3	17.8	19.6	332.9	335.2	
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha	314.3	317.5	19.8	22.9	348.4	352.3	
T <sub>7</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha + FYM @ 5t/ha	417.9	423.8	24.1	28.5	466.2	470.2	
T <sub>8</sub> 50% NPK	268.5	272.7	17.1	19.1	308.3	311.8	
T <sub>9</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N through Green Manures	338.6	344.9	22.5	26.1	410.5	414.7	
T10 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM	357.8	366.5	22.8	25.9	436.2	440.6	
$T_{11}$ 50% NPK + 25% N through GM + 25% N through FYM	338.1	347.8	21.6	23.6	420.3	424.9	
T <sub>12</sub> FYM only @ 10 t/ha	377.5	385.4	20.8	24.5	389.2	393.5	
SEm ±	12.82	14.96	0.477	0.75	12.20	11.55	
CD @ 0.05	37.6	43.9	1.4	2.2	35.8	33.9	
CV (%)	7.42	8.66	7.1	7.4	6.9	7.5	

 Table 3: Effect of long-term use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and their combination on soil MBC, WSC and KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) under rice (*Kharif*, 2017)

	Microbial biomass		Water soluble carbon		KMnO <sub>4</sub> - extractable	
Treatments	carbon		(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		carbon (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest
T <sub>1</sub> Control	193.5	196.9	14.3	18.9	252.7	254.8
T2 100% RDF	311.6	316.7	20.8	23.4	347.2	350.2
T <sub>3</sub> 100% NK	277.3	282.4	19.4	22.6	340.8	343.7
T4 100% PK	234.5	238.5	18.6	21.7	332.6	335.9
T5 100% NP	257.8	260.9	18.1	22.1	334.3	337.6
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha	316.5	319.9	22.2	25.9	351.7	354.9
T <sub>7</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha + FYM @ 5t/ha	423.1	428.7	28.1	32.6	469.3	472.4
T <sub>8</sub> 50% NPK	271.9	274.7	18.3	20.5	310.9	313.8
T <sub>9</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N through Green Manures	343.6	350.6	25.8	29.5	413.8	417.4
$T_{10}$ 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM	365.3	371.9	24.8	28.4	439.1	443.1
$T_{11}$ 50% NPK + 25% N through GM + 25% N through FYM	346.9	349.6	22.7	26.4	423.8	426.7
T <sub>12</sub> FYM only @ 10 t/ha	384.7	390.8	23.9	27.5	392.7	395.5
SEm ±	15.65	13.02	0.818	1.023	14.08	12.17
CD @ 0.05	45.9	38.2	2.4	3.0	41.3	35.7
CV (%)	9.05	7.53	7.9	6.8	6.74	7.9

 Table 4: Effect of long-term use of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and their combination on soil MBC, WSC and KMnO4-C (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) under rice (*Rabi*, 2018)

		Microbial biomass		Water soluble carbon		KMnO <sub>4</sub> - extractable	
Treatments	carbon		(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		carbon (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest	Initial	Harvest	
T <sub>1</sub> Control	195.3	199.3	15.3	19.9	253.8	256.9	
T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDF	315.4	320.6	22.5	25.6	349.1	353.6	
T <sub>3</sub> 100% NK	281.8	283.5	21.9	23.7	342.8	345.8	
T4 100% PK	237.6	241.9	20.6	22.4	334.7	337.9	
T <sub>5</sub> 100% NP	259.3	262.5	21.7	23.9	336.2	339.7	
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg/ha	318.5	322.1	24.3	28.9	353.1	357.2	
T7 100% RDF + ZnSO4 @ 40 kg/ha + FYM @ 5t/ha	427.6	430.2	32.1	37.4	471.1	476.9	
T <sub>8</sub> 50% NPK	273.3	277.1	19.4	21.9	312.2	315.8	
T <sub>9</sub> 50% NPK + 50% N through Green Manures	349.7	355.3	28.3	32.5	416.2	420.4	
T10 50% NPK + 50% N through FYM	370.8	374.9	27.5	31.3	442.9	446.3	
T <sub>11</sub> 50% NPK + 25% N through GM + 25% N through FYM	348.9	356.8	25.4	30.6	425.7	429.1	
T <sub>12</sub> FYM only @ 10 t/ha	389.5	394.4	26.3	29.1	394.2	398.2	
SEm ±	13.74	14.28	1.330	1.398	13.57	14.04	
CD @ 0.05	40.3	41.9	3.9	4.1	39.8	41.2	
CV (%)	7.95	8.26	7.9	8.1	7.2	7.8	

#### Water soluble carbon (WSC)

During the *kharif* season, at initial stage, the water soluble carbon in soil ranged from 14.2 to 20.6 and 14.3 to 28.1 mg  $kg^{-1}$  in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The highest water soluble

carbon 20.6, 28.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in 2016 and in 2017, respectively was recorded by the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (100% RDF+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>+ FYM @5 t/ha), it was significantly superior over other treatments but however it was on par with treatments

 $T_{10}~(50\%~NPK+~50\%~N$  through FYM) and lowest water soluble carbon of 14.2 and 14.3 mg kg^-1 were produced with treatment  $T_1~(Control)$  during two years of study.

During *rabi* season, at initial stage, among all the treatments, the highest water soluble carbon was observed in treatment  $T_7$  (100% NPK+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>+ FYM @5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly superior over all other treatments except  $T_{10}$  (50% NPK+ 50% N through FYM) during both the years of study and lowest was observed in control.

Among the inorganic treatments, at both initial and harvesting stages, the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded the highest WSC and it was significantly superior over treatment  $T_4$  (100% PK),  $T_5$  (100% NP) and  $T_8$  (50% NPK) but however it was on par with treatment  $T_2$  (100% RDF) and  $T_3$  (100% NK). Similar set of results were observed in both years of study during the *kharif* and *rabi* season.

At harvesting stage among all the treatments the treatment  $T_7$  (100% NPK+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced the significantly highest water soluble carbon content of 24.7, 28.5, 32.6 and 37.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif* and *rabi* 2016-17 and 2017-18, respectively and it was significantly superior over all other treatments and the lowest water soluble carbon content (15.8, 17.9, 18.9, 19.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were produced with treatment  $T_1$  (Control).

Water soluble C could be used as stability indicator of soil organic matter, higher value of water soluble C indicated lower stability and lower value higher stability of soil organic matter. The results suggested that application of FYM and green manure resulted in build–up of less stable soil organic matter, which will be easily decomposed with time. Positive effect of FYM and mineral fertilizers on water soluble C was reported by Simon, (2008) <sup>[8]</sup>.

# KMnO<sub>4</sub> - carbon

The glance at the data (Table 4.5,4.6, 4.7 and 4.8) revealed that soil KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C content increased from initial to harvesting stage of the crop in all the experimental treatment in all the four seasons. At initial stage, the KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C in soil ranged from 250.6 to 462.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif*, 2016; 251.8 to 466.2 in *rabi*, 2017; 252.7 to 469.3 in *kharif*, 2017 and 471.1 to 253.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *rabi*, 2018. The significantly highest (462.4, 466.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) KMnO<sub>4</sub>- carbon during *kharif* and *rabi*, respectively in 2016-17 and (469.3, 471.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in 2017-18 was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (100% NPK+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was significantly superior over other treatments and lowest KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C was recorded by the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control) at initial stage.

Among the inorganic treatments, during the *kharif* season at initial stage, the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded the highest KMnO<sub>4</sub> – carbon (345.7 in *kharif*, 16 and 351.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif*, 17 and it was significantly superior over T<sub>8</sub> and however it was on par with treatment T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF), T<sub>3</sub> (100% NK), T<sub>4</sub> (100% PK) and T<sub>5</sub> (100% NP).

During the *rabi* season, among the inorganic treatments the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF%+ ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) recorded the significantly highest KMnO<sub>4</sub> – carbon over other inorganic treatments ( $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_8$ ). The averaged percentage increase of  $T_2$  (100% RDF),  $T_3$  (100% NK),  $T_4$  (100% PK),  $T_5$ (100% NP) and  $T_8$  (50% NPK) treatments over control ( $T_1$ ) were 38.36, 36.97, 34.35, 31.33, 32.20, 22.43 and 39.12, 37.54, 35.06, 31.87, 32.46 and 23.01respectively over  $T_1$  (control) in 2017 and 2018 during the *rabi* season.

At harvesting stage among all the treatments the treatment  $T_7$ (100% RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + FYM @5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced the significantly highest KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C (467.3, 470.2472.4 and 476.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in *kharif*, *rabi* 2016-17 and *kharif*, *rabi* 2017-18, respectively which was significantly superior over all other treatments and the lowest KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C (252.9, 253.9, 254.8 and 256.9) was recorded by the treatment  $T_1$  (control).

Among the inorganic treatments,  $(T_2, T_3, T_4, T_5, T_6 \text{ and } T_8)$ , the treatment  $T_6$  (100% RDF +ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) had recorded higher KMnO<sub>4</sub>-C over  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_8$ . However, the treatment  $T_2$  (100% RDF) was on par with treatment  $T_3$  (100% NK),  $T_4$ (100% PK),  $T_5$  (100% NP) but significantly superior over treatment  $T_8$  (50% NPK). Similar trend of results were observed in both the years of study in *kharif* and *rabi* at harvesting stage.

Applications of organic manure, added substantial amount of organic carbon to soil. Thus, KMnO<sub>4</sub> extractable carbon content was more in organic treated plots than control, as KMnO<sub>4</sub> extractable carbon represented relatively younger organic compounds including labile humic material and polysaccharide (Haynes, 2005)<sup>[3]</sup>.

Thus, the continuous cropping over the years without fertilizer application (*i.e.* control) resulted in a slight increase in labile carbon content of soil. However, the buildup was considerable in fertilizer treatments and maximum in FYM amended plots. Similar results were reported by Rudrappa *et al.* (2006) <sup>[7]</sup>.

# Conclusions

The labile carbon (KMnO<sub>4</sub>- extractable carbon, MBC, WSC), less labile carbon (POC) and non-labile carbon (HAC+FAC) had contributed to soil organic carbon under different treatments in 0-15 cm soil (surface). Proportion of labile carbon was higher in case of only organics and also conjoint use of NPK and organic sources compared to control and inorganics only. Whenever, crops are grown continuously for several years in the same piece of land with application of inorganic fertilizers alone, the physical, chemical and biological activity of soils will be affected. Hence integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers is the best practice not only for improving the soil fertility and yields of crops but also to build up the carbon status.

# References

- 1. Blair GJ, Lefroy RDB, Lisle L. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems. Australian Journal of Soil Research. 1995; 46:1459-1466.
- 2. Gurra LC, Bhuinya SI, Toung TP, Barker R. Producing more rice with less water, International Water Management Institute, SWIM. Paper. 1998; 5:24-28.
- 3. Haynes RJ. Labile organic matter fractions as central components of the quality of agricultural soils: An overview. Advances in Agronomy. 2005; 85:221-268.
- 4. Lal R. The Nexus of Soil, Water and Waste; UNUFLORES: Dresden, Germany, 2013.
- McGill WB, Caon KR, Robertson JA, Cook FD. Dynamics of soil microbial biomass and water soluble organic C in Breton L after 50 years of cropping of two rotations. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. 1986; 93:371-376.
- Parton WJ, Rasmussen PE. Long-term effects of crop management in wheat fallow: II. Country model simulations. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1994; 58:530-536.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

- Rudrappa L, Purakayastha TJ, Singh D, Bhadraray S. Long-term manuring and fertilization effects on soil organic carbon pools in a Typic Haplustept of semi-arid sub-tropical India. Soil and Tillage Research. 2006; 88:180-92.
- 8. Simon T. The influence of long-term organic and mineral fertilization on soil organic matter. Soil Water Research. 2008; 2:41-51.
- 9. Verma G, Mathur AK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on active pools of soil organic matter under maize-wheat system of a Typic Haplustept. Journal of Indian Society of soil Science. 2009; 7(3):317-322.
- Weil RW, Islam KR, Strine M, Gruver JB, Samson SE. Estimating active carbon for soil quality assessment: A simplified method for laboratory and field use. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture. 2003; 18:3-17.