# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(5): 607-612 © 2018 IJCS Received: 25-07-2018 Accepted: 30-08-2018

### Abhishek Sharma

Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

P Sirothiya MGCGV, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### SB Agrawal

Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

US Mishra MGCGV, Chitrakoot, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India

P Shrivastava Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. India

Correspondence Abhishek Sharma Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

# Effect of integrated nutrient management on nodulation and yield of soybean

### Abhishek Sharma, P Sirothiya, SB Agrawal, US Mishra and P Shrivastava

### Abstract

Soyean (Glycie max L. Merril) is a very important oil seed and protein rich crop. It has the capacity to fix atmospheric nitrogen through root nodule bacteria in symbiosis with soybean. Most of the farmers grow soybean without fertilizer and also realize the carry over effect of legume crop on the succeeding wheat crop. The concept of integrated nutrient supply involving organic manures and inorganic fertilizers used to sustained agriculture production and maintain soil health as well as produced crop with less expenditure. Keeping the above points in view the present experiment was carried out for two years in 2016 and 2017. A field experiment was carried out at research farm, Deptt. of soil science & Agricultural chemistry, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) during Kharif seasons. The experiment consisted of eleven treatment combinations (T1- FYM 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium, T2- FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>3-</sub> FYM 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>4</sub>- Vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium, T5- Vermicompost 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium+ Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>6</sub>- Vermicompost 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T7-Poultry Manure 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T8-Poultry Manure 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>9</sub>- Poultry Manure 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer,T10-100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha-1) and T11 Absolute control. Amongst the INM treatments, T<sub>5</sub> (Vermicompost 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium+ Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer) resulted in higher grain and straw yield, maximum number of root nodule formation plant<sup>-1</sup> as well as their dry weight at every stage of plant growth. At 60 DAS maximum root nodules were 23.9 plant<sup>-1</sup> as against only 14.4 plant<sup>-1</sup> under control treatment. Similarly the dry weight of root nodules at 60 DAS was 46.3 mg plant<sup>-1</sup> as against only 22.3 mg under control treatment.

Keywords: integrated nutrient management, soybean, nodulation and yield

### Introduction

Soybean (Glycine max L.) is considered as a wonder crop of 21st century which is the top oil seed in the world production. It is an important oil seed crop in addition to source of food, feed and nutrition. (Imkongtoshi and Gohain, 2009)<sup>[14]</sup>. It is an excellent health food and contains about 44 per cent good quality protein, 20 per cent cholesterol free oil, 20 per cent carbohydrate and 0.69 per cent phosphorus (Gahukar, 1997) <sup>[12]</sup>. After green revolution chemical fertilizers has been used at a great extent in all the crops which decrease the fertility and profile of the soil. Due to various side effects of chemical fertilizers, use of organic fertilizers is an alternative method for the improvement of crop production and maintenance of soil fertility. In present situations intensive agriculture requires high input of fertilizers and cost of fertilizers increase constantly. Therefore it is necessary to device such improved practices of cultivation which can minimize the cost and also the dependence on chemical fertilizers use of chemical fertilizers no doubt have boosted the crop growth and yield, but to larger extent they have contributed to soil deterioration. Organic manures help to increase biological activity of soil microbes and improve soil structure, water holding capacity and other physico-chemical properties of soil (Devi et al. 2013)<sup>[10]</sup>. FYM supplies all major nutrients necessary for plant growth, as well as micronutrients. Hence, it acts as a mixed fertilizer (Khan et al. 2010)<sup>[22]</sup>, (M. Dejene and M. Lemlem, 2012)<sup>[18]</sup>. Application of Vermicompost is a sustainable technology capable to improve plants growth and yield (Castillo et al., 2010)<sup>[8]</sup>. Poultry manure (PM) is widely used as an organic fertilizer that is effective in improving soil properties and crop production (Dikinya & Mufwanzala, 2010)<sup>[11]</sup>. Integration of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients along with biofertilizers is found to give higher productivity and monetary returns in soybean (Bhattacharyya et al., 2008)<sup>[7]</sup>.

### **Material and Methods**

The experiment was conducted during rainy seasons of 2016 and 2017 at the research field JNKV, Jabalpur; Madhya Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. There were eleven treatments with following details. T<sub>1</sub>- FYM 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium, T<sub>2</sub>- FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>3</sub>- FYM 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>4</sub>-Vermicompost 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium, T<sub>5</sub>-Vermicompost 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>6</sub>-Vermicompost 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>7</sub>- Poultry Manure 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>8</sub>- Poultry Manure 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>9</sub>- Poultry Manure 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer, T<sub>10</sub>- 100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_{11}$ - Absolute control. Soyean variety JS-9752 was sown @ 75 kg seed  $ha^{-1}$  in rows 45 cm. The recommended dose of fertilizer N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O was applied @ 25:60:40 kg  $ha^{-1}$  for soybean crop. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium was applied through chemical fertilizer through urea, SSP and muriate of potash.

### Nutrient sources

## Application of FYM, Poultry manure and Vermicompost

Well decomposed farm yard manure, poultry manure and vermicompost were applied as per treatment at the time of sowing and thoroughly incorporated in soil with the help of spade.

# Chemical analysis of FYM, Vermicompost and Poultry manure

A representative homogeneous sample each of the above manures was taken and analyzed for available N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  content. The contents are given in (table 1).

|--|

		Composition (%)						
INM Component 2		2016		2017				
-	Ν	P2O5	K <sub>2</sub> O	Ν	P2O5	K <sub>2</sub> O		
Farm Yard Manure (FYM)	0.48	0.18	0.45	0.49	0.18	0.46		
Vermicompost (VC)	1.50	0.62	1.02	1.52	0.63	1.04		
Poultry Manure (PM)	1.80	1.60	1.40	1.82	1.64	1.42		

	Treatments details	Kharif 2016					
SN		Manures Content			Fertilizer Content		
<b>5.</b> IN.		Ν	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	Ν	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O
T1	FYM 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium	28.8	10.8	27.0	_		_
T <sub>2</sub>	FYM 4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	19.2	7.2	18.0	5.8	52.8	22.0
T3	FYM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	9.6	3.6	9.0	15.4	56.4	31.0
T4	VC 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium	30.0	12.4	20.4		I	_
T5	VC 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	22.5	9.3	15.3	2.5	51.0	25.0
T <sub>6</sub>	VC 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	15.0	6.2	10.2	10.0	54.0	30.0
T <sub>7</sub>	PM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	36.0	32.0	28.0	_	_	_
T <sub>8</sub>	PM 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	27.0	24.0	21.0	_	36.0	19.0
T9	PM 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	18.0	16.0	14.0	7.0	44.0	26.0
T <sub>10</sub>	100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	_	_	_	25.0	60.0	40.0
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Treatments details	Kharif 2017					
S. N.		Manures Content		Fertilizer Content			
		Ν	P2O5	K <sub>2</sub> O	Ν	P2O5	K <sub>2</sub> O
T1	FYM 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium	29.4	10.8	27.6		I	_
T <sub>2</sub>	FYM 4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	19.6	7.2	18.4	5.4	52.8	21.6
T3	FYM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	9.8	3.6	9.2	15.2	56.4	30.8
T4	VC 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium	30.4	12.6	20.8		I	_
T5	VC 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	22.8	9.45	15.6	2.2	50.55	24.4
T <sub>6</sub>	VC 1 t ha-1 Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	15.2	6.3	10.4	9.8	53.7	29.6
T <sub>7</sub>	PM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	36.4	32.8	28.4		I	_
T8	PM 1.5 t $ha^{-1}$ + RRDFCF	27.3	24.6	21.3		35.4	18.7
T9	PM 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	18.2	16.4	14.2	6.8	43.6	25.8
T <sub>10</sub>	100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	_	_	_	25.0	60.0	40.0
T <sub>11</sub>	Control		_				

 Table 2: Applied doses of nutrients from manures & fertilizers

 $RRDFCF = Remaining \ of \ RDF \ through \ Chemical \ fertilizer$ 

# Number and weight of root-nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>

Five plants from each plot were taken to estimate soybean nodulation (number of nodule, nodule and dry weight of nodule) and dry weight of nodule was recorded in mg, dried in hot air oven at 60 °C for 3-4 days (till constant weight) at 30, 45 and 60 days of sowing (DAS).The crop was harvested plot wise and yields of seed and Stover were recorded.

### **Results and Discussion**

### Number of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>

The formation of root nodules per plant was influenced up to significant extent due to different INM treatments applied to soybean (Table 3.1). The root nodules increased up to 45 DAS and then decreased in all the treatments. The treatment  $T_5$  having VC 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium +

RRDFCF performed the best in the formation of maximum number of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> at 30,45 and 60 DAS stages of plant growth. The maximum root nodules at 30 DAS were 19.8 plant<sup>-1</sup> at 60 DAS 30.0 plant<sup>-1</sup> and 60 DAS 23.9 plant<sup>-1</sup>. The second best treatment was T<sub>2</sub> having FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF 19.5, 28.9 and 23.0 plant<sup>-1</sup> at 30, 45 and 60 DAS, respectively. The third best treatment was T<sub>6</sub> having VC 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) and T<sub>4</sub> (VC 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) and T<sub>4</sub> (VC 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium). The significantly lowest root-nodules were observed under the control treatment i.e. 13.9, 19.1 and 14.4 root- nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> at 30, 45 and 60 DAS stages, respectively.

### Dry weight of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>

The periodically dry weight of root nodules was also recorded treatment-wise and after statistical analysis the data are presented in (Table 3.2) it is apparent that this parameter was influenced up to significant extent due to applied INM treatment. Accordingly, the treatment  $T_5$  (VC 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) resulted in maximum dry weight of root-nodules at every stage of plant growth. Thus the highest dry weight at 30 DAS was 16.0 mg plant<sup>-1</sup>, at 45 DAS 60.1 mg plant<sup>-1</sup> and at 60 DAS 46.3 mg dry weight of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>. The second best INM treatment was T<sub>2</sub> (FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) which recorded 15.6, 58.1 and 42.8 mg dry weight at 30, 45 and 60 DAS, respectively. The third best treatment was  $T_6$  (VC 1.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) and then  $T_3$  (FYM 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) and T<sub>4</sub> (VC 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium). The significantly minimum dry weight of root nodules (only 9.7, 27.8 and 22.3 mg at the respective stages) was noted in case of control  $(T_{11})$  treatment. The treatments T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>9</sub> also recorded this parameter almost equally in the lowest range.

### **Productivity parameters**

The critical observation of the data as presented in (Table 3.3) indicate that the grain and Stover yield of soybean were influenced significantly due to different INM treatments. Out of the eleven INM treatments,  $T_5$  (VC 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) resulted in highest grain yield (1923 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Stover yield (3192 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). This was closely followed by  $T_2$  (FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) where the grain yield was 1895 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Stover yield 3084 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The third position attained by  $T_8$  (PM 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF) where the grain yield was 1852 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Stover yield 2868 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The fourth best INM treatment was  $T_{10}$  having 100 NPK. The significantly lowest grain yield (1197 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Stover yield (2147 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in case of control treatment.

### **Root nodulation**

Root nodulation studies at 30, 45 and 60 DAS growth stages indicated that the different INM treatments brought about significant changes in the formation of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> as well as on their dry weight. Amongst the INM treatments,  $T_5$  (VC 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF)

resulted in maximum number of root nodule formation plant<sup>-1</sup> as well as their dry weightplant<sup>-1</sup> at every stage of plant growth. At 60 DAS maximum root nodules were 23.9 plant<sup>-1</sup> as against only 14.4 plant<sup>-1</sup> under control treatment. Similarly the dry weight of root nodules at 60 DAS was 46.3 mg plant<sup>-1</sup> as against only 22.3 mg under control treatment. The second and third best treatments were T<sub>2</sub> (FYM 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) and then  $T_6$  (Vermicompost 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) respectively. The maximum increase in root-nodules and their dry weight plant<sup>-1</sup> in treatment  $T_5$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_6$  may be ascribed to the adequate availability of multinutrient and increased proliferation of nitrogen fixing as well as phosphorussolubilizing bacteria in the rhizosphere (root-zone) and their increased activity. These results are in conformity with those of (Patel and Puraji, 2003)<sup>[25]</sup>, (Bandhyopadhyay et al., 2004) <sup>[5]</sup>, (More et al., 2008) <sup>[21]</sup>, (Alam et al., 2009) <sup>[3]</sup>, (Lone et al., 2009) [17], (Mohod et al., 2010) [20], (Gunjal et al., 2010) [13], (Ahsan *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[1]</sup>.

### Productivity of soybean

The data summarized in (table 3.3) indicate that the grain and stover yield (1923 and 3192 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were found significantly higher in case of T<sub>5</sub> (Vermicompost 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF) as compared to most of the other INM treatments. However this was closely followed by  $T_2$  (1895 and 3084 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_8$  (1852 and 2868 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> grain and stover respectively).On the other hand, the significantly lowest yield (1197 kg grain and 2147 kg stover) was secured from the control treatment. This might be owing to maximum growth parameters and consequently yieldattributes as a result of higher rate of photosynthesis which is always associated with higher productivity (Sanwal et al., 2007)<sup>[27]</sup>. The higher yield response due to T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> INM treatments having higher amount of FYM and vermicomposting is ascribed to improvement in physicochemical and biological properties of the soil and nutrient use efficiency resulting in better supply of multi plant-nutrients led to good crop growth and yields. The significant variation in grain yield response to different INM treatments (FYM or VC with biofertilizers and NPK) might be due to variations in their nutrient composition, decomposition of organic residues, carbon: nitrogen ratio, nutrient release pattern, climate and soil characteristics. The present results are in accordance with the findings of (Behera et al., 2007)<sup>[6]</sup>, (Mahesh Babu et al., 2008) [19], (Reddy et al., 2009) [26], (Akbari et al., 2010) [2], (Dashora and Solanki, 2010) <sup>[9]</sup>, (Palve et al., 2011) <sup>[24]</sup>, (Bachhav et al.2012)<sup>[4]</sup>, (Singh et al.,2012)<sup>[29]</sup>, (Jain, (2015) <sup>[16]</sup>, (Sheikh et al., 2015) <sup>[28]</sup>, (Yagoub et al. 2015) <sup>[32]</sup>, (Vitnor et al., 2015)<sup>[31]</sup>, (Jaga and Sharma, 2015)<sup>[15]</sup>, (Nagar et al., 2016)<sup>[23]</sup> and (Sutrismo, 2017)<sup>[30]</sup>.

### Conclusion

The findings of the two years of experiment on soybean allude that amongst the INM treatments, application of  $T_5$  (Vermicompost 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium+ Remaining of RDF through chemical fertilizer) recorded almost significantly higher number of root nodules, dry weight of root nodules and grain and stover yield.

Table 3.1: Root nodules per plant at different intervals of soybean as influenced by integrated nutrient management treatments (Pooled for 2 years)

Treatments		Number of nodule plant <sup>-1</sup>				
Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS			
FYM 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	17.4	26.0	20.2			
FYM 4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	19.5	28.9	23.0			
FYM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	18.8	27.6	21.5			
VC 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	17.9	26.8	21.2			
VC 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	19.8	30.0	23.9			
VC 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	19.1	28.2	22.6			
PM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	14.5	20.4	17.2			
PM 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	14.8	21.0	18.1			
PM 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	15.2	22.5	18.5			
100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	15.5	22.9	18.8			
Control	13.9	19.1	14.4			
SEm (±)	1.39	1.83	1.70			
CD (P=0.05)	4.09	5.38	5.00			

RRDFCF=Remaining of RDF through Chemical fertilizer

 Table 3.2: Dry weight root nodules per plant at different intervals of soybean as influenced by integrated nutrient management treatments (Pooled for 2 years)

Turaturata	Dry weight of nodule plant <sup>-1</sup> (mg)				
1 realments	<b>30 DAS</b>	45 DAS	60 DAS		
FYM 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	11.6	47.4	31.3		
FYM 4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	15.6	58.1	42.8		
FYM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	13.7	51.2	39.0		
VC 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	13.5	51.0	34.3		
VC 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	16.0	60.1	46.3		
VC 1 t ha-1 Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	15.2	54.0	40.8		
PM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	9.8	35.1	24.1		
PM 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	9.8	40.1	25.8		
PM 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	10.1	41.9	26.3		
100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	11.0	44.0	28.9		
Control	9.7	27.8	22.3		
SEm (±)	0.98	3.82	2.67		
CD (P=0.05)	2.88	11.26	7.85		

RRDFCF=Remaining of RDF through Chemical fertilizer

Table 3.3: Effect of integrated nutrient management on seed yield and Stover yield (Pooled for 2 years)

Treatments	Grain Yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover Yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
FYM 6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	1457	2355
FYM 4 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	1895	3084
FYM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	1516	2592
VC 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium	1476	2471
VC 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	1923	3192
VC 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> Enriched with PSB & Rhizobium + RRDFCF	1603	2637
PM 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	1432	2274
PM 1.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	1852	2868
PM 1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> + RRDFCF	1490	2510
100 % of RDF NPK (25:60:40 kg NPK ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1799	2824
Control	1197	2147
SEm (±)	131	215
CD (P=0.05)	385	636

RRDFCF=Remaining of RDF through Chemical fertilizer



**Fig 1.1:** Number of root nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> ~ 610 ~



Fig 1.2: Dry weight of root nodules per plant (mg-1)



Fig 1.3: Grain and Stover yield Kg ha-1

### References

- 1. Ahsan MR, Akter M, Alam MS, Haque MMA. Nodulation, yield and quality of soybean as influenced by integrated nutrient management. J Agro for. Environ. 2012; 6(1):33-37.
- 2. Akbari KN, Sutaria GS, Vora VD, Hirpara DS, Padmani DR. Response of oilseed crops to enriched and vermicompost on *Vertic ustochrept* under rain fed conditions. Asian J. Soil Sci. 2010; 5 (1):172-174.
- 3. Alam MA, Siddiqua A, Chowdhury MAH, Prodhan MY. Nodulation, yield and quality of soybean as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Journal Bangladesh Agriculture University. 2009; 7(2):229-234.
- 4. Bachhav SD, Patel SH, Suryawanshi PK. Yield and economics of soybean influenced by integrated nutrient management practices. International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement. 2012; 3(2):140-143.
- Bandyopadhyay KK, Gosh PK, Chaudhary RS, Hati KM, Mandal KG, Mishra AK. Integrated nutrient management practices in soybean (*Glycine max*) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) in sole and intercropping system in a Vertisol. Indian journal of agricultural sciences. 2004; 74(2):55-63.
- 6. Behera UK, Mishra AN, Pandey HN. Sustaining productivity of wheat-soybean cropping system through integrated nutrient management practices on the Vertisols of Central India. Plant and Soil. 2007; 297(1-2):185-199.
- 7. Bhattacharya, Ranjan, Ved Prakash, Kundu S, Ghosh BN, Gupta HS. Potassium availability as influenced by farmyard manure application under continuous soybean-

wheat cropping in a Typic Haplaquept. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 2008; 56(2):182-185.

- 8. Castillo JM, Nogales R, Romero E. Vermicompost from agro industrial wastes and pesticides effects on soil microbial activity. Environmental and sanitary aspects of manure and organic residues utilization. 2010; 6:1-4.
- Dashora LN, Solanki NS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity of urdbean under rainfed conditions. Journal of Food Legumes. 2010; 23(3&4):249-250.
- Devi KN, Singh TB, Athokpam HS, Singh NB, Shamurailatpam D. Influence of inorganic, biological and organic manures on nodulation and yield of soybean (*Glycine max Merril L.*) and soil properties. Australian journal of crop science (AJCS), 2013; 7(9):1407-1415.
- Dikinya O, Mufwanzala N. Chicken manure enhanced soil fertility and productivity: Effects of application rates. Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management. 2010; 1:46–54.
- 12. Gahukar RT. Soybean lagwadiche Adhunik Tantradnyan. Agri.-Horticultural Publishing House, Nagpur. 1997; 5-7.
- Gunjal BS, Pawar AD, Ugale NS, Chitodkar SS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merril]. International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement. 2010; 1(2):80-83.
- 14. Imkongtoshi, Gohain T. Integrated nutrient management in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under terrace cultivation of Nagaland. Crop Research. 2009; 38(1, 2 & 3):39-42.
- 15. Jaga PK, Sharma S. Effect of biofertilizers and fertilizers on productivity of Soybean. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2015; 17(2):171-174.

- Jain RC. Effect of integrated nutrient management and mulching on growth and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] in clay loam soil. Current World Environment. 2015; 10(2):710-714.
- Lone, Bilal Ahmed, Hasan, Badrul S Ansar-ul-haq, Singh A. Effect of seed rate, row spacing and fertility levels on growth and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) under temperature conditions. Journal of Agricultural and biological Science. 2009; 4(3):7-10.
- Dejene M, Lemlem M. Integrated Agronomic Crop Managements to Improve Tef Productivity under Terminal Drought," In: I. Md. M. Rahman and H. Hasegawa, Eds., Water Stress, In Tech Open Science. 2012; 235-254.
- 19. Maheshbabu HM, Ravi Hunje, Patil NKB, Babalad HB. Effect of organic manures on plant growth, seed yield and quality of soybean. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2008; 21(2):219-221.
- 20. Mohod NB, Seema Nemade, Ghadage P. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters of soybean. Green Farming. 2010; 1(3):270-271.
- 21. More SR, Mendhe SN, Kolte HS, Venprediwar MD, Choudhary RL. Growth and yield attributes of soybean as influenced by nutrient management. Journal of Soil and Crops. 2008; 18(1):154-157.
- 22. Khan NI, Malik AU, Umer F, Bodla MI. Effect of Tillage and Farm Yard Manure on Physical Prop- erties of Soil," International Research Journal of Plant Science. 2010; 1(4):75-82.
- 23. Nagar G, Abraham T, Kumar D. Effect of different solid and liquid forms of organic manure on growth and yield of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. Adv. Res. J Crop Improv. 2016; 7(1):56-59.
- 24. Palve DK, Oza SR, Jadhav JD, Ghule PL. Growth studies of soybean under different nutritional requirement. Advnace research journal of crop improvement. 2011; 2(1):86-91.
- 25. Patel SM, Puraji BJ. Effect of organic manures and fertilizer levels on growth, yield parameters and yield of irrigated soybean. I. S. O. R. National Seminar: Stress management in Oilseeds. 2003; 276-277.
- Reddy R, Uma SN, Reddy MS. Yield, yield attributes and oil content of soybean as influenced by INM in soybeanmaize cropping system. International Journal of agricultural Science. 2009; 5(1):15-17.
- Sanwal SK, Laxminarayana K, Yadav RK, Rai N, yadav DS, Bhuyan Mousami. Effect of organic manures on soil fertility, growth, physiology, yield and quality of turmeric. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2007; 61(1):71:73.
- Sheikh MA, Dwivedi P, Dwivedi HS. Impact of Chemical Fertilizer and Organic Manure on the Germination and Growth of Soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Advances in Life Science and Technology. 2015; 31:73-77.
- 29. Singh M, Kumar N. Effect of FYM, vermicompost, vermiwash and NPK on growth, microbial biomass and yield of soybean. Soybean Research. 2012; 10:60-66.
- 30. Sutrisno HK. Effect of manure, Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, and chemical fertilizer application on the growth and yield of soybean. Nusantara bioscience. 2017; 9(2):126-132.
- 31. Vitnor S, Lal EP, Rao KP. Studies on Integrated Nutrient Management on Seed Yield and Quality of Green gram

(*Vigna Radiate* L.). International Journal of Recent Research in Life Sciences (IJRRLS). 2015; 2(2):42-45.

 Yagoub SO, Salam A, Hassan MM, Hassan MA. Effects of organic and mineral fertilizers on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril). International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2015; 7(1):45-52.