



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(5): 921-924

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 04-07-2018

Accepted: 08-08-2018

BL Meena

Department of Horticulture,
RCA, MPUAT Udaipur
Rajasthan, India

RA Kaushik

Department of Horticulture,
RCA, MPUAT Udaipur
Rajasthan, India

DK Sarolia

ICAR-CIAH, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

RK Meena

ICAR-CIAH, Bikaner,
Rajasthan, India

D Singh

Kulbhaskar Ashram PG College
Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of post harvest treatments on keeping quality of Aonla fruits in liquid medium during storage

BL Meena, RA Kaushik, DK Sarolia, RK Meena and D Singh

Abstract

The present investigation was conducted in the month of February to April on aonla fruits impregnated in liquid subjected to be treated with different chemicals (NaCl, KMS, Calcium lactate, EDTA, SB) in the combination of 15% NaCl (T₁), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS (T₂), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS+0.2% Calcium lactate (T₃), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS+0.1% EDTA (T₄), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB (T₅), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB+0.2% Calcium lactate (T₆), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB+0.1% EDTA (T₇), 15% NaCl+0.025% KMS+0.025% SB (T₈) with the objective to enhance the shelf life fruits. The chemical changes were analyzed at fortnight interval with regards to TSS, acidity, ascorbic acid, phenol and NEB value of fruits during storage up to 60 days. Treatment (s) i.e., T₇ (15 % NaCl + 0.05 % SB + 0.1 % EDTA) and T₆ (15 % NaCl + 0.05 % SB + 0.2 calcium lactate) in combination with EDTA or calcium lactate in liquid medium effectively preserved and retained aonla fruits in better fruit quality in terms of low non enzymatic browning, higher ascorbic acid, acidity and TSS content up to two months of storage at ambient temperature.

Keywords: Aonla, post harvest dip treatments, keeping quality

Introduction

Aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Geartn.) is one of the oldest minor fruits of India and considered to be a "wonder fruit for health" because of its unique properties. It belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae and is native to India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia and China (Jain and Khurdiya, 2004). It is known by different names such as 'Amla, Amalakki, Nelli, Indian gooseberry', etc. It is one of the most important fruits of the tropics and subtropics of the Indian subcontinent. In India, it occupied an area of 108 thousand hectare, production of 989 thousand tonnes with 10.87 tonnes/ha productivity (Anonymous, 2017) [2]. Chemical treatments play an important role in increasing the shelf life of aonla. During storage, physico-chemical and biochemical changes affect the final texture and quality of fruits. The effect of elucidating the maintenance of fruit quality has been based on the modifications taking place in the cell wall (Brummell *et al.*, 2004) [3], with calcium having a profound effect on the above changes (Singh and Singh, 1999) [15] and it combined with Ca, Na its salt and permitted food preservatives namely KMS and SB used in liquid medium impregnation of aonla fruits for enhancing the shelf life during storage. Keeping this in view the present experiments were undertaken.

Material Method

The present investigation was conducted on aonla cv. NA-7 in the Post Harvest Technology Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, in the month of February to April. The experiment was comprised of 15% NaCl (T₁), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS (T₂), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS+0.2% Calcium lactate (T₃), 15% NaCl+0.05% KMS+0.1% EDTA (T₄), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB (T₅), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB+0.2% Calcium lactate (T₆), 15% NaCl+0.05% SB+0.1% EDTA (T₇), 15% NaCl+0.025% KMS+0.025% SB (T₈). All the treatments were replicated thrice in Completely Randomized Design. For each treatment used three kg fruits and solution were prepared by dissolving the desired quantity of chemicals as per treatment in distilled water. The fruits were washed with water then kept into plastic bucket and prepared liquid medium was poured and level was maintained 10 cm above the fruits and stored at ambient temperature that varied from 25°C to 45°C during studies. Observations were taken at 15 days interval up to 2 month of storage

Correspondence**BL Meena**

Department of Horticulture,
RCA, MPUAT Udaipur
Rajasthan, India

(0, 15th, 30th, 45th and 60th days of storage). All data were analyzed statistically as per suggested by Panse & Sukatme (1985).

Results and Discussion

Total Soluble Solids (TSS)

The retention or minimum increase in TSS content of fruit during storage is desirable for preservation of good fruit quality. It is clear from the data TSS content of *aonla* fruit increased with the advancement of storage during the entire period of experimentation under all chemical treatments but the rate of increase was significantly higher in T₆ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% SB + 0.2% Calcium lactate) than in other treatments. The minimum increase in TSS from 10.36°Brix to 12.69°Brix (22.49%) was recorded in T₁ treatment (15% NaCl) while maximum increase in TSS content from 10.47°Brix to 16.05°Brix (53.29%) was recorded in T₆ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% SB + 0.2% Calcium lactate) at the end of the storage.

The total soluble solids content in the fruits increased apparently during storage, which might be due to hydrolysis of polysaccharides (starch) into monosaccharides (sugars) and increase in concentration of fruit due to dehydration. A similar increase in total soluble solids content with the increase in storage period was observed in different stored fruits by Kalra and Bhardwaj (1981)^[9], Verma and Gupta (2004)^[17], Kumar *et al.*, (2009)^[10], Manolopoulou and Varzakas (2011)^[11], Ediriweera *et al.*, (2012)^[5], Gupta and Deen (2013)^[6].

Acidity (%)

During storage period, acidity of fruits showed continuous decrease until the last day of storage in the present investigation. At the end of experimentation the maximum acidity was found in T₇ (0.812%) closely followed by T₆ (0.792%) and minimum in T₁ (0.612%) treatment. It was observed that various treatments applied either effectively maintained the acidity or allowed little decline in the acidity of the fruits. The decrease in acidity observed during storage could be attributed to the chemical interaction between the organic constituents of the fruits induced by the temperature and action of enzymes. The minimum decrease in acidity during storage by application of different chemical treatments was also observed by Damodaran *et al.*, (2001)^[4], Singh and Borase (2003)^[16], Verma and Gupta (2004)^[17], Kumar *et al.*, (2009)^[10], Manolopoulou and Varzakas (2011)^[11].

Ascorbic acid (mg 100g⁻¹)

The ascorbic acid content of the *aonla* fruits during storage reduced with the advancement of storage period because the ascorbic acid is very sensitive to oxidation. This may be due to oxidation of ascorbic acid into dehydroascorbic acid by enzyme ascorbinase. The minimum decrease in ascorbic acid content of *aonla* fruit from 401.0 to 296.1 mg 100g⁻¹ fruit pulp (35.42%) was recorded in T₃ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% KMS + 0.2% Calcium lactate) while maximum decrease in ascorbic acid content from 526.5 to 357.6 mg 100g⁻¹ fruit pulp (47.23%) was recorded in T₇ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% SB + 0.1% EDTA) at the end of the storage.

The rate of decrease in ascorbic acid content of *aonla* fruit was significantly affected by different chemical treatments. Because the combined application of sodium chloride, potassium meta-bi-sulphite and calcium lactate reduced the oxidation of ascorbic acid during storage for longer time. Losses in ascorbic acid content and effect of different chemical treatments were also noticed by various workers Yadav *et al.* (2017)^[18] and Pandey *et al.* (2006)^[13].

Phenols (%)

The rate of decrease in phenol content was significantly affected by different chemical treatments during storage. In the present investigation, the maximum phenol (0.357%) was observed in T₆ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% SB + 0.2% Calcium lactate) and minimum (0.298%) in T₁ treatment (15% NaCl). The phenols content of the fruits during storage decreased with the advancement of storage period because the phenols are sensitive to oxidation. The higher phenols content of the fruits due to sodium benzoate, calcium lactate treatments might be because both these chemicals reduced phenols oxidation. The findings of the present investigation are supported by Hamauzu and Kume (2005)^[7] in fresh prunes, Patthamakanokporn *et al.* (2008)^[14] in guava, Singh and Borase (2003)^[16] and Kumar *et al.*, (2009)^[10] in different fruits and vegetables.

Non-enzymatic browning

Browning reactions are of prime importance because these adversely affect the appearance, flavour, and nutritive value of fruits. Variability in browning of various fruit is caused by three types of general reactions i.e.

- Nitrogenous compounds and sugar.
- Organic acid and sugar.
- Nitrogenous compounds and organic acid.

Data presented in Table 5 indicates that the non-enzymatic browning was enhanced under all the chemical treatments with the advancement of storage period. However, the non-enzymatic browning was significantly lower (0.357) in T₇ treatment (15% NaCl + 0.05% SB + 0.1% EDTA) as compared to other treatments at the end of storage. A gradual increase in browning in *aonla* fruits with increase in storage period at room temperature might be due to the enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions in the fruits. The fruits treated with sodium chloride, sodium benzoate and EDTA showed minimum non-enzymatic browning during storage. The possible reasons might be due to inactivation of enzymes by sodium chloride, sodium benzoate and protective action of β-carotene against non-enzymatic browning. Results of this experiment are in agreement with Kumar *et al.*, (2009)^[10], Manolopoulou and Varzakas (2011)^[11], Ediriweera *et al.*, (2012)^[5], Gupta and Deen (2013)^[6].

Thus, sodium benzoate preservative treatment (s) i.e., T₇ (15 % NaCl + 0.05 % SB + 0.1 % EDTA) and T₆ (15 % NaCl + 0.05 % SB + 0.2 calcium lactate) in combination with EDTA or calcium lactate in liquid medium effectively preserved and retained *aonla* fruits in better fruit quality in terms of low non enzymatic browning, higher ascorbic acid, acidity and TSS content up to two months of storage at ambient temperature.

Table 1: Effect of post harvest chemical treatments on total soluble solids ($^{\circ}$ Brix) of *aonla* fruit during storage

Treatments	Storage period (days)				
	0	15	30	45	60
T ₁	10.36	11.24	11.98	12.24	12.69
T ₂	10.49	12.98	12.98	13.55	14.54
T ₃	10.53	13.62	13.63	14.05	14.96
T ₄	10.74	12.58	12.59	13.16	14.37
T ₅	10.57	13.23	13.25	13.95	14.70
T ₆	10.47	14.03	14.05	15.07	16.05
T ₇	10.95	13.71	13.71	14.12	15.14
T ₈	11.04	13.81	13.81	14.56	15.70
S. Em \pm	0.130	0.150	0.161	0.162	0.173
CD (p=0.05)	0.376	0.433	0.463	0.467	0.498

Table 2: Effect of post harvest chemical treatments on acidity (%) of *aonla* fruit during storage

Treatments	Storage period (days)				
	0	15	30	45	60
T ₁	1.540	1.003	0.712	0.672	0.612
T ₂	1.605	0.932	0.932	0.792	0.722
T ₃	1.388	0.851	0.852	0.782	0.702
T ₄	1.666	0.931	0.932	0.802	0.732
T ₅	1.623	0.911	0.912	0.802	0.752
T ₆	1.837	0.961	0.962	0.893	0.792
T ₇	1.926	1.059	1.059	0.992	0.812
T ₈	1.725	0.932	0.934	0.862	0.761
S. Em \pm	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.011	0.010
CD (p=0.05)	0.020	0.021	0.022	0.032	0.028

Table 3: Effect of post harvest chemical treatments on ascorbic acid (mg 100g⁻¹ fruit pulp) of *aonla* fruit during storage

Treatments	Storage period (days)				
	0	15	30	45	60
T ₁	401.2	387.4	371.3	346.3	287.5
T ₂	416.6	373.6	373.6	352.5	291.2
T ₃	401.0	372.1	372.5	349.1	296.1
T ₄	433.9	387.1	387.5	379.3	301.9
T ₅	408.9	366.3	366.7	349.4	290.5
T ₆	442.5	397.2	397.6	372.4	343.3
T ₇	445.5	416.8	416.8	390.5	357.6
T ₈	416.6	371.3	371.3	349.1	280.4
S. Em \pm	5.705	4.838	4.824	4.848	4.114
CD (p=0.05)	16.43	13.94	13.90	13.96	11.85

Table 4: Effect of post harvest chemical treatment on phenol (%) of *aonla* fruit during storage

Treatments	Storage period (days)				
	0	15	30	45	60
T ₁	0.827	0.663	0.457	0.392	0.298
T ₂	0.833	0.462	0.462	0.402	0.306
T ₃	0.833	0.499	0.499	0.434	0.326
T ₄	0.822	0.462	0.462	0.402	0.302
T ₅	0.834	0.512	0.512	0.443	0.332
T ₆	0.846	0.549	0.549	0.493	0.357
T ₇	0.839	0.530	0.531	0.462	0.343
T ₈	0.840	0.539	0.539	0.479	0.352
S. Em \pm	0.010	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.004
CD (p=0.05)	0.029	0.017	0.019	0.016	0.013

Table 5: Effect of post harvest chemicals treatments on NEB of *aonla* fruit during storage

Treatments	Storage period (days)				
	0	15	30	45	60
T ₁	0.057	0.086	0.297	0.390	0.414
T ₂	0.068	0.290	0.290	0.313	0.396
T ₃	0.067	0.326	0.327	0.350	0.413
T ₄	0.057	0.303	0.304	0.305	0.390
T ₅	0.061	0.288	0.289	0.335	0.402
T ₆	0.062	0.253	0.254	0.266	0.363
T ₇	0.100	0.283	0.283	0.277	0.357
T ₈	0.086	0.315	0.315	0.413	0.490
S. Em \pm	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005
CD (p=0.05)	0.003	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.013

References

- Ahvenainen R. New approaches in improving the shelf life of minimally processed fruit and vegetables. Trends in Food Science and Technology, 1996; 7(6):179-187.
- Anonymous. Horticulture Statistics. National Horticulture data base, NHB, Gurgaon, Haryana, 2017, 25.
- Brummell DA, Dal Cin V, Crisosoto CH, Labavitch JM. Cell wall metabolism during maturation, ripening and senescence of peach fruit. J Exp Bot. 2004; 55:2029-2039.
- Damodaran T, Attri BL, Medhi RP, Nair SA, Alex L. Studies on post-harvest management of sapota (*Achras zapota*) cv. Cricket Ball during storage. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2001; 58:342-345.
- Ediriweera S, Abeywickrama K, Latifah M. Effect of chemical pretreatments on the quality of minimally processed pineapple stored in polystyrene packages. Ceylon Journal of Science (Bio. Sci.). 2012; 41(2):151-155.
- Gupta RC, Deen B. Storability of *aonla* (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) fruits in liquid medium at ambient temperature. Progressive Horticulture, 2013; 45(2):292-297.
- Hamauzu Y, Kume C. Changes in fruit quality, phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity of fresh prunes during storage. Acta Hort. 2005; 682:557-564.
- Jain SK, Khurdiya DS. Vitamin C enrichment of fruit juice based ready-to-serve beverages through blending of Indian gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) juice. Plant Foods Hum Nutr. 2004; 59:63-66.
- Kalra SK, Bhardwaj KC. Use of simple solar dehydrator for drying fruits and vegetable products. J Food Sci Technol. 1981; 8(1):23-26.
- Kumar KT, Ram Asrey, Pal RK, Samuel DVK. Shelf-life extension in pointed gourd (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.) by post-harvest application of sodium hypochlorite, potassium metabisulphite and carnauba wax. J Food Sci Technol. 2009; 46(6):581-584.
- Manolopoulou E, Varzakas T. Effect of storage conditions on the sensory quality, colour and texture of fresh-cut minimally processed cabbage with the addition of ascorbic acid, citric acid and calcium chloride. Food and Nutrition Sciences. 2011; 2:956-963.
- Mehta GL, Tomar MC. Study on simplification of preserve making- II. *Aonla* (*Phyllanthus emblica* L.). Indian Food Packer. 1979; 33(5):27-30.
- Pandey G, Singh BP, Pandey MK, Sarolia DK. Influence of ventilation in LPDE bags on shelf-life of Indian

- gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*). Indian J of Agril. Sci. 2006; 76(8):490-92.
14. Patthamakanokporn O, Puwastien P, Nitithamyang A, Sirichakwal PP. Changes of total phenolic compounds during storage of selected fruits. J Food Composition Analysis. 2008; 21(3):241-248.
 15. Singh JP, Singh SP. Effect of pre-harvest spray of calcium nitrate on shelf-life of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruits cv. 'Allahabad Safeda'. J Appl Biol., 1999; 9:149-152.
 16. Singh SP, Borase SS. Extending shelf life of tropical fruits through ripening retardants. Advances in Horticulture and Forestr. 2003; 9:79-100.
 17. Verma RC, Gupta A. Effect of pre-treatments on quality of solar-dried amla. J Food Eng., 2004; 65:397-402.
 18. Yadav Suman Kumari, Sarolia DK, Pilania Shalini, Meena HR, Mahawer LN. Studies on Keeping Quality of Preserved Guava Pulp during Storage. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017; 6(3):1235-1242.