



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2018; 6(5): 1464-1469

© 2018 IJCS

Received: 19-07-2018

Accepted: 23-08-2018

Barangule SB

PG scholar, dept of Soil Science & Agril Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Dr. VD Patil

Director of Instruction & Dean F/A Vasantao Naik arathwada Krishi, Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Chalak AL

PG scholar, dept of Soil Science & Agril Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Dr. AL Dhamak

Associate professor, Dept of soil science and Agril Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Dr. GS Pawar

Assistant professor, Dept. of Agriculture Botany, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence**Barangule SB**

PG scholar, dept of Soil Science & Agril Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

International Journal of Chemical Studies

Effect of seed dressing on growth, quality and yield of *kharif* sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Monech)

Barangule SB, Dr. VD Patil, Chalak AL, Dr. AL Dhamak and Dr. GS Pawar

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to know the effect of seed dressing treatments on nutrient dynamics and uptake of *kharif* sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Monech) at, Research farm Dept. of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, VNMKV Agricultural University, Parbhani, Maharashtra during 2017. The experiment consists of nine treatments with three replications in randomized block design. The results revealed that seed dressing with RDF + Zn EDTA @ 3 g per kg of seed recorded significantly higher fresh weight (448.73 gm, 954.50 gm, 676.60 gm), plant height (70.70 cm, 144.26 cm, 144.26 cm), leaf area (538.12, 777.71, 773.90 sq. cm /plant), dry root density (2.68, 2.12, 1.96 g/cm³), dry matter (65.15 gm, 176.41 gm, 163.02 gm) at grand growth flowering and at harvest stage. Also seed dressing with RDF + Zn EDTA @ 3 g per kg of seed recorded significantly higher seed yield (20.22 q ha⁻¹), fodder yield (53.03 q ha⁻¹) and biological yield (73.25 q ha⁻¹). In grain quality the results revealed that, the significantly higher test weight (3.91 gm 100 seeds⁻¹) recorded seed dressing with Zn EDTA @ 3 g per kg of seed.

Keywords: sorghum, micronutrient, seed dressing, seed priming, seed treatment

Introduction

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L) Moench) belongs to family Graminae and the word sorghum is derived from latin word 'Sargo' means 'rising above' i.e., growing taller than the other crops in field. Sorghum grain contains 10 to 12 per cent protein, 3 per cent fat, and 70 per cent carbohydrates. The major sorghum producing countries of the world are India, USA, South Africa, China, Nigeria, Sudan and Argentina. In India, the average area of *kharif* sorghum is 2268.8 ha. The average production of *kharif* sorghum is 1816 tonne and average productivity of *kharif* sorghum is 850 kg ha⁻¹ (Agristat, Indiatat.com 2014-2015) [15]. At present, Maharashtra is largest producer of sorghum, and the state has total area of 680.0 (000) ha in *kharif*. The average productivity of sorghum in *kharif* is 1014 kg ha⁻¹ and the average production of sorghum in *kharif* is 585.0 (000) ton (Agristat, Indiatat. com 2014-2015) [16]. In the last two decades, the nature and composition of utilization of sorghum grain has undergone a change from staple food to industrial uses such as livestock and poultry feed, potable alcohol, starch and ethanol production (Rao *et al.*, 2010) [23]. Seed may be treated with micronutrients either by soaking in nutrient solution for a specific duration (seed priming) or by coating with micronutrients. In seed priming, seeds are partially hydrated to allow metabolic events changes to occur without actual germination and re-dries (near to their original weight) to permits to routine handling (Bradford 1986) [5], such seed germinate faster than priming (nutrients priming), if micronutrients are used as osmotica (Imran *et al.*, 2004; singh 2007) [14, 26]. Primed seeds usually have better and more synchronized germination (Farooq *et al.*, 2009) [10] owing simply to less imbibition time (Brock-lehurst and Dearman, 2008; McDonald, 2000; Taylor *et al.*, 1998) [6, 19] and build-up of germination-enhancing metabolites (Basra *et al.*, 2005; Farooq *et al.*, 2006) [9, 4]. In seed coating, materials that influence the seed or soil at the seed soil interface are applied to the seed coat. Seed coating generally refers to the application of finely-ground solids or liquids containing dissolved or suspended solids to form, a more or less continuous layer covering the natural seed coat ; it includes pelleting and many other seed treatments (Scott,1989), seed pelleting involves the addition of inert material to modify seed shape and size for precision planting.

While in seed coating, useful materials like micro-organisms, plant growth regulators, nutrients and other chemicals adheres around the seed by the use of some sticky material. However, both of these terms are being used interchangeably.

Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2016-2017 at Sorghum research Station, College of Agriculture, VNMKV, Parbhani to investigate the effect of seed dressing treatments on growth, quality and seed yield of *kharif* sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Monech) PVK-801. The experiment was laid out in RBD with three replication the experiment consisted of 9 treatments *viz.*, seed dressing with T₁- RDF only (control), T₂-

RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄ kg⁻¹, T₃- RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹, T₄- RDF + 3 g Na₂B₄O₇.10H₂O kg⁻¹, T₅- RDF + 3 g MnSO₄ kg⁻¹, T₆- RDF + 4 g Na₂MoO₄ kg⁻¹, T₇- RDF + 3 g CuNO₃ kg⁻¹, T₈- RDF + 3 g FeSO₄ kg⁻¹, T₉- RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA kg⁻¹. The observation recorded on plant height, fresh weight, leaf area, dry root density, dry matter at grand growth (45 DAS), flowering (70 DAS) and at harvest stage (135 DAS). The yield attributing parameters *viz.*, seed yield, fodder yield, total biomass were recorded at harvest stage. The data collected from the above observation were analysed statistically by the procedure prescribed by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Result and Discussion

Table 1: Fresh weight (gm) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments of micronutrients

Treatment No	Treatments	Grand growth (45 DAS)	Flowering (70 DAS)	At harvest (135 DAS)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	285.40	685.40	474.73
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	397.42	857.50	582.80
T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	448.73	954.50	676.60
T ₄	RDF + 3g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	376.63	756.81	603.73
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	287.33	799.13	523.40
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	324.90	942.00	534.20
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	310.93	833.83	545.26
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	353.23	896.43	595.40
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	399.00	909.60	642.46
	SE ±	53.82	58.40	71.15
	CD at 5%	N.S	N.S	N.S
	Grand mean	353.73	848.35	575.40

Fresh weight

Effect of various nutrient seed dressing treatments with micronutrients proved to be beneficial for improving the fresh weight of *kharif* sorghum (Table 1.). Among the different nutrient seed dressing treatments, the application of 3 g Zn EDTA salt per kg of sorghum seed found to be significantly superior over control (only RDF) at grand growth (45 DAS), flowering (70 DAS) and at harvest stage (135 DAS) of the crop and it was found to be at par with treatment T₉ at grand growth (45 DAS), flowering (70 DAS) and at harvesting stage (135 DAS). The second best seed treatment produced

maximum fresh weight of sorghum receiving 3 g Fe EDTA chelate per kg of sorghum seed (T₉).

From the above data, it is clearly observed that, the treatment treating seed with Zn EDTA and Fe EDTA was found to be better over application of only RDF. This improvement in yield attributes to the immediate and early availability of soil deficient nutrient to the crop. Further it was noted that, the nutrient like Zn has synergetic effect on availability of nitrogen. Basra *et al.* (2003) [3] reported that, the improvement was noticed in biometric parameters due to nutrient seed dressing in various crops.

Table 2: Plant height (cm) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments

Treatment No	Treatments	Grand growth (45DAS) (cm)	Flowering (70DAS) (cm)	At harvest (135DAS) (cm)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	58.80	129.90	129.90
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	64.93	140.83	140.43
T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	70.70	144.26	144.26
T ₄	RDF + 3g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	64.80	134.63	134.63
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	67.06	130.06	130.06
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	67.80	130.43	130.43
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	60.60	134.20	134.63
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	60.10	138.26	138.26
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	68.73	141.16	141.16
	SE ±	3.57	5.00	4.98
	CD at 5%	N.S	N.S	N.S
	Grand mean	64.83	135.97	135.97

Periodic plant height

The plant height of *kharif* sorghum is presented in Table 2. It was observed that the plant height of sorghum at grand growth (45 DAS), flowering (70 DAS) and at harvesting stage (135 DAS) varied from 58.80 to 70.70 cm, 129.90 to 144.26 cm and 129.90 to 144.26 cm respectively. Effect of various nutrient seed dressing treatments with micronutrient observed to be beneficial in improving plant height of sorghum. Among the different nutrient seed dressing treatments, the application of 3 g Zn EDTA (T₃) salt per kg of sorghum seed found to be

significantly superior over control (only RDF) at grand growth (45 DAS), flowering (70 DAS) and at harvest stage (135 DAS). The treatment T₉ found to be best treatment which recorded maximum plant height of sorghum due to application of 3 g Fe EDTA and it was found to be at par with the treatment T₆ i.e. 4 g Na₂MoO₄. This revealed from the data, the treating seed with Zn EDTA and Fe EDTA was found to be better over application of only RDF.

Increase in plant height might be due to cell elongation, cell enlargement and more chlorophyll synthesis resulting in

better plant growth due to nutrient seed dressing treatment.

Table 3: Leaf area (sq. cm/ plant) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments

Treatment No	Treatments	Grand growth (45 DAS)	Flowerin (70 DAS)	At harvest (135 DAS)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	444.45	672.26	668.44
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	519.30	757.57	749.48
T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	538.12	777.71	773.90
T ₄	RDF + 3g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	491.24	719.70	716.88
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	506.75	731.46	723.38
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	492.40	741.14	741.12
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	499.23	745.74	732.45
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	521.25	749.48	743.58
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	535.44	767.08	760.33
	SE ±	41.60	51.33	51.62
	CD at 5%	N.S	N.S	N.S
	Grand mean	505.46	740.24	734.39

Leaf area

The leaf area of *kharif* sorghum is presented in Table 3. It was noted that, the leaf area up to flowering stage found to be increased from 444.45 to 777.71 sq. cm and it was thereafter decreased. This might be due to census of crop.

The various treatments of nutrient seed are influenced due to various micronutrients seed dressing. In general, the seed dressing of 3 g Zn EDTA per kg of sorghum seed with RDF recorded maximum leaf area at grand growth (45 DAS) and flowering stage (70 DAS) after sowing followed by application of 3 g Fe EDTA per kg of sorghum seed. The said treatments could not showed statistically significant over other treatments at both the growth stages. The similar results were also noticed at harvest stage of the crop.

Thus from the above results, it can be inferred that, the nutrient seed dressing of various micronutrients could not reach to the level of significance, however there was numerical increase in leaf area to the tune of 21 per cent and 20 per cent due to Zn EDTA and Fe EDTA seed dressing respectively at grand growth stage (45 DAS) and further at flowering stage (70 DAS) the increase was 15 per cent and 14 per cent respectively. The increase in leaf area in various treatments may be attributed to the availability of micronutrients from germination of the sorghum itself. Further the balanced nutrition due to supply of micronutrients might have improved the growth attributed in general and leaf area in particular.

Table 4: Dry root density (g/cm³) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments

Treatment No	Treatments	Grand growth (45 DAS)	Flowering (70 DAS)	At harvest (135 DAS)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	1.92	1.62	1.49
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	2.32	1.92	1.86
T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	2.68	2.12	1.96
T ₄	RDF + 3g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	2.01	1.72	1.60
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	2.16	1.71	1.59
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	2.40	1.71	1.57
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	2.21	1.65	1.57
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	2.32	1.92	1.72
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	2.47	2.08	1.87
	SE ±	0.22	0.16	0.19
	CD at 5%	N.S	N.S	N.S
	Grand mean	2.28	1.83	1.69

Dry root density

The dry root density is presented in Table 4. The data on dry root density of *kharif* sorghum was influenced by different nutrient seed dresser treatments. Among the various treatments, T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA per kg seed) recorded maximum dry root density to the extent of 2.68, 2.12 and 1.96 g cm⁻¹ at grand growth stage (45 DAS), flowering stage (70 DAS) and at harvest stage (135 DAS). The application of Fe EDTA with RDF found to be the second best treatment in production of dry root density. 2.47, 2.08 and 1.87 g/cm³ at grand growth stage (45 DAS), flowering stage (70 DAS) and

at harvesting stage (135 DAS) respectively. The increase in dry root density due to application of nutrient seed dressing of various micronutrients was 30 to 37 per cent over only RDF, due to Zn EDTA and Fe EDTA seed dressing.

The results showed that, the dry root density of *kharif* sorghum was increased due to micronutrient seed dressing to the extent of 37 per cent against application of only RDF. Further it was also noted that, their was gradual decrease in dry root density from 2.28 to 1.83 to 1.69 g/cm³ at grand growth, flowering and at harvest stage.

Table 5: Dry matter (gm) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments

Treatment No	Treatments	Grand growth (45DAS) (gm)	Flowering (70DAS) (gm)	At harvest (135DAS)(gm)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	48.42	150.72	145.52
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	61.90	164.72	155.26

T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	65.15	176.41	163.02
T ₄	RDF + 3g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	53.17	159.53	153.00
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	57.02	160.13	154.53
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	61.48	152.89	148.96
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	57.90	156.36	150.59
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	62.08	161.08	160.43
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	63.53	169.49	161.05
SE ±		5.88	9.61	5.52
CD at 5%		N.S	N.S	N.S
Grand mean		58.96	161.26	154.71

Dry matter yield

The dry matter yield of *kharif* sorghum is presented in Table 5. There was significant increase in sorghum dry matter production due to administration of various nutrient seed dressing treatments. The application of 3 g Zn EDTA per kg of seed proved to be the best seed treatments at all growth stages in dry matter production. Further the application of 3 g Fe EDTA per kg of seed stood second best seed treatment as a as the dry matter is concern. The lowest dry matter production was recorded in treatment T₁, where sorghum crop received only RDF. The treatments comprising Zn EDTA recorded 34 per cent increase at grand growth stage (45 DAS) 17 per cent

increased at flowering stage (70 DAS) and 12 per cent increased at harvest stage (135 DAS) as compared to control. In general the total increase was 5 to 17 per cent.

The result presented in above paragraph of dry matter production by sorghum crop revealed that, the seed dressing of various micronutrients in general and zinc and iron in particular found to be beneficial. This results are in accordance with the observations recorded by Satyabhama *et al.* (2005) in groundnut and also Asad and Rafique. (2000) [12]. The increase dry matter production may be attributed to the improvement in plant height, leaf area and root density of sorghum crop.

Table 6: Yield (q ha⁻¹) of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments

Treatment No	Treatment	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Fodder yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Biological Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	10.97	43.92	54.89
T ₂	RDF + 3 g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	19.88	51.22	71.11
T ₃	RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	20.22	53.03	73.25
T ₄	RDF + 3 g Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ .10H ₂ O kg ⁻¹	14.40	47.21	61.40
T ₅	RDF + 3 MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	18.17	48.58	66.75
T ₆	RDF + 3 g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	17.14	47.50	64.25
T ₇	RDF + 3 g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	15.43	47.10	62.53
T ₈	RDF+ 3 g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	18.85	50.17	69.02
T ₉	RDF + 3 Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	19.20	51.86	71.06
SE		0.58	0.85	1.15
CD at 5%		1.73	2.56	3.44
Grand Mean		17.14	48.95	66.06

Grain yield

The grain yield of *kharif* sorghum was significantly influenced due to different nutrient seed dressing treatments of micronutrients (Table 6) Application of RDF along with 3 g Zn EDTA i.e. (T₃) per kg seed to *kharif* sorghum significantly recorded highest grain yield (20.22 q ha⁻¹) followed by treatment T₂ (RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄) i.e. 19.88 q ha⁻¹ and the third highest grain yield was recorded in treatment T₉ (RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA) i.e. 19.20 which is followed by treatment T₈ (RDF + 3 g FeSO₄). Higher grain yield recorded under micronutrient application could be attributed to early bloom and bold seeds. i.e. 1000 grain weight. Chalwade *et al.*, (2005) [7] also recorded higher grain yield was obtained due to application of 100% RDF + sulphur, zinc and boron. This findings are similar with Farroq *et al.* (2012). In maize, priming in 1% ZnSO₄ solution substantially improved grain yield (Harris *et al.* 2007) [13]. Similar findings were also recorded by Yari *et al.* (2011) [28].

Fodder yield

The data pertaining to the effect of different nutrient seed dressing treatment of micronutrients on fodder yield of *kharif* sorghum (Table 6) indicated that, the fodder yield of *kharif* sorghum was significantly increased due to application of micronutrients. The highest fodder yield (53.03 q ha⁻¹) was obtained from treatment receiving 100% RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹ (T₃) and were found at par with treatment T₂ and

T₉ which recorded 51.22 q ha⁻¹ and 51.86 q ha⁻¹, yield respectively. The minimum fodder yield was recorded in treatment T₁ (43.92 q ha⁻¹). The findings are similar with Singh *et al.* (1985) [25], More *et al.* (1994) [21], Minhas *et al.* (1995) [20], Ammal *et al.* (1998) [1], Harris *et al.* (2001) [11] and Harris *et al.* (2004) [12].

Total biomass/ Biological Yield

The different nutrient seed dressing treatment of micronutrient significantly influenced on total biomass (Table 6). Highest total biomass of *kharif* sorghum (73.25 q ha⁻¹) was obtained with the application of RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹ followed by treatment T₂ (RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄) and treatment T₉ (RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA kg⁻¹) recorded 71.11 q ha⁻¹ and 71.06 q ha⁻¹ total biomass respectively.

Among the different nutrient seed dressing treatment of micronutrient, the treatment T₈ (RDF + 3 g FeSO₄ kg⁻¹) recorded maximum total biomass production (69.02 q ha⁻¹). The minimum biomass production (54.89 q ha⁻¹) was observed in control (T₁).

Patil and Varade (2006) reported that, the graded levels of NPK increased significantly grain and fodder yield (total biomass yield) over control. NPK with Zn increased the yield significantly over 100% RDF alone. Jha and Chandel (1987) [17] also reported the same trend with Zn application. Similar results were also recorded by Yari *et al.* (2011) [28].

Table 7: Grain quality of *kharif* sorghum as influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatments of micronutrients

Treatment No	Treatments	Test weight (gm 100 seeds ⁻¹)
T ₁	RDF only (control)	2.52
T ₂	RDF + 3g ZnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	3.45
T ₃	RDF + 3g Zn EDTA kg ⁻¹	3.91
T ₄	RDF + 3g B kg ⁻¹	3.25
T ₅	RDF + 3g MnSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	3.43
T ₆	RDF + 4g Na ₂ MoO ₄ kg ⁻¹	3.22
T ₇	RDF + 3g CuNO ₃ kg ⁻¹	3.35
T ₈	RDF + 3g FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹	3.43
T ₉	RDF + 3g Fe EDTA kg ⁻¹	3.46
SE ±		0.17
CD at 5%		0.52
Grand mean		3.33

Test weight (gm)

Data presented in Table 7. Indicated that, the test weight (gm per 100 seeds) was in the range from 2.52 to 3.91 and maximum test weight was observed in the treatment T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA) i.e. 3.91 gm, which at par with treatment T₂ (RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄), T₈ (RDF + 3 g FeSO₄) and T₉ (RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA). The minimum test weight (2.52 gm.) was observed in treatment T₁ (Control). Similar findings were observed by Tripathi and Singh (1991) and Anuja *et al.* (2000).

Conclusion

As regards the growth and yield attributes, initial plant population (198.33) and final plant (182.33) population were found maximum in treatment T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹) and lowest in T₁ (control). Also the maximum fresh weight, plant height, leaf area, dry root density and dry matter yield observed in treatment T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹) and lowest in T₁ (control). The growth and yield attributes of *kharif* sorghum did not influenced significantly due to different nutrient seed dressing treatment. The yield of *kharif* sorghum significantly influenced by different nutrient seed dressing treatment of micronutrient. The maximum grain (20.22 q ha⁻¹), fodder yield (53.03 q ha⁻¹) and total biomass (73.25 q ha⁻¹) were recorded in treatment T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹) which was at par with treatment T₂ (RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄ kg⁻¹) and T₉ (RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA kg⁻¹) respectively. As regards the grain quality parameters, test weight were found maximum (3.91) in treatment T₃ (RDF + 3 g Zn EDTA kg⁻¹) which was at par with treatment T₂ (RDF + 3 g ZnSO₄ kg⁻¹), T₈ (RDF + 3 g FeSO₄ kg⁻¹) and T₉ (RDF + 3 g Fe EDTA kg⁻¹) respectively.

References

1. Ammal UB, Mahendran PP, Arunachalam G. Reclamation of sea water intruded soil. *J Trop. Agric.* 1998; 36:53-54
2. Asad A, Rafique R. Effect of zinc, copper, iron, manganese and boron on the the yield and yield components of wheat crop in tehsil Peshawar. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences.* 2000; 3(10):1615-1620.
3. Basra MAS, Ehsanullah MA, Warraich, Afzal I. Effect of storage on growth and yield of primed canola (*Brassica napus*) seeds. *Intl. J Agric. Biol.* 2003; 5:117-120.
4. Basra SMA, Farooq M, Tabassum R, Ahmad N. Physiological and biological aspects of seed vigor enhancement treatments in fine rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Seed Sci. Technol.* 2005; 33:623-628.
5. Bradford KJ. Manipulation of seed water relations via osmotic priming to improve germination under stress conditions. *Hort Sci.* 1986; 21:1115-1112.
6. Brocklehurst PA, Dearman J. Interaction between seed priming treatments and nine seed lots of carrot, celery and onion. II. Seedling emergence and plant growth. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 2008; 102:583-593
7. Chalwade PB, Bakan RG, Kulkarni VK, Khandare RN. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on grain and stover of *kharif* sorghum. *Annals of Plant Physiol.* 2005; 19(2):181-183.
8. Farooq M, Walid A, Kadambot HM, Siddique. Micronutrient application through seed treatment-a review *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition.* 2012; 12(1):125-142.
9. Farooq M, Basara SMA, Khalid A, Tabassum R, Mehmood T. Nutrient homeostasis, reserves metabolism and seedling vigor as affected by seed priming in coarse rice. *Can. J Bot.* 2006; 84:1196-1202.
10. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Wahid A, Khalid A, Kobayashi N. Rice seed invigoration. In: E. Lichtfouse (ed.). *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, Springer, the Netherlands. 2009, 137-175.
11. Harris D, Raghuvanshi BS, Ganwar JS, Singh SC, Joshi KD, Rashid A. *et al.* Participatory evaluation by farmers of on-farm seed priming in wheat in India, Nepal and Pakistan. *Exp. Agric.* 2001b; 37:403-415.
12. Harris D, Rashid A, Arif M, Yunus M. Alleviation micronutrient deficiencies in alkaline soils of North West Frontier Province of Pakistan: On-farm seed priming with zinc in wheat and chickpea. *International Workshop on Agricultural Strategies to Reduce Micronutrient problem in Mountains and other Marginal Areas in South and SE Asia; Kathmandu.* Nepal Agricultural Research Council, 2004.
13. Harris D, Rashid A, Miraj G, Arif M, Shah h. On-farm seed priming with zinc sulphate solution- A cost effective way to increase the maize yields of resource poor farmers. *Field Crop res.* 2007; 102:199-127.
14. Imran M, Neumann G, Romheld V. Nutrient seed priming improves germination rate and seedling growth under submergence stress at low temperature. *International Research on food Security, National Research management and Rural Development Cuvillier Verlag Gottingen*, 2004.
15. India Agristat. (2014-2015). Season wise area, production and production of jowar in India
16. India Agristat. (2014-2015). Season wise area, production and production of jowar in Maharashtra.

17. Jha AN, Chandel AS. Response of soybean to zinc application. *Indian J Agron.* 1987; 35(4):354-358.
18. Mali AL, Dashora LN. Response of sorghum to iron and zinc. *Ann. Of Agric Res.* 2003; 24(2):421-422
19. McDonald MB. Seed priming. In: Black M., Bewley J.D. (eds.): *Seed Technology and Its Biological Basis*, Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, England, UK, 2000, 287-325.
20. Minhas PS, Sharma DR, Singh YP. Response of rice and wheat to applied gypsum and farmyard manure on an alkali water irrigated soil. *J Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 1995; 43:452-455.
21. More SD. Effect of farm wastes and organic manure on soil properties, nutrient availability and yield of rice and wheat grown on sodic vertisol. *J Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 1994; 42:253-256
22. Panse VG, Sukhatme PK. *Statistical methods for agriculture workers*, (IV Edn.) ICAR, New Delhi, 1985, 145-156.
23. Rao Parthasarathy P, Basavraj, g. Ahmed Wasim, Bhagavatula S. An analysis of availability and utilization of sorghum grain in Indian. 2010. <http://ejournal.icrisat.org> : 1-5
24. Satyabhama *et al.* Effect of seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray on growth, seed yield and quality of groundnut (*Arachis Hypogaeae* I.) M. Sc (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Raichur, Karnataka, India, 2015.
25. Singh MV. Effect of Gypsum and Farmyard Manure on the Yield of Rice and Wheat in a Saline- Sodic Soil. CSSRI Annual Report. Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, India, 1985.
26. Singh MV. Efficiency of seed treatment for ameliorating zinc deficiency in crops. In: *Zinc Crops 2007, Improving Crop Production and Human Health*, 24-26 May. 2007, Istanbul, Turkey, 2007.
27. Taylor GA. Seed coating Technology and treatments for onion: challenges and progress. Department of Horticulture Sciences, New York State Agriculture Experiment Station, Cornell University, Geneva, NY 14456, 2001.
28. Yari L, Khazaei F, Sadeghi H, Sheidaei S. Effect of seed priming on grain yield and yield components of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *ARPJ Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science.* 2011; 6(6):1-5.