

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(6): 1681-1683 © 2018 IJCS Received: 21-09-2018 Accepted: 24-10-2018

Hemant Kumar

Department of Agronomy, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ripudaman Singh

Department of Agronomy, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

DD Yadav

Department of Agronomy, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

M Saquib

Department of Agronomy, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

VP Chahal

Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Division of Agricultural Extension, Krishi Anusandhan Bhawan, Pusa, New Delhi, India

Ruchi Yadav

Department of Chemistry, B. R. A. University, Agra, Uttar Pradesh, India

Omkar Singh Yadav

Department of Animal Husbandray & Dairy: C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

RK Yadav

Department of Genetics of Plant Breeding; C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence

Hemant Kumar Department of Agronomy, C. S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Interaction effect of different levels of potassium and varieties on performance of chickpea

Hemant Kumar, Ripudaman Singh, DD Yadav, M Saquib, VP Chahal, Ruchi Yadav, Omkar Singh Yadav and RK Yadav

Abstract

Judicious use of fertilizers particularly potassium is essential for obtaining the maximum yield of chickpea. So, the present study was carried out to assess the interaction effect of different levels of potassium and varieties on performance of chickpea. The present investigation consisted four levels potassium (K₁-0, K₂-30, K₃- 60 & K₄-90 kg potash ha⁻¹) and three varieties (V₁-Udai, V₂ - Awarodhi and V₃ - KWR-108) observation recorded on growth, yield attributes and yield. The present investigation revealed that the maximum fresh weight and dry weight of plant at flowering and maturity stage, number of seeds per plant, grain weight per plant, grain and straw yield were recorded in K₄ treatment (90 kg potassium ha⁻¹) and variety V₃ (KWR-108). However, maximum number of primary and secondary branches per plant, fresh weight of plant, dry weight, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, weight of pod per plant, biological, grain and straw yield were recorded unfertilized plot K₁ (0 kg potassium ha⁻¹) and variety V₁ (Udai). Thus, for obtaining maximum yield and profit from chickpea, KWR-108 variety should be grown with 90 kg potassium ha⁻¹.

Keywords: chickpea, grain yield, interaction effect potassium levels and varieties

Introduction

Among the pulses, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the third most important legume in the world. India alone contributes more than 62-67% of the total global production. However, India generally imports 2 million tonnes of pulse every year from Turkey, Australia, Canada and USA. To make up this short fully supply besides of course, further demand from a burgeoning population, at least 23.38 million tonnes of pulses are required by 2015 which is expected to touch 29.30 million tonnes by 2020. This necessitates an annual growth rate of 4.2 per cent in pulse production Girma (2015)^[1].

The productivity of chickpea is low because it is grown on receding soil moisture during rabi season, and farmers do not apply fertilizers being a legume crop. The yield gap of chickpea may be attributed to improper agro-technology used by the farmers. Among production inputs, fertilizer plays a key role in enhancing productivity levels. Pulse crop fix atmospheric N, the prominent mechanism to meet their N requirement, however, recommendation on phosphorous is made in all states. However, K application is generally neglected, resulting to serious depletion of soil K reserves. Chickpea removes about 49.6 kg K₂O tonnes⁻¹ grain higher than nitrogen (46.3 kg tonnes⁻¹ grain) and phosphorous (8.4 kg tonnes⁻¹ grain) (Velayutham and Reddy, 1987)^[7]. Potassium influences the water economy and crop growth through its effects on water uptake, root growth, maintenance of turgor, transpiration and stomatal regulation. Its essentiality is proven in its multiple roles in assisting and facilitating plant process. It mainly functions like a conveyer of electrical charge in the plant cell and acts as catalysts for many of the enzymatic processes in the plant that are necessary for plant processes. It acts like a 'sparkplug' for the activation of over 60 enzymes in the plant system. Potassium is a key nutrient in the plants tolerance to stress such as high/low temperatures, drought, disease and pest occurrences. It has a critical role to play in osmo-regulation-regulation of water use in plants and most importantly regulates opening and closing of stomata which affect transpiration cooling and carbon dioxide uptake for photosynthesis. Potassium is a mono-atomic ion that enters the plant in an atomic form without passing through the microbial cycle. It is borrowed element from the soil and must be returned to the soil at the end of the plant cycle. Since chickpea is normally cultivated on relatively poor soil on residual soil moisture, it becomes imperative to study the effect of potassium on growth, yield, quality, soil fertility and

International Journal of Chemical Studies

economics (Goud *et al.* 2014) ^[2]. Singh *et al.* (1995) ^[6] revealed that potassium has an important role under different environment in major plant processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, enzyme activation, osmoregulation and yield of crop. Hence, potassium is an important component balanced fertilizer use strategy.

Materials and Methods

Experimental details and site description

The present experiment was carried out during Rabi 2014-15 at Students' Instructional Farm (SIF), Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.), India. The field was well leveled and irrigated by tube well. The farm is situated in the west Northern part of Kanpur city under sub tropical zone in 5th Agro-climatic zone (Central Plain Zone). Farm is falling in alluvial belt of Gangetic plain of U.P. between 25056' N to 28058' N latitude and 79031' E to 80034' E longitudes and at an elevation of 125.9 meter from maean sea level. The total rainfall received during the crop period was 23.3 mm. The soil of experimental field was slightly alkaline in reaction with 7.9 pH, low in organic carbon (0.32%) and low in available nitrogen (180.4 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorus (18.4 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in potassium (290 kg/ha). All the soil properties were analyzed as per the standard procedures. The experiment consist of 12 treatments combinations which were laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The investigation retained four potassium level (0, 30, 60, 90 kg ha⁻¹) and 3 varieties (Udai, Awarodhi and KWR-108).

Data collection

The various observations on growth and yield attributes (fresh weight of plant, dry weight of plant, number of seed plant¹ and grain weight plant¹) were recorded as per standard procedure. Moreover, yields viz., grain and straw yield (q/ha) was worked out in different plot of the experimental field.

Statistical analysis

The data on various parameters were exposed to statistically analyze as drew by Panse and Sukhatme, 1967^[3]. The treatment variances were tested by using "F" test and critical differences (at 5 per cent probability).

Results and Discussion

Interaction effect on fresh and dry weight of plant at flowering and maturity stage

The data pertaining to fresh and dry weight of plant at flowering and maturity stage showed significant difference under different level of potassium level and varieties except dry weight at flowering stage did not showed significantly.

Table 1: Interaction effect of levels of potassium and varieties on fresh weight of chickpea

| Variation | Fresh weight (g) at flowering stage | | | | Fresh weight (g) at maturity stage | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| varieties | Level of potassium | | | | | Level of potassium | | | | |
| | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 | Mean | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 | Mean |
| V1 | 15.76 | 17.43 | 18.43 | 20.98 | 18.15 | 28.07 | 32.24 | 38.51 | 40.62 | 34.86 |
| V2 | 16.37 | 17.67 | 19.06 | 21.43 | 18.63 | 30.76 | 35.42 | 37.34 | 42.10 | 36.40 |
| V3 | 17.06 | 17.81 | 20.02 | 22.58 | 19.37 | 33.65 | 37.16 | 40.95 | 43.61 | 38.84 |
| Mean | 16.40 | 17.64 | 19.17 | 21.66 | | 30.83 | 34.94 | 38.93 | 42.11 | |
| Factors | | SE(m) | | C.D. | | SE(m) | | C.D | | |
| Factor A | | 0.07 | | 0.22 | | 0.11 | | 0.33 | | |
| Factor B | | 0.08 | | 0.26 | | 0.13 | | 0.38 | | |
| Factor (AxB) | | 0.15 | | 0.45 | | 0.22 | | 0.66 | | |

Table 2: Interaction effect of levels of potassium and varieties on dry weight of chickpea

| Variation | Variation Fresh weight (g) at maturity stage | | | | stage | Dry weight (g) at maturity stage | | | | |
|-------------|--|-------|------|------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| varieties | Level of potassium | | | | | Level of potassium | | | | |
| | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 | Mean | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 | Mean |
| V_1 | 3.78 | 4.98 | 5.66 | 6.02 | 5.11 | 19.26 | 23.55 | 25.17 | 26.46 | 23.61 |
| V2 | 4.30 | 5.35 | 6.00 | 6.34 | 5.50 | 21.27 | 24.45 | 25.77 | 26.90 | 24.60 |
| V3 | 4.41 | 5.39 | 5.58 | 6.86 | 5.63 | 22.76 | 24.81 | 26.06 | 27.81 | 25.36 |
| Mean | 4.16 | 5.24 | 5.84 | 6.40 | | 21.10 | 24.27 | 25.67 | 27.06 | |
| Factors | | SE(m) | | C.D. | | SE(m) | | C.D | | |
| Factor A | | 0.05 | | 0.16 | | 0.08 | | 0.24 | | |
| Factor B | | 0.06 | | 0.18 | | 0.09 | | 0.28 | | |
| Factor(AxB) | | 0.10 | | N.S. | | 0.16 | | 0.49 | | |

The above Table showed that, fresh and dry weight plant⁻¹ significantly differ under different potassium levels and varieties at flowering and maturity stage. The significantly highest fresh (22.58 and 6.86 g) and (43.61 and 27.81 g) weight were found in interaction $K_4 \times V_3$ (90 kg potassium ha⁻¹× KWR-108) followed by interaction $K_4 \times V_2$ (21.43 and 6.34 g) and (42.10 and 26.90 g) which was significantly superior to interaction $K_4 \times V_1$ (20.98 and 6.02 g) and (40.62 and 26.46 g). While the minimum fresh (15.76 and 3.78 gm) and dry (28.07 and 19.26 g) weight of plant was recorded in interaction control $K_1 \times V_1$ (0 Kg potassium ha⁻¹ × Udai). These observations might be due to enhanced availability of plant

nutrients, photosynthetic activity, followed by efficient transfer of metabolites and subsequent accumulation of these metabolites in the seed with the resulting in the all yield attributing character. Almost similar results were reported by Rajiv *et al.* $(2005)^{[4]}$.

Interaction effect on number of seeds per plant⁻¹

The data pertaining to number of seeds per plant was influenced by different treatments, showed significantly difference under different levels of potassium and varieties under observations is present Table 3.

 Table 3: Interaction effect of levels of potassium and varieties on number of seeds plant⁻¹

| Variation | Number of seeds plant ⁻¹ | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-------|--|--|
| varieues | Level of potassium | | | | | | | |
| | K 1 | K2 | K 3 | K | 4 | Mean | | |
| V1 | 63.01 | 71.68 | 74.70 | 78 | 78.42 71.95 | | | |
| V_2 | 67.42 | 73.69 | 76.01 | 80 | .30 | 74.35 | | |
| V3 | 69.33 | 74.01 | 77.33 | 84 | .01 | 76.17 | | |
| Mean | 66.59 | 73.13 | 76.01 | 80.91 | | | | |
| Factors | SE(m)± | | | | | C.D. | | |
| Factor(A) | 0.05 | | | | | 0.14 | | |
| Factors(B) | 0.05 0.17 | | | | | 0.17 | | |
| Factor(A×B) | 0.09 0.29 | | | | | 0.29 | | |

The above table showed that, the number of seeds plant⁻¹significantly differ under different potassium levels and varieties. The significantly highest number of seeds (84.01) was found in interaction $K_4 \times V_3$ (90 Kg potassium ha⁻¹× KWR-108) followed by interaction $K_4 \times V_2$ (80.30) which was significantly superior to interaction $K_4 \times V_1$ (78.42). While the minimum number of seeds plant⁻¹ (63.01) was recorded in interaction $K_1 \times V_1$ (0 Kg potassium ha⁻¹×Udai). Almost similar result was reported by Girma (2015)^[1].

4.2.4.3 Interaction effect on grain weight plant⁻¹

Perusal of data showed that weight of grains plant⁻¹ was obtained (15.56 g) in interaction $K_{4x} V_3$ (90 kg potassium ha⁻¹ x KWR 108) which was significantly higher than interaction $K_{4x}V_2$ (14.73 g) and $K_{4x} V_1$ (90 14.33 g), respectively. However, significantly lower values of grains weight plant⁻¹ was recorded in interaction K_1xV_1 (11.06 g) plot (control). Almost similar results were reported by Rajiv *et al.* (2005)^[4].

 Table 4: Interaction effect of levels of potassium and varieties on grain weight plant⁻¹

| Variation | Grain weight plant ⁻¹ | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| varieues | Level of potassium | | | | | | | |
| | K 1 | K ₂ | K ₃ | K4 | Mean | | | |
| V_1 | 11.06 | 13.36 | 13.67 | 14.33 | 13.10 | | | |
| V_2 | 12.00 | 13.63 | 13.63 | 14.73 | 13.50 | | | |
| V ₃ | 12.70 | 13.83 | 13.73 | 15.56 | 13.95 | | | |
| Mean | 11.92 | 13.61 | 13.68 | 14.88 | | | | |
| Factors | | SE(m)± | | C | .D. | | | |
| Factor (A) | 0.10 | | | 0.29 | | | | |
| Factors (B) | 0.11 | | | 0.34 | | | | |
| Factor (A×B) | 0.59 | | | 0.20 | | | | |

Yields

Significantly maximize the grain and straw yield was with the application of 90 kg potassium ha⁻¹ (K₄) 18.80 and 19.13 q ha⁻¹ ¹, which was significant and superior to other potassium level treatments like, K_3 (15.46 & 15.74 q ha⁻¹) and K_2 (14.00 & 14.46 q ha⁻¹). The magnitude of increase in yield average was to be 37.02 per cent over the control. However, the significantly minimum grain and straw yield (11.84 & 12.58 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in the control K_1 (0 kg potassium ha-1). Reduction of potassium doses reduced these yield may be supported by growth and yield parameter like plant population, plant height, number of branches, dry matter plant⁻¹, seed plant⁻¹, seed weight plant⁻¹ and 100 seed weight, which are maximized at 90 kg potassium ha⁻¹. It is also clear from that data that an application of potassium with increasing doses also increased grain yield. The yield increased in K₄ by margin of K₃ (3.34 q ha-1), K₂ (4.80 q ha⁻¹) and K_1 (6.96 q ha⁻¹), respectively. Thus, this dose performed better in the of respect growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea.

 Table 5: Mean grain yield and straw yield as influenced by various treatments

| Treatment | Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Potassium level | | |
| K ₁ | 11.84 | 12.58 |
| K ₂ | 14.00 | 14.46 |
| K ₃ | 15.46 | 15.74 |
| K 4 | 18.80 | 19.13 |
| SE(m) ± | 0.17 | 0.27 |
| CD at 5% | 0.50 | 0.82 |
| Varieties | | |
| V1 | 14.33 | 14.66 |
| V2 | 14.87 | 15.31 |
| V3 | 15.87 | 16.46 |
| SE(m) ± | 0.14 | 0.24 |
| CD at 5% | 0.43 | 0.71 |

Grain yield (15.8.7 q ha⁻¹), straw yield (16.46 q ha⁻¹) were significantly higher in KWR-108 variety. However, the minimum grain (14.33 q ha⁻¹) and straw (14.66 q ha⁻¹) yield were achieved in Udai variety. It was attributed by yield attributes is general and seed weight⁻¹ in particular. Whereas, maximum harvest index (49.38 %) recorded in variety KWR-108 which did not affect significant each other. Similar results were reported by Sekeroglu *et al.* (1991) and Girma (2015) ^[1].

Conclusion

On the basis of present experiment on sandy loam soil of central U.P. under irrigated condition. It can be concluded that application of 90 kg ha⁻¹ proved better in respect of growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. Moreover, in the interaction K_4xV_3 (90 kg potassium ha⁻¹ x KWR108) was produce higher grain yield (20.40 q ha⁻¹). Thus for obtaining maximum yield and profit from chickpea, KWR-108 variety should be grown with 90 kg potassium ha⁻¹.

References

- 1. Girma A. Yield, yield attributes, nodulation and protein content of chickpea as influenced by variety and inoculation with rhizobium strains. Journal of Biology Agriculture and Healthcare. 2015; 5(17):115-120.
- 2. Goud VV, Konde NM, Mohod PV, Kharche VK. Response of chickpea to potassium fertilization on yield, quality, soil fertility and economic in vertisols. Legume Research. 2014; 37(3):311-315.
- 3. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Stalistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi, India, 1967.
- 4. Rajiv Kumar, Kuhad MS, Kumar M, Singh AP. Influence of potassium and phosphorus on growth and yield in chickpea under water stress. CAB Abstracts Annals of Biology. 2005; 21(1):7-11.
- 5. Sepetoglu H, Altnbas M, Daur I. Potassium induced changes in certain aspects of nitrogen metabolism in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Turkish Journal of Field Crops. 2008; 13(1):1-11.
- 6. Singh AK, Raju VN, Singh JP. Effect of potassium, zinc and ferrous on yield, protein harvest and nutrient uptake in French bean. J Potassium Res. 1995; 11(1):75-80.
- Velayutham M, Reddy KCK. Balanced fertilization for increasing fertilizer use efficiency. In Proceeding of the IFA regional agricultural meeting, 8-12 Dec 1986, New Delhi. IFA Ltd., 28 rue Marbeuf, Paris, 1987, 39-45.