# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2018; 6(6): 449-455 © 2018 IJCS Received: 25-09-2018 Accepted: 30-10-2018

#### Vishal L Bagde

Assist Prof. Manoharbhai Patel Agriculture College Hiratola, Gondia Maharashtra India

#### SJ Gahukar

Prof. and Incharge Centre for Excellence in Plant Biotechnology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krshi Vidyapeeth Akola Maharashtra India

#### AA Akhare

Assistant Prof. Centre for Excellence in Plant Biotechnology, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krshi Vidyapeeth Akola Maharashtra India

Correspondence Vishal L Bagde Assist Prof. Manoharbhai Patel Agriculture College Hiratola, Gondia Maharashtra India

# Screening and biochemical analysis for Fusarium wilt resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

# Vishal L Bagde, SJ Gahukar and AA Akhare

#### Abstract

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) is third most important grain legume crop grown in the arid and semi-arid regions of the world. *Fusarium* wilt (*Fusirium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*) is a major yield limiting factor in chickpea. Screening of chickpea parents (ICC 506 EB and Vijay), 196 RIL's,  $F_2$  and  $BC_1F_1$  populations for *fusarium* wilt resistance were done by Pot culture method and wilt sick plot condition at pulses research unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola. JG-62 a higly succeptible genotype was used as a check. The susceptible parent ICC 506 EB, showed 83.33 percent wilting, whereas Vijay was resistant till maturity.  $F_2$  progenies segregated in a ratio of 3 susceptible and 1 resistant. The RILs closely fit a 1:1 segregation ratio for resistance and susceptibility and the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> segregated in 1:1 ratio for resistance and susceptibility indicating that resistance to *fusarium* wilt was monogenic with the recessive allele conferring resistance to *fusarium* wilt in this population.

Biochemical studies are carried out on parents Vijay and ICC 506 EB and check variety JG-62 of chickpea in relation to its resistance to *fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum*. The polyphenol oxidase activity and peroxidase activity was found increased after inoculation with the fungus. This increase was more in resistant genotype as compared to the susceptible genotypes.

Keywords: RIL's, F<sub>2</sub>, fusarium wilt, BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub>, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase

#### Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) is one of the important grain legume crop of India which plays an important role in food security and balanced diet. It is mainly used for human consumption, and is an essential constituent of the Mediterranean diet and a basic food in India and Pakistan. It serves as an important source of protein in human diet and plays an important role in the enrichment of soil fertility. Chickpea seeds containing 20-30% protein, about 40% carbohydrates, 3-6% oil, 6% crude fiber and 3% ash (Gil *et al.*, 1996) <sup>[6]</sup>. Chickpea is a good source of essential amino acids such as tryptophan and lysine (Awasthi *et al.*, 1991) <sup>[3]</sup>. Chickpea holds prestigious position among all legume crops because it plays an important role in food security and balanced diet. It is virtually an indispensable item in the kitchen and is considered as "king of pulses" (Bhatt and Patel, 2001) <sup>[5]</sup>. Two main types of chickpea cultivars are grown globally- kabuli and desi, representing two diverse gene pools (Pundir *et al.*, 1985) <sup>[15]</sup>.

*Fusarium* wilt is a soilborne disease that causes severe yield losses. The pathogen is both seed and soil borne, survives in the soil for more than six years in the absence of susceptible host plants (Haware *et al.*, 1986) <sup>[8]</sup>. Eight physiological races of the pathogen (race 0, 1A, 1B/C, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) have been identified by reaction on set of differential chickpea cultivars (Jimenez-Diaz *et al.*, 1989) <sup>[9]</sup>. Races 0 and 1B/C induce yellowing symptoms, whereas remaining races inducing wilting. Among the biotic stresses that affect chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), *Fusarium* wilt (*Fusirium oxysporum*) is a major yield-limiting factor.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental chickpea seed material for the present investigation comprised of a mapping population in the form of 196 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between Vijay (resistant to *fusarium* wilt) X ICC 506 EB (susceptible to *fusarium* wilt). The experimental material was kindly provided by Dr. H. C. Sharma, Principle Scientist, and Entomology from ICRISAT.

#### **Collection of diseased samples**

Chickpea wilt infected samples were collected from the field of Pulses Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, and Maharashtra.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

The samples were collected during chickpea growing season in the year 2012-2013.

# Isolation of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* from diseased part

The plants showing typical wilt symptoms were collected from different locations of Maharashtra during *rabi* season. The plants were gently uprooted, the root system was washed to remove the adhesive soil and the samples were kept 24 hours in laboratory. The infected root preferably the tap root and secondary root were used for isolation of pathogen.

## Purification of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri

In order to obtain the pure cultures of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri single spore culture technique was used with some modification under aseptic condition. The spore suspension of the respective isolates was prepared in sterilized distilled water so as to obtain 10-12 spores per microscopic field (10x). The suspension was spread over the surface of sterilized 2 per cent plain agar medium in Petri dishes and incubated at  $27 \pm 2$  °C. After six hours, the single germinating spore was observed under low power objective and cut through dummy objective. Such pieces containing germinating spores were transferred separately on potato dextrose agar slants with the help of an inoculating needle and incubated at  $27 \pm 2$  °C for seven days. These cultures were observed under microscope and the stock cultures were kept in refrigerator for further studies.

## Identification of isolated pathogen

The identification of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* isolates was done on basis of morphological characters described by Booth, 1977<sup>[6]</sup>.

# **Preparation of mass inoculums**

Purified cultures of six isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* were mass multiplied separately on sorghum sand medium (1 part partially broken sorghum grain + 3 part sand + distilled water to moisten the media). The media was prepared by mixing broken sorghum grains with clean sand in plastic tub followed by moistening with distilled water. About 500 g mixture was transferred in 2000 ml Erlenmayer flask plugged using nonabsorbent cotton and sterilized in an autoclave at 15 p.s.i. for 30 minutes consequently for two days. It was allowed to cool and the flasks containing the sterilized media were inoculated with mycelial disc of pure culture of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* (5 mm diameter) and incubated at  $27\pm 2$  <sup>0</sup>C for 15 days. Sufficient quantity of inoculum was prepared and used for preparing sick pots required in pot experiments.

## Preparation of sick soil

Soil was collected in gunny bags and sterilized in autoclave at  $1.05 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  for one hour consequently for three days. Sand was added to the soil to facilitate proper drainage and aeration in pots. Finally the mass multiplied fungus inoculum was added in 1: 10 proportion to soil and thoroughly mixed, thus the soil was made sick.

# Pathogenicity test

Plastic pots of size 10 cm diameter were taken and surface sterilized with 0.1% HgCl<sub>2</sub>. The sick soil was filled in sterilized pots  $1/4^{\text{th}}$  of its capacity. The pots were given water lightly and incubated for 4 days. Five seeds of susceptible chickpea cultivar JG-62 were surface disinfected with 4%

sodium hypochlorite solution for 30 seconds and sown in pots each isolate in 3 replications. The seedlings maintained in sterilized soil without inoculums were served as control. Plants were observed periodically upto 30 days after sowing (DAS) for wilt symptoms and disease incidence (%) and total mortality was calculated. Different isolates of *F. oxysporum* were tested by sick soil method for their virulence on susceptible variety JG-62. The percent wilting was recorded on the basis of healthy and wilted plants. Wilt incidence was calculated by using formula,

The isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* were tentatively divided into three groups on the basis of virulence as Nonpathogenic isolates (0-10 percent), Moderately pathogenic isolates(10.1-30 percent), Highly pathogenic isolates(>30 percent).

# Screening of chickpea parents, RIL's, $F_2$ and $BC_1F_1$ populations by Pot culture method

Screening of chickpea parents (ICC-506 and Vijay), 196 RIL's (Obtained from ICRISAT, Hyderabad), F2 and BC1F1 populations for wilt resistance were done by Pot culture method in green house. Plastic pots of size 10 cm diameter were taken and surface sterilized with 0.1% HgCl<sub>2</sub>. The sick soil was filled in sterilized pots upto 1/4th of its capacity. The pots were watered lightly and incubated for 4 days. Chickpea seeds of parents (ICC 506 and Vijay) and 196 RIL's of susceptible chickpea cultivar JG-62 were surface disinfected with 4% sodium hypochlorite solution for 30 seconds and sown in pots in 3 replications (10 seeds per pot). The seedlings maintained in sterilized soil without inoculums were served as control. Plants were observed periodically upto 30 days after sowing (DAS) for wilt symptoms and disease incidence (percent) and total mortality was calculated. Reactions were graded as resistant (0-10 percent wilt), moderately resistant (10.1 to 30 percent wilt) and susceptible (> 30 percent wilt) (Anonymous, 2016)<sup>[1]</sup>.

## Screening of chickpea genotypes in Field

Chickpea parents (ICC 506 and Vijay), 196 RIL's (Obtained from ICRISAT, Hyderabad), F<sub>2</sub> and BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> populations were screened in wilt sick plot condition at Pulses Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola. A field screening technique for wilt screening developed at ICRISAT was adopted in the present studies (Nene et al., 1980). In this screening technique a wilt susceptible check (JG-62) was sown intermittently after every five test entries so as to monitor the disease pressure. Sowing of chickpea germplasm was completed in November, 2012 with two replications of row length 3 m at 30x10 cm spacing. The seed emergence was recorded 18 days after sowing. Observation on number of plants wilted was recorded at 30 days and 60 days after sowing. The percent wilt incidence was calculated on the basis of initial plant count and total number of wilted plants in each genotype and graded as follows (Anonymous, 2016)<sup>[1]</sup>.

## **Crossing of selected genotypes**

Crossing chickpea is tedious and time consuming and a crossed pod generally produces only one seed. Emasculation is required for artificial hybridization in chickpea. The crossing programme was carried out at experimental field, Pulses Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during rabi 2012-2013 to 2014-2015. The crosses were made during rabi 2012-2013, to obtain first filial ( $F_1$ ) generation. The  $F_1$  was grown to produce  $F_2$  population. The  $F_1$ 's were crossed with above female to produce  $BC_1F_1$ 

backcross populations during rabi 2013-2014. All these populations viz., P1, P2, F1, BC1F1 were sown in rabi 2014-2015.

#### **Biochemical analysis**

Biochemical constituents such as peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase from different genotypes were estimated from roots at different disease rating healthy and diseased samples of roots of chickpea plants which were collected from the experimental greenhouse of Department of Botany, biotechnology centre Dr. PDKV, Akola and sick plot at Pulse Research Unit, Akola All the samples were dried in shade for 48 hrs and then oven dried at 45 °C for 12 hrs. Dried samples were finely powdered using mortar and pestle and preserved in refrigerator at 4 °C for further studies.

#### Quantitative estimation of enzymes Peroxidase

About one gm of sample was taken and crushed in 7ml phosphate buffer saline and the suspension was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for analysis of peroxidiase. The reaction mixture contain 4 ml of 0.2 M phosphate buffer, 0.1 ml of guaiacol, 1 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 500 µg enzymes into a test tube. Blank was prepared in the same manner excluding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> but add water to make volume and incubate at 30 °C. Finally the absorbance was measured at 470 nm after 15 and 90 sec. of enzyme addition (Retig, 1974).

#### **Estimation of Polyphenol oxidase**

About one gm of sample was taken and crushed in 7 ml phosphate buffer saline and the suspension was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min. at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for analysis of polyphenol oxidase was taken 200 µl of enzymes and 1.5 ml of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer in a test tube. Initiate the reaction by adding 200 µl of 0.01 M catechol. Measure the rate of increase in absorbance at 495 nm against blank (prepared in absence of enzyme) at every 30 s interval up to 3 min. Plot the changes in the absorbance between 30 to 180 seconds of incubation and calculate the enzyme activity from linear part of the curve (Mayer et al., 1965)<sup>[11]</sup>.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Chickpea wilt infected samples were collected from the field of Pulses Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra during chickpea growing season in the year 2012-2013. The tissue isolation method was used for isolation of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri from infected plants showing typical wilt symptoms. The pure culture thus obtained was identified as Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. *ciceri* on the basis of morphological characters reported by Booth (1977) <sup>[6]</sup> (Plate 1).





b) Growth on PDB broth



d) Growth of F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri (Mass multiplication)



c) Mass multiplication



e) Micro and macro conidia of F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri

Plate 1: Isolation and morphology of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri ~ 451 ~

International Journal of Chemical Studies

The Pathogenicity test of isolates of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* isolated was tested by using susceptible cultivar JG-62. The samples of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*, proved to be pathogenic to susceptible cultivar JG-62 (64.28%). The isolate from Pulses Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra were used for further screnning of parents and RIL population (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Pathogenicity test of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *Cicero*against susceptible variety JG-62

| Sr. No. | Place   | Total plants | Germina-tion | Wilted<br>plants | % Wilting |
|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1       | Akola   | 15           | 14           | 9                | 64.28     |
| 2       | Control | 15           | 13           | 00               | 00        |

Screening of chickpea parents (ICC 506 EB and Vijay) and 196 RIL's (Obtained from ICRISAT, Hyderabad) for wilt resistance were done by Pot culture method (Fig.1) in green house. JG-62 a highly susceptible genotype was used as a check. Among the 196 RILs, 22 RILs were resistant, 55 RILs were moderately resistant and 119 were susceptible. The RILs also segregated in 1:1 ratio for resistance and susceptibility, indicating that resistance to *fusarium* wilt was monogenic in this population. The experiment was analysed statistically to confirm the significance of the findings. The details of the experiment are given in Table 2. (Plate 2, 3).

Table 2: Screening of Parents and RIL populations in wilt sick pot

| % Mean wilt incidence |                |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mean of RIL's         | 38.60 (37.93)* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vijay                 | 10 (18.43)     |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICC 506 EB            | 83.33 (66.14)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JG-62 (check)         | 90 (90.00)     |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE ±                  | 3.62           |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. D. @ 5%            | 10.08          |  |  |  |  |  |

\* - transformed values



Plate 2: Screening of chickpea by Pot culture method



Plate 3: Screening of RIL's against *fusarium* wilt by Pot culture method

Chickpea parents (ICC 506 EB and Vijay) and 196 RIL's (Obtained from ICRISAT, Hyderabad) were screened in wilt sick plot condition at Pulses Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola. A field screening technique for wilt screening developed at ICRISAT was adopted in the present studies (Nene *et. al.*, 1980). The susceptible parent ICC 506 EB, showed 80% wilting in 30 to 60 days after sowing, whereas Vijay was resistant with 6.66% wilting and develop very few wilting symptoms till maturity. The check genotype, completely wilted (90%) in 30 days after sowing (Plate 4).



Plant 4: Field views of wilt sick plot at pulses Research until, Dr. P.D.K.V. Akola



Fig 1: Frequency distribution of disease scores for Fusarium wilt under Pot conditions; RILs

|               | % Mean wilt incidence |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| RIL's         | 28.83 (30.79)*        |
| Vijay         | 6.66 (12.28)          |
| ICC 506 EB    | 80.00 (63.43)         |
| JG-62 (check) | 90.00 (71.56)         |
| SE ±          | 2.79                  |
| C. D. @ 5%    | 7.78                  |

\* - transformed values

Among the 196 RILs, 22 RILs were resistant, 55 RILs were moderately resistant and 119 were susceptible (Table 3, Fig.2). The susceptible RIL's took 25 to 30 days for wilting. Wilting symptoms also observed at flowering and podding stage. The experiment was analysed statistically to confirm the significance of the findings.



Fig 2: Frequency distribution of disease scores for Fusarium wilt under field conditions; RILs

The crossing programme was carried out at experimental field, Pulses Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. Total 310 flowers were pollinated to obtaine  $F_1$  and 260 flowers were pollinated to obtaine  $BC_1F_1$ . Percent pod set observed for  $F_1$  was 20.64% and for  $BC_1F_1$ , % pod set was 18.64% (Table 4).

| Crosses             | $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{ICC 506 EB} \times \textbf{Vijay} \\ \textbf{(F_1)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} F_1 \times ICC \ 506 \ EB \\ (BC_1F_1) \end{array}$ |  |  |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|
| No. of Pollinations | 310  | 260   |  |  |
| No. of Pod set      | 64   | 48  |  |  |
| No. of seed set     | 69   | 52  |  |  |
| % Pod set           | 20.64  | 18.64   |  |  |

Screening of parents (Vijay and ICC 506 EB), BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations for wilt resistance were done by Pot culture method in green house. JG-62 a highly susceptible genotype was used as a check. Among the 51 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> 26 plants were resistant and 25 were susceptible. The susceptible parent ICC 506 EB, showed 83.33 percent wilting in 30 days after sowing, whereas Vijay was resistant till maturity. Among the 136 F<sub>2</sub>, 107 were found resistant and 29 were susceptible. The BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> segregated in 1:1 ratio for resistance and susceptibility and F<sub>2</sub> progenies segregated in a ratio of 3 susceptible and 1 resistant. The RILs also closely fit a 1:1 segregation ratio for resistance to *fusarium* wilt was monogenic in this population. The data revealed segregation of a single gene with the recessive allele conferring resistance to *fusarium* wilt (Table 5).

Table 5: Inheritance of wilt resistance in a cross ICC-506 x Vijay

|                                       | Total plants | Wilted plants | Non wilted plants | Expected ratio | df | x <sup>2</sup> | <b>P-value</b> |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------------|
| RIL's                                 | 196          | 119           | 77                | 1:1            | 1  | 9.433          | 0.0021         |
| F <sub>2</sub>                        | 136          | 107           | 29                | 3:1            | 1  | 0.1985         | 0.655          |
| $BC_1F_1$ ( $F_1 \times ICC 506 EB$ ) | 51           | 25            | 26                | 1:1            | 1  | 0.078          | 0.780          |

df = 1; P = 0.05;  $x^2 = 3.841$ 

From the table 6. it was observed that among all the germplasam of chickpea, the polyphenol oxidase activity at flowering stage was highest in Vijay at inoculated treatment (3.91 U/min/gm). The lowest activity of polyphenol oxidase

was found in JG-62 (1.54 U/min/gm). At flowering stage activity of polyphenol oxidase was increased upto 40.14 percent in Vijay (Fig. 3).

Table 6: Polyphenol oxidase activity (U/min/mg)

| Sn No   | Variate    | Treatment  | At flowering stage |  | At podding stage |                     | Decetter second |  |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Sr. 10. | variety    | Treatment  | Content            | % increase/ Decrease Content                           |                  | %increase/ Decrease | Reaction score  |  |
| 1       | V.::       | Control    | 2.79               | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 3.51             | 60.80               | D               |  |
|         | vijay      | Inoculated | 3.91               |  | 69.80            | ĸ                   |                 |  |
| 2       | ICC 506 EB | Control    | 3.11               | 22.8   | 3.56             | 35.95               | S               |  |

|  |   |       | Inoculated | 3.80 |      | 4.84 |      |   |
|--|---|-------|------------|------|------|------|------|---|
|  | 3 | 10 62 | Control    | 1.54 | 5.94 | 2.57 | 0.22 | c |
|  |   | JU-02 | Inoculated | 1.63 | 5.84 | 2.81 | 9.33 | 3 |

At podding stage, in control treatment the polyphenol oxidase activity was lowest in JG-62 in control (2.57 U/min/mg). In the same stage this enzyme showed highest polyphenol oxidase activity (5.96 U/min/mg) in Vijay. In pathogen

inoculated sample the activity of enzyme was minimum (2.81 U/min/mg) in JG-62 and maximum in Vijay which was 5.96 U/min/mg. This activity was increased upto 69.80 percent in Vijay variety during podding stage.



Fig 3: Polyphenol oxidase activity (U/min/mg) at flowering and podding stage

The polyphenol oxidase activity was found increased after inoculation with the fungus and showed similar pattern as total phenols with more increase after inoculation in case of resistant than susceptible. Similar trend were reported for different crops and pathogenic organisms by various scientist such as Matta and Daibong (1963) <sup>[10]</sup>, Patel *et al.* (2001) <sup>[14]</sup> and Parmeshwaran (2004).

Table No.7. depicits the values about the activity of enzyme peroxidase at flowering and podding stage. The peroxidase activity at flowering stage was found maximum in Vijay (6.26 U/min/mg) when inoculated with pathogen inoculum and minimum activity was found in JG-62 which was 1.34 U/min/mg in control treatment. The 27.23 percent increase in activity was found in Vijay (Fig. 4).

| Sr No   | Variaty    | riety Treatment At flowering stage |         | flowering stage     | A       | Deaction score     |                |
|---------|------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|----------------|
| 51. 10. | v al lety  | Treatment                          | Content | % increase/Decrease | Content | %increase/Decrease | Reaction score |
| 1       | Vijay      | Control                            | 4.92    | 27.23               | 5.79    | 34.36              | R              |
|         |            | Inoculated                         | 6.26    |                     | 7.77    |                    |                |
| 2       | ICC 506 EB | Control                            | 4.78    | 6.90                | 6.86    | 10.93              | S              |
| 2       |            | Inoculated                         | 5.11    |                     | 7.61    |                    |                |
| 3       | JG-62      | Control                            | 1.34    | 5.97                | 2.68    | 8.20               | S              |
|         |            | Inoculated                         | 2.02    |                     | 2.89    |                    |                |

Table 7: Peroxidase activity (U/min/mg) at flowering and podding stage and wilt reaction

At podding stage the JG-62 showed lowest peroxidase activity at both control (2.68 U/min/mg) and inoculated (2.89 U/min/mg) treatment. The highest activity was observed in Vijay which was 5.79 U/min/mg at control and at inoculated treatment (7.7 U/min/gm). The maximum increase in percentage was observed in Vijay germplasm which was 34.36 percent.



Fig 5: Peroxidase activity (U/min/mg) at flowering and podding stage

## Conclusions

The polyphenol oxidase activity was found increased after inoculation with the fungus and showed similar pattern as total phenols with more increase after inoculation in case of resistant than susceptible. Similar trend were reported for different crops and pathogenic organisms by various scientist such as Matta and Daibong (1963) <sup>[10]</sup>, Patel *et al.* (2001) <sup>[14]</sup> and Parmeshwaran (2004). Overall increase in peroxidase activity was observed in all the cases after inoculation and this increase was found more in case of resistant genotypes as reported previously by Bashan (1987) <sup>[4]</sup> and Ashraf *et al.* (2005) <sup>[2]</sup>.

The BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> segregated in 1:1 ratio for resistance and susceptibility and F<sub>2</sub> progenies segregated in a ratio of 3 susceptible and 1 resistant. The RILs also segregated in 1:1 ratio for resistance and susceptibility, indicating that resistance to *fusarium* wilt was monogenic in this population The wilt screening data from RIL's, BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> revealed segregation of a single gene with the recessive allele conferring resistance to *fusarium* wilt.

## References

- 1. Anonymous. All India Coordinated Research Project, Annual report 2015-16 on chickpea, IIPR, Kanpur, UP, India. 2016.
- 2. Ashraf MS, Khan TA, Hasan S. Reaction of chickpea varaieties to Macrophomina phaseolina and their effect on peroxidase activity. Pak. J Bot. 2005; 37(3):761-767.
- 3. Awasthi CP, Abidi AB, Chowdhury AR. Studies on the nutritional quality of the different varities of Chickpea. Indian J Agric. Res. 1991; (25):21-26.
- Bashan Y, Okon Y, Henis Y. Peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase and phenols in relation to resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. In tomato plants. Can J Bot. 1987; 65:366-372.
- 5. Bhatt NJ, Patel RK. Screening of chickpea cultivars for their resistance to gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa* armiger. Indian J Entomol. 2001; 63(3):277-280.
- 6. Booth C. Laboratory guide to identification of the major species of *Fusarium*. C.M.I Kew, Surrey. England, 1977.

- Gil J, Nadal S, Luna D, Moreno MT, De Haro A. Variability of some physicochemical characters in desi and kabulli chickpea types. J Sci. of Food and Agril. 1996; (71):179-184.
- Haware MP, Nene YL, Mathur SB. Seed borne diseases of chickpea. Technical Bull. No. 1. Copenhagen, Denmark: Danish Government. Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing Countries, 1986, 32.
- 9. Jimenez-Diaz RM, Trapero-Casas A Cabrera CJ. Races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* infecting chickpea in southern Spain. NATOASI series. 1989; 28:515-520.
- 10. Matta A, Dimong AE. Symptoms *Fusarium* wilt in relation to quality of fungus and enzyme activity in tomato stems. Phytopathol. 1963; 53:132-136.
- 11. Mayer AM, Harse E, Shaw RB. Assay of catechol oxidase critical comparison methods. Phytochem. 1965; 5:782-789.
- 12. Nene YL, Haware MP. Screening chickpea for resistance to wilt. Plant Dis. 1980; 64:379-380.
- Parameswaran M. Changes in polyphenol oxidase activity during *Fusarium* wilt disease in chickpea varieties. Indian J Agric. biochemistry. 2004; 17(2):30-34.
- 14. Patel BA, Patel DJ, Patel RG, Talati JG. Biochemical changes induced by infection of Meloidogyne spp. In chickpea, 2001; ICPN. 8:13.
- 15. Pundir RPS, Rao NK, LJG Van, Der Maesen. Distribution of qualitative traits in the world germplasm of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euphytica. 1985; 34:697-703.
- 16. Retig N. Change in peroxidise and polyphenol oxidase associated with natural and induced resistance of tomato to *fusarium* wilt. Physio. Pl. Pathol. 1974; 4:145-150.