

International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(1): 2530-2535 © 2019 IJCS Received: 17-11-2018 Accepted: 20-12-2018

Vilas D Gasti

Department of Horticulture and Post-Harvest Technology Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture) Sriniketan, Visva-Bharati, West Bengal, India

Snehasish Chakravorty

Department of Horticulture and Post-Harvest Technology Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture) Sriniketan, Visva-Bharati, West Bengal, India

Correspondence Vilas D Gasti

Department of Horticulture and Post-Harvest Technology Palli Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture) Sriniketan, Visva-Bharati, West Bengal, India

Efficacy of herbicidal weed management in chilli + coriander intercropping system

Vilas D Gasti and Snehasish Chakravorty

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at the Vegetable Division in Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot (Karnataka) during *kharif* 2014 and 2015 to identify the best possible method of weed control for maximizing the productivity of chilli+ coriander intercropping system. The weed population, dry weight of weeds, weed control efficiency and yield components of chilli and coriander were significantly affected in response to weed control treatments. Among the different chemical treatments, pre-emergent application of alachlor @ 1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT recorded the lowest pooled dry matter of weeds (3.8 g), lowest population of monocot (3.47), dicot weeds (2.82) and thus exhibited the highest weed control efficiency (83.45%). The yield per ha of chilli (285q) and coriander (73.02q) net returns (Rs. 1,33,661) and B:C ratio (2.63) were also found to be highest and it was followed by pre emergence application of pendimethalin at 1.5 kg/ha +2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT.

Keywords: Chilli + coiander intercropping, weed control treatments and B: C Ratio

Introduction

Weed management is an important component of crop production, which improves the production potential of the crop. Out of total annual loss of agricultural produce from various biotic factors in India, weeds account for 45 per cent (Subramaniam *et al.*, 1999) ^[12]. The losses due to weeds are attributed to the competition between crops and weeds for natural resources. It has been generally accepted that intercropping may have significant effect in suppressing weeds in wide row planted crops particularly characterized with slow initial growth. Besides addition yield of intercrops, there is better utilization of resources, less incidence of pest and diseases and suppression of weeds at the critical early growth stages of crop (Singh and Abraham, 2017) ^[2].

Chilli is an important vegetable- cum- spice crop essentially used in every Indian cuisine, due to its pungency, taste, color and aroma. Among the Indian states, Andhra Pradesh stands first in terms of production and area coverage under chilli cultivation. It alone commands for 49 per cent of the chilli production in India, with a production of around 0.27 million tonnes of chillies. Karnataka follows Andhra Pradesh, contributing 14 per cent of the country's production. Immediately after transplanting, chilli seedlings grow slowly whereas weeds emerge fast and grow rapidly competing with the crop for growth resources, *viz.* nutrients, moisture, sunlight and space during entire vegetative and early reproductive stages of chilli (Isik *et al.*, 2009) ^[6]. The extent of reduction in fruit yield of chilli has been reported to be in the range of 60-70 per cent depending on the intensity and persistence of weed density in standing crop (Khan *et al.*, 2012) ^[7].

Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), belonging to the family *Apiaceae* is popularly known as "*Dhania*", is one of the oldest and most widely used seed spice come leafy vegetable crop, by entire mankind of the world. Chilli + coriander inter cropping is one of the preferred inter cropping system followed in some parts of Karnataka. The present study evaluates the efficacy of different herbicides treatments on weed control and growth and yield of chilli + coriander inter cropping system.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out at the Vegetable Division in Kittur Rani Channamma college of Horticulture, Arabhavi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot (Karnataka) during *Kharif* season of 2014 and 2015 on well drained red loamy soil to find out the effectiveness of

chemicals in weed management in intercropping of chilli (Var 'Byadagi') + coriander (Local Variety).

The gross size and net size of the plots were 16.20 m² and 11.80 m² respectively. Four to Five weeks old chilli seedlings were transplanted into main field with a spacing of 75cm $\times 45$ cm and in between the chilli, 2 rows of coriander was sown. The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two replications consisting of fourteen treatments including unweeded check (T1- Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha T2 - Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha T3 - Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT T_4 - Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT T₅. Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT T₆. Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT T7 . Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha T₈. Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha T₉. Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT T₁₀ Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT T_{11} - Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT T_{12} - Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT T_{13} . Weed free check T_{14} . Unweeded check). RDF for chilli, 150:100:125 kg of N: P₂O₅: K₂O with 25 tonnes of FYM (as per package of practices – UHS, Bagalkot) was applied at the time of field preparation. Remaining dose of N (50%) was applied at 3 split doses viz., after 30, 60 and 90 days of transplanting. During the course of investigation, observations regarding weed population, crop growth and yield parameters at 30, 60 and 90 DAT and at the time of harvest were recorded from the randomly selected and tagged plants. The weed index was calculated by the formula given by Gill and Vijaya Kumar (1969)^[3]. Besides fixed cost of cultivation, variable costs on spray, manual weeding and cost of herbicide in each treatment was worked out to obtain total cost of production. The net income was obtained after deducting cost of production from value of produces. The mean data was subjected to the statistical analysis using ANOVA and mean separation (LSD) procedures (Gomez and Gomez, 1984)^[4].

Results and Discussion

The weed population, dry weight of weeds, weed control efficiency and yield components of chilli and coriander were significantly affected in response to weed control treatments. The results of the study showed that among the different treatments, the effect of weed control on monocot weeds (3.47) was lowest in pre- emergent application of alachlor 1.5 kg ai/ ha + 2HW (3.75) at 45 and 60 DAT (T₄) followed by pre- emergent application of pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg ai/ ha + 2HW at 45 and 60 DAT (T₁₀).

Higher dicot weeds (8.03) and weed population (13.02) was observed in unweeded check whereas treatment T_4 resulted in lower dicot weeds (2.82) and weed population (4.58) followed by T_{10} (2.99 and 4.70 respectively) (Table 1). The treatment T_4 recorded significantly lower dry weight of weeds at harvest (3.80g), lowest weed index in chilli and coriander (4.81 and 14.17, respectively) and thus highest weed control efficiency (83.45%) and was followed by T_{10} (7.86, 21.18 and 80.64%, respectively) (Table 2 & 3). Pre- emergent application of herbicide followed by timely hand weeding might be the possible reason for lower weed population in these treatments. The lower dry weight of weeds might be attributed to the less number of weeds. Thus the higher weed control efficiency could be accounted to the lower weed dry weight. These results are of agreement with Muthusan Karanarayanan *et al* (1997)^[8] and Shil and Adhikary (2014)^[10].

Similarly treatment T₄ was found significant for highest plant height (105.38 cm), number of branches/plant (24.99) and dry weight of plant (107.11g) whereas unweeded check recorded lowest values (94.41cm, 9.01 and 41.65 g, respectively) (Table 4). Yield and yield components of chilli varied significantly among various weed control treatments. Weed free check recorded significantly higher number of fruits/plant (123.99), fruit weight /plant (1.21 kg), and yield per plot (47.49 kg) and yield per ha (299.81q) of chilli and was followed by T₄ (119.05, 1.15kg, 46.79kg and 285 q, respectively) whereas unweed check showed the minimum values (68.53, 0.65kg and 25.79kg) (Table 5). The significant increase in number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant and yield per plot in the treatments are attributed to lower weed count and higher weed control efficiency which in turn resulted in better crop growth. Reported Similar findings are in line with Ved Prakash et al. (2003) [14] and Hajebi et al (2016)^[5] in chilli.

Among the chemical treatments, T_4 was found significant for highest plant height (25.31cm) and number of branches /plant at harvest (8.68) in coriander Significantly higher yield per plot(14.83kg) and yield per ha (86.20q) was recorded in weed free check. However, treatment T_4 showed maximum yield per plot and yield per ha (12.69 kg and 73.02 q, respectively) followed by T10 (11.69 and 66.85, respectively) (Table 6). The improvement in yield of coriander under these treatments is directly associated with the corresponding increase in growth and yield attributing characters. Similar results have been reported by Patel *et al.* (2004) ^[9] and Tiwari *et al.* (2005) ^[13] in coriander.

The effect of weed control treatments on economics of chilli +coriander intercropping indicated that weed free check recorded highest gross returns (Rs. 2,36,105) and among chemical treatments, T₄ (Rs. 2,15,521) was highest. Cost of cultivation was highest in weed free check (Rs. 90,960) followed by T₄ (Rs. 81,860). The higher cost of cultivation is due to increased labour charges incured during hand weeding. Since no control measures has taken against weeds, unweeded check recorded least cost of cultivation (Rs. 76,960). Among treatments, highest net returns (Rs. 133661) and benefit: cost ratio (2.63) was achieved by T_4 followed by T_{10} (Rs. 1,24,010 and 2.51, respectively) (Table. 7). The higher B:C ratio in T_4 is due to higher net returns and lower cost of cultivation in comparison with weed free check. The results are in line with the findings reported by Biradar (1999)^[1], and Singh et al. (2011)^[11] and Patel *et al.* (2014).

Conclusion

Pre-emergent application of alachlor @ 1.5 kg ai/ ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT recorded the lowest dry matter of weeds, lowest population of monocot and dicot weeds and thus exhibited the highest weed control efficiency, highest yield per ha, net returns and B:C ratio in chilli + coriander intercropping system. Thus it can be recommended as the best weed control method for chilli based intercropping (coriander) system.

Treatments	Treatment details	Monoco	t weeds at	harvest	Dicot v	weeds at	harvest	Weed po	opulation a	t harvest
1 reatments	I reatment details	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
т	$A_{1-1} = (DE) = 1.5 = 1.5$	53.48	53.35	53.42	27.4	27.51	27.45	80.88	80.86	80.87
T_1	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha	(7.31)	(7.3)	(7.31)	(5.23)	(5.24)	(5.24)	(8.99)	(8.99)	(8.99)
т.	Alashlar (BE) 1.0 ka si/ha	61.13	61	61.07	36.2	36.31	36.25	97.33	97.31	97.32
T2	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha	(7.82)	(7.81)	(7.81)	(6.02)	(6.02)	(6.02)	(9.87)	(9.86)	(9.86)
T ₃	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	36.78	36.65	36.72	16.45	16.56	16.5	53.23	53.21	53.22
13	Alachior (PE)-1.3 kg al/na + 1 H w at 43 DAT	(6.06)	(6.05)	(6.06)	(4.05)	(4.06)	(4.06)	(7.3)	(7.29)	(7.29)
T_4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and	12.08	11.95	12.02	7.95	8.06	8.00	20.98	20.96	20.97
14	60 DAT	(3.48)	(3.46)	(3.47)	(2.82)	(2.83)	(2.82)	(4.58)	(4.58)	(4.58)
T5	$A_{1} = b_{1} = (DE) + 0 = b_{1} = b_{1} + 1 + 1 + 0 = b_{1} = b_{1}$	41.68	41.55	41.62	18.45	18.56	18.50	60.13	60.11	60.12
15	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	(6.46)	(6.45)	(6.45)	(4.29)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(7.75)	(7.75)	(7.75)
т	T ₆ Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	28.28	28.15	28.22	9.85	9.96	9.90	38.13	38.11	38.12
16		(5.32)	(5.31)	(5.31)	(3.14)	(3.15)	(3.14)	(6.17)	(6.17)	(6.17)
T_7	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	60.28	60.15	60.22	32.20	32.31	32.25	92.48	92.46	92.47
17		(7.76)	(7.76)	(7.76)	(5.67)	(5.68)	(5.68)	(9.62)	(9.62)	(9.62)
т	Devidence (DE) 1.0 les sides	66.48	66.35	66.42	39.25	39.36	39.30	105.73	105.71	105.72
18	T ₈ Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	(8.15)	(8.14)	(8.15)	(6.26)	(6.27)	(6.27)	(10.28)	(10.28)	(10.28)
т	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45	44.68	44.55	44.62	19.95	20.06	20.00	64.63	64.61	64.62
T 9	DAT	(6.68)	(6.67)	(6.68)	(4.47)	(4.48)	(4.47)	(8.04)	(8.04)	(8.04)
T	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45	14.13	14	14.07	8.90	9.01	8.95	22.08	22.06	22.07
T_{10}	and 60 DAT	(3.76)	(3.74)	(3.75)	(2.98)	(2.99)	(2.99)	(4.7)	(4.7)	(4.7)
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45	52.88	52.75	52.82	23.05	23.16	23.10	75.93	75.91	75.92
1 11	DAT	(7.27)	(7.26)	(7.27)	(4.8)	(4.81)	(4.81)	(8.71)	(8.71)	(8.71)
т	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45	30.98	30.85	30.92	11.50	11.61	11.55	42.48	42.46	42.47
T ₁₂	and 60 DAT	(5.56)	(5.55)	(5.56)	(3.39)	(3.4)	(3.39)	(6.52)	(6.51)	(6.51)
T ₁₃	Weed free check	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1 13	weed free check	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.7)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)	(0.71)
т	Unweeded check	105.13	105	105.07	64.45	64.56	64.50	169.58	169.56	169.57
T_{14}	Unweeded check	(10.25)	(10.24)	(10.25)	(8.03)	(8.03)	(8.03)	(13.02)	(13.02)	(13.02)
	Maar	43.43	43.36	43.37	22.54	24.17	22.59	65.97	65.95	65.96
	Mean	(6.18)	(6.2)	(6.2)	(4.42)	(4.43)	(4.42)	(7.59)	(7.59)	(7.59)
	S. Em±	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.07
	C.D.at 5%	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.12	0.22	0.16	0.21	0.33	0.21

Table 1: Effect of weed control treatments on weed parameters in chilli + coriander intercropping

Table 2: Effect of weed control treatments on weed parameters in chilli + coriander intercropping

Treatments	Treatment details	Dry weig	ht of weeds	at harvest	Weed cor	ntrol efficienc	y at harvest
Treatments	i reatment details	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T_1	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	37.95 (6.11)	37.63 (6.16)	37.79 (6.13)	57.25	56.82	57.04
T_2	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	47.05 (6.82)	46.80 (6.86)	46.92 (6.84)	46.72	46.53	46.62
T ₃	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	26.2 (5.12)	25.63 (5.12)	25.91 (5.06)	71.33	70.22	70.78
T 4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT		14.48 (3.85)	14.69 (3.8)	83.88	83.02	83.45
T5	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	30.60 (5.43)	30.03 (5.53)	30.31 (5.48)	66.13	65.64	65.88
T ₆	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	20.80 (4.43)	20.23 (4.56)	20.51 (4.5)	77.47	76.34	76.91
T ₇	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	39.50 (6.21)	39.05 (6.28)	39.27 (6.25)	55.82	55.12	55.47
T ₈	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	49.05 (6.9)	48.35 (7)	48.70 (6.95)	45.38	44.17	44.78
T 9	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	30.25 (5.44)	29.93 (5.5)	30.09 (5.47)	66.25	65.18	65.72
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	17.40 (4.06)	16.95 (4.17)	17.17 (4.12)	81.08	80.19	80.64
T_{11}	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	34.25 (5.8)	33.93 (5.85)	34.09 (5.82)	61.50	61.05	61.27
T_{12}	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	23.35 (4.76)	23.03 (4.83)	23.19 (4.8)	73.98	73.43	73.70
T 13	Weed free check	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)	100.00	100.00	100.00
T_{14}	Unweeded check	88.00	87.68	87.84	0.00	0.00	0.00
1 14	Unweeded check	(9.35)	(9.38)	(9.36)			
	Mean	32.80 (5.34)	32.40 (5.41)	32.60 (5.33)	63.34	62.7	63.02
	S. Em	0.11	0.11	0.11	1.33	1.38	1.34
	C.D.at 5%	0.34	0.33	0.36	4.07	4.20	4.10

Table 2.1: Effect of weed control treatments on weed ind	dex in chilli and coriander
--	-----------------------------

Treat	Treatment details	Weed	l index i	n chilli	Weed	indexin co	riander
ments	I reatment details	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T1	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	27.31	25.38	24.13	50.47	40.24	47.13
T ₂	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	33.38	31.03	31.27	55.51	56.42	57.88
T3	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	17.30	16.07	14.02	33.99	34.88	35.56
T_4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	4.95	4.48	4.81	17.15	9.96	14.17
T5	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	19.11	17.76	16.15	38.62	34.95	38.08
T ₆	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	8.74	8.12	8.51	32.90	33.11	34.27
T 7	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	31.49	29.28	30.04	54.67	51.59	54.89
T ₈	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	35.43	32.93	33.00	57.48	57.99	59.62
T9	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	19.09	22.50	19.82	43.85	36.94	41.97
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	8.37	6.71	7.86	19.66	21.40	21.18
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	21.77	20.24	20.94	48.46	38.11	44.99
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	12.97	12.05	10.76	33.02	33.52	34.29
T ₁₃	Weed free check	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
T ₁₄	Unweeded check	47.70	44.35	45.60	62.70	64.39	65.81
	Mean	20.91	19.35	19.09	39.18	36.68	3.43
	S. Em±	5.07	4.63	3.71	3.86	4.67	4.62
	C. D. @ 5%	15.50	14.15	11.33	11.43	14.89	12.36

Table 3: Effect of weed control treatments on vegetative and yield parameters in chilli

Treatments	Treatment details	Plant	height at (cm)	harvest		ranches p at harvest		•	eight of j harvest (-
Treatments	Treatment details	I vear	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T_1	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	99.13	100.52	99.91	11.32	12.62	11.97	73.75	77.85	75.80
T2	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	94.13	94.81	94.33	9.41	10.89	10.15	72.13	74.45	73.29
T ₃	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	102.23	104.81	103.52	17.51	19.01	18.26	88.53	92.52	90.52
T 4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	104.75	106.13	105.38	23.19	26.79	24.99	105.33	108.90	107.11
T5	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	100.88	101.60	101.13	15.47	16.66	16.06	84.73	88.15	86.44
T ₆	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	104.63	105.18	104.97	19.78	20.86	20.32	97.63	100.93	99.28
T7	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	95.00	95.95	95.47	10.61	12.01	11.31	73.33	76.87	75.10
T ₈	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	93.91	94.53	94.36	8.63	9.99	9.31	64.41	67.70	66.05
T9	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	100.66	101.00	100.94	14.15	15.56	14.85	81.73	85.70	83.71
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	105.17	105.50	105.02	21.07	21.80	21.43	100.83	104.41	102.62
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	99.50	100.69	100.01	12.67	13.98	13.33	79.63	84.05	81.84
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	102.63	104.88	104.06	18.61	19.91	19.26	94.73	98.25	96.49
T ₁₃	Weed free check	104.56	105.74	105.15	25.83	27.68	26.75	110.63	114.55	112.59
T14	Unweeded check	94.75	94.08	94.41	8.52	9.50	9.01	40.23	43.07	41.65
	Mean	100.14	101.1	100.62	15.48	16.95	16.21	83.40	86.96	85.18
	S. Em±	3.54	3.71	3.47	0.88	1.42	1.08	3.26	5.85	4.43
	C.D.at 5%	10.81	11.34	10.59	2.67	4.33	3.29	9.89	17.93	13.86

Table 4: Effect of weed control treatments on yield and yield attributes in chilli

Treatment	Treatment details	No. of	fruits pe	r plant	Fruit we	ight per j	plant (kg)	Yield	per plo	t (kg)	Yield per ha (q)		
Treatment	I reatment details	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T_1	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	85.81	84.92	85.37	0.84	0.93	0.88	33.60	37.14	35.79	207.41	229.26	218.33
T_2	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	79.41	80.90	80.15	0.78	0.86	0.82	31.00	34.54	32.54	191.36	213.21	202.28
T ₃	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	94.28	94.83	94.56	0.96	1.04	1.00	38.20	41.74	40.54	235.80	257.65	246.73
T_4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	119.25	118.84	119.05	1.11	1.20	1.15	44.40	47.94	46.79	274.07	295.93	285.00
T ₅	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	92.43	92.92	92.67	0.94	1.02	0.98	37.42	40.96	39.56	231.01	252.86	241.93
T ₆	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	102.91	103.01	102.96	1.06	1.15	1.10	42.40	45.94	44.09	261.73	283.58	272.65
T ₇	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	82.00	83.42	82.71	0.80	0.89	0.84	32.00	35.54	33.19	197.53	219.38	208.46
T ₈	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	79.00	78.89	78.94	0.75	0.84	0.79	30.00	33.54	31.69	185.19	207.04	196.11
T9	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	89.80	89.90	89.85	0.92	1.00	0.96	36.60	40.14	37.54	225.93	247.78	236.85
T_{10}	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	103.13	103.86	103.49	1.08	1.17	1.12	43.20	46.74	45.09	266.67	288.52	277.59

T11	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	89.75	89.23	89.49	0.87	0.96	0.91	34.80	38.34	37.69	214.81	236.67	225.74
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	100.00	100.03	100.02	1.01	1.10	1.05	40.40	43.94	42.19	249.38	271.23	260.31
T ₁₃	Weed free check	123.63	124.35	123.99	1.17	1.26	1.21	46.80	50.34	47.49	288.89	310.74	299.81
T14	Unweeded check	71.70	65.35	68.53	0.61	0.70	0.65	24.40	27.94	25.79	150.62	172.47	161.54
	Mean	93.79	93.60	93.70	0.92	1.01	0.96	36.80	40.34	38.57	227.17	249.02	238.10
	S. Em [±]	3.32	5.67	4.22	0.06	0.06	0.06	2.40	2.40	1.80	13.84	14.80	15.84
	C.D.at 5%	10.15	17.18	12.89	0.18	0.18	0.18	7.35	7.35	5.51	41.35	44.35	45.35

Table 5: Effect of weed control treatments on growth parameters in coriander

Treatments	Treatment details	Plant he	ight at har	vest (cm)	Number of branches per plant			
Treatments	i reatment details	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	
T1	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	16.95	16.60	16.75	6.65	6.40	6.53	
T2	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	16.85	18.15	17.33	6.75	6.00	6.38	
T3	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	20.30	20.95	20.98	8.00	7.70	7.83	
T 4	Alachlor (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	25.05	25.57	25.31	9.00	8.55	8.68	
T5	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	18.95	18.90	18.93	7.41	7.25	7.38	
T ₆	Alachlor (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	22.05	22.57	21.91	8.35	8.10	8.23	
T ₇	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha	16.95	17.05	17.00	6.85	6.25	6.53	
T8	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha	15.70	16.22	15.96	6.20	5.95	6.08	
T9	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	18.70	19.12	18.91	7.60	7.05	7.18	
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	24.15	24.67	24.41	8.40	8.30	8.43	
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	18.05	18.72	18.39	7.10	6.80	6.93	
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE)-1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	21.65	22.17	22.31	8.25	8.00	8.13	
T ₁₃	Weed free check	25.50	24.80	25.15	8.80	8.15	8.45	
T14	Unweeded check	16.35	17.45	16.85	5.95	6.00	5.98	
	Mean	19.80	20.21	20.01	7.52	7.18	7.33	
	_{S. Em} ±	0.76	0.73	0.52	0.19	0.28	0.24	
	C.D.at 5%	2.25	2.12	1.20	0.53	0.81	0.71	

Table 6: Effect of weed control treatments on coriander leaf yield

Treatments	Treatment details	Leaf y	yield per p	olot (kg)	Leaf y	ield per he	ctare (q)
Treatments	i reatment detans	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled
T_1	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha	7.89	8.73	7.81	37.19	48.55	42.87
T ₂	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha	7.09	6.34	6.21	32.25	33.80	33.02
T3	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	10.52	9.57	9.55	53.46	53.77	53.61
T 4	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	13.20	13.19	12.69	69.97	76.08	73.02
T5	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	9.78	9.56	9.17	48.89	53.70	51.30
T6	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	10.67	9.73	9.70	54.39	54.75	54.57
T7	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha	7.23	7.20	6.71	33.12	39.14	36.13
T ₈	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha	6.77	6.20	5.99	30.31	32.96	31.64
T9	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	8.95	9.20	8.57	43.77	51.45	47.61
T10	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	12.82	11.57	11.69	67.62	66.08	66.85
T11	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	8.21	9.04	8.12	39.17	50.46	44.81
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	10.67	9.72	9.69	54.38	54.66	54.52
T ₁₃	Weed free check	15.95	14.70	14.83	86.98	85.43	86.20
T ₁₄	Unweeded check	6.00	5.22	5.11	25.52	26.89	26.21
	Mean	9.69	9.28	8.99	48.36	51.98	50.17
	S. Em ±	0.60	0.69	0.50	3.71	4.28	3.06
	C.D.at 5%	1.56	2.05	1.41	11.80	12.22	8.9

Table 7: Effect of weed control treatments on economics of chilli +coriander inter cropping

Treatments	Treatment details	Gross returns (Rs)	Cost of cultivation (Rs)	Net returns (Rs)	B:C ratio
T_1	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha	152035	77860	74175	1.95
T ₂	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha	134160	77560	56600	1.72
T3	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	176975	79860	97115	2.21
T_4	Alachlor (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	215521	81860	133661	2.63
T5	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	172265	79560	92705	2.16
T ₆	Alachlor (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	190895	81560	109335	2.34
T ₇	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha	140360	77635	62725	1.80
T8	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha	129695	77410	52285	1.67
T9	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha+ 1 HW at 45 DAT	166035	79635	86400	2.08
T ₁₀	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.5 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	205645	81635	124010	2.51
T ₁₁	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 1 HW at 45 DAT	157680	79410	78270	1.98
T ₁₂	Pendimethalin (PE) -1.0 kg ai/ha + 2 HW at 45 and 60 DAT	184675	81410	103265	2.26
T ₁₃	Weed free check	236105	90960	145145	2.59
T ₁₄	Unweeded check	106980	76960	30020	1.39

References

- 1. Biradar S. Integrated weed management in chilli under northern transitional tract of Karnataka. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci. Dharwad (India), 1999.
- Singh O, Thomas Abraham. Weed suppression through canopy and herbicidal management in short duration pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) based intercropping systems. Res. Environ. Life Sci. 2017; 10(6):521-526.
- Gill GS, Vijaya Kumar. Weed index- a new method for reporting weed control trials. Indian J Agron. 1969; 16:96-98.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, Wiley India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, 1984, 25-28.
- 5. Hajebi A, Das TK, Arora A, Singh SB, Hajebi F. Herbicides tank mixes effects on weeds and productivity and profitability of chilli under conventional and zero tillage. Scientia Horticulturae. 2016; 198:191-196.
- Isik D, Kaya E, Ngouajio M, Mennan H. Weed suppression in organic pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) with winter cover crops. Crop Protection. 2009; 28:356-363.
- Khan A, Muhammad S, Hussain Z, Khattak AM. Effect of different weed control methods on weeds and yield of chillies (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research. 2012; 18(1):71-78.
- Muthusan Karanarayanan A, Ali AM, Veerendran V. Weed smothering effect of intercrops in chilli. Indian J Weed Sci. 1997; 29(3 & 4):133-137.
- 9. Patel RH, Jagruti S, Usadades VP, Shah SN. Influence of nitrogen and weed management practices on weed and coriander. Indian J Weed Sci. 2004; 36:86-88.
- 10. Shil S, Adhikary P. weed management in transplanted chilli. Indian J Weed Sci. 2014; 46(3):261-263.
- 11. Singh U, Hiremath SM, Halikatti SI, Shashidhara GB, Patil PL. Evaluation of herbicides for weed control in rainfed transplanted chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Karnataka J Agri. Sci. 2011; 24(2):125-128.
- 12. Subramaniam S, AJi Mohamed A, Jayakumar R. About weed control. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, 1999, 252.
- Tiwari AN, Tiwari SN, Tripathi AK, Singh SK. Herbicidal control of weeds in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) with special reference to *Coronopusdidymus*. Indian J Weed Sci. 2005; 37:234-236.
- Ved Prakash, Pandey AK, Singh RD, Gupta HS. Integrated weed management in chilli under Mid-Hill conditions of North-Western Himalayas. Indian J Agron. 2003; 48(2):139-141.