# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(1): 580-583 © 2019 IJCS Received: 02-11-2018 Accepted: 05-12-2018

### K Muthaiah

ICAR-Division of Vegetable Crops, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

#### VD Gasti

Department of Vegetable Science, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Sanganamoni Mallesh

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

### Sumalatha Akkalareddy

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India

#### Arindam Das

ICAR-Division of Vegetable Crops, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Correspondence K Muthaiah ICAR-Division of Vegetable Crops, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

# Combining ability for quality and seed parameters in ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb.]

# K Muthaiah, VD Gasti, Sanganamoni Mallesh, Sumalatha Akkalareddy and Arindam Das

### Abstract

Combining ability in ridge gourd, eight different parental lines were selected, they were mated in half diallel fashion, resultant 28 hybrids were developed. Analysis of variance is significant due to GCA and SCA were observed for quality and seed parameters, which indicates the predominance of additive and non-additive gene action and which suggested that ample scope for direct selection or heterosis breeding. Among eight parents, DMRG-25, DMRG-36 and DMRG-22 were found to be best general combiners. Significant *sca* effects were positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in the cross DMRG-22 × DMRG-44(0.77) for rind thickness, the cross DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (1.99) for flesh thickness, the cross DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (36.72) for ascorbic acid, the cross DMRG-22 × DMRG-15(3.65) for calcium content, the cross DMRG-36 × ArkaSumeet (24.75) for number of seed per fruit, the cross Arka Sumeet × DMRG-15 (2.28) for hundred seed weight.

Keywords: Combining ability, half diallel, hybrid, *gca* effect, *sca* effect and additive and non-additive gene action

### 1. Introduction

Ridge gourd [Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.], belong to the genus Luffa and family is cucurbitaceae and has chromosome number 2n = 26 and is native to India, also called as angled gourd, ribbed gourd and chinese okra. Seeds are reported to be posses' purgative, emetic and antihelmintic properties due to the secondary metabolite cucurbitacin <sup>[1]</sup>. Isolation of Ribosome Inactivating Proteins (RIPs), and luffaculin from ridge gourd seeds and its crystallographic studies, RIPs have received wide attention for their potential applications in medicine as they posses various pharmacological activities including abortifacient, antifungal, antitumor, antivirus and HIV-1 integrase inhibitory properties <sup>[2]</sup>. The ridge gourd is used as cooked vegetable in green immature stage. Ridge gourd being a monoecious and crosspollinated crop, it exhibits considerable heterozygosity in population and does not suffer much due to inbreeding depression resulting in natural variability in the population. Thus, provides ample scope for utilization of hybrid vigour on commercial scale. These, wide range of genetic variability in plant for quality and seed parameters and also produce large number of hybrid seed at reasonable cost, very little work has been done to exploit the hybrid vigour in this crop. The concept of combining ability for the evaluation of parents in a crossing programme is of immense important. It has been originated through intensive hybridization work in maize. Hybridization is the most potent technique for breaking yield barriers and evolving varieties having high yielding potential. Selection of parents on the basis of phenotypic performance alone is not a sound procedure, since phenotypically superior lines may not lead to expected degree of heterosis. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken in ridge gourd to obtain information about estimates of general combining ability. The combining ability estimates were calculated by using <sup>[3]</sup>. Thus, one of the potential tools for identifying prospective parents for hybridization and shifting productive hybrids from a set of crosses in F<sub>1</sub> generation is the analysis of combining ability <sup>[4]</sup>.

### 2. Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted at Department of Vegetable Science, K.R.C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot during *kharif* and *rabi*, 2014-2015. Experimental contain eight parents *viz*; DMRG-22, KRCCH-1, Arka Sumeet, DMRG-1, DMRG-15, DMRG-44-36 and DMRG-25.

28 hybrids were developed through half diallel mating design during *kharif* 2014. All the 28 hybrids along with their parents were evaluated in Randomised Block Design with two replications during *rabi* 2014-2015. Observations were recorded for various quality and seed parameters of parents and hybrids. The observations were recorded on tagged selected five plants in each replication.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance for combining ability revealed that mean squares due to GCA and SCA were highly significant for all the traits, but GCA non-significant for flesh thickness, indicated the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic components for most of the traits. Similar results were reported by <sup>[5]</sup> and <sup>[6]</sup> in ridge gourd. Further, the estimated components of variances for *sca* was larger than *gca* variance component for all the traits (Table 1), indicating the predominance of non-additive gene action for most of the characters.

# **3.1** Combining ability for quality and seed parameters (Tables 2 and 3)

### 3.1.1 Rind thickness

Among eight parents only two parents showed significant *gca* effects for rind thickness, of which one was in positive direction. Maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parent of DMRG-44(0.26) and others were showed non-significant. Among 28 crosses, four crosses were significant *sca* effects of which one was positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in DMRG-22 × DMRG-44(0.77) and same finding reported by <sup>[7]</sup> in pumpkin.

## 3.1.2 Flesh thickness

Six parents showed significant *gca* effects for flesh thickness, of which, three parents were in negative direction. Maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parents of DMRG-22 (0.31) followed by DMRG-25 (0.24), DMRG-1 (0.19) and others were showed non-significant. Among 28 crosses, eight crosses were significant *sca* effects of which six were positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in crosses of DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (1.99) followed by DMRG-25 × DMRG-1 (1.58), DMRG-22 × DMRG-1 (1.49), DMRG-22 × DMRG-15 (1.15), DMRG-25 × Arka Sumeet (1.05) and DMRG-25 × DMRG-22 (0.65) and flesh thickness is desirable quality trait of fruit, same kind of results report by <sup>[8-10]</sup> in pumkin, <sup>[11]</sup> in muskmelon <sup>[12]</sup>, in cucumber.

## 3.1.3 Ascorbic acid

Among the eight parents, six parents showed significant *gca* effects for ascorbic acid, of which, three parents were in positive direction. Maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parents of DMRG-25 (6.54) followed by DMRG-22 (6.26) and DMRG-36 (4.99) and others were showed non-significant. Among 28 crosses, 19 crosses were showed significant *sca* effects of ten were positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in crosses of DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (36.72) followed by DMRG-25 × Arka Sumeet (32.71), DMRG-22 × DMRG-15 (25.28), DMRG-36 × DMRG-22 (23.13), KRCCH-1 × DMRG-15 (23.02), DMRG-25 × DMRG-1(22.49), DMRG-25 × DMRG-22 (22.25), DMRG-22 × DMRG-1 (17.70), DMRG-36 × DMRG-25 (16.70) KRCCH-1

 $\times$  DMRG-44 (7.02) and DMRG-36  $\times$  DMRG-44 (5.86) and similar reports found by  $^{[8]}$  in pumpkin.

# 3.1.4 Calcium content

Eight parents showed significant *gca* effects for calcium content, of which four parents were in negative direction. Maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parents of DMRG-25 (0.99) followed by DMRG-22 (0.84), DMRG-36 (0.41), DMRG-1 (0.35) and others were showed non-significant. Among 28 crosses, 23 crosses were showed significant *sca* effects of which nine were positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in crosses of DMRG-22 × DMRG-15 (3.65) followed by DMRG-25 × Arka Sumeet (3.57), DMRG-36 × DMRG-25 (3.38), DMRG-25 × DMRG-1 (3.26) DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (1.82) DMRG-25 × DMRG-22 (1.76), DMRG-22 × DMRG-1 (1.41) and KRCCH-1 × DMRG-44 (1.14) and earlier reports show same results by <sup>[13]</sup> in ridge gourd.

# 3.1.5 Number of seeds per fruit

Among eight parents, four parents showed significant *gca* effects for number of seeds per fruit of which, two were negative in direction, maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parent of DMRG-22 (6.77) followed by DMRG-36 (5.99), DMRG-25 (4.47) and DMRG-1 (3.67). Among 28 hybrids, seven hybrids shown significant *sca* effect of which four were in positive direction. Maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in crosses of DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (24.75) followed by DMRG-15 × DMRG-44 (21.23), DMRG-25 × DMRG-1 (18.93) and DMRG-22 × DMRG-15 (18.61) and similar finding were made by <sup>[9]</sup> in pumpkin and <sup>[14]</sup> in bitter gourd.

# 3.1.6 Hundred Seed weight

Among eight parents, two parents were significant *gca* effects of which, only one was negative in direction, maximum positive significant *gca* effects were observed in parent of DMRG-36 (0.63) and others were showed non-significant. Among 28 crosses, five crosses were showed significant *sca* effects of which, only one was positive in direction, maximum positive significant *sca* effects were observed in crosses of Arka Sumeet × DMRG-25 (2.28) and similar finding reported by <sup>[9]</sup> in pumpkin, <sup>[14]</sup> and <sup>[15]</sup> in bitter gourd.

## 4. Conclusion

Among eight parents, DMRG-36, DMRG-25 and DMRG-22 were found to be best general combiners. Among 28 crosses, the cross DMRG-22 × DMRG-44 (0.77) for rind thickness, the cross DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (1.99) for flesh thickness, the cross DMRG-36 × Arka Sumeet (36.72) for ascorbic acid, the cross DMRG-22 × DMRG-15 (3.65) for calcium content, the cross DMRG-36 × ArkaSumeet (24.75) for number of seed per fruit, the cross Arka Sumeet × DMRG-25 (2.28) were exhibited high *sca* effects.

## 5. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge Associate Professor, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Department of Vegetable Science, K.R.C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, for help in conducting the research successfully, technical support during the research work.

## 6. Author contributions

K. Muthaiah-Conception and design of the research programme, data collection, crossing work, data analysis and

interpretation, critical revision of the article, drafting the article, final approval of the version to be published and acted as corresponding author.

V.D. Gasti-Helped during crossing work, data collection, data analysis and interpretation,

Sumalatha Akkalareddy-Critical revision of the research article

Arindam Das-Critical revision of the research article Sanganamoni Mallesh-Critical revision of the research article

Table 1:	: Analysis of	f variance due	e to general	combining a	bility and	specific co	mbining abilit	y for	different parame	ters in	ridge g	gourd
	•		0	0	-	<b>1</b>	0	-	1		00	·

Characters	Mean s	-2	-2	-2 , $-2$						
Characters	GCA	SCA	Error	<b>o</b> −g	σs	σ-g: σ-s				
Quality parameters										
Rind thickness (mm)	0.17**	0.09**	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.22				
Flesh thickness (cm)	0.75	0.67*	0.09	0.06	0.57	0.115				
Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)	348.23**	388.00**	4.63	34.36	383.37	0.09				
Calcium (mg/100g)	5.78**	3.88**	0.07	0.57	3.81	0.15				
Seed parameters										
Number of seeds per fruit	339.03**	221.80**	74.53	26.45	147.27	0.18				
Hundred seed weight (g)	2.02**	1.15**	0.60	0.14	0.54	0.26				
*** 1 ***** 1* * * * * * * *	<u> </u>	0.05 1	0.01		• 1					

\*And \*\*indicates significance of value at p= 0.05 and p=0.01, respectively.

Table 2: Estimation of gca effects of parents with respect to quality and seed characters in ridge gourd

Domente		Quality pa	arameters	Seed parameters		
Parents	<b>Rind thickness</b>	Flesh thickness	Ascorbic acid	Calcium content	Number of seeds per fruit	Hundred seed weight
DMRG-36	-0.16 **	0.1	4.99 **	0.41 **	5.99 *	0.63 **
DMRG-25	-0.04	0.24 *	6.54 **	0.99 **	4.47*	-0.21
KRCCH-1	0.09	-0.42 **	-6.93 **	-0.43 **	-5.86 *	0.12
DMRG-22	-0.06	0.31 **	6.26 **	0.84 **	6.77 *	0.01
Arka Sumeet	0	0.1	1.14	-0.36 **	-3.70*	0.17
DMRG-1	-0.1	0.19 *	-0.02	0.35 **	3.67*	-0.12
DMRG-15	0.01	-0.22 *	-3.22 **	-0.70 **	-3.46*	0.29
DMRG-44	0.26 **	-0.29**	-8.76 **	-1.11 **	-7.86 *	-0.9**
S. E. M	0.07	0.13	0.96	0.11	3.86	0.34
CD at 5 Percent	0.3	0.21	1.5	0.18	0.43	0.54
CD at1 Percent	0.44	0.31	2.22	0.27	8.93	0.8

\*And \*\*indicates significance of value at p= 0.05 and p=0.01, respectively

Table 3: Specific combining ability effects for quality and seed parameters in ridge gourd

Hubuida		Quality p	arameters	Seed parameters			
Hybrids	<b>Rind thickness</b>	Flesh thickness	Ascorbic acid	Calcium content	Number of seeds per fruit	Hundred seed weight	
DMRG-36 × DMRG-25	-0.14	-0.1	16.70**	3.38 **	7.78	0.72	
DMRG-36 × KRCCH-1	0.1	-0.28	-13.28 **	-1.69 **	-4.82	-0.58	
DMRG-36 × DMRG-22	0.03	0.55	23.13**	0.29	11.77	0.37	
$DMRG-36 \times Arka$ Sumeet	-0.19	1.99 **	36.72 **	1.82 **	24.75**	1.09	
DMRG-36 × DMRG-1	0.16	-0.28	-10.10 **	-2.20**	-20.17 *	0.13	
DMRG-36 × DMRG-15	0.01	-0.29	-1.98	-1.42 **	-11.33	-1.58 *	
DMRG-36 × DMRG-44	-0.23	-0.17	5.86 **	-0.67 **	-10.05	0.06	
DMRG-25 × KRCCH-1	-0.05	-0.35	-2.9	-2.14 **	0.09	-0.21	
DMRG-25 × DMRG-22	-0.04	0.65 *	22.35 **	1.76 **	-11.82	-0.61	
$DMRG-25 \times Arka Sumeet$	-0.26	1.05**	32.71 **	3.57 **	13.69	-0.48	
$DMRG-25 \times DMRG-1$	-0.51 **	1.58**	22.49 **	3.26 **	18.93 *	0.79	
$DMRG-25 \times DMRG-15$	0.31	-0.38	-15.07 **	-1.60 **	-8.64	0.79	
$DMRG-25 \times DMRG-44$	-0.26	-0.44	-8.76 **	-1.26 **	-1.18	0.1	
$KRCCH-1 \times DMRG-22$	0.16	-0.61 *	-8.77 **	-1.85 **	-24.40**	-0.44	
KRCCH-1 $\times$ Arka Sumeet	0	-0.04	-4.42 *	-0.82 **	-4.66	-0.74	
KRCCH-1 × DMRG-1	0.14	-0.04	9.05 **	0.41	2.02	-0.18	
KRCCH-1 × DMRG-15	0.21	0.29	23.02 **	0.87 **	-14.48	-0.17	
$KRCCH-1 \times DMRG-44$	0.02	0.33	7.02**	1.14 **	-9.19	0.92	
$DMRG-22 \times Arka Sumeet$	0.06	-0.85 **	-13.01 **	-1.64 **	-16.60 *	-0.34	
$DMRG-22 \times DMRG-1$	-0.2	1.49**	17.70**	1.41 **	12.92	0.92	
$DMRG-22 \times DMRG-15$	-0.51 **	1.15 **	25.28 **	3.65 **	18.61 *	0.62	
$DMRG-22 \times DMRG-44$	0.77 **	-0.05	-3.86	-1.01**	3.48	-2.06 **	
Arka Sumeet × DMRG-1	0.15	-0.44	0.97	-1.34 **	-10.01	-0.58	
Arka Sumeet × DMRG-15	0.31	-0.16	-7.36**	-0.33	7.49	2.28 **	
Arka Sumeet × DMRG-44	-0.40 *	-0.1	-0.28	0.11	-13.05	0.83	
DMRG-1 × DMRG-15	0.24	-0.32	-3.88	-0.96 **	-14.41	-2.01 **	
DMRG-1 × DMRG-44	0.31	-0.2	0.88	-0.62 *	-12.52	-1.73 *	
$DMRG-15 \times DMRG-44$	0.03	0.14	5.62 **	0.32	21.23 *	0.4	
S. E. M	0.23	0.4	2.88	0.35	11.58	1.04	
CD at 5 Percent	0.33	0.57	4	0.49	16.06	1.45	
CD at 1 Percent	0.44	0.77	5.41	0.67	21.69	1.96	

\*And \*\*indicates significance of value at p= 0.05 and p=0.01, respectively.

### 7. References

- 1. Robinson RW, Decker-Walters DS. Cucurbits. New York Cab International, 1997, 226.
- 2. Hou XM, Chen MH, Xie JM, Ye XM, Zhno GX, Yang FCQ *et al.* Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic studies of Luffaculin-1, a Ribosome Inactivation Protein from the seeds of *Luffa acutangula*. Chinese J Struct. Chem. 2006; 25:1035-1038.
- 3. Spargue GF, Tatum LA. General versus specific combining ability in single crosses of corn, J American Soc. Agron. 1942; 34:923-932.
- Griffing B. Concept of general combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Australian J Biol. Sci. 1956; 9:463-493.
- Rao BN, Rao PV, Reddy BM. Correlation and path analysis in the segregating population of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.). Crop Res. 2000b; 20(2):338-342.
- Shaha SR, Kale PN. Diallel analysis for combining ability in ridge gourd. J Maharastra Agric. Univ. 2003b; 28(3):252-254.
- Mohanty BK. Genetic control of flowering characters in pumpkin. J Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 2001b; 26(3):247-249.
- Pandey SK, Aastik J, Kumar S, Rai M. Genetics and heterosis of quality and yield of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex. Poir.). Indian J Hort. 2010; 67(3):333-338.
- Uma Maheshwari, Hari Bab K. Combining ability for yield and its components in F<sub>3</sub> generation of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex. Poir.). Madras Agric. J, 2005; 92(4-6):288-292.
- Mohanty BK. Manifestation of heterosis in flowering attributes in pumpkin. J Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 2001a; 26(3):284-287.
- 11. Dhaliwal MS, Tarsem L. Genetics of some important characters using line × tester analysis in muskmelon. Indian J Genet. Pl. Breed. 1996; 56(2):207-213.
- 12. Ananthan M, Pappiah CM. Combining ability and correlation studies in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). South Indian Hort. 2000; 45(1-2):57-58.
- Pradip K, Munshi AD, Behera TK, Ravinder K, Sureja AK, Kaur C *et al.* Quantification and inheritance of antioxidant properties and mineral content in ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.). Agric. Res. 2013; 2(3):222-223.
- Mishra HN, Mishra RS, Mishra SN, Parhi G. Studies on combining ability in bitter gourd. Indian J Hort. 1993; 50(4):353-357.
- Chowdhury SM, Kale PN. Studies on heterosis in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Maharashtra J Hort. 1991; 5(2):45-51.