



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
 IJCS 2019; 7(2): 1765-1768  
 © 2019 IJCS  
 Received: 15-01-2019  
 Accepted: 19-02-2019

**Ghanshyam Deshmukh**  
 Associate Professor (Soil &  
 Water Engineering) JNKVV,  
 College of Agriculture, Balaghat,  
 Madhya Pradesh, India

**RK Jhade**  
 Scientist (Horticulture) JNKVV,  
 Krishi Vigyan Kendra,  
 Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh,  
 India

**SL Alawa**  
 Programme Assistant (AE),  
 JNKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra,  
 Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh,  
 India

**Correspondence**  
**Ghanshyam Deshmukh**  
 Associate Professor (Soil &  
 Water Engineering) JNKVV,  
 College of Agriculture, Balaghat,  
 Madhya Pradesh, India

## Economic feasibility of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* L.) under protected cultivation with special reference to Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh

Ghanshyam Deshmukh, RK Jhade and SL Alawa

### Abstract

*Gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii)* is a herb and its flowers are like Daisy. It can be grown as a field crop in open air on raised beds, as a greenhouse plant under controlled conditions (polyhouse) and as a potted plant. Gerbera is the latest sensation to Indian floriculture, commercially grown throughout the world in a wide range of climatic conditions. In India, Greenhouse technology has been taken under use for the last five decades, which was used for research purposes. Presently, greenhouse technology is becoming popular among the farmers to grow high valued flowers, ornaments and good quality samplings. Raising of seedlings and plant propagations are important commercial aspects of greenhouse technology. Main purpose of greenhouse farming is to enhance agricultural production from the limited space. In spite of a considerable decrease during the last decade, energy consumption in greenhouse cultivation remains high. More sustainable greenhouse gerbera production in horticulture can be realised by developing new greenhouse and cultivation concepts. During the past few years, the cut flower growers, especially, rose growers in Chhindwara district have diversified in to other cut flowers due to some lacunae in production and marketing management strategies. In present study an attempt has been made to find out the sustainability of Gerbera cut flower production in Chhindwara district. The main objective of present paper is to study cultivation of gerbera greenhouse unit, economic status of gerbera and cost benefit ratio analysis of gerbera flower. Recently Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh has emerged out as the progressive district for use of greenhouse technology in farming. It would be pertinent to examine and to assess the distributional patterns of greenhouse in the study area. Besides, it is also proposed to examine the input output analysis regarding crops grown. An economic analysis is dealing with crop productivity in the greenhouse.

**Keywords:** Green house, Gerbera, NPK, cut flower, economy

### 1. Introduction

*Gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii)* belongs to the family Asteraceae. It is commonly known as Transvaal Daisy, Barberton Daisy or African Daisy. It is an important cut flower, native to tropical Asia and Africa. Success of Gerbera under protected conditions has encouraged farmers to take up its protected cultivation extensively during the past few years in India. It is one among the top ten earners of the world cut flower trade. It ranks fourth in the international cut flower market and is a popular cut flower in Holland, Germany and USA (Choudhary and Prasad, 2000) [5]. Modern gerbera arose from *Gerbera jamesonii* hybridized with *Gerbera viridifolia* and possibly other species (Leffring, 1973) [9]. It is difficult to get good quality cut flowers of gerbera under open-field conditions. Gerbera as a cut flower has tremendous demand in domestic and international markets. Due to globalization and increase in per capita income the demand for flowers is increasing both nationally and internationally. Though the crop can be cultivated in moderately warmer open sunny conditions, the performance of the crop is enhanced when grown in protected or semi-protected structures. The main advantage of growing the crop under cover or protected conditions is exploitation of genetic potential of the genotypes. Further the crops can also be managed successfully throughout the year. It produces very attractive flowers, which are having colours and suitable for pots and floral arrangements. Cultivation of gerbera in green house is more profitable as compared to cultivation in open fields. It extends the growing season and provides the year round production. Farming under greenhouse gave more return with least efforts even under adverse

situation. It also reduces the total water requirement hence; this technology will be useful for the water scarcity area.

District Chhindwara has emerged for flower cultivation in green house conditions. In this direction the intensive affords has been made by JNKVV, Krishi Vigyan Kendra Chhindwara, in order to improve the economic condition of the small and marginal land holding farmers. Hence the Gadarwara, Mohkhed, Umranala block Mohkhed, Gurria and Jamunia belongs to the block Chhindwara "Green house cultivation of Gerbera" were selected for the study.

Greenhouse farming refers to new farm technology in the form of greenhouse wherein crops are grown in the controlled environment such farming is contributing with growing share to the economy of the region. According to Paul Nelson (1985) [8] "the greenhouse refers to a structure covered with a transport material for the purpose of admitting natural light for plant growth". These structures are usually different from other growing structures with sufficient height permits a person to work inside it. Greenhouse is usually as framed structure covered with transparent or translucent material large enough for a person to walk inside and carryout cultural operations and in which crops are grown under conditions of partially or fully controlled environment. The plastic film acts like a selective radiation filter, which allows solar radiation emitted by the object within, thus contributing to the greenhouse effect (Jadhav and Patil, 1998). In India, Greenhouse technology has been taken under use for last the last five decades, which was used for research purposes. Presently, greenhouse technology is becoming popular among the farmers to grow high valued flowers, off season vegetables, ornaments and good quality samplings. Raising of seedlings and plant propagations are important commercial aspects of greenhouse technology. Main purpose of greenhouse farming is to enhance agricultural production from the limited space. The main objective of present paper is to study cultivation of gerbera greenhouse unit, economic status of gerbera and cost benefit ratio analysis of gerbera flower. Recently Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh has emerged out as the progressive district for use of greenhouse technology in farming. It would be pertinent to examine and to assess the distributional patterns of greenhouse in the study area. Besides, it is also proposed to examine the input output analysis regarding crops grown. An economic analysis is dealing with crop productivity in the greenhouse.

## 2. Methodology

Chhindwara district is one of the most agriculturally developed districts in the country. The experiment was laid out during October 2013 to March 2014 under drip irrigated condition.

**Table 1:** Physical and chemical characteristics of soil

| S. N. | Character               | Unit                | Value  |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| 1     | Soil Texture            | Sandy loam          |        |
|       | Sand                    | Per cent            | 68.50  |
|       | Silt                    | Per cent            | 16.30  |
|       | Clay                    | Per cent            | 15.20  |
| 2     | Bulk density            | g cm <sup>-3</sup>  | 1.48   |
| 3     | Specific gravity        |                     | 2.41   |
| 4     | pH                      |                     | 7.50   |
| 5     | Electrical conductivity | dSm <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.12   |
| 6     | Organic carbon          | Per cent            | 1.05   |
| 7     | Available nitrogen      | kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 328.00 |
| 8     | Available phosphorus    | kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 18.50  |
| 9     | Available potassium     | kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | 570.00 |

The details of materials used, methods and experimental techniques adopted during the course of experimentation are described in this chapter. The experiment was conducted in Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chhindwara (Madhya Pradesh). The village is located at 21°36'N 78°31'E to 21.6°N 78.52°E, having an average elevation of 474 meters.

## 3. Polyhouse and environment control

The farmer has erected a controlled polyhouse (exhaust fan and pad) of 100 x 40 m size (4000 m<sup>2</sup>). Height at the centre was 4.5 m and at gutter it was kept 2.7 m. G.I. pipes were used for the framework. The structure was covered using ultra-violet stabilized low density polyethylene (LDPE) film of 200 micron thick as a cladding material. The total cost of construction for the entire structure was about Rs.33,76,000/- environment inside the polyhouse was controlled by exhaust fan and cooling pad. To control the light intensity inside the playhouse in 50 per cent green colour shaded net was used. In order to control the humidity in polyhouse the fogging arrangement was made by providing overhead foggers. Bed preparation and planting of gerbera: The raised beds (9.60 x 0.60 m each) were prepared and the planting density adopted was 30 x 45cm. Media were disinfected by using the fumigant formalin @ 25 ml/l and the solution of 5 l/ m<sup>2</sup>. Three varieties of gerbera viz., Dana Ellen, Sangria, Carrera and Diablo were planted. The most efficient irrigation method i.e. drip method of irrigation was used in the polyhouse. Water was applied daily through drip round the year. The irrigation was applied at the rate of 70 per cent of daily pan evaporation rate. The water soluble fertilizers were applied alternate day through drip as per the recommended dose of 20:10:24 N P K g/ plant/year. Plant protection and observations: Spraying of pesticides was done throughout the crop period as and when necessary. Yellow and dried leaves of gerbera were removed from time to time. Biometric observations in respect of flower quality and yield viz., stalk length and thickness, diameter of flower, flowers/ plant /year and flowers/ m<sup>2</sup>/ year were recorded as and when harvesting was done. The daily pan evaporation data were recorded from USWB class A Pan. The quantity of water applied through drip irrigation as per schedule was calculated by following formula (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1976).

$$ET_c = E_p \times K_p \times K_c \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$V = (ET_c \times W_a) / E \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Where,

ET<sub>c</sub> = Evapotranspiration of papaya

E<sub>p</sub> = Cumulative pan evaporation (mm)

K<sub>p</sub> = Pan coefficient (0.7)

K<sub>c</sub> = Crop coefficient

V = Crop water requirement/emitter

W<sub>a</sub> = Wetted area

E = Efficiency of the system (considered as 90%)

Application of irrigation water for each plant, two on-line drippers with 8 litre hour<sup>-1</sup> discharge was fitted on 16 mm laterals. Double 16 mm laterals with two drippers each lines for plant<sup>-1</sup> were fitted. Spacing between two adjacent laterals and emitter was 0.6 m. The average emission uniformity of drip irrigation system was estimated as 90 per cent.

## Net depth of irrigation = (FC-PWP) /100 X Bulk density X root zone X MAD)

The effective root zone was considered as 0.8 m and hence maximum allowable deficiency (MAD) was taken as 50%. Observations were recorded at 1 day interval on various parameters no of flowers picking, moisture regime and selling cost etc.

**Table 2:** Fertigation scheduling of gerbera plants under protected cultivation

| SN | Name of fertilizer          | Quantity   | Remarks  |
|----|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1  | 13:0:45 (N P K)             | 8000 gms   | 13400 gms with 10,000 lit water through drip every alternate day |
| 2  | 0:52:34 (N P K)             | 5000 gms   |  |
| 3  | Nutriseven (micronutrient)  | 400 gms  |  |
| 4  | Feeder – Dx (micronutrient) | 200 gms  |  |
| 5  | CaNO <sub>3</sub>           | 5000 gms with 5000 lit water                               | Through drip (Twice in a week)                                   |
| 6  | Spray                       | Aspen + Firewall + Dextor @ (0.5 ml + 2 ml + 0.2 ml) / lit | Once in a week   |
| 7  | Drenching                   | Hiflex + 0 : 52 : 34 @ ( 2gm + 2 gm ) / lit                |  |

**Table 3:** Schedule of Pests & Disease Management

| SN | Name of insecticide  | Method of application | Interval (days) |
|----|--|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1  | Karathane @ 0.4 ml / lit   | Spray                 | 4 days          |
| 2  | Sulphur @ 1.5 ml / lit   |                       |                 |
| 3  | Topspin @ 1.5 ml / lit   |                       |                 |
| 4  | Confidor @ 0.5 ml / lit  |                       |                 |
| 5  | Amistar @ 0.5 ml / lit   |                       |                 |
| 6  | Kasu-B + strepto @ (1.25 ml + 0.4 gm) / lit                      | Drenching             | 7 days          |
| 7  | Kocide + B-10 @ ( 2 gm + 0.4 gm ) / lit                          |                       |                 |
| 8  | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> @ 3 ml / lit (For Red Colour Only) |                       |                 |
| 9  | Blue Copper @ 1.5 gm / lit (For Other Beds)                      |                       |                 |

**Cost economics**

Cost Benefit ratio of Gerbera production has been calculated. It is calculated by dividing total input (present worth) by Total output (Present worth of cost).

$$CBR = NR / TC$$

Where

NR = Net Return (Total Input)

TC = Total cost of Production (Total Output)

In the present studies the cost economics of gerbera was worked out by considering the fixed cost, cost of cultivation, operational cost, yield etc as reported in Table 3.

From Table 3 it is revealed that the maximum B:C ratio (2.94) was observed. The maximum net profit per sqm (Rs.624/-) was found.

**Table 4:** Economics of gerbera for one acre land under polyhouse (4000 m<sup>2</sup>)

| Crop Name   | : | GERBERA ( <i>Gerbera Jamesonii</i> ) |                      |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Polyhouse Area  | : | 4000 sqm ( 1 acre)                   |                      |
| No. of Saplings Transplanted  | : | 28000 @ Rs. 35/- each                |                      |
| Transplanting Date  | : | 26.11.2015                           |                      |
| First Picking Date  | : | 01.03.2016                           |                      |
| Picking of flowers  | : | Alternate Day                        |                      |
| Regular Maintenance   | : | Next day after flower picking        |                      |
| Total Colours Cultivated  | : | 11                                   |                      |
| No. of Labors   | : | 5 Female + 2 Male                    |                      |
| Sale Rate (Rs. per flower)  | : | 2 to 10 (Average Rs. 3/-)            |                      |
| Age of plants   | : | 4 Years                              |                      |
| A. One Time Expenditure   |   |                                      |                      |
|   |   | Amount (Rs)                          | Subsidy (Rs)         |
| Polyhouse Preparation ( Unit cost - Rs. 844/sqm )   |   | 3376000                              | 1688000              |
| Red Soil Filling, Paddy Straw, FYM, Bed preparation, Soil treatment, NPK and micronutrients, Tissue culture sapling (26000 @ Rs.35/sapling) Plantation cost – Rs. 610/sqm |   | 2400000                              | 1200000              |
| <b>Total</b>  |   | <b>5776000</b>                       | <b>2888000</b>       |
| Amount to be paid (Rs)  |   | 2888000                              |                      |
| B. Monthly and Yearly Expenditure   |   |                                      |                      |
|   |   | Monthly Expenses (Rs)                | Yearly Expenses (Rs) |
| Plant Protection + Fertigation (Liquid fertilizer and Pesticides)   |   | 30000                                | 360000               |
| Marketing + Transport   |   | 20000                                | 240000               |
| Labor (Picking + Other)   |   | 22000                                | 264000               |
| <b>Total</b>  |   | <b>72000</b>                         | <b>864000</b>        |
| C. Yearly Economics   |   |                                      |                      |
| C1. EMI Rs. 000/- Month (Term loan period – 7 years)  |   | 420000                               |                      |
| C2. Total Yearly Expenses (EMI + Maintenance Cost)  |   | 1284000                              |                      |
| C3. No. of Flower in month (7000 X 15 day)  |   | 105000                               |                      |
| C4. Yeild (No. of flowers/year) [C3 X 12]   |   | 1260000                              |                      |
| C5. Average Price per flower(Rs)  |   | 3                                    |                      |
| C6. Net Value of Produce(Rs/year) [C4 X C5]   |   | 3780000                              |                      |
| C7. Net Profit (Rs/year) [C6 - C2]  |   | 2496000                              |                      |
| C8. B/C ratio [C6 / C2]   |   | 2.94                                 |                      |

#### 4. Conclusion

It was observed that, the majority of cut flower growers have taken up it as a secondary business. Gerbera flower production has emerged as the most profitable agri-business in the study area. The total yearly expenses was Rs. 1284000/- and the total number of flowers in a month were sold out of Rs. 105000/- and yield were obtain of Rs. 1260000/- from the average price of flower (Rs. 3 each) Net value generated Rs. 3780000/- and Net profit was Rs. 2496000/year from 4000 m<sup>2</sup> area polyhouse. Under the present cost, yield and price structure, the gerbera growers has more cushioning for hike in input prices, reduction in yield and prices of other flowers.

It may be concluded from the study that there is an immense scope for expansion of area and production of gerbera in Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh. The cost of cultivation for gerbera is somewhat initially higher but due to good demand in market, the returns are also very good. Producers can get a B:C ratio 2.94 per 4000 square meters by gerbera cultivation in case of good price in the market. There is a huge demand for gerbera in the international market, showing the great scope in the future. The gerbera cultivation is a profitable business for the investors and it can be seen by good Benefit Cost Ratio (2.94).

#### 5. References

1. Ali MH, Banerjee BN. Economic analysis of marketing aspects of tagarflower in Bagnan, West Bengal. *Environment and Ecology*. 2006; 24S (Special 2):289-293.
2. Alka Singh, Ahlawat TR. Floriculture as an upcoming industry in India. present scenario and future prospects. *Indian Farmer*. 2014; 1(1):28-32.
3. Arun Kumar, Verma SC, Shilpi Chaurasia, Saxena SB. Production and marketing of marigold flowers in Uttar Pradesh with special reference to Kannauj district. *Hort Flora Research Spectrum*. 2013; 2(3):220-224.
4. Bailey LH. Commercial flowers. The standard cyclopedia of horticulture, The Macmillan Company. New York, 1963, 516-23.
5. Choudhary ML, Prasad KV. Protected cultivation of ornamental crops-an insight. *Indian Hort*. 2000; 45(1):31-37.
6. Chen W, Xiaoyun W, Han W, Wu Z, Lai Q. Morphological, Physiological and Biochemical Responses of Gerbera Cultivars to Heat Stress. *Korean J. Hortic. Sci. Technol*. 2004; 34(1):1-14
7. David. *Gartenbau*, quoted from *Commercial flowers: Gerbers*. 1977; 24:370-2, 620.
8. Laurie A, Kiplinger DC, Nelson KS. Commercial flower forcing, Mc Graw Hill Book Co, New York, 1968, 624-26
9. Leffring L. Flower production in gerbera; Correlation between shoot, leaf and flower formation in seedlings. *Scientia Hort*. 1973; 1:221-229.
10. Loeser H. Taxonomical classification of flowering plants. *Dtsche Gartenb*. 1986; 40:146-1-4.
11. Mahmood MA, Ahmad N, Khan MSA. Comparative Evaluation of Growth, Yield and Quality Characteristics of Various Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii L.*) Cultivars under. *Orna. Plants*. IISN (Print): 2251-6633, IISN (Online): 2251-6441. Protected Condition, 2013.
12. Nagaraja GS, Gopinath R, Gowda JVN. Effect of pulsing with sucrose and silver nitrate on vase life of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* Hook). *Mysore-Journal-of-Agricultural-Sciences*. 2000; 34(2):102-104.
13. Purushottam Sharma. Economic analysis of chrysanthemum cultivation and marketing: a study in Jaipur District of Rajasthan. *Agricultural Marketing*. 2002; 44(4):26-29.
14. Skalska E. Different substrates for gerbera under glass house conditions. *Acta Pruhoniana*. 1985; 50:43-44.
15. Sudhagar S. Production and Marketing of Cut flower (Rose and Gerbera) in Hosur Taluk. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*. 2013; 2(5):15-25.
16. Sujatha K, Gowda JVN, Khan MM. Effects of different fertigation levels on gerbera under low cost greenhouse. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. New Series*. 2002; 5(1):54-59.
17. Steen JA, Van Der. *Vakblad Voor de Bloemjsterij*. 1975; 30:12-13.