

Effect of soil applied granular insecticides on soil

chemical properties in sugarcane ecosystem

A field experiment was conducted at Main Sugarcane Research Station Farm of Navsari Agricultural

University, Navsari. Four treatment of insecticides viz. To Control, T1 Phorate 10G (1.5 kg a.i./ha), T2

Carbofuran 3G (1 kg a.i./ha), T₃ Chlorantraniliprole 0.4G (0.1 kg a.i./ha) were taken and applied at 60

days after planting. The soil samples were periodically collected at 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 60 days after

application of insecticides and at the time of harvest. The application of insecticides didn't produce any

significant effect on periodic soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon and K₂O content of soil. The

available nitrogen was significantly higher in treatment of phorate and remained statistically at par with

treatment of carbofuran and chlorantraniliprole. In case of days after application, available N was

significantly higher at 60 days after application but remained statistically at par with available N content at 30 days after application of insecticides. The soil applied insecticides didn't exert any significant effect

on available P₂O₅ content in soil. The available P₂O₅ content was found significantly higher in the soil at

3 days and 30 days after application of insecticide but remained statistically at par with P2O5 content at 5

Sugarcane is the one of important cash crop of India with the second highest production of

sugar after Brazil. Like other important annual crops of economic importance, lot of factors are

responsible for the lower productivity of sugarcane in India. Among these Insect-pests are the

important constraints accounting a significant loss in sugarcane yield and sugar recovery,

resulted a large amount annual revenue loss each year. Sugarcane crop is also subjected to infest by borer and white grub causing widespread damage to underground stem and root. For control or management of these insect-pests, soil applied granular form of insecticides especially phorate, carbofuran and chlorantraniliprole are extensively used throughout the

The main problem associated with the growth and development of agriculture field is the use of fertilizers and pesticides throughout the country. Although, this use of chemicals in agriculture sector has produced a significant benefit for increasing yield and productivity of crop. It is also interesting to notice that pesticides frequently used in modern agriculture but

Lokesh Kumar Saini, KG Patel, Susheel Singh and Kranti B Patil

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(2): 1311-1314 © 2019 IJCS Received: 18-01-2019 Accepted: 22-02-2019

Lokesh Kumar Saini

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

KG Patel

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Susheel Singh

Food Quality Testing Laboratory, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Kranti B Patil

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Correspondence Lokesh Kumar Saini Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University,

Navsari, Gujarat, India

their comparative residual effects on availability of nutrients in soil have rarely reported (Das and Mukherjee, 1994)^[3]. Residues of pesticides in soil are generally degraded and their degraded products are assimilated by soil microorganisms resulted in increasing microbial population and activities in soil which influences the transformation of plant nutrients in soil

1. Introduction

Abstract

degraded products are assimilated by soil microorganisms resulted in increasing microbial population and activities in soil which influences the transformation of plant nutrients in soil (Das and Mukherjee, 2000) ^[4]. Therefore, considering these facts this experiment was conducted to determine the effect of soil applied granular insecticides on soil chemical properties in sugarcane ecosystem.

2. Materials and Methods

country in sugarcane grown areas.

days and 60 days after application of insecticides.

Keywords: carbofuran, chemical properties, chlorantraniliprole and phorate

A field trial was conducted during 2016-17 at Main Sugarcane Research Station Farm of Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat. The soil of experimental field was clay in texture having pH_{2.5} 7.7, EC_{2.5} 0.48 dS/m and organic carbon 0.68%. The soil was medium in available N (258 kg/ha) and available P₂O₅ (46 kg/ha) and high in available K₂O (380 kg/ha). Four treatment of soil applied granular insecticides *viz*. T₀ Control, T₁ Phorate 10G (1.5 kg a.i./ha), T₂ Carbofuran 3G (1 kg a.i./ha), T₃ Chlorantraniliprole 0.4G (0.1 kg a.i./ha) were taken under randomized block design with six replications.

Treatment wise required quantity of insecticide granules (Phorate 15 kg/ha, Carbofuran 33 kg/ha and chlorantraniliprole 25 kg/ha) were mixed thoroughly with dry sand of very fine texture and uniformly distribute in the gross plot at 60 days after planting of sugarcane. Soil sampling was started at 60 days after planting. Treatment wise periodic soil samples were taken from 0-15 cm depth for the study and carried out at the laboratory. Further the samples were processed for analysis of soil chemical properties. The standard method adopted for analysis of soil chemical properties are given in table 1.

Properties	Methods	References
pН	Potentiometric	Jackson (1967) [5]
EC	Conductometric	Jackson (1967) [5]
Organic C	Walkey and Black (Modified)	Jackson (1967) [5]
Available	Alkaline KMnO4	Subbiah and Asija
Ν		(1956) [8]
Available P	Olsen	Olsen, et al. (1954) ^[6]
Available	Neutral normal ammonium	Jackson (1967) ^[5]
K	acetate	Jackson (1907)

Table 1: Standard method adopted for analysis of soil properties

The periodic data regarding soil chemical properties were statistically analyzed by split plot design considering treatments as main plot and time period as sub plot (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967)^[7].

3. Results and Discussion

There is no doubt that use of pesticides in agriculture improved the agricultural productivity many folds but availability of information about the effect of pesticides on soil chemical properties are very rare. It is interesting to note that insecticides frequently applied in modern agriculture, but their comparative residual effects on available nutrient under a particular soil conditions have rarely been reported (Das and Mukherjee, 1994)^[3].

Soil pH and EC: An appraisal of the data presented in table 2 and 3 revealed that soil pH and EC were not affected significantly either by insecticides application (I) or days after application (D) or their interactions (I x D). These results of soil pH and electrical conductivity are might be due to high buffering capacity of black soil and uniform native salt content of experimental soil.

Soil organic carbon: The application of insecticides were failed to exert any significant effect on periodic soil organic carbon in sugarcane grown soil (Table 4). The soil organic carbon varied from 0.72 to 0.73 and 0.71 to 0.74 in case of application of insecticides and days after application, respectively.

Available nitrogen: It was observed that there was an increase in available N content in the insecticide treated soils as compared to insecticide untreated soil. Among the insecticides, phorate treated soil has higher available N as compared to carbofuran and chlorantraniliprole treated soil (Table 5) These results are might be due to higher microbial population in insecticide treated soil and most of nitrogen in soil is found in organic form so mineralization of N increases due to microbial activity. It is evident from correlation study,

that microbial population is positively correlated with organic C and available N content in soil (Table 8). Das and Mukherjee (1994) ^[3] also reported the increase in N availability and higher mineralization of N with the incorporation of insecticides. The phorate was more effective as compared to carbofuran in contributing to the higher value of available N content (Borker *et al.*, 2018^a) ^[1] due to higher stimulation of plant nutrient element in soil. At the time of harvest of sugarcane, available form of N in soil was lowest. This might be due to the fact that soils are percolative in nature and leaching, volatilization and denitrification losses of N. The nitrogen uptake by the plants can be another reason for decline in N content of soil.

Available phosphorus: The periodic available P_2O_5 show the non significant effect but was found higher in insecticides treated soil as compared to control. Among the insecticides phorate treated soil has higher available P_2O_5 as compared to carbofuran and chlorantraniliprole treated soil (Table 6). The higher P_2O_5 content in insecticide treated soil might be due to higher microbial population. It is also evident from correlation study, that microbial population is positively correlated with organic C and available P_2O_5 content in soil (Table 8). Similar finding were also reported by Borker *et al.* (2018^b) ^[2] in different types of soils. The decline in available P_2O_5 content in the soil with the time was might be due to adsorption and fixation of phosphorus in soil complex.

Available potassium: The data presented in table 6 revealed that soil available K_2O was not affected significantly either by insecticides application or days after application (Table 7). The non significant effect of insecticides on available K_2O might be due to less influence of microbial activity on K_2O availability in soil because organic forms of potassium in soils are very less.

4. Conclusion

Treatments of insecticides didn't affect the soil pH, EC, organic carbon, available P_2O_5 and K_2O content in soil. Available N content in the insecticide treated soils was higher as compared to insecticide untreated soil. Among the insecticides phorate treated soil has higher available N as compared to carbofuran and chlorantraniliprole treated soil.

 Table 2: Effect of insecticides on periodic soil pH1:2.5 in sugarcane grown soil

		0					
Days after		In	secti	cides (I)			Maam
application (D)	Control	Pho	rate	Carbofuran	*C	AP	Mean
1	7.64	7.6	60	7.64	7.6	53	7.63
3	7.68	7.6	67	7.60	7.6	55	7.65
5	7.67	7.65 7.75 7.6		52	7.67		
10	7.61	7.61 7.62		7.64	7.66		7.63
20	7.71	7.6	52	7.71	7.58		7.65
30	7.69	7.7	0'	7.65	7.67		7.68
60	7.68	7.6	68	7.69	7.66		7.68
At harvest	7.68	7.6	57	7.69	7.6	54	7.67
Mean	7.67	7.6	55	7.67	7.64		
	Main plo	ot (I)	Sub-plot (D)			Ι	X D
S.Em±	0.01		0.02				0.03
CD at 5%	NS		NS				NS
CV %	0.66			0.58			

*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole

	Mean					
Control	Phor	rate	Carbofuran	*C	AP	wiean
0.46	0.4	15	0.46	0.42		0.45
0.50	0.4	17	0.51	0.4	45	0.48
0.53	0.4	1	0.42	0.	50	0.46
0.42	0.4	15	0.42	0.46		0.44
0.41	0.5	51	0.46	0.38		0.44
0.42	0.4	4	0.54	0.4	46	0.46
0.44	0.3	39	0.41	0.44		0.42
0.41	0.4	0.41 0.42		0.4	41	0.41
0.45	0.4	4	0.45	0.44		
Main plot	t (I)		Sub-plot (D)]	I X D
0.02		0.02		0.02		0.03
NS		NS		NS		NS
14.35			10.52			
	0.46 0.50 0.53 0.42 0.41 0.42 0.44 0.41 0.45 Main plot 0.02 NS	0.46 0.4 0.50 0.4 0.53 0.4 0.42 0.4 0.41 0.5 0.42 0.4 0.41 0.5 0.42 0.4 0.43 0.41 0.44 0.3 0.41 0.4 0.45 0.4 Main plot (I) 0.02 NS 14.35	0.46 0.45 0.50 0.47 0.53 0.41 0.42 0.45 0.41 0.51 0.42 0.44 0.44 0.39 0.41 0.41 0.45 0.44 0.44 0.39 0.41 0.41 0.45 0.44 Main plot (I) 0.02 NS 14.35	0.46 0.45 0.46 0.50 0.47 0.51 0.53 0.41 0.42 0.42 0.45 0.42 0.41 0.51 0.46 0.42 0.45 0.42 0.41 0.51 0.46 0.42 0.44 0.54 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.44 0.39 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.45 0.44 0.45 Main plot (I) Sub-plot (D) 0.02 0.02 NS NS 14.35 10.52	0.46 0.45 0.46 0. 0.50 0.47 0.51 0. 0.53 0.41 0.42 0. 0.42 0.45 0.42 0. 0.41 0.51 0.46 0. 0.42 0.45 0.42 0. 0.41 0.51 0.46 0. 0.42 0.44 0.54 0. 0.42 0.44 0.45 0. 0.41 0.41 0.42 0. 0.41 0.41 0.45 0. 0.45 0.44 0.45 0. 0.45 0.44 0.45 0. 0.02 0.02 0.02 NS NS 10.52	0.46 0.45 0.46 0.42 0.50 0.47 0.51 0.45 0.53 0.41 0.42 0.50 0.42 0.45 0.42 0.45 0.42 0.45 0.42 0.46 0.41 0.51 0.46 0.38 0.42 0.44 0.54 0.46 0.41 0.51 0.46 0.38 0.42 0.44 0.54 0.46 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.42 0.41 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.44 Main plot (I) Sub-plot (D) 1 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 NS NS 10.52 1

Table 3: Effect of insecticides on periodic soil EC1:2.5 (dS/m) in sugarcane grown soil

*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole

 Table 4: Effect of insecticides on periodic soil organic carbon (%) in sugarcane grown soil

	Mean							
Control	Pho	rate	Carbofuran	*C	AP	Mean		
0.72	0.1	71	0.68	0.	71	0.71		
0.70	0.1	76	0.75	0.	74	0.74		
0.74	0.0	59	0.70	0.	69	0.71		
0.73	0.71		0.68	0.73		0.71		
0.75	0.74 0.75		0.75	0.74		0.74		
0.77	0.71		0.72	0.72		0.73		
0.71	0.71		0.74	0.74		0.73		
0.70	0.1	74	0.73	0.	73	0.72		
0.73	0.1	72	0.72	0.	72			
Main plo	t (I)	(I) Sub-plot (D)		(I) Sub-plot (D)		Ι	X D	
0.01			0.01		0.01		(0.02
NS		NS				NS		
7.54			4.73					
	0.72 0.70 0.74 0.73 0.75 0.77 0.71 0.70 0.73 Main plo 0.01 NS	Control Pho 0.72 0.' 0.70 0.' 0.74 0.0 0.73 0.' 0.75 0.' 0.77 0.' 0.77 0.' 0.77 0.' 0.71 0.' 0.73 0.' 0.74 0.' 0.75 0.' 0.71 0.' 0.73 0.' Main plot (I) 0.01 NS 7.54	Control Phorate 0.72 0.71 0.70 0.76 0.74 0.69 0.73 0.71 0.75 0.74 0.77 0.71 0.71 0.71 0.70 0.74 0.71 0.71 0.73 0.72 Main plot (I) 0.01 NS 7.54	0.72 0.71 0.68 0.70 0.76 0.75 0.74 0.69 0.70 0.73 0.71 0.68 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.71 0.68 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.71 0.71 0.72 0.71 0.71 0.74 0.70 0.74 0.73 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.73 0.72 0.72 Main plot (I) Sub-plot (D) 0.01 0.01 NS NS 7.54 4.73	Control Phorate Carbofuran *C 0.72 0.71 0.68 0. 0.70 0.76 0.75 0. 0.74 0.69 0.70 0. 0.73 0.71 0.68 0. 0.73 0.71 0.68 0. 0.75 0.74 0.75 0. 0.75 0.74 0.75 0. 0.77 0.71 0.72 0. 0.71 0.71 0.74 0. 0.70 0.74 0.73 0. 0.71 0.71 0.74 0. 0.70 0.74 0.73 0. 0.73 0.72 0.72 0. Main plot (I) Sub-plot (D) 0.01 0.01 0.01 NS 7.54 4.73 0.	Control Phorate Carbofuran *CAP 0.72 0.71 0.68 0.71 0.70 0.76 0.75 0.74 0.74 0.69 0.70 0.69 0.73 0.71 0.68 0.73 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.74 0.70 0.71 0.68 0.73 0.75 0.74 0.75 0.74 0.70 0.71 0.72 0.72 0.71 0.71 0.74 0.74 0.70 0.74 0.73 0.73 0.71 0.71 0.74 0.74 0.70 0.74 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.72 Main plot (I) Sub-plot (D) I 0.01 0.01 0.01 NS NS 4.73		

*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole

 Table 5: Effect of insecticides on periodic available nitrogen (kg/ha)

 content in sugarcane grown soil

Days after		Insecticides (I)							
application (D)	Control	Pho	rate	Carbofuran	*C	AP	Mean		
1	344	389		377	379		372		
3	341	39	94	385	375		374		
5	360	44	2	392	37	71	391		
10	353	- 38	3	379	- 36	51	369		
20	352	37	'3	368	- 36	52	364		
30	398	44	4	422	440		426		
60	405	44	7	429	427		427		
At harvest	299	31	1	297	304		303		
Mean	356	- 39	98	381	377				
	Main plo	ot (I)		Sub-plot (D)		Sub-plot (D)		Ι	X D
S.Em±	5.28	5.28		6.27		6.27		1	2.54
CD at 5%	23.76	6		18.16		18.16			NS
CV %	5.58		4.69						

 Table 6: Effect of insecticides on periodic available P2O5 (kg/ha)

 content in sugarcane grown soil

Days after		Insecticides (I)							
application (D)	Control	Pho	rate	Carbofuran	*CAP		Mean		
1	65	70		69	6	6	67		
3	67	7	5	72	7	0	71		
5	66	7	2	70	7	2	70		
10	65	7	0	67	67		67		
20	59	7	0	70	70		67		
30	65	7.	75 70		73		71		
60	62	74		71		8	69		
At harvest	43	53		47	45		47		
Mean	62	7	0	67	66				
	Main plo	n plot (I)		Sub-plot (D)		Ι	X D		
S.Em±	1.16			5		2.70			
CD at 5%	NS		3.92				NS		
CV %	7.00			5.78					

*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole

 Table 7: Effect of insecticides on periodic available K2O (kg/ha) content in sugarcane grown soil

Days after		Ir	nsecti	icides (I)			Maan	
application (D)	Control	Pho	rate	Carbofuran	*C	AP	Mean	
1	319	33	37	327	- 33	30	328	
3	308	31	8	308	- 33	38	318	
5	291	30)2	322	31	13	307	
10	298	298 300		298	31	15	303	
20	323	3 33		34 312		23	323	
30	325	32	25	310	32	25	321	
60	311	309		307	- 30)5	308	
At harvest	306	31	9	325 3		11	315	
Mean	310	31	8	314	32	20		
	Main plo	t (I)		Sub-plot (D)		Ι	X D	
S.Em±	9.99		9.39			18.78		
CD at 5%	NS			NS			NS	
CV %	12.67		8.42					
*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole								

*CAP: Chlorantraniliprole

Table 8: Correlation between microbial population and chemical properties of soil

		Correlation coefficient (r)									
Insecticide	рН	EC	OC	Ν	P2O5	K ₂ O					
Bacteria	0.74	-0.26	0.36	0.90*	0.86*	-0.14					
Actinomycetes	0.69	-0.21	0.44	0.84*	0.74	-0.42					
Fungus	0.65	-0.26	0.46	0.83*	0.78*	-0.26					

* Level of 5% significant

5. References

- Borkar VS, Gokhale NB, Khobragade NH, More SS, Dhopavkar RV. Influence of phorate and carbofuran insecticides on nitrogen availability and their residues in soil and rice. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018^a; 6(1):09-14.
- Borkar VS, Gokhale NB, More SS, Khobragade NH, Dhopavkar RV. Influence of phorate and carbofuran insecticides on phosphorus availability and its residues in soil and rice. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018^b; 6(1):238-242.
- 3. Das AC, Mukherjee D. Effect of insecticides on the availability of nutrients, nitrogen fixation, and phosphate solubility in the rhizosphere soil of rice. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 1994; 18:37-41.
- 4. Das AC, Mukherjee D. Influence of insecticides on microbial transformation of nitrogen and phosphorus in Typic Orchaqualf soil. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2000; 48:3728-3732.
- 5. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India, 1967.
- Olsen SR, Col CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Circ. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1954; 939.
- 7. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Worker. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India. 1967; 152-161.
- 8. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. Currunt Science 1956; 25:259-260.