



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(4): 1739-1742

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 07-05-2019

Accepted: 09-06-2019

Shivanand RK

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka,
India

P Venkatesha Murthy

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka,
India

Balesh Goudappanavar

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka,
India

M Venugopala Reddy

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka,
India

Effect of microbial inoculants on germination of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) Cv. red lady seedlings under Polyhouse conditions

Shivanand RK, P Venkatesha Murthy, Balesh Goudappanavar and M Venugopala Reddy

Abstract

A study was conducted to find out the effect of microbial inoculants on growth of papaya seedlings under polyhouse condition at UAS, Bengaluru during the year 2017-18. Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is an important fruit crop of tropical world and has been known as wonder fruit of the tropics. It belongs to the family Caricaceae. To improve the seedling quality, a nursery study was conducted to select the suitable bio-inoculants for growth of papaya seedlings. The bio-inoculants such as *Glomus fasciculatum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, *Azoto bacter chroococcum*, *Azospirillum lipoferum* were isolated and inoculated individually and in combinations. Seedlings were kept at 120 days under polyhouse conditions. Among them, early seed germination (5 days) in treatment with *Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescence* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T₁₁) was recorded. This treatment also influenced most of the growth parameters positively, Viz. higher plant height (63.21 cm at 120 DAS), higher number of leaves (15.35 at 120 DAS), more leaf area (72.37 cm² at 120 DAS), more number of roots per plant (16.33), higher root length (15.67 cm), higher fresh (73.83 g) and dry weight (3.83 g) of roots per plant and highest chlorophyll content (22.4 mg). Thus, it can be concluded that, the combined inoculation of bio-inoculants are beneficial for increasing growth and quality seedling production in papaya Cv. Red Lady.

Keywords: Bio-inoculants, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, *Azotobacter*, *Glomus fasciculatum*, *Azospirillum lipoferum*, *Azotobacter chroococcum* and quality

Introduction

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is an important fruit crop, belonging to family *Caricaceae* and native of tropical America (Singh, 1990) [12]. *Carica* is the largest of the four genera with 48 species, among which *Carica papaya* L. is most important and cultivated all over the world (Badillo, 1971) [1]. Papaya is believed to have originated in Mexico. In India, papaya was introduced in early part of 16th century from Philippines through Malaysia. The popularity of papaya fruit has made it ubiquitous in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world.

Bio-inoculants are carrier based preparations containing live micro-organisms in a viable form. They can be used as soil application or seed treatment and designed to improve the soil fertility and help the plant growth by increasing their number and biological activity in the rhizosphere (Subba Rao, 1998) [15]. Utilization of bio-inoculants improve the growth and yield of papaya and sustain soil fertility resulting in incremented crop yield without causing any environmental hazards. Out of many micro-organisms, which are identified as bio-inoculants, *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, Phosphate solubilizing bacteria, Fungi and Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza fungi (VAM) have a consequential role in horticulture crops.

Role of bio-inoculants in reducing the utilization of chemical fertilizers for amending the growth and development of many plants, information on the utilization of microbial-inoculants in nursery management of papaya is very limited. Keeping these points in view, the present study has been undertaken to assess the suitable microbial-inoculants for better seed germination and seedling survival.

Material & Methods

Experiment "Effect of microbial inoculants on growth of Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) Cv. Red lady seedlings under Polyhouse Conditions" was carried out at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Science, G.K.V.K., Bengaluru, during

Correspondence**Shivanand RK**

Department of Horticulture,
College of Agriculture,
University of Agricultural
Sciences, Bengaluru, Karnataka,
India

2017-18. The experiment was tested in Complete Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications and consisted of twelve treatments namely T1 (*Glomus fasciculatum*), T2 (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*), T3 (*Azotobacter chroococcum*), T4 (*Azospirillum lipoferum*), T5 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens*), T6 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Azotobacter chroococcum*), T7 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*), T8 (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azotobacter chroococcum*), T9 (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*) T10 (*Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*) T11 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*) and T12 (Control). Observations are recorded on seed germination, per cent of seed germination and mortality rate after sowing.



Plate 1: Different bio-inoculants used



Plate 2: Sowing of seed

Observation on seed germination in papaya from each treatment were recorded separately for each day after seed sowing and expressed in number of days. The mean per cent of seed germination for each treatment were estimated and expressed as per centage. Mortality rate was measured by using formula

$$\text{Mortality rate} = \frac{\text{Number of seedlings dead}}{\text{Total number of seedlings germinated}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis was estimated by analysis of variance as per the technique given by Fisher (1963) [2]. Data has been suitably presented in the form of tables and graphs.

Results and Discussion

Days taken for seed germination

The data on days taken for seed germination as influenced by different sources of bio-inoculants are presented in Table 1. Significant differences were observed among the treatments with respect to days taken for seed germination, in treatment containing different combination of bio-inoculants viz. *Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T₁₁) recorded early seed germination (5 days) which was followed by treatment received with *Glomus fasciculatum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T₇). The longest period (10 days) was perceived the seed germination in control treatment where, no bio-inoculants were added to it.

The growing condition prevailed for the seed germination is under controlled environment condition. Therefore, the less number of days taken for seed germination may be due to the bio-inoculants attributed to creating favourable conditions such as optimum moisture retention, temperature, secretion of vitamins, growth promoting substances and water absorption.

Similarly, early germination was also noticed with bio-inoculant *Azotobacter* attributed to creating favourable conditions like optimum moisture retention, temperature and secretion of plant growth regulators like gibberellins, vitamins and water absorption. Similar results were supported by Rakesh Kumar Yadav *et al.*, (2012) [10] in acid lime, and Vasu *et al.*, (2010) [16] in *Lens culinaris* Medic.

Per cent of seed germination

Non significant differences were observed among the treatments with respect to per centage seed germination. (Table 1)

However, the different combination of bio-inoculants added to the media that enhanced the seed germination per centage in all treatments. This may be due to the application in combination of bio- inoculants helps in nutrient and water uptake and also maintenance of good physical and chemical properties of media. The results were in conformity with the observation made by Geetha *et al.*, (2007) [3] in mango and Parmeswari *et al.*, (2001) [8] in tamrind.

The similar results are in line with Jayant Raman (2012) [4] where, increased germination due to application of biofertilizers i.e. *Azotobacter* in apple. The same was also reported by Sinish *et al.*, (2005) [13] in cashew and Pathak *et al.*, (2013) [9] in guava.

Mortality rate of seedlings after sowing (%)

The influence of bio-inoculants showed non significant differences among the treatments with respect to mortality rate after sowing. The minimum mortality rate (4.33%) was recorded in the treatment receiving *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (T₂) and most of the other treatments were on par with each other (Table 1).

Early seed germination and reduced mortality rate could be attributed to efficiency of microbial inoculants in supplying the required crop nutrients, suppression of harmful organism as well as moisture absorption.

The minimum mortality rate can be attributed application of bio-inoculants, these bio-inoculants fixes the nitrogen or solubilization of insoluble phosphate or secreting hormones and vitamins.

Similar trend was shown by Rani and Sathimoorthy (1997) [11] in papaya seedlings inoculated with *Glomus mosseae* which increased germination and decreases the mortality rate of seedlings.



Plate 3: Seed germination

Table 1: Effect of microbial inoculants on days taken for seed germination, per cent of seed germination and mortality rate after sowing in papaya Cv. Red Lady

| Treatment combinations | Days after imposing treatments | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Days taken for seed germination | Per cent of seed germination | Mortality rate after sowing (%) |
| T ₁ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> | 8 ^b | 99.66(9.97) | 4.66 |
| T ₂ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> | 9 ^a | 100.0(10.0) | 4.33 |
| T ₃ = <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 9 ^a | 99.66(9.97) | 4.66 |
| T ₄ = <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 7 ^c | 100.0(10.0) | 5.00 |
| T ₅ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> | 9 ^a | 100.0(10.0) | 5.00 |
| T ₆ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 8 ^b | 100.0(10.0) | 5.00 |
| T ₇ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 6 ^d | 99.66(9.97) | 4.66 |
| T ₈ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 9 ^a | 100.0(10.0) | 5.00 |
| T ₉ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 9 ^a | 99.66(9.97) | 4.66 |
| T ₁₀ = <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 9 ^a | 99.66(9.97) | 4.66 |
| T ₁₁ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 5 ^e | 100.0(10.0) | 5.00 |
| T ₁₂ = Control | 10 ^a | 100.0(10.0) | 4.66 |
| F-test | * | NS | NS |
| S.Em ± | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.22 |
| C.D. @ 5% | 0.48 | 0.78 | 0.85 |

significant at 5% NS- Non significant

Azotobacter - (*Azotobacter chroococcum*) (2g/polybag) GF - *Glomus fasciculatum* (2 g/polybag)

Azospirillum - *Azospirillum lipoferum* (2 g/polybag)*Pseudomonas* - *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (2g/polybag)

1.5g/polybag for combination treatment

Table 2: Effect of bio-inoculants on bacteria population and VAM spore in rhizosphere soils of papaya at 60 DAS and 120 DAS

| Treatment combinations | Total bacteria No × 10 ⁴ CFU/g of soil | | VAM spore count No/50 g of soil | |
|--|---|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 60 DAS | 120DAS | 60 DAS | 120DAS |
| T ₁ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> | 41.33b | 85.00d | 55.33c | 127.6c |
| T ₂ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> | 28.33c | 51.00f | 08.33g | 24.33f |
| T ₃ = <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 26.66d | 44.00g | 14.66f | 33.00e |
| T ₄ = <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 44.00b | 93.33c | 65.00b | 198.6b |
| T ₅ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> | 30.33c | 57.00e | 50.00d | 115.0d |
| T ₆ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 24.66d | 47.33f | 14.33f | 25.00f |
| T ₇ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 45.66b | 105.3b | 68.00b | 199.0b |
| T ₈ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> | 24.00d | 54.33e | 15.66f | 20.00g |
| T ₉ = <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 22.00d | 45.00g | 20.33e | 25.00f |
| T ₁₀ = <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 30.00c | 33.33h | 14.66f | 22.66g |
| T ₁₁ = <i>Glomus fasciculatum</i> + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> + <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> + <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> | 54.66a | 111.3a | 78.33a | 203.3a |
| T ₁₂ = Control | 13.33f | 28.33i | 11.00g | 21.66g |
| F-test | * | * | * | * |
| S.Em ± | 1.54 | 1.40 | 1.17 | 0.94 |
| C.D. @ 5% | 4.52 | 4.13 | 3.44 | 2.76 |

Bacteria and spore population at 60 DAS and 120 DAS in rhizosphere soil of papaya

The data on population per g of soil in rhizosphere of papaya as influenced by different bio-inoculants at 60 DAS and 120 DAS was given in Table 2.

At 60 and 120 DAS, the highest bacterial population (54.66×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ soil and 111.3×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ soil) was found in the rhizosphere soils of treatment containing the combination of *Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T11) followed by T7 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*) 45.66×10^6 cfu g⁻¹ and 105.33×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ soil and it was found to be on par with treatment *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T4). The lowest bacterial population was recorded in the rhizosphere soil of uninoculated control (T12) 13.33×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ soil and 28.33×10^4 cfu g⁻¹ soil.

There was a significant increase in mycorrhizal spore count (78.33 and 203.33 spores per 50 g of soil) at 60 DAS and 120 DAS, the highest spore count was observed in the treatment containing the combination of *Glomus fasciculatum* + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* + *Azotobacter chroococcum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T11) followed by T7 (*Glomus fasciculatum* + *Azospirillum lipoferum*) 68 and 199 spores per 50 g of soil and it was found to be on par with treatment with *Azospirillum lipoferum* (T4). The lowest spore count was recorded in the control treatment (T12) 11 and 21.66 spores per 50 g of soil.

Results of present investigation are in confirmation with the findings by Kale *et al.* (1992)^[6] and Maheshwarapa *et al.* (1999)^[7]. These results have close conformity with findings of Joolka *et al.* (2004)^[5].

Yao *et al.* (2009)^[17] also reported that, significant difference was found in root colonization of trifoliolate orange seedling inoculated with AM fungi varied from 31.7 to 49.5 per cent. Sharma and Sharma (2009)^[14] studied, spore population per cent root colonization and *Azotobacter* count of citrus orchards. They recorded maximum number of AM fungi from Jach (3947 per Kg of soil), maximum root colonization (10.50%) samples collected from Daad and maximum *Azotobacter* count (4.3×10^6 CFU per 100 g soil) from Jhamrari.

Conclusion

The conclusions drawn from the experiment are combined inoculation of bio-inoculants and dual inoculation treatment was found to be effective on early seed germination, increased seed germination per centage and mortality rate after sowing of papaya seedlings. Bio-inoculants act as perpetually renewable inputs helping better nutrient management and maintenance of soil health.

References

1. Badillo VM. Monografia de la familie Caricaceae. Asociacion de Profesores, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Maracay, Venezuela, 1971.
2. Fisher RA. Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medical Research Longman Group Ltd., England, 1963,
3. Geetha R, Selvakumari A, Sujatha K. Evaluation of mango stones under nursery conditions. Plant Archives., 2007; 7(2):697:698.
4. Jayant Raman. Response of *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas* and *Trichoderma* on Growth of Apple Seedling, International Conference on Biological and Life Sciences IPCBEE, 2012; 40:83-90.
5. Joolka NK, Singh RR, Sharma MK. Influence of bio-fertilizers, GA3 and their combinations on the growth of pecan seedlings. Indian J Hort. 2004; 61(3):226-228.
6. Kale RD, Mallesh BC, Kubra B, Bagyaraj DJ. Influence of vermicompost application on the available macronutrients and selected microbial populations in a paddy field. Soil Bio and Biochem. 1992; 24(12):1317-1320.
7. Maheshwarappa HP, Naniappa HV, Hegade MR, Prabhu SR. Influencing of planting material, plant population and organic manures on yield on East Indian galangal (*Kaempferia galangal*), soil physico-chemical and biological properties. Indian J Agronomy. 1999; 44(3):651-657.
8. Parameswari K, Srimathi P, Malarkodi. Influence of biofertilizer pelletization on elite seedling production of tamarind in nursery. Seed Res. 2001; 29(1):58-62.
9. Pathak DV, Surender Singh, Saini RS. Impact of bio-inoculants on seed germination and plant growth of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). J Hort. Agroforestry. 2013; 10(5):183-185.
10. Rakesh Kumar Yadav, Jain MC, Jhakar RP. Effect of media on growth and development of acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) seedling with or without azotobacter. African J Agri. Res. 2012; 7(48):421-6426.
11. Rani MSA, Sathiamoorthy S. Effect of organic and bio-fertilizers on root enzyme activity, nematode, total biomass and growth enhancement of papaya Cv. Co 6. South Indian Hort., 1997; 45(5, 6):217-223.
12. Singh ID. Papaya, Oxford, IBH Publishing Company Private Limited, New Delhi, 1990, 192.
13. Sinish MS, Mercy G, John PS. Organic methods for cashew root stock production. Cashew. 2005; 19(1):8-15.
14. Sharma SD, Sharma ANC. Occurrence and distribution of AM fungi in citrus orchards of North-Western Himalayan region of India grown diverse management system. J Hill Res. 2009; 19(1):40-43.
15. Subba Rao NS. Bio-fertilizers in agriculture. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi. 1998, 155.
16. Vasu D, Kumari M, Zia-Ul-Hasan. Effect of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB) and their combinations on germination and survival of *Lens culinaris* Medic. Environ and Eco. 2010; 28(1):703-705.
17. Yao Q, Wang LR, Zhu HH, Chen JZ. Effect of *Arbuscular mycorrhizal* fungi infection on root system architecture of trifoliolate orange (*Poncirus trifoliolate* L.) Raf. seedlings. Sci. Hort. 2009; 121:458-61.