



P-ISSN: 2349-8528
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902
 IJCS 2019; 7(4): 1926-1928
 © 2019 IJCS
 Received: 25-05-2019
 Accepted: 27-06-2019

SH Lohale

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

VN Shetye

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

GB Shendage

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

DM Klokhe

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

VA Rajemahadik

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

Correspondence

SH Lohale

Department of Agronomy,
 Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan
 Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
 Maharashtra, India

Effect of different mulches on yield attributes and economics of direct seeded hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

SH Lohale, VN Shetye, GB Shendage, DM Klokhe and VA Rajemahadik

Abstract

The field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri (M.S.) during *khariif* season of 2017. This experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with ten treatments which were replicated thrice. Results revealed that, the hybrid rice grown under 25 micron silver polythene mulch (M₁₀) recorded significantly higher yield attributes and yield followed by 25 micron black polythene mulch (M₉) and 7 micron silver polythene mulch (M₈). Use of 25 micron silver polythene mulch (M₁₀) recorded significantly higher cost of cultivation (₹ 112738.68 ha⁻¹) and gross return (₹ 105114.07 ha⁻¹). However, 7 micron silver polythene mulch (M₈) recorded significantly higher net returns (₹ 21736.53 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.27).

Keywords: Mulches, micron, direct seeded hybrid rice, yield, economics

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food grain crop of the world which constitutes the principle food for about 60 per cent of the world's population. Rice contributes 43 per cent of total food grain production and 46 per cent of total cereal production in India. Rice based production system provides the income and employment for more than 50 million households. More than 90 per cent of the world's rice is produced and consumed in Asia where it is an integral part of culture and tradition. India is the world's second largest rice producer and consumer next to China. In the world, rice is grown in 114 countries on an area about 158 million hectares with annual production of 527 million tones, constituting nearly 11 per cent of the world's cultivated land. Rice is an important cereal food crop of Maharashtra state, which contributes 3.6 percent of area and 2.8 percent of production of rice at national level. Total area, production and productivity of rice were 14.71 lakh hectares, 25.17 lakh tones and 1.71 tones ha⁻¹ respectively. In Konkan region, rice occupies an area of 3.79 lakh hectares with production 9.94 lakh tones and productivity of 2.61 tones ha⁻¹.

The word mulch has probably derived from the German word "Molsh" means soft to decay, which apparently referred to the process or practice of covering the soil or ground to make more favourable condition for plant growth and development and efficient crop production. Mulching is usually done with the organic materials like green leaves, dry leaves, straw, rice husk, sugarcane trash, dry grasses, weeds, etc. During last 60 years, the advent of synthetic material has altered the method and benefits of mulching. When compared to other mulches, plastic mulches are completely impermeable to water; therefore it prevents direct evaporation of moisture from the soil and thus limits the water losses and soil erosion. Polythene mulch is the new technology developed in Japan and mostly used in China. It increases the soil temperature by 2.2 to 3.6 °C than the normal cultivation (Tang and Xu, 1986) [14]. There is early germination under polythene mulch and initial crop growth is also better. It is creating better micro environment and better retention of soil moisture, increase in temperature leading ultimately to higher yield. Better germination and early corn initiation and flowering were also observed under polythene mulch (Mahale *et al.* 2002) [9].

Material and methods

The experiment was conducted during *Khariif* season of the year 2017-18 at Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dapoli to study the effect of different mulches on yield attributes, yield and economics in direct seeded hybrid rice. The experimental plot was uniform, levelled and well drained.

The soil of the experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, acidic in pH and medium in organic carbon content. It was low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and available potassium. The mean rainfall during crop growing season was 3582.4 mm of rainfall which received in 106 days. The mean maximum temperatures ranged from 27.4 °C to 31.8 °C and mean minimum temperatures from 22.7 °C to 25.2 °C during the crop growing season in the years 2017. The relative humidity during entire crop growing season ranged from 86 to 98% during morning and 73 to 91% during afternoon, respectively in 2017. This experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. It comprises ten treatments which were replicated thrice. The treatments are No mulch and no weed management (Unweeded control) (M₁), No mulch with weed management (Weed free) (M₂), Paddy straw mulch @ 5 t ha⁻¹ at 20 DAS + 1 HW at 40 DAS (M₃), *Glyricidia maculata* leaves mulch @ 5 t ha⁻¹ at 20 DAS + 1 HW at 40 DAS (M₄), Black Polythene mulch (7 micron) (M₅), Silver polythene mulch (7 micron) (M₆), Transparent Polythene mulch (7 micron) (M₇), Black Polythene mulch (25 micron) (M₈), Silver polythene mulch (25 micron) (M₉) and Transparent Polythene mulch (25 micron) (M₁₀). Thus, the gross and net plot size was 5.10 m X 3.90 m and 4.50 m X 3.38 m respectively. The sowing of hybrid rice variety Sahyadri-3 was done on 15th June, 2017 by dibbling method at a spacing of 20 cm X 15 cm. The recommended dose of fertilizer was 150:50:50 NPK kg ha⁻¹. The recommended cultural operations and plant protection measures were carried out as per the recommendation during experiment.

Result and Discussion

Effect of different mulches on yield attributes of hybrid rice

An assessment of data presented in Table 1 resulted that the 25 micron silver polythene mulch recorded higher number of panicles m⁻², length of panicle, number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ over rest of the treatments except 25 micron black and 7 micron silver polythene mulch. Similarly 25 micron silver polythene mulch.

The higher values of yield attributes were recorded in silver and black polythene mulch. The possible reason for higher values of yield attributing characters may be traced due to the increased dry matter production, which might have resulted in greater synthesis of photosynthates contributing to an increase in yield attributes. The promotional effect of polyethylene mulch on the yield attributes may be due to low weed population, causing a reduction in competition for nutrients and partly for a better water availability due to moisture

conservation by mulching. The beneficial effect of polythene mulch on yield attributes have been also noticed by Mahajan *et al.* (2007)^[8] in baby corn, Liu *et al.* (2013)^[7] in rice and Ehsanullah *et al.* (2014)^[4] in aerobic rice.

Effect of different mulches on yield of hybrid rice

The higher grain, straw and biological yield obtained under silver and black polythene mulch may be due to significant increase in growth and yield attributes in polythene mulch (Table 2). Yield is a function of growth and yield attributes per plant/hill⁻¹. It depends upon the dry matter production per unit area therefore; high production of total dry matter is the first prerequisite for higher yield which showed the progressive increase in total dry matter accumulation as the crop attained maturity. Also, crop yield is a manifestation of various yield attributes like number of panicles m⁻², length of panicle, number of filled panicle⁻¹ and test weight. A unit increase in these components increased the grain and straw yield of rice. The beneficial effect of silver and black polythene mulch on growth and yield attributes of rice finally enhanced the yield of rice. These results corroborate the findings of Mahajan *et al.* (2007)^[8] in baby corn, Singh (2010)^[13] in pea, Liu *et al.* (2013)^[7] in rice, Ehsanullah *et al.* (2014)^[4] aerobic rice, Mutetwa and Tuarira (2014)^[10] in cucumber, Shah *et al.* (2014)^[12] in maize, Ahmad *et al.* (2015)^[11] in cotton, Qamar *et al.* (2015)^[15] in wheat, Ali *et al.* (2016)^[2] in rice and Helaly *et al.* (2017)^[6] in husk tomato.

Effect of different mulches on economics

In case of economics (Table 3), it was observed that significantly higher cost of cultivation was incurred in treatment M₉. This was due to increased cost of polythene mulch due to increase in thickness. Similar findings were reported by Liu *et al.* (2013)^[7]. Similarly higher gross return was recorded in treatment 25 micron silver polythene mulch (M₉). This was due to higher yield obtained in this treatment, which ultimately resulted in higher gross return.

Hybrid rice grown on 7 micron silver polythene mulch (M₆) recorded significantly higher net returns and benefit cost ratio over all other treatments except M₅, M₄, M₃ and M₂ for benefit cost ratio. This was due less expenditure incurred in purchase of thin polythene mulch *i.e.* 7 micron as compared to thick polythene mulch *i.e.* 25 micron. Similarly both 7 and 25 micron silver polythene mulch gave statistically at par yield. Therefore the net returns and benefit cost ratio was higher in 7 micron silver polythene mulch. These findings are in accordance with Gosavi (2006)^[5] in sweet corn, Pinjari (2007)^[11] in sweet corn, Choudhary and Bhambri (2012)^[3] in capsicum and Shah *et al.* (2014)^[12] in maize.

Table 1: Yield attributes of hybrid rice as influenced by different treatments.

| Treatments | Number of panicles m ⁻² | Length of panicle (cm) | Number of filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Number of unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Test weight (g) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| M ₁ | 260.67 | 21.60 | 105.80 | 30.40 | 26.03 |
| M ₂ | 462.00 | 25.73 | 128.87 | 24.13 | 26.40 |
| M ₃ | 401.00 | 24.83 | 117.40 | 25.87 | 26.30 |
| M ₄ | 425.00 | 25.60 | 122.47 | 25.27 | 26.38 |
| M ₅ | 527.67 | 25.93 | 132.07 | 24.13 | 26.42 |
| M ₆ | 533.33 | 26.27 | 132.73 | 23.40 | 26.47 |
| M ₇ | 368.67 | 24.40 | 114.43 | 29.93 | 26.08 |
| M ₈ | 535.67 | 26.33 | 133.20 | 23.13 | 26.74 |
| M ₉ | 537.00 | 26.67 | 133.53 | 22.93 | 27.01 |
| M ₁₀ | 379.00 | 24.43 | 116.13 | 27.60 | 26.19 |
| S.Em± | 2.06 | 0.25 | 0.6 | 0.44 | 0.13 |
| CD at 5% | 5.71 | 0.70 | 1.65 | 1.23 | N.S. |
| General mean | 443.00 | 25.18 | 123.66 | 25.68 | 26.40 |

Table 2: Grain yield, straw yield, biological yield (q ha⁻¹) and harvest index (%) of hybrid rice as influenced by different treatments.

| Treatments | Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Biological yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Harvest index (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| M ₁ | 18.23 | 28.11 | 46.39 | 39.40 |
| M ₂ | 53.51 | 74.35 | 127.86 | 41.87 |
| M ₃ | 54.64 | 71.24 | 125.88 | 43.41 |
| M ₄ | 54.02 | 72.52 | 126.53 | 42.68 |
| M ₅ | 58.70 | 76.41 | 135.12 | 43.43 |
| M ₆ | 59.10 | 76.86 | 135.96 | 43.43 |
| M ₇ | 49.42 | 67.92 | 117.35 | 42.13 |
| M ₈ | 59.60 | 77.43 | 137.03 | 43.47 |
| M ₉ | 60.13 | 77.63 | 137.75 | 43.65 |
| M ₁₀ | 50.28 | 69.84 | 120.12 | 41.80 |
| S.Em± | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.67 | - |
| CD at 5% | 1.45 | 1.26 | 1.85 | - |
| General mean | 51.77 | 69.23 | 121.00 | 42.53 |

Table 3: Economics of hybrid rice as influenced by different treatments.

| Treatments | Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹) | Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹) | B:C |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------|
| M ₁ | 32859.87 | 55948.31 | -23088.44 | 0.59 |
| M ₂ | 94604.20 | 79799.03 | 14805.17 | 1.19 |
| M ₃ | 95661.60 | 79975.27 | 15686.33 | 1.20 |
| M ₄ | 94988.17 | 79015.53 | 15972.64 | 1.20 |
| M ₅ | 102750.63 | 81580.52 | 21170.11 | 1.26 |
| M ₆ | 103430.33 | 81693.81 | 21736.53 | 1.27 |
| M ₇ | 87225.43 | 78992.99 | 8232.44 | 1.10 |
| M ₈ | 104290.67 | 112601.44 | -8310.78 | 0.93 |
| M ₉ | 105114.07 | 112738.68 | -7624.61 | 0.93 |
| M ₁₀ | 88880.90 | 110033.15 | -21152.25 | 0.81 |
| S.Em± | 20.89 | 3.56 | 85.83 | 0.05 |
| CD at 5% | 57.90 | 9.86 | 237.91 | 0.15 |
| General mean | 90980.59 | 87237.87 | 3742.71 | 1.05 |

Conclusion

From the results of the present experiment, it can be concluded that, hybrid rice (Sahyadri-3) should be grown under 7 micron silver polythene mulch (M₈) for obtaining higher yield and economic returns.

Reference

- Ahmad S, Raza MAS, Saleem MF, Syeda Sadaf Zahra SS, Khan IH, Ali M *et al.* Mulching strategies for weeds control and water conservation in cotton. *J of Agric. and Bio. Sci.* 2015; 10(8):299-306.
- Ali S, Iqbal MA, Afzal S, Afzal MA. Growth, kernel quality and yield assessment of aerobic aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under different mulching types and spatial arrangements. *World J of Agric. Sci.* 2016; 12(2):84-90.
- Choudhary VK, Bhambri MC. Agro-economic potential of capsicum with drip irrigation and mulching. *SAARC J Agri.* 2012; 10(2):51-60.
- Ehsanullah Qamar R, Kalim R, Rehman A, Iqbal Z, Ghaffar A, Mustafa G. Growth and economic assessment of mulches in aerobic rice. (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J Agric. Res.* 2014; 52(3):395-406.
- Gosavi. Effect of mulches, fertilizer and levels of organic manure on the performance of *rabi* sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata*). M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli (MS), 2006.
- Helaly AA, Goda Y, Abd El-Rehim AS, Mohamed AA, El-Zeiny OAH. Effect of polyethylene mulching type on the growth, yield and fruits quality of *Physalis pubescens*. *Adv. in Plants & Agric. Res.* 2017; 6(5):1-7.
- Liu XJ, Wang JC, Lu SH, Zhang FS, Zenga XZ, Ai YW *et al.* Effects of non-flooded mulching cultivation on crop yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient balance in rice-wheat cropping systems. *Field Crops Res.* 2013; 83:297-311.
- Mahajan G, Sharda R, Kumar A, Singh KG. Effect of plastic mulch on economizing irrigation water and weed control in baby corn sown by different methods. *African J Agric. Res.* 2007; 2(1):19-26.
- Mahale AM, Satpute GN, Mahurkar DG, Bhadane RS, Dusane SM. Effect of polythene mulch on morphological parameter and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Indian J. Agron.* 2002; 41(1):178-182.
- Mutetwa M, Tuarira T. Effect of different mulch colors on cucumber production. *J Glob. Innov. Agric. Soc. Sci.* 2014; 2(4):178-184.
- Pinjari SS. Effect of integrated nutrient management and polythene mulch on the performance of sweet corn under lateratc soils of Konkan. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli (MS), 2007.
- Shah FU, Sajid GM, Siddiqui SU. Evaluation of mulching materials as integrated weed management component in maize crop. *Pakistan J Agric. Res.* 2014; 27(2):118-128.
- Singh M. Evaluation of different mulches for weed management in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Indian J Weed Sci.* 2010; 42(1&2):60-62.
- Tang QL, Xu JY. Mulching cultivation increasing spring groundnut yield. *Zhejiang agric. Sci.* 1986; 2:65-67.
- Qamar R, Ehsanullah Saqib M, Muhammad H, Javeed R, Rehman A, Ali A. Influence of tillage and mulch on soil physical properties and wheat yield in rice-wheat system. *African J of Appl. Eco.* 2015; 23(1):21-38.