

International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(4): 2347-2350 © 2019 IJCS Received: 29-05-2019 Accepted: 30-06-2019

S Padma

Ph.D. Scholar, Agril College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. M Sree Rekha Associate Professor, Dept of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. Ch Pulla Rao

Professor (Retd.), Dept of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. K Jaya Lalitha

Professor & Head, Dept of Crop Physiology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Dr. P Prasuna Rani

Principal Scientist & Head, Geo spatial Technology Centre, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Correspondence S Padma Ph.D. Scholar, Agril College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Decomposition and soil biological quality under pulse-maize sequence

S Padma, Dr. M Sree Rekha, Dr. Ch Pulla Rao, Dr. K Jaya Lalitha and Dr. P Prasuna Rani

Abstract

A trial was conducted in order to assess the undecomposed crop residues left after decomposition of pulses and some soil microbiological properties. A split plot design replicated thrice with three pulses and fallow was conducted during *kharif* 2017 and 2018 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla. Greengram and blackgram had lower values of undecomposed matter left after decomposition while soil microbial population were higher with cowpea incorporated plots during the experimental period of two years.

Keywords: Undecomposed crop residue and Soil microbial population

Introduction

Crop residues decomposition plays a decisive role in carbon cycling in terrestrial ecosystems. The content of soil organic matter in agro ecological systems would largely depend on farmer's practices particularly on crop rotations and crop residue management. Knowledge of crop residue decomposition becomes important for a sustainable management of agricultural ecosystem. Residue decomposition and release of nutrients depend on residue quality, environmental conditions and decomposing microbial communities. On the other hand, soil microbial biomass is considered to be the most important indicator of soil quality which is very much sensitive and can respond quickly to any changes in soil management. Therefore in order to assess the decomposition pattern and changes in soil microbial population a field study was conducted with three different pulses along with fallow and residue management.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted in Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla during *kharif* 2017 and 2018 in Northern block. The texture of soil was clay loam, medium in organic carbon content, low in available nitrogen, medium in phosphorus and high in potassium content with slightly alkaline condition. The experiment was conducted in split plot design with three pulses and fallow as main plots and three residue management practices as sub plots which are replicated thrice. Main plots include P₁- cowpea, P₂-blackgram, P₃-greengram and P₄-fallow while subplots include R₁- residue retained, R₂-residue incorporated and R₃-residue removed.

Determination of undecomposed crop residue

The litter bag method (Anderson and Ingram, 1993) was used to measure the decomposition of cowpea, blackgram and greengram residues. Nylon bags of 20 cm x 20 cm with 1mm thickness containing 50 g of plant sample were used to determine biomass breakdown of mulched or incorporated plant residues. The bags were buried 15 cm deep in the residue incorporated treatment and left on surface for the residue retained treatments on the same day as when the residue management were imposed. The litter bags were removed at 10 and 20 days after incorporation to determine the undecomposed residues still remaining. The percent of undecomposed crop residue from each litter bag was calculated by using the formula

Initial weight of sample-final weight of sample x 100 Initial weight of sample

Soil microbial analysis

Soil samples were collected from experimental plots for estimation of bacteria, fungi, Azotobacter and Rhizobia by using standard serial dilution plate count method. The media used for estimation are Nutrient Agar media for bacteria, Potato Dextrose Agar media for fungi, Asbhy's agar for Azotobacter and Yeast Extract Mannitol Agar (YEMA) with congo red for Rhizobia. The total bacteria, fungi, Azotobacter and Rhizobia were expressed as colony forming units per gram of soil (cfu g⁻¹).

Results and Discussion

Undecomposed crop residue percent of *kharif* pulses

The decomposition for different *kharif* pulses along with the weeds in fallow were assessed using litter bags at two different intervals one at 10 days after incorporation and another one at 20 days after incorporation of crop residues. The results over two years inferred that with increase in days of incorporation there was significant decrease in quantity of crop residues which were either incorporated or retained. However, the percentage of undecomposed crop residue during the process of decomposition did not differ with kharif pulses as well as with the weeds in the fallow and was found non-significant. However, numerically among the pulses, blackgram and greengram had lower values for

undecomposed matter as compared to cowpea and fallow weeds which imply that crop differ in their decomposition rates which may be attributed to the content of lignin and lignin/nitrogen ratio. Similar findings were in compliance from the experiments of Ibewiro et al. (2000) [5], Lupwayi et al. (2004)^[6], Mwangi et al. (2013)^[10], Pereira et al. (2016)^[12] and Paul Anguria et al. (2017)^[11].

With regard to the crop residue management, retention of residue in plots had higher values for undecomposed crop residues when compared to incorporated plots during two years of the study. Incorporated plots had 43.1 percent and 27.2 percent of undecomposed crop residue for 10 and 20 days after incorporation during the first year of study while it was 49.8 percent and 30.4 percent for 10 and 20 days after incorporation during second year of study (Table 1). The faster decomposition rates for incorporated treatments may be due to availability of more surface area for microbial activity as compared to residue retained plots which may be susceptible to varying environmental conditions than incorporated plots. Incorporation of crop residues resulted in proper mixing with the soil which had resulted in simultaneous decomposition and was in accordance with the research findings of Thonnissen et al. (2000)^[14], Arthur Alfred (2009)^[2], Mulvaney et al. (2010)^[9] and Lynch et al. (2016)^[7].

		2017	2018		
	Days a	Days after incorporation Days after incorporation			
Treatmos	ta 10 day	a 20 dova	10 dava	20 dava	

Table 1: Undecomposed crop residue (%) of *kharif* pulses at 10 and 20 days after residue retention and incorporation by litter bag method

	20)17	2018							
	Days after i	ncorporation	Days after incorporation							
Treatments	10 days	20 days	10 days	20 days						
	Main plots									
P ₁ -Cowpea	52.7	41.5	31.7	24.7						
P ₂ -Blackgram	44.3	33.8	31.7	22.6						
P ₃ -Greengram	44.6	32.7	28.3	21.5						
P4-Fallow	50.6	39.0	35.6	22.2						
SEm <u>+</u>	2.58	1.54	2.09	1.17						
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS						
CV%	24.2	18.9	21.1	15.4						
	Su	b-plots								
R ₁ -Residue retained	56.5	41.1	56.8	38.6						
R ₂ -Residue incorporated	39.5	32.3	37.9	29.6						
R ₃ -Residue removed	0	0	0	0						
SEm <u>+</u>	0.65	0.39	0.55	0.29						
CD (p=0.05)	1.9	1.2	1.7	0.9						
CV%	16.8	20.5	18.3	10.8						
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS						

The interaction for different pulses along with the placement of residues did not influence the percentage of undecomposed crop residue and was non-significant during the experiment of two years.

2. Soil microbial population

The soil microbial biomass i.e., bacteria, fungi, Azotobacter and Rhizobium resulted an increase in their population with inclusion of pulses in the cropping sequence from both the years of study when compared to the initial microbial counts. The soil bacteria count at the time of incorporation was higher with cowpea (143.6 x 10^4 g⁻¹ of soil and 191.9 x 10^4 g⁻¹ of soil) followed by greengram (129.9 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 176.7 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil) and blackgram (115.4 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 150.0 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil). However, the lower soil bacteria count was recorded with fallow plots (73.6x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 115.0 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil) during two years of study represented in the Table 2 and 2a. The increase in soil bacteria in all the pulse crops when compared to fallow might be because of modification of environment of soil by release of organic exudates from the roots of plants there by resulting a change in soil biota composition. Among the pulses, cowpea had higher values which implied that soil bacteria varied with the type of pulse crop, and cowpea due to its spreading habit provided the higher input of drymatter that might have increased the availability of carbon to the soil microorganisms.

With increase in days of incorporation of crop residues (15 days after incorporation) the soil bacterial population was also increased. Among the residue management plots, the higher values for bacteria was obtained with residue incorporated plot (142.0 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 195.1x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil) followed by residue retained plots (133.7x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 180.8 x 10^4 g⁻¹ of soil) while there was decrease in soil bacteria in residue removed plots (101.5 x 10⁴ g⁻¹ of soil and 123.3 x 10^4 g⁻¹ of soil) over two years. The enhancement in soil microbial population from 1st day of incorporation to 15 days of incorporation might be due to the reason that all the

pulse crops maintained the source of carbon which was released slowly for consumption by the microbes when residues were either retained or incorporated. Even though there was decline in population of soil bacteria in residue removed plots, the decrease was not drastic as the organic matter that was added during crop growth might have supported the growth of microbes and moreover the dead cells of other microbes also serve as source of carbon to the existing microbes to continue their life cycle for some more period even after removal of crop residues.

Table 2: Soil microbial population at the time of incorporation and 15 days after incorporation of *kharif* pulses during 2017

	Bacteria (10 ⁴ g ⁻¹ of soil)		Fungi (10 ³ g ⁻¹ of soil)		Azotobacter (10 ⁴ g ⁻¹ of soil)		Rhizobium (10 ⁴ g ⁻¹ of soil)		
	Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		
Treatments	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	
Main plots									
P ₁ -Cowpea	143.6	164.4	12.4	14.0	10.8	11.4	35.5	17.2	
P ₂ -Blackgram	115.4	121.6	13.5	12.4	8.6	9.7	24.9	17.0	
P ₃ -Greengram	129.9	136.9	10.3	13.5	10.8	11.2	26.5	16.9	
P4-Fallow	73.6	80.0	13.6	12.7	6.9	9.6	7.6	7.8	
SEm+	4.74	5.57	0.48	0.22	0.16	0.28	0.87	0.82	
CD (p=0.05)	16.4	19.3	1.7	0.8	0.6	0.9	3.1	2.9	
CV%	12.3	13.2	11.8	5.3	5.4	8.1	11.2	16.9	
Sub-plots									
R ₁ -Residue retained	126.9	133.7	11.0	17.1	9.3	10.6	23.1	14.8	
R2-Residue incorporated	112.3	142.0	14.0	11.7	9.5	10.5	23.7	14.7	
R ₃ -Residue removed	107.6	101.5	12.3	10.5	9.2	10.2	23.9	14.6	
SEm <u>+</u>	6.93	1.39	0.12	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.22	0.21	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	4.2	NS	0.2	NS	NS	NS	NS	
CV%	17.9	16.2	22.3	6.7	10.9	9.7	15.1	14.9	
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Initial value before experiment	56	-	6.6	-	4.6	-	6.6	-	

Table 2a: Soil microbial population at the time of incorporation and 15 days after incorporation of *kharif* pulses during 2018

	Bacteria (10 ⁴ g ⁻¹	of soil)	Fungi (10 ³ g	¹ of soil)	Azotobacter (10 ⁴	g ⁻¹ of soil)	Rhizobium (10 ⁴ g ⁻¹	¹ of soil)	
	Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		Days after incorporation		
Treatments	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	At the time of incorporation	15 days	
Main plots									
P ₁ -Cowpea	191.9	212.9	14.3	15.0	13.4	14.2	41.6	18.6	
P ₂ -Blackgram	150.0	154.7	11.8	13.2	11.9	13.7	24.6	14.8	
P ₃ -Greengram	176.7	187.3	13.6	14.3	12.4	13.9	27	16.9	
P4-Fallow	115.0	110.8	10.3	12.9	8.3	9.5	7.8	6.7	
SEm+	4.61	6.59	0.60	0.51	0.67	0.76	1.97	0.88	
CD (p=0.05)	15.9	22.8	2.1	NS	2.4	2.6	6.9	3.1	
CV%	8.8	11.9	14.5	11.1	17.7	17.7	23.4	18.6	
Sub-plots									
R ₁ -Residue retained	159.2	180.8	11.6	18.2	11.7	12.9	25.1	14.1	
R ₂ -Residue incorporated	158.1	195.1	13.5	12.4	11.4	12.9	25.1	14.4	
R ₃ -Residue removed	157.5	123.3	12.3	10.9	11.4	12.7	25.4	14.3	
SEm <u>+</u>	1.15	1.64	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.49	0.22	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	4.9	NS	0.4	NS	NS	NS	NS	
CV%	18.3	18.3	23.5	25.2	16.3	10.7	19.9	23.0	
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Initial value before experiment	78	-	8.7	-	11.2	-	5.7	-	

During first year of study, the total fungal count was higher for fallow $(13.6 \times 10^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ of soil})$ followed by blackgram and cowpea at the time of incorporation while greengram had lower total fungal count of $10.3 \times 10^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ of soil.

However, 15 days after incorporation of crop residues during study of two years the higher total fungal count was observed for cowpea (14.0 x10³ g⁻¹ of soil and 15.0 x 10³ g⁻¹ of soil), respectively. The total fungal count for greengram during 2017-18, was 13.5 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil for 15 days after incorporation and during 2018-19 the count was 13.6 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 14.3 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil at initial day of incorporation and 15 days after incorporation, respectively followed by blackgram (13.5 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 12.4 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 11.8 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 13.2 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil). The lower values were obtained with fallow for 15 days after

incorporation for the experimented two years. The changes in fungal counts might be because of the change in soil management by addition of crop residues either through incorporation or retention (Table 2 and 2a).

With advancement in days of incorporation (15 days of incorporation) the residue retained plots (17.1 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 18.2x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil) recorded the higher values of total fungal counts for the experimented two years followed by residue incorporated plots (11.7 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 12.4 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil) while residue removed plots had fungal count of 10.5 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil and 10.9 x 10^3 g⁻¹ of soil, respectively during both the years of study. The increased fungal count in the plots where residue were retained might be due to reduced disturbances in the soil surface which might have encouraged the establishment and activity of fungal population for

development and maintenance of hyphal networks of fungi. Fungal population are mostly responsible for the decomposition of surface placed residues and there by affecting the nutrient cycling processes (Yadvinder Singh *et al.*, $2005^{[16]}$ and Madhu Choudhary *et al.*, 2018)^[8].

The total soil *Azotobacter* was increased in cowpea plots during both the years of study followed by greengram and blackgram and the lower values were with fallow (Table 2 and 2a). 15 days after incorporation could not influence significant changes in the count of *Azotobacter* as these soil organisms are mostly involved in nitrogen fixation and not involved in any decomposition of soil organic matter.

Rhizobia which are known for biological fixation of nitrogen in association with legumes had shown increased values during both the years of the experimentation due to inclusion of pulses in the crop sequence when compared to fallow plots. The higher values were with cowpea ($35.5 \times 10^4 \text{ g}^{-1}$ of soil and $41.6 \times 10^4 \text{ g}^{-1}$ of soil) over two years of study (Table 2 and 2a). The lower values were with fallow due to lack of legumes for association. With increase in advancement of incorporation (15 days of incorporation) the soil *Rhizobium* count decreased due to removal of pulse crops.

The research findings of many researchers observed an enhancement in soil microbial biomass with inclusion of legumes in sequence and incorporation of their residues in soil which might have provided continuous carbon for the development of soil microbes as inferred by Roldan *et al.* (2003)^[13], Venkateswarlu *et al.* (2007)^[15], Fosu *et al.* (2007)^[4], Awanish Kumar *et al.* (2017)^[3], Amresh Chaudhary *et al.* (2017)^[1] and Zuber *et al.* (2018)^[17].

Conclusions

Based on the results it can be concluded that decomposition of crop residues depend upon the biochemical composition of crops and placement of crop residues. The inclusion of pulses in crop sequence lead to increase in soil microbial population when compared to initial values and the fungal colonies were higher with residue retention while bacterial count were more with incorporation of residues there by affecting the decomposition of crop residues and subsequent mineralisation and release of nutrients.

References

- 1. Amresh Chaudhary, Mahesh C Meena, Chiter M Parihar, Abir Dey. Effect of long term conservation on soil organic carbon and dehydrogenase activity under maize based cropping sytems. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(10):437-444.
- 2. Arthur Alfred. Effect of decomposing crop residues on soil properties and crop productivity in the semideciduous forest zone of Ghana. Ph.D. Thesis. College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana, 2009.
- Awanish Kumar, Anusuiya Panda, Srivastava LK, Mishra VN. Effect of conservation tillage on biological activity in soil and crop productivity under rainfed vertisols of central India. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017; 5(6):1939-1946.
- Fosu M, Ronald F Kuhne, Paul LG Vick. Mineralisation and microbial dynamics during decomposition of four leguminous residues. Journal of Biological Sciences. 2007; 7(4):632-637.

- Ibewiro B, Sanginga N, Vanlauwe B, Merckx R. Nitrogen contributions from decomposing cover crops to maize in a tropical derived savanna. Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystem. 2000; 57(2):131-140.
- Lupwayi NZ, Clayton GW, Donovan JTO, Harker KN, Turkington TK, Rice WA. Decomposition of crop residues under conventional and zero tillage. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. 2004; 84:403-410.
- 7. Lynch MJ, Mulvaney MJ, Hodges SC, Thompson TL, Thomason WE. Decomposition, nitrogen and carbon mineralisation from food and cover crop residues in the central plateau of Haiti. Springer Plus. 2016; 5:973:1-9.
- 8. Madhu Choudhary, Parbodh Chander Sharma, Hanuman Sahay Jat, Andrew McDonald, Mangi Lal Jat, Sharda Choudhary *et al.* Soil biological properties and fungal diversity under conservation agriculture in Indo-Gangetic plains of India. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2018; 18(4):1142-1156.
- Mulvaney MJ, Wood CW, Balkcom KS, Shannon DA, Kemble JM. Carbon and nitrogen mineralisation and persistence of organic residues under conservation and conventional tillage. Agronomy Journal. 2010; 102(5):1426-1433.
- Mwangi PW, George N Chemining'wa, Mary WK Mburu, Joseph G Mureithi. Decomposition and nutrient release rates of selected legume residues in a cold semiarid environment of Kenya. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2013; 3(2):1-13.
- 11. Paul Anguria, George N. Chemining'wa, Richard N Owanga, Michael A Ugen. Decomposition and nutrient release of selected cereal and legume crop residues. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017; 9(6):108-119.
- Pereira NS, Ismail Soarea, Fabio Rodrigues de Miranda. Decomposition and nutrient release of leguminous green manure species in the Jaguaribe-Apodi region, Ceara, Brazil. Ciencia Rural, Santa Maria. 2016; 46(6):970-975.
- Roldan A, Caravaca F, Hernandez MT, Garcia C, Sanchez- Brito C, Velasquez M *et al.* No-tillage, crop residue additions and legume cover cropping effects on soil quality characteristics under maize in Patzcuaro watershed (Mexico). Soil and tillage Research. 2003; 72:65-73.
- Thonnissen C, David J Midmore, Jagdish K Ladha, Daniel C Olk, Schmidhalter U. Legume decomposition and nitrogen release when applied as green maures to tropical vegetable production systems. Agronomy Journal. 2000; 92:253-260.
- 15. Venkateswarlu B, Srinivasa Rao CH, Ramesh G, Venkateswarlu S, Katyal JC. Effects of long term legume cover crop incorporation on soil organic carbon, microbial biomass, nutrient build up and grain yields of sorghum/sunflower under rainfed conditions. Soil Use and Management. 2007; 23:100-107.
- 16. Yadvinder Singh, Bijay Singh, Timsina, Jagadish. Crop residue management for nutrient recycling and improving soil productivity in rice–based cropping systems in the tropics. Advances in Agronomy. 2005; 85:269-407.
- Zuber MS, Gevan D Behnke, Emerson D Nafziger, Maria B Villamil. Carbon and nitrogen content of soil organic matter and microbial biomass under long term crop rotation and tillage in Illinois, USA. Agriculture. 2018; 8(37):1-12.