



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(5): 2028-2031

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 04-07-2019

Accepted: 06-08-2019

**SR Suryavanshi**P.G. Student, Agronomy  
Section, R.C.S.M. College of  
Agriculture, Kolhapur,  
Maharashtra, India**DK Kathamale**Associate Professor of  
Agronomy, Agronomy Section,  
R.C.S.M. College of Agriculture,  
Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India**AB Mohite**Associate Professor of  
Agronomy, Agronomy Section,  
R.C.S.M. College of Agriculture,  
Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India**AS Bhosale**Associate Professor of  
Agronomy, Agronomy Section,  
R.C.S.M. College of Agriculture,  
Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India

## Effect of potassium and sulphur on nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

SR Suryavanshi, DK Kathamale, AB Mohite and AS Bhosale

### Abstract

Effect of potassium and sulphur on nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) was studied at the PG Research Farm, Agronomy Section, RSCM College of Agriculture, Kolhapur during summer, 2018. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications and twelve treatment combinations of four potassium levels (K<sub>0</sub>- 00 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>1</sub>- 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>2</sub>- 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>3</sub>- 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three sulphur levels (S<sub>1</sub>- 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>- 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>- 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>). Mean N, P, K and S content in kernel and haulm was not influenced significantly by different potassium levels as well as sulphur levels. The mean N, P, K and S uptake, oil yield and protein yield of groundnut were maximum with application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, but significantly superior over rest of treatments and also it was maximum in application of sulphure @ 40 kg/ha, while in case of sulphure application they are maximum in application of 40 kg sulphure /ha. over rest of treatment. The dry pod yield (32.49 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and dry haulm yield (44.86 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded maximum with application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, but significantly superior over rest of treatments. The dry pod yield (32.92 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), dry haulm yield (45.27 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded more with application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, but significantly superior over 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords:** Groundnut, potassium, sulphur, nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield

### Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the most important oilseed crop in India. It belongs to family Leguminosae. Groundnut appeared to have originated in South America i.e., North-West of Brazil and the secondary centre of its cultivation is in Africa and then spread to other parts of the world. The crop has its own importance due to high edible oil and nutritional value of kernel as human food and haulm as rich fodder for cattle. It is a valuable cash crop planted by millions of small farmers because of its economic and nutritional value. Its kernels are rich source of edible oil (48-52%) and protein (25-28%). The groundnut kernel contains about 50 per cent edible oil. The remaining 50 per cent of the seed has higher quality protein (21.4-26.45%), carbohydrates (6- 24.9%) and minerals and vitamins (Das, 1997) [2]. The groundnut oil is generally used in the preparation of vanspati tup, soap, cosmetics and cold creams besides as cooking medium. This contains 20 per cent saturated and 80 per cent unsaturated fatty acid. Poly saturated fatty acid has two types i.e. oleic (40-50%) and linoleic (24-35%) (Mathur and Khan, 1997) [5].

The potassium is also one of the major plant nutrients, which is important for growth and development of plants. Potassium application is not regularly practiced, it plays equally important role as nitrogen and phosphorus in plants metabolic activities. It helps the plants in using the water economically.

Sulphur is a now recognized, as the fourth major plant nutrient, along with Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium, therefore sulphur is now very much a part of balanced fertilization and nutrition for oilseed crops in general and for groundnut crop in particular. It is one of the important pre-requisites for enhance productivity and quality of groundnut. Sulphur as plant nutrients is becoming increasingly important in dry land agriculture as it is master nutrient of all oilseed crops. Among the field crops, oilseeds and pulses are more responsive to sulphur.

### Correspondence

**SR Suryavanshi**P.G. Student, Agronomy  
Section, R.C.S.M. College of  
Agriculture, Kolhapur,  
Maharashtra, India

## Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Post Graduate Research Farm, R.C.S.M. College of Agriculture, Kolhapur during summer, 2018. The topography of experimental field was fairly uniform and leveled. The soil was vertisol (medium black) in nature and about one meter deep with good drainage. The soil of experimental field has pH 7.7, EC 0.31 d Sm<sup>-1</sup>, low in organic carbon 0.18%, available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O 238.84, 23.65 and 249.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The treatments comprising of twelve treatment combinations of four potassium levels (K<sub>0</sub>- 00 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>1</sub>- 15 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>2</sub>- 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>3</sub>- 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three sulphur levels (S<sub>1</sub>- 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>- 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, S<sub>3</sub>- 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) and these treatments were replicated three times in factorial randomized block design (FRBD). The gross and net plot size were 5.4 m x 4.8 m and 4.8 m x 3.6 m, respectively. All growth observations are recorded as per the standard procedure.

Groundnut variety 'Phule Chaitanya (KDG-160)' was grown in the experimental field with recommended package of practices. Fertilizers were applied uniformly at the rate of 25 kg N and 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and K<sub>2</sub>O-as per treatments.

## Result and Discussion

### I) Effect on nutrient content

**Effect of Potassium Levels:** The nutrient content of summer groundnut at harvest as influenced by different treatment were presented in Table No 1. The nutrient content viz., N, P, K and S content in kernel and haulm was not influenced significantly by different potassium levels.

**Effect of Sulphur Levels:** The mean N, P, K and S content in kernel and haulm was not influenced significantly by different sulphur levels.

### II) Effect on nutrient uptake

**Effect of Potassium Levels:** The mean N, P, K and S uptake of groundnut were maximum with application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, but significantly superior over rest of treatments.

**Effect of Sulphur Levels:** The mean N, P, K and S uptake of groundnut were maximum with application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments, however comparable with 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### III) Effect on oil yield and protein yield

**Effect of Potassium Levels:** The oil content in kernel, oil yield, protein content in kernel and protein yield as influenced

by different treatment were presented in Table No 3. The significantly highest values of oil yield, protein content in kernel and protein yield at harvest were recorded highest with application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments, however comparable with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. The scientist Sahid *et al.*, (1999)<sup>[7]</sup> and Patra *et al.*, (1995)<sup>[6]</sup> reported similar results.

**Effect of Sulphur Levels:** The significantly highest values of oil content in kernel, oil yield, protein content in kernel and protein yield at harvest were recorded highest with application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments, however comparable with 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, the positive influence of these treatments through immediate supply of sulphur at the early stage of the crop, which might have improved adequate biomass production and improvement in yield parameters resulting in higher pod yield. Sulphur application increased the oil yield in groundnut was also reported by Shivraj and Gowda, (1993)<sup>[9]</sup> and Mjumdar (2002)<sup>[4]</sup>.

### IV) Effect on dry pod, dry haulm yields and harvest index

**Effect of Potassium Levels:** The dry pod, dry haulm yields and harvest index as influenced by different treatment were presented in Table No 4. The significantly highest values of dry pod, dry haulm yields and harvest index at harvest were recorded with application of 45 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments, however comparable with 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Higher pod and haulm yield could be attributed to favorable changes in physical and chemical characteristics of the soil which might have enabled better pod formation. Moreover, the positive influence of these treatments through immediate supply of potassium at the early stage of the crop, which might have improved adequate biomass production and improvement in yield parameters resulting in higher pod yield. The result reported by Gashti *et al.*, (2012)<sup>[3]</sup>, Veeramani and Subrahmaniyan (2015)<sup>[10]</sup> and Singh (2007)<sup>[8]</sup> were at par with this result.

**Effect of Sulphur Levels:** The significantly highest values of dry pod, dry haulm yields and harvest index at harvest were recorded highest with application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments, however comparable with 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar result were also reported by Banu *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[11]</sup> at Anand Agricultural University, Anand,

**Table 1:** Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on nutrient content of summer groundnut at harvest

Treatments	Nitrogen (%)		Phosphorous (%)		Potassium (%)		Sulphur (%)	
	Kernel	Haulm	Kernel	Haulm	Kernel	Haulm	Kernel	Haulm
<b>Potassium levels:</b>								
K <sub>0</sub> - 00 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.07	1.27	0.31	0.23	0.76	0.59	0.26	0.19
K <sub>1</sub> - 15 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.25	1.35	0.33	0.24	0.78	0.60	0.27	0.19
K <sub>2</sub> - 30 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.43	1.47	0.34	0.24	0.81	0.63	0.28	0.24
K <sub>3</sub> - 45 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.59	1.54	0.36	0.25	0.83	0.68	0.29	0.26
S. Em±	0.04	0.02	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.002	0.003
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Sulphur levels:</b>								
S <sub>1</sub> - 10 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.16	1.32	0.32	0.23	0.78	0.58	0.25	0.20
S <sub>2</sub> - 20 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.36	1.43	0.33	0.24	0.79	0.63	0.27	0.22
S <sub>3</sub> - 40 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	3.51	1.47	0.35	0.25	0.81	0.66	0.29	0.24
S. Em±	0.04	0.01	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.003
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interactions (K × S):</b>								

S. E m±	0.08	0.02	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.008	0.006
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
General mean	3.33	1.40	0.33	0.24	0.79	0.62	0.27	0.21

**Table 2:** Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on nutrient uptake of summer groundnut at harvest

Treatments	Nutrient uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Nitrogen	Phosphorous	Potassium	Sulphur
<b>Potassium levels:</b>				
K <sub>0</sub> - 00 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	157.56	18.63	46.39	15.55
K <sub>1</sub> - 15 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	177.81	21.05	50.70	17.03
K <sub>2</sub> - 30 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	197.52	23.04	55.21	19.66
K <sub>3</sub> - 45 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	208.51	24.27	58.87	21.13
S. Em±	3.75	0.42	1.24	0.53
C. D. at 5%	11.23	1.26	3.71	1.56
<b>Sulphur levels:</b>				
S <sub>1</sub> - 10 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	156.50	18.35	45.20	14.87
S <sub>2</sub> - 20 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	186.65	22.08	52.80	18.18
S <sub>3</sub> - 40 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	205.93	24.07	57.61	20.24
S. Em±	6.46	0.69	1.63	0.71
C. D. at 5%	19.36	2.05	4.86	2.14
<b>Interactions (K × S):</b>				
S. E m±	12.92	1.38	3.26	1.42
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
General mean	184.35	21.64	52.40	18.09

**Table 3:** Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on oil content in kernel, oil yield, protein content in kernel and protein yield of summer groundnut at harvest

Treatments	Oil content in kernel (%)	Oil yield(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein content in kernel (%)	Protein yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Potassium levels:</b>				
K <sub>0</sub> - 00 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	47.62	1277.16	18.42	516.91
K <sub>1</sub> - 15 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	48.00	1397.28	19.98	591.61
K <sub>2</sub> - 30 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	49.05	1504.36	21.67	671.41
K <sub>3</sub> - 45 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	49.96	1623.20	22.92	720.77
S. Em±	0.21	54.28	0.45	23.99
C. D. at 5%	NS	159.22	1.32	70.38
<b>Sulphur levels:</b>				
S <sub>1</sub> - 10 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	47.83	1245.49	19.97	579.92
S <sub>2</sub> - 20 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	48.36	1468.21	20.88	639.77
S <sub>3</sub> - 40 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	49.46	1628.22	21.40	717.83
S. Em±	0.42	59.65	0.39	27.59
C. D. at 5%	1.25	177.89	1.14	81.95
<b>Interactions (K × S):</b>				
S. E m±	0.85	119.30	0.78	55.18
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
General mean	48.19	1447.30	20.75	625.17

**Table 4:** Effect of potassium and sulphur levels on dry pod, dry haulm yields and harvest index of summer groundnut at harvest

Treatments	Dry pod yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Dry haulm yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
<b>Potassium levels:</b>			
K <sub>0</sub> - 00 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	26.82	40.23	40.15
K <sub>1</sub> - 15 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	29.11	42.62	40.58
K <sub>2</sub> - 30 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	30.67	43.93	41.70
K <sub>3</sub> - 45 (kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	32.49	44.86	42.15
S. Em±	1.09	0.74	0.15
C. D. at 5%	3.01	2.20	0.46
<b>Sulphur levels:</b>			
S <sub>1</sub> - 10 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	26.04	38.65	40.25
S <sub>2</sub> - 20 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	30.36	42.63	41.65
S <sub>3</sub> - 40 (kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	32.92	45.27	42.10
S. Em±	0.89	0.96	0.17
C. D. at 5%	2.61	2.87	0.49
<b>Interactions (K × S):</b>			
S. E m±	1.78	1.92	0.34
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
General mean	29.77	42.59	41.22

## Conclusions

Based on the present investigation of one year data the following conclusions could be drawn:

1. Application of 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> found beneficial in increasing nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield of summer groundnut.
2. Among the sulphur levels application of 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded the higher nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield of summer groundnut.

By and large, recommended that an application of 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in higher nutrient content, uptake, quality and yield of groundnut.

## References

1. Banu R, Shroff JC, Shah SN. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur and bio-fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of summer groundnut. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2017; 13(1):67-70.
2. Das PC. *Oilseeds Crops of India*. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, India, 1997, 80- 83.
3. Gashti AH, Vishekaei MN, Hosseinzade MN. Effect of potassium and calcium application on yield, yield components and qualitative characteristics of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 2012; 16(4):540-546.
4. Majumdar B, Kumar K. Relative performance of sulphur sources on sulphur nutrition of groundnut in acid Alfisol of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2002; 72(4):216-219.
5. Mathur RS, Khan MA. Groundnut is poor men's nut. *Indian Farmer's Digest*. 1997; 30(5):29-30.
6. Patra AK, Tripathy SK, Samui RC. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) varieties to sulphur in alluvial soils of West Bengal. *Indian Agriculturist*. 1995; 39(2):137-141.
7. Shahid, Umar, Bansal SK, Imas P, Magen H. Effect of foliar fertilization of potassium on yield, quality and nutrient uptake of groundnut. *Journal Plant Nutrition*. 1999; 22(11):1785-1795.
8. Singh RA. Effect of variable doses of potassium, sulphur and calcium on pod yield of short duration *summer* groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *International Journal Agriculture Sciences*. 2007; 3(1):196-198.
9. Shivraj, B. and Gowda, A. Influence of levels and source of sulphur on yield and quality of groundnut. *Current Research University Agriculture Science, (Banglore)*. 1993; 23(3-5):45-97.
10. Veeramani P, Subrahmaniyan K. Nutrient management for sustainable groundnut productivity in India. *International Journal of Sciences and Technology*, 2015, 22(5).