

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(5): 2032-2037

© 2019 IJCS Received: 07-07-2019 Accepted: 09-08-2019

Salve RN

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, District Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

TD Thorat

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, District Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

SD Dhande

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, District Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

VG Naik

Associate Professor,
Department of Agricultural
Economics, Dr. B.S. Konkan
Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli,
District Ratnagiri, Maharashtra,
India

Correspondence Salve RN

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Economics, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, District Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Cost, return and profitability of selected vegetables in Dapoli tahsil of Ratnagiri district

Salve RN, TD Thorat, SD Dhande and VG Naik

Abstract

Vegetables play an important role both in the regional and national economy of the agricultural sector. The area selected for study was Dapoli tahsil. The factors like availability of quick and easy transport facilities, continuous demand for vegetables and input facilities have created a growing incentive among the farmers to follow vegetable cultivation. Keeping these points in the view the present investigation was proposed to be under taken. It is observed that at the overall level, total cost of cultivation (cost 'C') of okra, radish, math and brinjal were worked out to Rs.55186, Rs.36361, Rs.26760, Rs. 38243 respectively. The analysis of per hectare profitability indicated that the okra, math, radish and brinjal cultivation was profitable enterprise at all the levels of cost, resulting benefit-cost ratio of 2.3, 2.11, 2.07, 2.09 respectively.

Keywords: Cost, return, profitability etc.

Introduction

Vegetables play an important role both in the regional and national economy of the agricultural sector. In India, vegetable crops are generally grown in open field, therefore, the cost of cultivation is lower as compared to protected cultivation followed in the western countries. Among the cash crops, vegetables acquired significance over other crop due to qualities like short duration, low cost and greater returns. The area selected for study was Dapoli tahsil. The factors like availability of quick and easy transport facilities, continuous demand for vegetables and input facilities have created a growing incentive among the farmers to follow vegetable cultivation. Keeping these points in the view the present investigation was proposed to be under taken.

Methodology

The present investigation was carried out in Ratnagiri district. From the Ratnagiri district. Dapoli tahsil was selected for study. Four important vegetables viz., Brinjal, Okra, Radish and Math (Amaranthus tricolor) were selected for this study since these vegetable crops are mainly grown by the farmer in this tahsil. The list of farmers growing vegetables in the tahsil was obtained from the taluka agricultural officer and clusters of villages growing vegetables was identified. From the available clusters six clusters were selected randomly. From each cluster six cultivators each growing selected vegetables were selected randomly. Thus the final sample consist of six clusters of vegetables and 30 farmers of each selected vegetables. The data were collected by survey method with the help of specially designed schedules separately for vegetable cultivators. The data were analyzed by using simple statistical tools like arithmetic mean and percentage. For estimation of cost standard cost concept (cost A, B and C) are used.

Result and discussion

It is observed from Table 1 and 2 that, total cost of cultivation (cost 'C') of okra was worked out to Rs.54177, Rs.56987 and Rs.54316 in kharif, rabi and summer season respectively. At the overall level, it was worked out to Rs.55186. Per hectare gross returns in kharif season, rabi season and summer season were Rs.124708. Rs.131114 and Rs.125078 respectively while at overall level gross returns were Rs.127070. The profit at Cost-C was Rs.70531, Rs.74128 and Rs.70763 in case of kharif season, rabi season and summer season respectively, while it was Rs.71885 at overall level. The benefit cost ratio was 2.31 for kharif season, 2.30 for rabi

season and 2.30 for summer season, while it was 2.30 at overall level. It is found that, the okra was profitable at all levels of cost in all the groups.

The Table 3 and 4 revealed that, total cost of cultivation (cost 'C') of radish was worked out to Rs.35133, Rs.37064 and Rs.37191 in kharif, rabi and summer season respectively. At the overall level, it was worked out to Rs.36361. Per hectare gross returns in kharif season, rabi season and summer season was Rs.75554, Rs.78213 and Rs.77441 respectively while at overall level gross returns were Rs.76881. The profit at Cost-C were Rs.40420, Rs.41149 and Rs.40250 in case of kharif season, rabi season and summer season respectively, while it was Rs.40520 at overall level. The benefit cost ratio was 2.15 for kharif season, 2.11 for rabi season and 2.08 for summer season, while it was 2.11 at overall level.

It is observed from the Table 5 and 6 that, total cost of cultivation (cost 'C') of Math (Amaranthus tricolor) was worked out to Rs.25969, Rs.27045 and Rs.30792 in kharif, rabi and summer season respectively. At the overall level, it was worked out to Rs.26760. Per hectare gross returns in kharif season, rabi season and summer season were Rs.54058,

Rs.55510 and Rs.61168 respectively overall level gross returns were Rs. 56960. The profit at Cost-C were Rs.28089, Rs. 28465 and Rs.30336 in case of kharif season, rabi season and summer season respectively, while it was Rs.30200 at overall level. The benefit cost ratio was 2.08 for kharif season, 2.05 for rabi season and 2.01 for summer season, while it was 2.07 at overall level.

It is observed from the Table 7 and 8 that, total cost of cultivation (cost 'C') of brinjal was worked out Rs.37163, Rs.38569 and Rs.38157 in kharif, rabi and summer season respectively. At the overall level, it was worked out to Rs.38243. Per hectare gross returns in kharif season, rabi season and summer season were Rs.75960, Rs.81220 and Rs. 82932 respectively while at overall level gross returns were Rs. 80084. The profit at Cost-C were Rs.38797 Rs.42651 and Rs.44775 in case of kharif season, rabi season and summer season respectively, while it was Rs.41841 at overall level. The benefit cost ratio was 2.04 for kharif season, 2.10 for rabi season and 2.17 for summer season. While it was 2.09 at overall level.

Table 1: Per hectare cost of cultivation of okra (Figure in Rs.)

	Doutie-la	Kharif (N=30)	Rabi (N=34)	Summer (N=32)	Overall (N=96)
No.	Particulars	Value (Rs.)	Value (Rs.)	Value (Rs.)	Value (Rs.)
1	Hired human labour				
	a. Male days	1126	778	1010	964
	a. Male days	(2.07)	(1.36)	(1.86)	(1.75)
	b. Female days	2090	1957	1561	1867
	b. Female days	(3.85)	(3.43)	(2.88)	(3.38)
2	Seeds (kg)	8142	8250		7968
2	Secus (kg)	(15.02)	(14.47)		(14.43)
3	Manuers (tonnes.)	2106	2160		2142
3	Manuers (tonnes.)	(3.88)	(3.79)		(3.88)
4	Fortilizars	563	577		594
4	retunzers	(1.03)	(1.01)		(1.07)
5	Irrigation charges	_	543		405
3	irrigation charges	-	(0.95)	Value (Rs.) 1010 (1.86) 1561	(0.73)
6	Diant protection charges	882	693	-	664
U	r faint protection charges	(1.62)	(1.21)		(1.20)
		14909	14958	14026	14604
	7	(27.51)	(26.24)	(25.82)	(26.46)
7	Int. on working capital	447	449	421	438
/	@ 6 per cent for 6 month	(0.82)	(0.78)	(0.77)	(0.79)
8	Depreciation on farm	1618	1787	1787	1739
0	implements	(2.98)	(2.87)		(2.96)
9	I and rayonus and toyos	100	100	100	100
9	Land levenue and taxes	(0.18)	(0.17)		(0.18)
	Cost "A"	17074	17294	16334	16881
		(31.15)	(30.34)	(30.07)	(30.58)
10		20684	21752	20746	21078
10	(1/6 th of gross value-land revenue)	(38.17)	(38.27)		(38.26)
11	Int. on fiv conital	7013	7013		7013
11	int. on the capital	(12.94)	(12.34)		(12.73)
	Cost "P"	44771	46059		44972
		(82.63)	(80.82)	(81.17)	(81.49)
12	Family labour				
	o Molo	3554	4774	4506	4304
	a. Male	(6.55)	(8.40)		(7.81)
	Depreciation on farm	4361	4658		4449
		(8.04)	(8.19)		(8.07)
	Total	7915	9432		8753
		(14.60)	(16.59)		(15.89)
13	Supervision charges	1490	1495		1460
13	(10% Of input cost)	(2.75)	(2.63)	(2.58)	(2.65)
	cost-"C"	54177	56987	54316	55186
	20st "7"				

 Table 2: Per hectare profitability of okra cultivation

Sr. No.	Particulars	Kharif (N=30)	Rabi (N=34)	Summer (N=32)	Overall (N=96)
1	Yield/q	102.22	105.06	100.87	102.76
	Gross returns (Rs.)	124708	131115	125078	127070
2	Cost of cultivation(Rs.)				
	Cost-A	17074	17294	16334	16881
	Cost-B	44771	46059	44093	44972
	Cost-C	54177	56987	54316	55186
3	Net returns at				
	Cost -A	10634	113821	108745	110190
	Cost-B	79937	85056	80986	82099
	Cost-C	70531	74128	70763	71885
4	Per quintal cost (Rs.)	530	542	538	537
5	Benefit cost ratio	2.31	2.30	2.30	2.30

Table 3: Per hectare cost of cultivation of radish (Figures in Rs.)

Sr. No	Particulars	Kharif (N=33)	Rabi (N=43)	Summer (N=38)	Overall (N=114)
1	Hired labour	Value	Value (Rs.)	Value	Value
1	Tilled labout	(Rs.)	(Rs.)		(Rs.)
	a. Male days	286	564	514	466
	a. Male days	(0.81)	(1.51)	(1.38)	(1.28)
	b. Female days	842	781	1157	923
	b. Female days	(2.39)	(2.10)	(3.11)	(2.53)
2	Seeds (kg)	3732	3768	3780	3660
2	Seeus (kg)	(10.62)	(10.16)	(10.16)	(10.06)
3	Manuers (qt)	2160	2376	2610	2376
י	Manuers (qt)	(6.14)	(6.41)	(7.01)	(6.53)
4	Irrigation charges		425	515	332
4	irrigation charges	-	(1.14)	(1.38)	(0.91)
5	Working capital	7020	7914	8576	7757
5	working capital	(19.98)	(21.35)	(23.05)	(21.33)
(Int	211	237	257	233
6	Int. on working capital @ 6 per cent for 6 month	(0.59)	(0.64)	(0.69)	(0.64)
7	Depreciation on farm implements	1618	1787	1787	1739
7		(4.60)	(4.82)	(4.80)	(4.78)
8	Land revenue and taxes	100	100	100	100
8		(0.28)	(0.26)	(0.26)	(0.27)
	Cost "A"	8949	10038	10721	9829
	Cost A	(25.47)	(27.08)	(28.82)	(27.02)
9	Rental value of land(1/6 th of gross value-land revenue)	12492	12938	12806	12713
9	Remai value of fand(1/6 " of gross value-fand fevenue)	(35.55)	(34.89)	(34.43)	(34.96)
10	I.4 6''4-1	7013	7013	7013	7013
10	Int. on fix capital	(19.96)	(18.92)	(18.85)	(19.28)
	Cost "B"	28454	29986	30539	29555
	Cost B	(80.98)	(80.90)	(82.11)	(81.28)
11	Family labour				
	·	3234	3240	3154	3208
	a. Male	(9.20)	(8.74)	(8.47)	(8.82)
	I.E. I	2743	3047	2640	2822
	b. Female	(7.80)	(8.22)	(7.09)	(7.76)
	m . 1	5977	6287	5794	6030
	Total	(17.01)	(16.96)	(15.57)	(16.58)
10	(100/.05:	702	791	858	776
12	supervision charges (10% 0f input cost)	(1.99)	(2.13)	(2.30)	(2.13)
	G + "G"	35133	37064	37191	36361
	Cost-"C"	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)

 Table 4: Per hectare profitability of radish cultivation

Sr. No	Particulars	Kharif (N=33)	Rabi (N=43)	Summer (N=38)	Overall (N=114)
1	Yield (qtl.)	121.47	123.17	120.25	121.22
	Gross returns (Rs.)	75554	78213	77441	76881
2		Cost	of cultivation (Rs)	
	Cost-A	8950	10038	10720	9829
	Cost-B	28455	29986	30539	29555
	Cost-C	35134	37064	37191	36361
3	Net returns at				
	Cost -A	66604	68175	66721	67052
	Cost-B	47090	48227	46902	47326
	Cost-C	40420	41149	40250	40520
4	Per quintal cost (Rs.)	289	301	309	299
5	Benefit-cost ratio	2.15	2.11	2.08	2.11

Table 5: Per hectare cost of cultivation of Math (Amaranthus tricolor) (Figures in Rs.)

Sr.	G . 11	Kharif (N=30)	Rabi (N=41)	Summer (N=35)	Overall (N=106)
No	Cost items	Value (RS.)	Value (Rs.)	Value (Rs.)	Value (Rs.)
1	1	Hired	human labour		
	- Mala dassa	290	276	364	308
	a. Male days	(1.11)	(1.02)	(1.18)	(1.15)
2	h Female days	1150	1168	997	1105
2	b. Female days	(4.42)	(4.31)	(3.23)	(4.12)
3	Sanda(Ira)	1155	1188	1474	1182
3	Seeds(kg)	(4.44)	(4.39)	(4.78)	(4.41)
4	Manuara (tannas)	2556	2466	3618	2664
4	Manuers (tonnes.)	(9.84)	(9.11)	(11.74)	(9.91)
5	Irrigation charges	-	450	540	352
3	irrigation charges	<u>-</u>	(1.66)	(1.75)	(1.31)
		5151	5548		5611
	Input	(19.83)	(20.51)	6993	(20.96)
				(22.71	
6	Int. on working capital @ 6 per	154.53	166	210	168
	cent for 6 month	(0.59)	(0.61)	(0.68)	(0.62)
7	Depreciation on farm	1618	1787	1787	1739
	implements	(6.23)	(6.60)	(5.80)	(6.49)
8	Land revenue and taxes	100	100	100	100
		(0.38)	(0.36)	(0.32)	(0.37)
	Cost "A"	7023	7601	9090	7634
		(27.04)	(28.10)	(29.52)	(28.52)
9	Rental value of land(1/6 th of	8909	9151	10095	9393
	gross value-land revenue)	(34.30)	(33.83)	(32.78)	(35.10)
10	Int. on fix capital	3905	3246	4485	2417
		(15.03)	(12.00)	(14.56)	(9.03)
	Cost "B"	19837	19998	23676	19981
		(76.38)	(73.93)	(76.87)	(74.66)
11	Family labour				
	a. Male days	2832	3674	3722	3450
		(10.90)	(13.58)	(12.08)	(12.89)
	b. Female days	2784	2818	2700	2717
	, and the second	(10.72)	(10.42)	(8.76)	(10.15)
	Total	5616	6492	6422	6218
		(21.62)	(24.00)	(20.85)	(23.23)
12	Supervision charges (10% 0f	515	555	699	615
	input cost)	(1.98)	(2.05)	(2.27)	(2.29)
	Cost "C"	25969	27045	30792	26760
	2031 2	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)

Table 6: Per hectare profitability of Math (Amarathus tricolor) cultivation

Sr. No	Particulars	Kharif (N=30)	Rabi (N=41)	Summer (N=35)	Overall (N=106)
1	Yield (qtl.)	111.23	112.14	112.03	111.84
	Gross returns(Rs.)	54058	55510	61168	56960
2	Cost of cultivation (Rs.)				
	Cost-A	7023	7601	9090	7634
	Cost-B	19837	19998	23671	19981
	Cost-C	25969	27045	30792	26760
3	Net returns at				
	Cost -A	47035	47909	52078	49326
	Cost-B	34221	35512	37497	36979
	Cost-C	28089	28465	30376	30200
4	Per quintal cost	233	241	275	239
5	Benefit -cost ratio	2.08	2.05	2.01	2.07

 Table 7: Per hectare cost of cultivation of brinjal (Figures in Rs.)

- C		Group					
Sr.	Particulars	Kharif (N=40)	Rabi (N=37)	Summer (N=41)	Overall (N=118)		
No.		Value(Rs.)	Value(Rs.)	Value(Rs.)	Value(Rs.)		
1		Hired human lab	oour				
	- M-1- J	740	606	690	680		
	a. Male days	(1.99)	(1.57)	(1.80)	(1.77)		
	b. Female days	1735	1402	1553	1670		
	b. remaie days	(4.66)	(3.63)	(4.07)	(4.36)		
3	Seeds (kg)	1350	1200	1170	1065		
3	Seeds (kg)	(3.63)	(3.11)	(3.06)	(2.78)		
4	Manuers (tonnes.)	2268	2322	2016	2466		
	Wanters (tonnes.)	(6.10)	(6.02)	(5.28)	(6.44)		
5	Fertilizers(kg)	470	670	484	537		
	Terunzers(kg)	(1.26)	(1.73)	(1.26)	(1.40)		
6	Irrigation charges	_	568	600	386		
	migation charges		(1.47)	(1.57)	(1.01)		
7	Plant protection charges	780	884	520	717		
	Tame protection energes	(2.09)	(2.29)	(1.36)	(1.87)		
	Input cost	7343	7652	7033	7522		
	-	(19.75)	(19.83)	(18.43)	(19.66)		
8	Int. on working capital @ 6 per cent for 6	220	229	211	225		
	month	(0.59)	(0.59)	(0.55)	(0.59)		
9	Depreciation on farm implements	1618	1787	1787	1787		
		(4.35)	(4.63)	(4.68)	(4.67)		
10	Land revenue and taxes	100	100	100	100		
		(0.26)	(0.25)	(0.26)	(0.26)		
	Cost "A"	9281	9768	9131	9634		
		(24.97)	(25.32)	(23.93)	(25.19)		
11	Rental value of land(1/6 th of gross value-land	12560	13436	13722	13247		
	revenue)	(33.79) 7013	(34.83) 7013	(35.96)	(34.63)		
12	Int. on fix capital			7013	7013		
	-	(18.87) 28854	(18.18)	(18.38) 29866	(18.33) 29894		
	Cost " B"	28834 (77.64)	(78.34)	(78.27)	(78.16)		
13		Family labou		(70.27)	(78.10)		
13		3794	4250	4162	4064		
	a. Male	(10.20)	(11.01)	(10.90)	(10.62)		
		3781	3335	3425	3533		
	b. Female	(10.17)	(8.64)	(8.97)	(9.23)		
					1		
	Total	7575	7585	7587	7597		
	Total	(20.38)	(19.66)	(19.88)	(19.86)		
1.4		734	765	703	752		
14	supervision charges (10% of input cost)	(1.97)	(1.98)	(1.84)	(1.96)		
	Ct "C"	37163	38569	38157	38243		
	Cost- "C"	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)		

Table 8: Per hectare profitability of brinjal cultivation

C. No	Particulars	Group					
Sr. No		Kharif (N=40)	Rabi (N=37)	Summer (N=41)	Overall (N=118)		
1	Yield (qtl.)	120.00	122.32	121.78	121.34		
2	Gross returns (Rs.)	75960	81220	82932	80084		
3	Cost of cultivation (Rs)						
	Cost-A	9281	9768	9131	9634		
	Cost-B	28854	30218	29866	29864		
	Cost-C	37163	38569	38157	38243		
4	Net returns at						
	Cost -A	66679	71452	73801	70450		
	Cost-B	47106	51002	53066	50220		
	Cost-C	38797	42651	44775	41841		
5	Per quintal cost (Rs.)	310	315	313	315		
6	Benefit -cost ratio	2.04	2.10	2.17	2.09		

Conclusion

The analysis of per hectare profitability of vegetables indicated that okra, math, radish and brinjal cultivation was profitable enterprise at all the levels of cost, resulting benefit-cost ratio of 2.3, 2.11, 2.07, 2.09 respectively.

Reference

- Barker N, Kumar D, Singh N. An economic analysis of brinjal in Allahabad district of Uttar Pradesh state. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, 2017; 8(3):15925-15929.
- Godambe RB, Torane SR, Talathi JM, Kshirsagar PJ. Cost return and profitability of okra in Thane district of Maharashtra. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2018; 11(1): 14-18.
- 3. Jorwar RM, Ulemale DH, Sarap SM. Economics of production and marketing of tomato in Amravati district. International Research Journal of Agricultural Economics and Statistics. 2017; 8(1):2231-6434.
- 4. Kokate KA. Costs and returns from selected vegetable crop in Dindori tehsil of Nashik. Unpublished M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis Submitted to M.P.K.V., Rahuri, 1970.
- Kerutagi MG, Kotikal YK, Sudhindra M. Cost and return of brinjal production in Gokak taluk of Belgaum district. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2000; 13(2):500-502.
- 6. Madalia, VK, Kukadia MU. Cost and returns in vegetable cultivation. Financing Agricultural Economics Research Review, 1978; 10(1):15-18.
- Maurya OP, Kushwaha RS, Singh GN, Trivedi DS. Economics of production and marketing of Okra (Lady's finger) in Varanasi district (Uttar Pradesh). Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing, 1995, 62.
- 8. Nandeshwar NS, Jagannath Pritesh T, Shashikumar M. Economics of production and marketing of vegetables in Akola district. Globle journal of biology and health sciences, 2013; 2(2):78-82.