



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(5): 2458-2461

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 19-07-2019

Accepted: 22-08-2019

NM Bhut

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

BA Monpara

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

HV Patel

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

KP Patel

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

HB Virani

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Correspondence**NM Bhut**

Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in medium duration pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]

NM Bhut, BA Monpara, HV Patel, KP Patel and HB Virani

Abstract

Six generations, namely P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of five crosses of pigeonpea were grown in Compact Family Block Design with three replications in *kharif* 2018 at Pulses Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from P₁, P₂ and F₁, twenty plants from F₂ and ten plants from BC₁ and BC₂ generations in each replication for ten characters. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for number of primary branches per plant in GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1, TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 and GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 and number of secondary branches per plant in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 which indicated that the predominance of additive gene action in the expression of these characters which could be utilized through selection for the genetic improvement of these characters. Rest of the traits showed high to low heritability estimates coupled with moderate to low genetic advance as percentage of mean indicated the role of non-additive genetic variance in their expression.

Keywords: Heritability, genetic advance, pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]

Introduction

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] is an important leguminous short lived perennial shrub cultivated as annual crop in semi-arid tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Presently, pigeonpea is known by several trade names such as Arhar, Redgram, Tur, Angola pea and Congo pea *etc.* Pigeonpea (2n=2x=22) is a perennial member of the family Leguminosae (Fabaceae). Pigeonpea dal is mainly traded for food use. It is a rich source of protein, carbohydrate, and certain minerals. The residual nitrogen was estimated to be approximately 40 kg N per ha (Rao *et al.* 1980). Allantoin, one of the nitrogen transport compounds associated with nodulated legumes, appears to be a useful indicator of nitrogen-fixing activity of pigeonpea. Pigeonpea ranks sixth in global grain legume production and worldwide it is cultivated in above 4.70 million hectares area with an annual production of 3.69 million tonnes with productivity around 783 kg/ha. India ranks first in annual pigeonpea production with 4.42 million hectares area with an annual production of 3.68 million tonnes with productivity around 832 kg/ha followed by Myanmar (0.9 million tonnes), Malawi (0.23 million tonnes), Tanzania (0.20 million tonnes) and Kenya (0.09 million tonnes) (Anon., 2018a) ^[1]. In Gujarat, it is cultivated on 0.255 million hectares area with an annual production of 0.329 million tonnes with productivity around 1288 kg/ha (Anon., 2018b) ^[2].

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Six generations, namely P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BC₁ and BC₂ of five crosses of pigeonpea *viz.*, GJP 1 × BRG 14-1 (Cross 1), GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1 (Cross 2), NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 (Cross 3), TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 (Cross 4) and GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 (Cross 5) were grown *kharif* 2018 at Pulses Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. The experiment was laid out during *kharif*-2018 in Compact Family Block Design with three replications having each row of 4m length and 90 cm × 20 cm inter and intra row spacing, respectively. Each replication was divided into five compact blocks, each consists of single cross and blocks were consisted of six plots comprised of six basic generations of each cross. The crosses were assigned to each block and six generations of a cross were relegated to individual plot within the block. The single plot of one row for P₁, P₂, F₁, BC₁ and BC₂ generation and two rows for

each F₂ generation was accommodated. All the recommended agronomical practices were adopted to raise good crop. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from P₁, P₂ and F₁, twenty plants from F₂ and ten plants from BC₁ and BC₂ generations in each replication for ten characters *viz.*, days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, length of pod, 100-seeds weight and seed yield per plant.

Statistical analysis

1. Heritability in narrow sense

Heritability in narrow sense was estimated as per method of Warner (1952)

$$h^2 = \frac{2V_{F_2} - [V_{BC_1} + V_{BC_2}]}{V_{F_2}}$$

Where

h^2 = heritability in narrow sense

V_{F_2} = variance of the F₂ generation

V_{BC_1} = variance of the backcross one generation,

V_{BC_2} = variance of the backcross two generation.

2. Genetic advance (GA)

Expected genetic advance (GA) was calculated according to the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

$$GA = k \cdot h^2 \cdot \sqrt{V_{F_2}}$$

$$GA \text{ as } (\%) \text{ of mean} = \frac{GA}{\bar{X}} \times 100$$

Where

K = selection coefficient at 5% selection intensity equal to 2.06

h^2 = heritability narrow sense

\bar{X} = mean of F₂ population

V_{F_2} = variance of F₂ generation

Results and Discussion

The relative amount of heritable portion of variation is assessed with the help of heritability estimates and genetic advance expressed as percentage of the mean (genetic gain). Narrow sense heritability indicates the proportion of additive genetic variance to the total phenotypic variance. Additive variance is always fixable. Hence, naturally more the additive genetic variance is always desirable to get more gain. Heritability estimates in narrow sense and genetic advance expressed as per cent of mean are ideal parameters to the breeder for selecting desirable genotypes from the segregating material.

Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are normally more useful in predicting the gain under selection than that of heritability alone. However, it is not necessary that a character showing high heritability will also exhibit high genetic advance (Johnson *et al.* 1955). An attempt has been made in the present investigation to estimate heritability in narrow sense and categorized as low (<50%), moderate

(50% to 70%) and high (>70%) as suggested by Robinson (1966) [9].

In present investigation the high heritability was recorded for the character days to flowering in all the crosses except cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853; days to maturity in all the crosses except cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1; plant height in all the crosses except cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1; number of primary branches per plant in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1, cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 and cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853; number of secondary branches per plant in the all crosses; number of pods per plant in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1; number of seeds per pod in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1; length of pod in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 and seed yield per plant in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1, cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853.

The moderate heritability was observed for days to flowering in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853; number of primary branches per plant in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1 and NTL 740 × BRG 14-1; number of pods per plant in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1 and TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1; number of seeds per pod in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1; length of pod in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and seed yield per plant in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1 and cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 suggesting possibility of both additive and non-additive effects.

The low heritability was observed for days to maturity in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1; plant height in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1; number of pods per plant in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1 and cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853; number of seeds per pod in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1 and GJP 1310 × BSMR 853; length of pod in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1, cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1 and cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 and 100 seed weight in all the crosses suggesting the impact of environment on these traits. High heritability estimates for different characters in pigeonpea have been reported by Patel and Patel (1992) [10], Saxena and Kataria (1993) [12], Pansuriya *et al.* (1998) [11], Venkateswarlu (2001) [13], Gohil (2006) [15], Kalaimagal *et al.* (2008) [14], Vanisree and Sreedhar (2014) [16], Mallesh *et al.* (2017) [18], Kumar *et al.* (2018) [19], Verma *et al.* (2018) [17] and Pushpavalli *et al.* (2018) [21]. Moderate heritability estimates for yield have been reported by Kalaimagal *et al.* (2008) [14] and moderate to low heritability estimate for number of primary branches per plant and number of secondary branches per plant by Sarsamkar (2008) [20].

The magnitude of genetic advance as percentage of mean was categorized as low (< 10%), moderate (10% to 30%) and high (> 30%). Genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed high for number of primary branches per plant in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1, cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 and cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 and number of secondary branches per plant in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 suggest that these characters are govern by additive genes and selection will be worthwhile for further improvement of these traits. Genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded as moderate for number of primary branches per plant in cross GJP 1 × BRG 14-1 and cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and number of secondary branches per plant in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 while rest of the characters showed low genetic advance as percentage of mean suggesting influence of environment and hence selection for these traits would be worthwhile in later generation. High genetic advance for various traits in pigeonpea was reported by earlier workers. Prasad *et al.* (2013) [22] observed for the traits, number of primary branches

per plant; number of secondary branches per plant; number of pods per plant and grain yield, Saroj *et al.* (2013) [23] observed for plant height; days to 50% flowering; number of primary branches per plant; number of secondary branches per plant; pods per plant; 100-seed weight and grain yield per plant and Kesha Ram *et al.* (2016) [24] observed for number of primary branches per plant. While Takalkar *et al.* (1998) [25] observed low genetic advance for branches per plant; seeds per pod and 100-seed weight.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for number of primary branches per plant in cross GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1, cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 and cross 5 and number of secondary branches per plant in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 which indicated that the predominance of additive gene action in the expression of these characters which could be utilized through selection for

the genetic improvement of these characters. Similar result supported by Patel and Patel (1992) [10], Saxena and Kathirya (1993) [12], Mahamad *et al.* (2006) [26], Bhadru (2010) [27], Bhadru (2011) [28], Sreelakshmi *et al.* (2011) [32], Ajay *et al.* (2014) [29], Kumar *et al.* (2014), Galian *et al.* (2015), Pandey *et al.* (2015) [34] and Rajani *et al.* (2018) [21]. Rest of the traits showed high to low heritability estimates coupled with moderate to low genetic advance as percentage of mean indicated the role of non-additive genetic variance in their expression. High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance was found in the number of secondary branches per plant in cross NTL 740 × BRG 14-1 and cross TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1. Similar results were also obtained by Saxena and Kataria (1993) [12], Kumar *et al.* (2014) [31] and Pandey *et al.* (2015) [34].

Table 1: Heritability (narrow sense) and genetic advance as per cent of means for days to flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), no. of primary branches per plant, no. of secondary branches per plant, no. of pods per plant, no. of seeds per plant, length of pod (cm), 100 seed weight (g) and seed yield per plant (g) of five crosses in medium duration pigeonpea

Crosses	Heritability (%) (NS)	Genetic advance as % of mean	Heritability (%) (NS)	Genetic advance as % of mean	
		Days to flowering		Days to maturity	
GJP 1 x BRG 14-1 (cross 1)	94.01	4.75	82.82	2.35	
GJP 1 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 2)	78.99	2.75	82.56	2.10	
NTL 740 x BRG 14-1 (cross 3)	76.77	3.94	24.26	0.85	
TDRG 107 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 4)	76.71	3.23	91.79	2.95	
GJP 1310 x BSMR 853 (cross 5)	57.81	3.18	94.20	2.93	
		Plant height (cm)		No. of primary branches per plant	
GJP 1 x BRG 14-1 (cross 1)	25.40	0.72	54.09	21.89	
GJP 1 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 2)	98.11	3.08	85.70	33.65	
NTL 740 x BRG 14-1 (cross 3)	79.18	2.61	61.92	22.09	
TDRG 107 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 4)	98.15	3.01	79.38	39.67	
GJP 1310 x BSMR 853 (cross 5)	74.20	2.50	78.65	52.93	
		No. of secondary branches per plant		No. of pods per plant	
GJP 1 x BRG 14-1 (cross 1)	73.97	15.33	45.00	0.68	
GJP 1 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 2)	80.75	16.13	57.27	0.83	
NTL 740 x BRG 14-1 (cross 3)	75.82	21.56	85.33	1.84	
TDRG 107 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 4)	77.51	22.29	50.42	1.02	
GJP 1310 x BSMR 853 (cross 5)	99.24	34.78	27.30	0.76	
		No. of seeds per pod		Length of pod (cm)	
GJP 1 x BRG 14-1 (cross 1)	21.05	4.40	25.17	2.90	
GJP 1 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 2)	89.64	18.50	14.15	1.77	
NTL 740 x BRG 14-1 (cross 3)	58.82	10.99	57.88	7.99	
TDRG 107 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 4)	68.16	13.43	12.17	2.52	
GJP 1310 x BSMR 853 (cross 5)	14.23	2.66	75.37	12.85	
		100 seed weight (g)		Seed yield per plant (g)	
GJP 1 x BRG 14-1 (cross 1)	47.97	9.41	82.10	4.57	
GJP 1 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 2)	21.03	4.68	53.57	2.39	
NTL 740 x BRG 14-1 (cross 3)	24.88	4.78	96.99	5.60	
TDRG 107 x BDN 2010-1 (cross 4)	30.64	6.09	81.76	4.29	
GJP 1310 x BSMR 853 (cross 5)	19.02	4.48	50.76	3.63	

Conclusion

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for number of primary branches per plant in GJP 1 × BDN 2010-1 (cross 2), TDRG 107 × BDN 2010-1 (cross 4) and GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 (cross 5) and number of secondary branches per plant in cross GJP 1310 × BSMR 853 (cross 5) which indicated that the predominance of additive gene action in the expression of these characters which could be utilized through selection for the genetic improvement of these characters. Rest of the traits showed high to low heritability estimates coupled with moderate to low genetic advance as percentage of mean indicated the role of non-additive genetic variance in their expression.

References

- Anonymous. Annual Report 2018-19. AICRP on pigeonpea, IIPR, Kanpur, 2018a.
- Anonymous. Third advance estimates of area, production and yield of major *Kharif/rabi* crops of Gujarat State for the year 2018-19. Directorate of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, 2018b.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. (3rd Revised eds.) I.C.A.R., New Delhi, 1985.
- Mather K. Biometrical genetics. The study of continuous variation. Metuen & Co. Ltd., London, 1949.
- Cavalli LL. An analysis of linkage in quantitative inheritance. In Quantitative inheritance, Ed. E. C. R.

- Reeve and C. H. Waddington, HMSO, London, 1952, 135-144.
6. Jinks JL, Jones RM. Estimation of components of heterosis. *Genetics*. 1958; 43:223-234.
 7. Warner JN. A method for estimating heritability. *Agron J*. 1952; 44(8):427-430.
 8. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J*. 1955; 47(7):314-318.
 9. Robinson HF. Quantitative genetics in relation to breeding on centennial of Mendelism. *Indian J Genet*. 1966; 26(A):171-187.
 10. Patel JA, Patel DB. Association among quantitative traits in pigeonpea. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research*. 1992; 5(1):21-26.
 11. Pansuriya AG, Pandya HM, Kathiria KB. Genetic variability and correlation in early maturing genotypes of pigeonpea. *GAU Res. J*. 1998; 23(2):23-27.
 12. Saxena AK, Kataria VP. Study of genetic variability for yield and its component characters in pigeonpea. *Bhartiya-Krishi-Anusandhan Patrika*. 1993; 8(3-4):189-192.
 13. Venkateswarlu O. Genetic variability in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Legume Research*. 2001; 24(3):205-206.
 14. Kalaimagal T, Balu PA, Sumathi P. Genetic studies in segregating populations of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Crop Impr*. 2008; 35(1):31-34.
 15. Gohil RH. Genetic variability in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] For grain yield and its contributing traits. *Crop Research Hisar*. 2006; 31(3):478-480.
 16. Vanisree S, Sreedhar M. Genetic analysis of yield and yield components and determination of selection criteria in pigeonpea germplasm. *Journal of Food Legumes*. 2014; 27(1):68-70.
 17. Verma SK, Bisht C, Gaur AK, Chandra D. Study on some genetic parameters for yield and related traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh] genotypes. *Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett*. 2018; 7(25):70-76.
 18. Mallesh P, Nanda HC, Durgaraju Ch, Kumar CVS, Mohan N, Jake LG. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in pigeonpea [*cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Int. J Pure App. Biosci*. 2017; 5(5):25-28.
 19. Kumar A, Singh A, Kumar R, Singh B. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in pigeonpea [*Cajanus Cajan* (L.) Millspaugh]. *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci*. 2018; 7(3):63-68.
 20. Sarsamkar SS, Borgaonkar SB, Kalyankar SV, Kadam BP, Kadam GR. Genetic variability studies in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan*(L.) Millsp.]. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2008; 3(2):502-503.
 21. Pushpavalli SNCVL, Yamini KN, Anuradha Rajani Kumar G, Rani CS, Sudhakar C, Saxena RK *et al*. Genetic variability and correlation in pigeonpea genotypes. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2018; 9(1):343-349.
 22. Prasad Y, Kumar K, Mishra SB. Studies on genetic parameters and inter-relationships among yield and yield contributing traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *The Bioscan*. 2013; 8(1):207-211.
 23. Saroj SK, Singh MN, Kumar R, Singh T, Singh MK. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield attributes in pigeonpea. *The Bioscan*. 2013; 8(3):941-944.
 24. Kesha Ram, Saxena K, Shrimali TM, Bisnoi G. A study on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh]. *IJ Agric. Sci*. 2016; 8(51):2287-2289.
 25. Takalkar NG, Rewale AP, Birari SP. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in early group of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 1998; 19(2):117-119.
 26. Mahamad F, Gowda MB, Girish G. Genetic variability and association studies in vegetable pigeonpea. *Environment and Ecology*. 2006; 4:1124-1129.
 27. Bhadraru D. Studies on genetic parameters and interrelationships among yield and yield contributing traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanus Cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Legume Res*. 2010; 33(1):23-27.
 28. Bhadraru D. Genetic studies in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2011; 2(1):132-134.
 29. Ajay BC, Byregowda M, Babu HP, Kumar GNV, Reena M. Variability and transgressive segregation for yield and yield contributing traits in pigeonpea crosses. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2014; 5(4):786-791.
 30. Galian JL, Mohan N, Kumar CVS, Mallesh P. Genetic variability studies in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Journal of Research ANGRAU*. 2015; 43(3/4):1-7.
 31. Kumar S, Kumar S, Singh SS, Elanchezhian R, Shivani RE. Studies on genetic variability and inter-relationship among yield contributing characters in pigeonpea grown under rainfed lowland of eastern region of India. *Journal of Food Legumes*. 2014; 27(2):104-107.
 32. Sreelakshmi C, Kumar CVS, Shivani D. Genetic analysis for yield and its components in hybrid pigeonpea. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2011; 2(3):413-416.
 33. Ranjani MS, Vanniarajan C, Saxena RK, Hingane AJ, Sudhagar R, Kumar CVS. Genetic variability and association studies for yield and its attributes in super-early pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) Genotypes. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2018; 9(2):682-691.
 34. Pandey P, Pandey VR, Tiwari DK, Yadav SK. Studies on direct selection parameters for seed yield and its component traits in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.]. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2015; 10(6):485-490.