



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(5): 2552-2554

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 13-07-2019

Accepted: 15-08-2019

**Namita Bezbaruah**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Mridushmita Sonowal**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Bibeka Nanda Saikia**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Hassan Farooque Ahmed**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Abdus Saleque**

Goat Research Station, AAU, Burnihat, Kamrup (M), Assam, India

**Raj Jyoti Deka**

Department of Livestock Production and Management, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

**Pranjal Borah**

Goat Research Station, AAU, Burnihat, Kamrup (M), Assam, India

**Corresponding Author:****Namita Bezbaruah**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science, Assam Agricultural University, Khanapara, Guwahati, Assam, India

## Effect of feeding different protein sources on growth and nutrient utilization of early weaned crossbred (Beetal X Assam hill goat) kids

**Namita Bezbaruah, Mridushmita Sonowal, Bibeka Nanda Saikia, Hassan Farooque Ahmed, Abdus Saleque, Raj Jyoti Deka and Pranjal Borah**

### Abstract

The growth performance and nutrient utilization of eighteen preweaned crossbred (Beetal X Assam Hill Goat) kids was studied for two months, which were randomly divided into three groups (n=6) and offered *ad lib* diet containing Soybean meal (T<sub>1</sub>), Ground nut cake (T<sub>2</sub>) and Til oil cake (T<sub>3</sub>). Body weight gain were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> as compared to T<sub>3</sub> group. Significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference was observed in feed conversion ratio between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group. No significant difference was found in dry matter intake (DMI) per 100 kg body weight and per kg metabolic body weight; however, highly significant ( $P < 0.0001$ ) difference was observed in total DMI and digestibility co-efficient between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group. The digestibility coefficient of CP was significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ) differed among the groups except organic matter and nitrogen free extract. Hence, soybean meal can be incorporated in the diet of preweaned crossbred kids for better performance and economic advantage.

**Keywords:** Preweaned crossbred kids, protein source, growth performance

### Introduction

Protein and energy are the two major components of feed that influence performance of any livestock especially during young growing stage. Different protein sources have varying effect on ruminant's performance (Jorgensen *et al.*, 1984)<sup>[8]</sup>. Provision of the quality of protein in the kid's diet does not only improve the animal performance but also ensures profitable animal production. Different vegetable protein sources are used to formulate the rations for growing and fattening kids. These protein sources differ in amino acid profiles which result in varied responses of the animals (Bateman *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[4]</sup>. This varied response in performance may be due to changes in rumen ecology and their different amino acid profile (Hall and Huntington, 2008)<sup>[6]</sup>. Inclusion of protein sources with amino acid profiles matching closely to the amino acid needs of the growing kids result in better growth performance and nitrogen utilization by the animal. Glucogenic amino acids present in some protein sources also improve the energy status of the animal by increasing gluconeogenesis. Presence of anti-nutritional factors may limit the inclusion of protein sources in the diet. So, a good protein source possessing better amino acid and micronutrient profiles with safe levels of anti-nutritional factors is always desirable in animal's diet, due to which, it has become necessary to explore the possibilities to achieve maximum goat production feeding on various vegetable protein sources at an early weaned age.

Hence, it was aimed to study the growth performance and nutrient utilization of early weaned crossbred kids based on three different protein sources i.e. Soybean meal, Ground nut cake and Sesame oil cake which differs in quality, amino acid profile and anti-nutritional factor contents.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted on 18 crossbred (Beetal X Assam Hill Goat) kids of 30 days of age for two months, which were randomly divided into equal three different groups of six in each and offered *ad lib* diet containing SBM (T<sub>1</sub>), GNC (T<sub>2</sub>) and TOC (T<sub>3</sub>) along with Napier grass respectively.

**Table 1:** Chemical Compositions of Experimental Diets (Concentrate Mixture and Napier Grass) for Crossbred (Beetal X Assam Hill Goat) Kids (On Per Cent Dm Basis)

Nutrients	Treatments			Napier
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	
Dry matter	88.00	87.00	85.00	22.00
Crude protein	17.00	16.95	16.80	12.00
Crude fibre	13.28	12.13	12.48	27.00
Ether extract	1.75	1.63	1.48	1.20
Total ash	2.50	2.00	2.35	12.00
Organic matter	97.50	98.00	97.65	88.00
Nitrogen free extract	65.47	67.29	66.89	47.80

Three isonitrogenous and isocaloric concentrate diets were formulated and prepared with conventional ingredients and required supplements to meet the nutrient requirements of kids as per the recommendation of ICAR (2013) [7].

**Table 2:** The composition and nutritive values of the experimental diets

Ingredients	T1 (%)	T2 (%)	T3 (%)
Maize	50.85	53.56	50.43
Wheat bran	13	13	13
Soyabean meal	26.15	-	-
Ground nut cake	-	23.44	-
Sesame oil cake	-	-	27.57
Molasses	2	2	1
Fish meal	5	5	5
Mineral mixture	2	2	2
Common salt	1	1	1
DCP (%)	18.29	18.29	18.29
TDN (%)	77.38	75.69	77.26

Body weights of individual kids were recorded at the start of the feeding trial and thereafter in every week till the end of the feeding trial. The average feed intake of different experimental groups were calculated out daily from the difference of quantity of feed and fodder offered and residual feed were collected from the feeder in next day morning. The weekly and total feed intake during the whole experimental period was also calculated. A digestibility trial was conducted for five days using three animals from each group at the end of the feeding trial. The cost of production was also calculated. Proximate principles of feed, residue left and excreta were analyzed according to AOAC (2016) [1]. Statistical analysis was done as per the method of Duncans multiple range test at 5% level of significance.

## Results and Discussion

The mean total body weight gain, total feed intake, feed conversion ratio, nutrient digestibility and cost of production of the experimental kids under different treatment groups has been presented in Table 3. Mean total body weight (Kg) of experimental kids in T<sub>1</sub> group were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher as compared to T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> groups which may be due to the high content of protein in soybean meal and high content of amino acid lysine and methionine. Fagbenro *et al.* (2010) [5] reported reduction in growth in *Clariasgari epinus* where sesame seed meal was incorporated at higher level. This reduction was not only due to amino acid profile, but also the presence of anti-nutritional factor. Karlsson and Martinsson (2011) [10] experimented with growth performance of lambs fed different protein sources *viz.* Peas, rapeseed cake or hampseed cake in barley based diet and reported that total gain was highest for diet containing peas followed by rapeseed cake. Higher growth rate in kids fed with lablab

grain as protein source than GNC was reported by Singh *et al.* (2010) [12]. Significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher final body weight gain of Afghani lambs fed diets with soybean meal as protein source than cotton seed meal and canola meal was reported by Khan *et al.* (1996) [11].

The significantly lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) feed conversion ratio in the group T<sub>3</sub> than T<sub>1</sub> may be attributed to the dietary amino acid profile and protein content in sesame seed meal which is better than soybean meal. The crude protein content of sesame seed meal is 75 per cent of soybean meal. Kumar *et al.* (2002) [9] reported that higher growth performance may be attributed to better utilization of feed ingredients, higher nitrogen retention and higher TDN intake. Khan *et al.* (1996) [11] reported that the feed efficiency of early weaned diet of lambs containing cotton seed, soybean and canola are 6.88, 5.41 and 6.17 respectively. They also reported the average daily weight gain (g) in Afghani lamb are more in pre-weaned diet containing soybean meal. Karlsson and Martinsson (2011) [10] experimented with lambs by feeding different protein supplements *viz.* peas, rapeseed cake or hempseed cake and reported that, the average gain was highest for diet containing peas followed by rapeseed cake. Abdalatif *et al.* (2011) [3] reported feed conversion ratio as 5.5, 7.6, 10.7 and 18.9 Sudanese desert kids when they were fed four rations having different concentrate roughage ratio.

**Table 3:** Total body weight gain, total feed intake, feed conversion ratio, nutrient digestibility and cost of production of the experimental kids under different treatment groups

Parameters	Dietary Treatment Groups		
	T1	T2	T3
Initial body weight(kg)	3.42±0.05	3.21±0.10	3.23±0.09
Final body weight(kg)	6.08 <sup>a</sup> ±0.11	5.58 <sup>b</sup> ±0.08	5.52 <sup>b</sup> ±0.17
Total gain (kg)	2.66±0.08	2.38±0.10	2.29±0.17
Daily gain (g)	47.47±1.48	42.41±1.77	40.78±2.95
Total feed consumed (kg)	13.35±0.21	13.11±0.31	13.16±0.44
FCR	5.04 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11	5.55 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.19	5.81 <sup>a</sup> ±0.26
Nutrient digestibility			
Dry matter	75.49 <sup>a</sup> ±0.41	72.22 <sup>b</sup> ±0.29	71.24 <sup>b</sup> ±0.63
Organic matter	75.45±1.46	75.23±0.41	74.39±0.03
Crude protein	81.61 <sup>a</sup> ±0.20	78.68 <sup>b</sup> ±0.47	77.75 <sup>b</sup> ±0.78
Ether extract	74.86 <sup>a</sup> ±0.41	73.42 <sup>b</sup> ±0.32	72.25 <sup>c</sup> ±0.24
Crude fiber	79.86 <sup>a</sup> ±0.66	78.80 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.34	77.34 <sup>b</sup> ±0.53
Nitrogen free extract	74.52±0.55	73.19±0.81	72.58±0.30
Cost of feeding/kg/weight gain (Rs)	92.06	95.26	93.43

Means bearing different superscripts within a parameter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences were observed in digestibility of dry matter between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> group and T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group, Crude protein in group T<sub>1</sub>, Crude fiber in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group, ether extract between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> group, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group and T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> group. No significant differences was observed digestibility of organic matter and Nitrogen free extract among the different dietary groups. The cost of feeding per Kg gain in live weight was lowest in group T<sub>1</sub> (92.06) which contain SBM as major protein source than group T<sub>2</sub> (95.26) and T<sub>3</sub> (93.43).

## Conclusion

Based on different parameters studied and cost of per kg live weight gain, It may be concluded that Soybean meal can be incorporated in the diet of preweaned crossbred kids for better performance and with a distinct economic advantage.

### Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges College of Veterinary Science, Khanapara, Assam Agricultural University, Guwahati, Assam and Goat Research Station, AAU, Burnihat for providing the facility (Laboratory and Experimental shed) to carry out the research.

### References

1. AOAC. Association of Official Methods of Analysis. 20<sup>th</sup> Edn., Official Analytical Chemist, Washington, D.C, 2016.
2. 19<sup>th</sup> Livestock census. BHAS (Basic Animal Husbandry Survey) Govt. of India, 2012.
3. Abdalalif YM, Elemann MB, Abdelhadi OMA, Salih AM. Effect of varying levels of concentrate to roughage ratio on growth of Sudanese desert kids, 2011. <https://www.Researchgate.Net/publication/258283675>.
4. Bateman HG, Clark JH, Murphy MR. Development of a system to predict feed protein flow to the small intestine of cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2005; 88:282-295.
5. Fagbenro OA, Adeparusl EO, Jimoh WA. Nutritional Evaluation of Sunflower & Sesame seed meal in *Clariasgari epinus*: An assessment by growth performance & nutrient utilization. *African Journal of Agricultural Research.* 2010; 5(22):3096-3101.
6. Hall MB, Huntington GB. Nutrient synchrony: Sound in theory, elusive in practice. *J Anim. Sci.* 2008; 82:3237-3244.
7. ICAR. Nutrient Requirement for sheep, Goat and Rabbit. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 2013.
8. Jorgensen H, Sauer WC, Thacker PA. Amino acid availability in soybean meal, sunflower meal, fish meal and meat and bone meal fed to growing pigs. *J Anim. Sci.* 1984; 58:926-934.
9. Kumar GK, Anil Panwar VS, Yadav KR, Sihag S. Mustard cake as a source of dietary protein for growing lambs. *Small Ruminant Research.* 2002; 44:47-51.
10. Karlsson L, Martinsson K. Growth performance of lambs fed different protein supplements in barley based diets. *Livestock Science.* 2011; 138:125-131.
11. Khan AG, Azim Atiya, Nadeem MA. Effect of early weaning diets containing different protein sources on the performance of intensified Afgani lambs. *Asian Australian Journal of Anim. Sci.* 1996; 9(2):211-213.
12. Singh S, Kumar SS, Nagi AS, Pachouri VC. Performance of growing kids on ration with Lablab (*Lablab purpureus*) grains as protein source. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 2010, 22(5).