



P-ISSN: 2349-8528  
 E-ISSN: 2321-4902  
 IJCS 2019; 7(5): 2991-2995  
 © 2019 IJCS  
 Received: 01-07-2019  
 Accepted: 05-08-2019

**Madavi HJ**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Patil RA**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Deshmukh AP**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Parade AK**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Meshram PB**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Kakade AG**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Madavi HJ**  
 Department of Animal  
 Husbandry and Dairy Science,  
 College of Agriculture, Latur,  
 Maharashtra, India

## Combined effect of drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*) and lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) on growth performance of broilers

**Madavi HJ, Patil RA, Deshmukh AP, Parade AK, Meshram PB and Kakade AG**

### Abstract

An experiment was conducted at poultry unit in college of Agriculture Latur, to determine the combined effect of Drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*) and Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) powder on growth performance and economical of feeding. Eighty day- old chicks were divided into four dietary treatments i.e., T<sub>1</sub>: Basal diet feeding, T<sub>2</sub>: Basal diet + 0.5% Drumstick powder, T<sub>3</sub>: Basal diet+0.5% Lemongrass powder and T<sub>4</sub>: Basal diet + 0.5% Drumstick + 0.5% Lemongrass powder of combination with four replication and five birds have each replication. Result of experiment showed significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in feed intake, live weight, body weight gain and feed conversion ratio between treatments. The study concluded that addition of drumstick powder at the 0.5 per cent and 1 per cent mixture of Drumstick and lemongrass in broiler diet improved growth performance of broiler and economical and profitable for broiler production.

**Keywords:** Growth performance, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, serum lipid profile, economics

### Introduction

Antibiotics have been used widely to prevent infections and poultry diseases and for the improvement of meat and egg production. However, use of antibiotics is restricted due to drug resistance in bacteria, drug residue in carcass and also alteration of natural gut micro flora. Recently many countries tend to minimize or prohibit the use of antibiotics because of their deleterious side effects on both animals and human. Consequently, the use of natural promoters such as probiotics, prebiotics, symbiotics, enzymes, toxic binders, organic acids, oligosaccharides, phytonics, and other feed additives, to enhance the growth and performance of broiler chickens have been advocated compounds. The lemongrass metabolites as oil (LGO) is considered as a viable alternative to antibiotics for the broiler and have been studied as an alternative for microbial and growth promoting abilities in the poultry and that resulted minimized feed expense in the production chain Drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*): Moringa is a potential plant that could be used to enhance immune response and to improve intestinal health of broiler chicken. The leaves of *Moringa* has high protein content which is between 20-33% on a dry weight basis, the protein is of high quality having significant qualities of all the essential amino acid.

### Materials and Methods

The present research work was undertaken to study the combined effect of drumstick and lemongrass supplementation on growth performance of broilers. The present study was carried out in the Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Latur, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra state. Day old eighty, commercial straight run broiler chicks (Vencobb-430) strains were obtained from Huma hatcheries Latur (Maharashtra). Weighted (46.71±0.02g) wing banded and randomly allocated into four treatments groups with four replications and each replication have five birds. The concentration of the administered Additives in four experimental diets were as follows: T<sub>1</sub> – 100 parts of standard broiler ration without supplement (control), T<sub>2</sub> - 99.50 part standard broiler ration + 0.5 part drumstick leaf meal, T<sub>3</sub> - 99.50 part standard broiler ration + 0.5 part lemongrass leaf meal and T<sub>4</sub> - 99.00 part standard broiler ration + 0.5 part mixture of drumstick leaf meal + 0.5part of lemongrass leaf meal.

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of drumstick and lemongrass leaf meal on dry matter basis

| Sr. No. | Ingredient   | Percentage |             |
|---------|--------------|------------|-------------|
|         |              | Drumstick  | Lemon grass |
| 1.      | Protein      | 27.31      | 26.80       |
| 2.      | Fats         | 4.00       | 2.96        |
| 3.      | Carbohydrate | 46.25      | 42.30       |
| 4.      | Fiber        | 6.50       | 8.00        |
| 5.      | Moisture     | 4.00       | 4.58        |
| 6.      | Ash          | 3.20       | 3.00        |

All the broiler chicks were fed with ground maize first two days of age. Chicks feed standard feed purchased from market for three periods of 2-10 days birds fed with pre-starter, 11-21 days birds fed with broiler starter and 22-42 days birds fed with broiler finisher. The diets were fed *ad-libitum* to experimental groups by adding required amount of lemongrass leaf meal as per treatment. The per cent ingredient composition of experimental broiler ration that is for pre-starter, starter and finisher in Table 1.

### Chemical Analysis

The chemical analysis of the experimental broiler ration were carried out as per A.O.A.C. (1995) for all the proximate principles.

### Statistical Analysis

The treatment wise data on cumulative body weight gain in body weight, feed consumption and feed conversion ratio, water intake and blood serum constituents were subjected to analysis of variance of complete randomized design (Snedecor and Cochran 1982).

### Results and Discussion

#### Growth performance

The mean average cumulative body weights of broilers in the treatment groups T<sub>2</sub> was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher as compared to those in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, were as at par with T<sub>4</sub> group. It could be seen that highest cumulative body weight of 2630.25 g obtained in T<sub>2</sub> group broiler receiving 0.5 per cent drumstick leaf meal powder followed by 2615g with 1.00 per cent mixture of drumstick and lemongrass in T<sub>4</sub> and 2476 g with 0.5 per cent lemongrass leaf meal in T<sub>3</sub> and lowest cumulative body weight i.e. 2428 g in T<sub>1</sub> control at the end of 6<sup>th</sup> week.

**Table 2:** Body weight gain of broiler chicks (g/bird/week) as affected by addition of drumstick and lemongrass leaf meal.

| Items                | Drumstick            |                      |                       | lemongrass           |       |          | Drumstick + lemongrass |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|------------------------|--|
|                      | 0%                   | 0.5%                 | 0.5%                  | 1%                   | SE    | CD at 5% |                        |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> week | 134.79               | 143.60               | 137.79                | 142.06               | 6.35  | N.S      |                        |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> week | 259.80               | 269.80               | 262.25                | 267.50               | 11.03 | N.S      |                        |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> week | 399.20               | 420.00               | 424.00                | 422.00               | 15.69 | N.S      |                        |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> week | 499.75               | 540.00               | 502.00                | 537.00               | 22.66 | N.S      |                        |  |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> week | 439.75               | 590.00               | 500.00                | 585.00               | 30.5  | N.S      |                        |  |
| 6 <sup>th</sup> week | 628.00               | 620.00               | 604.00                | 615.00               | 32.5  | N.S      |                        |  |
| Total                | 2361.29 <sup>a</sup> | 2583.40 <sup>b</sup> | 2430.04 <sup>ab</sup> | 2568.56 <sup>b</sup> | 54.51 | 159.61*  |                        |  |

(Significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) means under each class in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly)

The statistical analysis on the weekly body weight gain of broiler birds under four different treatments during each week observed non significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference during all the weeks from first week to sixth week. After the sixth week it was seen from the Table 2 that the total gain in body weight of

bird among treatment groups T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> was significantly superior ( $P < 0.05$ ) as compared to T<sub>1</sub> control group, and T<sub>3</sub> group. Average gain in body weight in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> did not differ significantly with and T<sub>3</sub> group. The treatment T<sub>1</sub> control (2361.29 g) was significantly lower as compared to the treatments i.e. T<sub>2</sub> (2583.40 g), T<sub>4</sub> (2568.56 g) were as numerically lower than T<sub>3</sub> (2430.04 g). The average body weight gain of 2583.40 g obtain in T<sub>2</sub> group was significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (2361.29 g) where as was at par to treatment T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> at 5 percent level of significance on the perusal of Table 2, it was seen that highest gain in body weight of 2583.4 g was obtained in T<sub>2</sub> group broilers receiving 0.5 per cent drumstick leaf meal followed by 2568.56 g with 1 per cent mixture of drumstick and lemon grass leaf meal in T<sub>4</sub> 2430.04 g with 0.5 per cent lemon grass leaf meal in T<sub>3</sub> and lowest body weight gain i.e. 2361.29 g in T<sub>1</sub> control at the end of 6<sup>th</sup> week.

### Feed intake (g/bird)

The total average feed consumption per bird ranged from 4280.01 g to 4660.79 g during experimental period of 6 week. Were non significant differences among the all treatment groups except fourth and fifth week. At the end of experiment the total feed consumed by different treatment groups broiler chicks as T<sub>2</sub> group consumed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) lower quantity of feed (4280.01 g) as compared to T<sub>1</sub> (4660.79 g) and T<sub>3</sub> (4645.43 g).

**Table 3:** Feed intake of broiler chicks (g/bird) as affected by addition of drumstick and lemongrass leaf meal.

| Items                | Drumstick            |                      |                      | lemongrass           |       |          | Drumstick + lemongrass |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|------------------------|--|
|                      | 0%                   | 0.5%                 | 0.5%                 | 1%                   | SE    | CD at 5% |                        |  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> week | 160.75               | 148.00               | 155.00               | 150.00               | 8.07  | N.S      |                        |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> week | 330                  | 312                  | 328                  | 314                  | 17.17 | N.S      |                        |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> week | 720                  | 690                  | 718.30               | 692                  | 26.74 | N.S      |                        |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> week | 1128.02 <sup>b</sup> | 1020.81 <sup>a</sup> | 1124.21 <sup>b</sup> | 1022.20 <sup>a</sup> | 30.02 | *        |                        |  |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> week | 1146.05 <sup>b</sup> | 1042.49 <sup>a</sup> | 1144.58 <sup>b</sup> | 1042.81 <sup>a</sup> | 30.19 | *        |                        |  |
| 6 <sup>th</sup> week | 1176.02              | 4280.01              | 4645.43              | 4290.48              | 36.83 | *        |                        |  |
| Total                | 4660.79 <sup>b</sup> | 4280.01 <sup>a</sup> | 4645.43 <sup>b</sup> | 4290.48 <sup>a</sup> | 64.17 | *        |                        |  |

(different value with no common superscript are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) within a column).

It could be seen that highest feed consumption of 4660.01 g was obtained in T<sub>1</sub> control group broilers followed by 4645.43 g with receiving 0.5 per cent lemon grass leaf meal in T<sub>3</sub>, 4290.48 g in T<sub>4</sub> and lowest feed consumption i.e. 4280.01 g in T<sub>2</sub> at the end of 6<sup>th</sup> week. It showed that the birds fed with 0.5% level in addition of drumstick leaf meal had lowest feed consumption rate in the broiler birds. The results are in agreement with different workers who have that reported that herbals additions in the broiler's diet had a significant positive effect on feed consumption. In present experiment it was clearly noted that in treatment that the 0.5% level of *Moringa oleifera* was lowest feed consumed group of broiler chicks it might be due to moringa have slightly bitter taste. But there was increasing the feed conversion ratio of treatment 0.5% level of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal addition in broiler ration as similar result obtain in 1% level mixture of *Moringa oleifera* and *Cymbopogon citratus* feed additives Lemon grass treatment giving positive effect on feed consumption increases the feed consumption rate per bird it may be due to lemon grass improving feed taste having diet palatability, enhancing appetite of poultry and the quicker passage and digestion of nutrients through the digestive effect of these natural products.

### Feed conversion ratio (FCR)

Experimental birds in T<sub>1</sub> group showed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher average FCR as compare to T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> groups (Table 4). the average feed conversion ratio from first to sixth week ranged from 1.65 to 1.96 which was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in T<sub>1</sub> (1.96) as compared to T<sub>2</sub> (1.65), T<sub>4</sub> (1.67) where as at par with T<sub>3</sub> (1.91) group. the best feed conversion ratio of 1.65 obtained in T<sub>2</sub> group broilers inclusion of 0.5 per cent drumstick leaf meal followed by 1.67 with 1 per cent mixture of drumstick and lemongrass leaf meal in T<sub>4</sub>, 1.91 in T<sub>3</sub> group inclusion of 0.5 per cent lemon grass leaf meal and poor in feed conversion i.e. 1.97 in T<sub>1</sub>

control at the end of 6th week.

The results obtained in the correspondence with the results of this may be attributed to birds fed with drumstick leaf meal based diets adequately utilized the nutrients they consumed. Results of this experiment are synergetic effect of herbal mixture of drumstick and lemon grass and reported significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on live weight and feed conversion on broiler ration. The results obtained in the correspondence with the results of this may be attributed to birds fed with drumstick leaf meal based diets adequately utilized the nutrients they consumed.

**Table 4:** Effect of natural feed additives on feed conversion ratio of broiler chicks

| Items                | Drumstick          |                   | lemongrass        | Drumstick + lemongrass |      |          |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------|----------|
|                      | 0%                 | 0.5%              | 0.5%              | 1%                     | SE   | CD at 5% |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> week | 1.19               | 1.02              | 1.13              | 1.06                   | 0.05 | N.S      |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> week | 1.27               | 1.15              | 1.25              | 1.17                   | 0.06 | N.S      |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> week | 1.80               | 1.64              | 1.69              | 1.64                   | 0.06 | N.S      |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> week | 2.26 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.89 <sup>a</sup> | 2.23 <sup>b</sup> | 1.90 <sup>a</sup>      | 0.05 | *        |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> week | 2.57 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.76 <sup>a</sup> | 2.29 <sup>b</sup> | 1.78 <sup>a</sup>      | 0.05 | *        |
| 6 <sup>th</sup> week | 1.87 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.72 <sup>a</sup> | 1.94 <sup>b</sup> | 1.73 <sup>a</sup>      | 0.03 | *        |
| Total                | 1.96               | 1.65              | 1.91              | 1.67                   | 0.03 | *        |

(Different value with no common superscript are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) within a column)

Results of this experiment are synergetic effect of herbal mixture of drumstick and lemon grass and reported significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on live weight and feed conversion on broiler ration. Najafi and Taherpou (2014) who revealed that supplemented with feed additives as a ginger and cinnamon ( $p < 0.05$ ) feed intake and improved ( $p < 0.05$ ) feed conversion ratio compared to the control group Similar result was also obtained by Safa and Eltazi, (2014) and showed that the diet with 1% ginger powder had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) best feed conversion ratio. Kafi *et al.* (2017) [7] also recorded lower FCR in turmeric supplemented group as compare to other groups.

### Economics of broiler production

The profit was evaluated by considering total amount of feed consumed by broilers under T<sub>1</sub> control, drumstick and lemon grass leaf meal added broiler ration. Other factors such as cost

of day old chicks, medicine, vaccines and litter material were common for all the treatments and control groups. However, the cost of labors, electricity etc. was not considered in calculating the economics of broiler production, being the post graduate research work. The average body weight of bird at the end of 6<sup>th</sup> week was 2361.29, 2583.3, 2430.04 and 2568.56 g/bird in the treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively. The birds were sold at the rate 82 Rs/ Kg. and price realized from the birds was 193.62, 211.92, 199.98 and 210.49 ₹ in the treatments T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, respectively. The highest price realized from the treatment T<sub>2</sub> i.e. 211.92 Rs. followed by T<sub>4</sub> (210.49 Rs.), T<sub>3</sub> (199.98 Rs.) and lowest price realized from the treatment T<sub>1</sub> control (193.62 Rs.). The net profit per bird was highest in T<sub>2</sub> (Rs.64.71), followed by T<sub>4</sub> (Rs.59.7), T<sub>3</sub> (Rs. 41.85) and lowest for T<sub>1</sub> control (Rs. 38.59).

**Table 5:** Economics of broiler production per bird

| Particulars   | Treatments |         |         |         |
|---|------------|---------|---------|---------|
|   | T1         | T2      | T3      | T4      |
| Cost of day old chicks (Rs.)                                | 20         | 20      | 20      | 20      |
| Drumstick Consumed per bird (g)                             | 0          | 10.7    | -       | -       |
| Lemongrass Consumed per bird (g)                            | 0          | -       | 11.61   | -       |
| Drumstick and Lemon grass Consumed per bird (g)             | 0          | 0       | 0       | 21.45   |
| Cost of Drumstick (Rs./g)                                   | 0          | 0.24    | 0       | 0       |
| Cost of Lemon grass (Rs./g)                                 | 0          | 0       | 0.28    | 0       |
| Cost of feed additives (Rs)                                 | 0          | 2.56    | 3.25    | 5.57    |
| Av. Total feed consume per bird (g)                         | 4660.79    | 4280.01 | 4645.43 | 4290.48 |
| Cost of feed (Rs./g)  | 27.9       | 27.9    | 27.9    | 27.9    |
| Cost of feed consumed per bird (Rs)                         | 130.03     | 119.65  | 129.88  | 120.22  |
| Total cost of feed consumed per bird (7+10)                 | 130.03     | 122.21  | 133.13  | 125.79  |
| Av. Body weight gain at the end of 6 <sup>th</sup> week (g) | 2361.29    | 2583.3  | 2430.04 | 2568.56 |
| Feed consumption per kg live weight (g)                     | 1974.91    | 1658.91 | 1919.59 | 1675.96 |
| Cost of feed per kg weight gain                             | 55.09      | 46.28   | 53.55   | 46.75   |
| Cost of medicine, vaccine and litter material per bird      | 5          | 5       | 5       | 5       |
| Cost of production per bird                                 | 155.03     | 147.21  | 158.13  | 150.79  |
| Av. Price realize @ Rs. 82 per kg live weight               | 193.62     | 211.92  | 199.98  | 210.49  |
| Net profit bird Rs.   | 38.59      | 64.71   | 41.85   | 59.7    |

The observation indicated that the performance of broilers in T<sub>2</sub> group was superior to that of the control and 0.5 per cent level of lemon grass leaf meal in broiler diet. This might be due to improved feed conversion efficiency on supplementation of *Moringa oleifera* and also due to rich nutrient content of *Moringa oleifera* and treatment T<sub>4</sub> addition mixture of drumstick and lemon grass leaf meal also giving good profit as compared to treatment T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> it may be due to containing maximum protein, rich nutrients, than singly

giving feed additives it increased the feed efficiency of broiler feed consumption by natural plant material.

### Serum constituents

The serum constituents like glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), LDL and HDL ratio, total protein, albumin and globulin were estimated at the end of experiment and the results are presented in Table.6

**Table 6:** Effect of dietary treatment of drumstick and lemon grass on serum constituents of broiler chicks

| Items                | Drumstick         |                   | lemongrass        |                   | Drumstick + lemongrass |          |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------|
|                      | 0%                | 0.5%              | 0.5%              | 1%                | SE                     | CD at 5% |
| Glucose (mg/dl)      | 120.50            | 120               | 121.75            | 93.50             | 3.15                   | *        |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl)  | 134.50            | 136.35            | 130               | 136.25            | 7.49                   | N.S      |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl) | 136.75            | 130.50            | 130.50            | 129               | 14.2                   | N.S      |
| HDL (mg/dl)          | 82.25             | 93.25             | 92.50             | 89.75             | 6.08                   | N.S      |
| LDL (mg/dl)          | 22.75             | 21.00             | 23.00             | 23.00             | 37.00                  | N.S      |
| LDL/HDL Ratio        | 0.40              | 0.35              | 0.38              | 0.90              | 0.27                   | N.S      |
| Total protein        | 2.32 <sup>a</sup> | 2.37 <sup>a</sup> | 2.41 <sup>a</sup> | 2.80 <sup>b</sup> | 0.06                   | *        |
| Albumin(mg/dl)       | 1.20 <sup>a</sup> | 1.54 <sup>b</sup> | 1.49 <sup>b</sup> | 1.19 <sup>a</sup> | 0.04                   | *        |
| Globulin(mg/dl)      | 1.31 <sup>b</sup> | 1.29 <sup>b</sup> | 0.94 <sup>a</sup> | 1.65 <sup>c</sup> | 0.06                   | *        |

The level of glucose in serum of birds at 42<sup>nd</sup> day in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> was 120.50, 120.00, 121.75 and 93.50 mg/dl respectively. The level of glucose in T<sub>4</sub> was significantly lower than T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. Higher glucose level was found in T<sub>3</sub> (121.75 mg/dl), followed by T<sub>1</sub> (120.50 mg/dl), T<sub>2</sub> (120.00mg/dl) and lowest level of glucose was found in T<sub>4</sub> (93.50mg/dl). Results indicated that the concentration of glucose decreased with mixture of drumstick and lemon grass leaf meal. Cholesterol serum of broilers at 42<sup>nd</sup> day in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> was 134.50, 136.35, 130.00 and 136.25 mg/dl, respectively. The levels serum triglyceride at 42<sup>nd</sup> day in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> were 136.75, 130.50, 130.25 and 129.00 mg/dl, respectively. Triglyceride was highest in T<sub>1</sub> (136.75 mg/dl) and lowest in T<sub>4</sub> (129.00 mg/dl) i.e. control group without supplemented with lemon grass leaf meal and drumstick leaf meal and had quantitatively higher level of serum triglyceride than other three groups. The result shows that, triglyceride level was good in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (129.00) i.e. these results could be evidence of the synergetic effect of herbal mixture (drumstick and lemon grass) on plasma triglyceride reduction. Bamidele *et al.* (2012) [2] who reported that significant reduction in cholesterol with addition of 0.5 per cent garlic than as per result lemon grass was is beneficial for cholesterol maintenance. Mukhtar *et al.* (2012) [9] also supported to these findings and reported that, cholesterol lower significant for group of lemon grass leaf meal feeding of broiler.

### Conclusion

The addition of 0.5 per cent of drumstick in broiler ration was beneficial in improving live weight and weight gain. The addition of 0.5 per cent of drumstick in broiler diet improved feed conversion ratio. The addition of mixture of 1 per cent mixture drumstick and lemon grass in broiler diet increases the level of water intake weekly. The addition of 0.5 per cent drumstick, lemongrass and mixture drumstick and lemon grass in broiler diet reduces the level of triglyceride and glucose whereas increases the level of HDL, LDL/ HDL ratio, total protein, albumin and globulin. Addition of 0.5 per cent drumstick and 1 per cent mixture of drumstick and lemon grass leaf meal i.e. (0.5% drumstick + 0.5% lemongrass) are economical

### References

1. Bhalsing GR. Effect of Spirulina Supplementation on Growth Performance of Giriraja Chiken. MSc. Thesis, submitted to the VNMKV, Parbhani-431402, 2016.
2. Bamidele O, Adejumo IO. Effect of Garlic (*Allium Sativum*) and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) Mixture on Performance Characteristics and Cholesterol Profile of Growing Pullets. International journal of poultry science 2012; 11(3):217-220
3. Chioma Gibson O, Akinsoyinu Akintunde O, Tayo Grace O, Akinboye Olufusoso E, Afodu Osagie J, Nadubuisi-Ogbonna Lois C *et al.* Serum Biochemistry and Sensory Evaluation of Broiler Chicken Fed *Cymbopogon citratus* Leaf meal. World Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017; 5(6):305-309.
4. Gadzirayi CT, Masamha B, Mupangwa JF, Washaya S. Performance of Broiler Chickens Fed on Mature *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal as a Protein Supplement to Soybean Meal. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2012; 11(1):5-10.
5. Gaikwad BS. Effect of Fenugreek (*Trigonella Foenum-Graceum* L.) Seed Powder as a Natural Feed Additive on Growth Performance of Broilers. MSc. Thesis, Submitted to the VNMKV Parbhani-431402, 2018
6. Gujjarwar SV. Effect of Ginnger (*Zingiber officinale*) As a Natural Feed Additive on Growth Performance of Broilers. MSc. Thesis, Submitted To the VNMKV Parbhani, [MS], 2018.
7. Kafi A, Uddin MN, Uddin MJ, Khan MMH, Haque ME. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric, ginger and their Combination as Feed Additives on Feed Intake, Growth Performance and Economics of Broiler. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2017; 6(6):257-265.
8. Mawahib AE, Elfadil AA, Eljack BH. Effect of Feeding Garlic (*Allium sativum*) and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) Mixture on Performance and Immune Response of Broiler Chicks. Sudan Journal of Science and Technology. 2015; 17(1):73-79.
9. Mukhtar AM, Mohamed KA, Amal OA, Ahlam AH. Effect of Different Levels of Lemon Grass Oil (LGO) as a Natural Growth Promoter on the Performance, and



- Carcass Yield and Serum Chemistry of Broiler Chicks. Egypt. Poult. Sci. 2012; 33(1):1-7.
10. Shinde SR. Effect of Spirulina Supplementation on Growth Performance of Broilers. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Submitted Marathwada Agriculture University, Parbhani-[MS], 2015.
  11. Shinde S, Burte RG, Kumar S, Desai BG, Dhekele JS, Bhagat DJ Effect of Cardamom (*Elettaria Cardamomum*) and Ginger (*Zingiber Officinale*) Powder Supplementation on Growth Performance and Economic Analysis in Broiler. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017; 5(3):858-861.
  12. Thayalani K, Shanmugavelu S, Saminathan PM, Masidayu MS, Nor IY, Zainuddin H *et al.* Effect of *Cymbopogon citrates* Leaf and *Zingiber officinale* Rhizome Supplementation on Growth Performance, Ideal Morphology Lactic Acid Concentration in Broiler. Mal. J Anim. Science. 2011; 14(8):43-49.
  13. Tiwari MR, Jha PK, Sah B, Kunwar G, Jha AK. Performance of Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citrates*) Oil as Growth Promoter in Broiler. Bang. J Anim. Sci. 2018; 47(2):85-91.
  14. Ukoha OA, Onunkwo DN, Ibesh SE. Effect of African Nutmeg (*Monodora Myristica*) and Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) Mixed Spices on The Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristic of Broiler Chicken. International Research Journal of Agriculture and Aquatic Science. 2016; 3(4):146-149.
  15. Umatiya RV, Srivastava AK, Pawar MM, Chauhan HD, Jain AK. Efficacy of Ginger (*Zingiber Officinale*) and Garlic (*Allium Sativum*) Powder as Phytogenic Feed Additives in Diet of Broiler Chickens. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7(3):1136-1140.
  16. Viola TH, Riberio AML, Penz Jr AM. Compensatory Water Consumption Of Broilers Submitted To Water Restriction From 1 To 21 Date Of Age. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. 2005; 7(4):243-245.
  17. Yattoo MA, Sharma RK, Khan N, Rastogi A, Pathak AK. Effect of Fenugreek and Black Cumin Seeds as Feed Additives on Blood, 2012.