International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(5): 677-682 © 2019 IJCS Received: 14-07-2019 Accepted: 18-08-2019

Suresh Kumar J

CAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Sofia Solomon

Post Graduate research student at ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Sanket J More

CAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Ravi V

CAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Correspondence Suresh Kumar J CAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Effect of herbicide application on biochemical changes in weeds commonly infesting tuber crops growing fields

Suresh Kumar J, Sofia Solomon, Sanket J More and Ravi V

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at ICAT CTCRI, Thiruvananthapuram during 2017-18 to study on "Effect of post emergence herbicide application on biochemical changes in weeds commonly infesting tuber crops growing fields". The study focused on two major weeds commonly seen in the tuber crops fields at the Thiruvananthapuram *i.e* one narrow leaved weed/monocot- *Pennisetum pedicellatum* and one broad leaved weed/dicot- *Indigofera hirsuta*. Experiment consists of three replications, each replication with 7 treatments. Three post-emergence herbicides (Propaquizafop, glyphosate and clodinofop propargyl) were sprayed to each treatment plot of elephant foot yam crop. Biochemical parameters such as chlorophyll, carotene and protein content of weeds of treatments were estimated on 3rd, 7th and 10th day after spraying the herbicides. Chlorophyll, carotene and protein content of weeds showed gradual reduction in each interval of time. From the study it was observed that the herbicide glyphosate drastically reduced the chlorophyll, carotene and protein content of weeds after its application and quickly killed both weeds. Clodinofop propargyl, Propaquizafop were effective in controlling grassy weed than broad leaved weed.

Keywords: Weed, herbicide, chlorophyll, protein, yield

Introduction

Weeds are ubiquitous and continue to be a major constraint in the production of root and tuber crops. When crop plants and weeds grow in close proximity weeds compete with crops for space, light, water and nutrients and their root or shoot system overlaps and attribute for reduction in crop productivity and quality of agricultural produce (Rao and Nagamani, 2010; Rao *et al.*, 2015) ^[1, 2]. Some weeds exhibit allelopathic reactions inhibiting the growth of the crops (Demon *et al.*, 1975; Bhowmick and Doll, 1982; Einhelling, 1985; Weston and Duke 2003; Batish *et al.*, 2007) ^[3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The prevailing agro-climatic conditions such as temperature, humidity, day length and edaphic factors particularly soil moisture and nutrient status, etc. determines the composition of weed species, weed population and their competitive ability. Traditionally weed control in India is largely dependent on manual weeding, to some extent mechanical weeding in large farm lands. Nevertheless, labour scarcity and high wages compel farmers to opt for alternative options (Rao *et al.*, 2015) ^[2].

The subsistence farmer of the tropics spends more time, money and energy on weed control than on any other aspect of crop production due to more incidences of weed and high labour wages. Nevertheless, the farmers continue to experience heavy losses in crop yield due to weed interference. A conservative estimate of about 10% loss in the tropics would amount to a total loss of about 25 million tons of food grains, valued at approximately Rs. 65000 crore (USD 13 billion) (Yaduraju, 2012)^[8]. The total economic losses will be much higher if indirect effect of weeds on health, loss of biodiversity, nutrient depletion, grain quality, etc. is taken into consideration. Losses of similar magnitude would occur in root and tuber crops. Special attention is required for research on weed management in root and tuber crops owing to the initial slow growing nature of these crops (Moody and Ezumah, 1974; Srinivasan and Maheswarappa, 1993; Nedunchezhiyan and Misra, 2008; Nedunchezhiyan *et al.*, 2013; Ravindran *et al.*, 2010)^{[9, 10, 11, 12, 13].}

The yield loss due to presence of weeds in tuber crops is reported by many workers

	Yield loss (%)
100	Moody and Ezumah, 1974; Akobundu, 1980; Hahn and Keyser, 1985; Ambe et al., 1992 ^[9, 14, 15, 16]
50	Lebot, 2009 ^[17]
91	Nedunzhiyan et al., 1998 ^[18]
50-60	Stall, 2010 ^[19]
69-91	Moody and Ezumah, 1974 ^[9]
60	Nedunzhiyan et al., 1996 ^[20]
100	Suresh et al., 2019 ^[21]
	50 91 50-60 69-91 60

Chemical method (herbicides application) of weed control can reduce the dependency on manual weeding. Furthermore, weed control through herbicide application will be faster than manual weeding. Herbicides are likely to become inevitable method of weed control in tuber crops where labour is scarce or expensive or farm size is large (Agahiu *et al.*, 2011; Suresh *et al.*, 2019) ^[22, 21].

Chemical weed control has many advantages; the use of preemergence herbicides provides control of strong competing weeds during crop establishment and thus helps in weed control. Time of herbicides application is important in determining the effectiveness and length of weed control duration (Carter *et al.*, 2007; James *et al.*, 2007) ^[23, 24]. Selective post emergence herbicides are effective in the cropped field where they kill the weeds without harming the crop.

The herbicide demand in India is rising sharply and could double in the next few years as an acute labour shortage makes them a cheaper option and a rally in farm goods prices prompts farmers to grow crops with extra care (Mukherjee, 2011)^[25]. Usage of herbicides occupies 44% of the total agrochemicals globally and 30% in India (Sondhia, 2014)^[26]. In our country 60 herbicides of different modes of action are registered. More than 700 formulations of herbicides are available in the market (Choudhury *et al.*, 2016)^[27]. Nowadays combination formulations of two different herbicides are also becoming popular amongst farmers for broad-spectrum weed control. Even, proposal for combination

formulation of more than two active principles has been suggested to the Registration Committee to combat resistant weeds (Choudhury *et al.*, 2016) ^[27]. In this high adoption scenario of herbicide the present experiment was carried out to find the effectiveness of post emergence herbicides on weed control at phenotypic level and biochemical changes within the weed plant.

Materials and Methods

The study entitled "Effect of herbicide application on biochemical changes in weeds commonly infesting tuber crops growing fields" was carried out in elephant foot yam field at Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (ICAR-CTCRI), Sreekariyam, Thiruvananthapuram during the period of April 2017- January 2018. The study focused on two major weeds i.e one narrow leaved weed/monocot- Pennisetum pedicellatum; and one broad leaved weed/dicot- Indigofera *hirsuta*. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with three replications with different herbicide combinations viz., T₁- Application of propaquizafop @ 0.075 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₂- Application of propaquizafop @ 0.10 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₃- Application of clodinofop propargyl @ 0.075 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₄- Application of clodinofop propargyl @ 0.10 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₅-Application of glyphosate @ 1.0 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₆-Application of glyphosate @ 1.25 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₇- No chemical application (control). Details of herbicides used in the study are mentioned here under:

Herbicide name	Formulation	Recommended dosage (a.i = active ingredient)	Trade name	Manufacturer/supplier in India
Propaquizafop	10% EC	75 a.i gha ⁻¹	Agil	Adama Agricultural Solutions
Clodinofop propargyl	15%WP	75 a.i gha ⁻¹	Security	National pesticides and chemicals
Glyphosate	41% SL	2000 a.i gha-1	Glydon	Sumitomo Chemical India Pvt. Ltd.

Table 2: Details of herbicides used in the study

The required amount of herbicides for the experiment was calculated by using the following formula.

Post emergence herbicides at different concentrations were applied after the emergence of weeds. The weed leaf samples were collected on 3days, 7days and 10 days after application of herbicides. The phenotypic changes were observed at different intervals (3, 7, 10 days after application).

Quantitative estimation of protein

Protein content of weeds (Broad leaved weeds, grass) samples were estimated by Bradford (1976)^[28] method. The assay is based on the observation that the absorbance maximum for an acidic solution of Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 shifts from 465 nm to 595 nm when binding to protein occurs. Both hydrophobic and ionic interactions stabilize the anionic form

of the dye, causing a visible colour change. The assay is useful since the extinction co-efficient of a dye albumin complex solution is constant over a 10 fold concentration range.

Total protein extraction for protein quantification

0.2g of fresh leaf sample (weeds) was weighed out and grated using a pre chilled motor and pestle and made into a fine paste with the help of 10 ml ice cold phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The homogenate was then transferred into a 20 ml centrifuge tube. The sample was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 5000 rpm. 0.1 ml of protein extract was pipette out into a test tube. To this 5ml Bradford reagent was added. The contents were thoroughly mixed and kept for 5 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance was read after 5 minutes at 595 nm. Two replications from each sample were used for the assay. The protein content was estimated using a standard curve.

Quantity of protein in micro g/g =
 Factor from graph (µg/ml) x sample absorbance (OD) x volume of buffer (ml)

 Weight of the sample (g) x volume of the sample taken (ml)

Estimation of total chlorophyll and carotenoids

The total chlorophyll and carotenoids content of the leaves (weeds) was estimated using acetone. Fresh leaf sample (weeds) (0.1 g) was taken and grinded thoroughly using 80% acetone. The homogenate was filtered using filter paper. After filtration, the volume of the liquid was made up to 50 ml using 80% acetone. The absorbance was measured at 663.2, 646.8, 470 nm using a spectrophotometer. The chlorophyll content was measured by substituting the absorbance values in the given formula:

Mg chlorophyll A/g tissue = $12.1 (A_{663.2}) - 2.81(A_{646.8}) \times 1$ W x V

Mg chlorophyll B/g tissue = $20.13 (A_{646.8}) - 5.03 (A_{663.2}) \times 1$ W x V mg total chlorophyll (per g tissue) = Chlorophyll A + Chlorophyll B

 $Total \ carotenoids = \frac{(1000 \ x \ A470) - (1.82 \ x \ chlorophyll \ a) - (85.02 \ x \ chlorophyll \ b) \ x \ V}{198 \ x \ 1000 \ x \ W}$

Where,

 $\label{eq:star} \begin{array}{l} A = Absorbance \ at \ specific \ wave \ lengths \\ V = Final \ volume \ of \ chlorophyll \ extract \ in \ 80\% \ acetone \\ W = Fresh \ weight \ of \ the \ tissue \ extracted \end{array}$

Statistical analysis

The analysis was computed by using computer software program OPSTAT, CCS HAU. http://www.202.141.47.5/opstat/index.asp^[29].

Results and Discussions

The present study revealed that weeds (broad leaved and grassy weeds) had variation in the biochemical parameters such as chlorophyll, carotene and protein content to the different herbicides application. Biochemical parameters of weeds showed gradual reduction in each interval of time (3, 7, 10 days) after the herbicide application.

In broad leaved weed at 3 days after herbicides application chlorophyll A content was significantly reduced with high concentrations of clodinofop (T₄- 0.01 kg ai ha⁻¹), glyphosate $(T_{6}$ - 1.25 kg ai ha⁻¹). Whereas cholorphyll B and total chlorophyll contents were significantly reduced with high concentrations of three herbicides used in the experiment (T₂, T₄, T₆). At 7 days after herbicides application chlorophyll A, B contents and total chlorophyll content was significantly reduced with high concentrations of propaquizafop (T₂- 0.01 kg ai ha⁻¹), both the concentrations of glyphosate (T_{5} - 1.0 kg ai ha⁻¹, T₆- 1.25 kg ai ha⁻¹). Whereas at 10 days after application chlorophyll A was significantly reduced with the treatment with both the concentrations of glyphosate (T₅- 1.0 kg ai ha-1, T₆- 1.25 kg ai ha-1). In narrow leaved weed chlorophyll A, B, total chlorophyll contents were significantly reduced by glyphosate at both the concentrations.

In both the weeds at 3, 7, 10 days after herbicides application chlorophyll A, B, total chlorophyll contents were reduced with the days passed after herbicides application (Table 1, 2). The key enzymes associated with lipid biosynthesis were affected by Clodinofop, Propaquizafop [ACCase (enzyme Acetyl CoA Carboxylase) inhibitors] on cell division, growth and amino acid biosynthesis; Glyphosate [EPSP synthase (enzyme enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate) inhibitor] caused chlorophyll degeneration, inhibit ion of chlorophyll biosynthesis, bleaching and starvation leads to drying and death of weeds. Similar results were reported with glyphosate application by previous workers (Sreenivasulu et al., 2015; Luiz et al., 2012; Zobiole et al., 2010; Mateos-Naranjo et al., 2009; Zobiole et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012) [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, ^{35]}. The incorporation of magnesium (Mg) by Mg chelates in the porphyrin structure is a necessary step leading to the synthesis of chlorophyll molecules (Tanaka and Tanaka, 2007) ^[36]. Foliar application of glyphosate will decrease concentrations of cations (Cakmak et al. 2009) [37]. Glyphosate may prevent chlorophyll synthesis indirectly by decreasing the Mg content in leaves Cakmak et al. (2009)^[37], which lead to a decreased chlorophyll content and photosynthetic rate (Zobiole et al., 2012)^[38]. Similary by inducing iron (Fe) deficiency, glyphosate may prevent the biosynthesis of δ - aminolevulinic acid (ALA), a component of the chlorophyll biosynthetic pathway (Marsh et al., 1963)^[39]. Catalase and peroxidase, both enzymes implicated in ALA biosynthesis, are highly sensitive to Fe deprivation (Marsh et al., 1963)^[39]. Glyphosate is a strong cation chelator, due to its carboxyl and phosphonate groups, forming complexes with nutrients in plant tissues, thus making them unavailable for biological process, including photosynthesis (Cakamk et al., 2009) ^[37]. In addition, glyphosate has been proposed to interfere with ALA biosynthesis by controlling the conversion of alpha-ketoglutarate to ALA and /or the condensation of glycine with succinyl-CoA to form ALA and CO₂ (Kitchen, 1980) [40].

Leaf carotene content of both the weeds was adversely affected due to application of herbicides (Table 3). At three days after application there was no significant difference in the leaf carotene content of broad leaved weed. But at 7 and 10 days after the application the leaf carotene content was reduced drastically in all the herbicide treatments. In the grassy weed also similar trend was noticed at 7 and 10 days after application.

Leaf protein content of both the weeds was adversely affected due to application of herbicides (Table 4). All herbicide treatments showed a significant decrease in protein content over untreated check at 3, 7, 10 days after spraying. The key enzymes associated with synthesis of amino acids and proteins are targeted by the herbicides which may be one of the possible reasons for decreased protein content under herbicide treatments (Singh *et al.*, 2013)^[41].

Table 3: Effect of post emergence herbicides on chlorophyll content (mg/g fresh weight) of broad leaved weeds

S. No		Chlorophyll - a			Chlorophyll - a Cholophyll - b			b	Total chlorophyll		
	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS		
T1	1.06	0.79	0.14	0.29	0.21	0.06	1.35	1.00	0.20		
T2	1.04	0.60	0.11	0.23	0.14	0.05	1.27	0.74	0.16		
T3	1.03	0.86	0.10	0.25	0.22	0.07	1.28	1.08	0.17		
T4	0.92	0.83	0.07	0.23	0.22	0.04	1.15	1.05	0.11		
T5	1.05	0.27	0.06	0.24	0.15	0.11	1.29	0.42	0.17		
T6	1.01	0.23	0.05	0.24	0.13	0.08	1.25	0.36	0.13		
T7	1.17	0.96	0.90	0.30	0.23	0.15	1.46	1.19	1.05		
S.E(m)	0.01	0.02	0.002	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01		

DAS - Days after spraying the herbicide

Table 4: Effect of post emergen	ce herbicides on chlor	ophyll content	(mg/g fresh	weight) of grassy	weeds

S. No	Chlorophyll - a				Cholophyll - b			Total chlorophyll		
	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	
T1	0.85	0.53	0.42	0.23	0.20	0.10	1.08	0.73	0.52	
T2	0.69	0.46	0.24	0.17	0.14	0.08	0.86	0.60	0.32	
T3	0.89	0.72	0.37	0.26	0.23	0.09	1.15	0.95	0.46	
T4	0.78	0.63	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.16	1.00	0.84	0.44	
T5	0.90	0.23	0.19	0.26	0.11	0.06	1.16	0.34	0.25	
T6	0.65	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.09	0.05	0.82	0.30	0.24	
T7	0.98	0.89	0.78	0.31	0.29	0.26	1.29	1.18	1.04	
S.E(m)	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.007	

DAS - Days after spraying the herbicide

Table 5: Effect of post emergence herbicides on carotene content in
weeds

	Carotene content (mg/g fresh weight)							
S. NO	Bro	ad leaved	weeds	Gi	eds			
	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	3 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS		
T1	0.72	0.42	0.14	0.44	0.32	0.24		
T2	0.62	0.34	0.12	0.40	0.28	0.15		
T3	0.64	0.46	0.15	0.45	0.40	0.40		
T4	0.58	0.45	0.08	0.42	0.39	0.22		
T5	0.67	0.28	0.15	0.47	0.23	0.19		
T6	0.57	0.27	0.14	0.38	0.28	0.17		
T7	0.71	0.53	0.42	0.55	0.47	0.44		
S.E(m)	NS	0.02	0.004	0.05	0.02	0.01		

DAS - Days after spraying the herbicide

 Table 6: Effect of post emergence herbicides on protein content in weeds

	Protein content (mg/g fresh weight)							
S. NO	Bro	ad leaved	Gr	assy we	eds			
	3 das	7 das	10 das	3 das	7 das	10 das		
T1	2.82	2.19	2.03	11.59	10.57	7.69		
T2	2.18	2.00	1.85	11.16	10.05	6.31		
Т3	3.41	2.54	2.13	11.33	9.28	7.83		
T4	3.18	2.39	2.03	10.02	7.35	6.29		
T5	2.39	2.00	1.87	9.52	4.79	3.61		
T6	2.30	1.89	1.78	8.49	4.65	4.36		
Τ7	3.57	3.27	3.24	12.38	12.51	12.01		
S.E(m)	0.02	0.15	0.14	0.35	0.57	0.09		

DAS – Days after spraying the herbicide

Effect of herbicides on broad leaved weed/dicot: Indigofera hirsuta at 10th day after application



Control



Glyphosate application on 10th day



Propaquizafop application on 10th day

Effect of herbicides on narrow leaved weed/monocot-Pennisetum pedicellatum at 10th day after application



Control



Glyphosate application on 10th day

Conclusion

From the experiment it can be concluded that application of broad spectrum herbicide glyphosate is very effective in controlling all weeds very quickly.

References

- Rao AN, Nagamani A. Integrated Weed Management in India-Revisited. Indian Journal Weed Science. 2010; 42:1-10.
- 2. Rao VS, Yaduraj NT, Chandrasena NR, Hassan G, Sharma AR. Weeds and weed management in India. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015; 87-118.
- 3. Demon EK, Woolwine M, Wilson RH, McMilan C. The effects of ten phenolic compounds on hypocotyls growth and mitochondrial metabolism in mung. American Journal of Botany. 1975; 62:97-102.
- 4. Bhowmik PC, Doll JL. Corn and soybean response to allelopathic effects of weed and crop residues. Agron. Journal. 1982; 74: 601-606.
- Einhelling FA. Effects of allelopathic chemicals on crop productivity. In: Bio regulators for Pest Control, Hedin P (Ed.). ACS Symposium Serial No. 276. 1985, 109-130.
- 6. Weston La, Duke So. Weed and crop allelopathy. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 2003; 22:367-389.
- 7. Batish D, Kaur S, Singh Hp, Kohli Rs. Nature of interference potential of leaf debris of *Ageratum conyzoides*. Plant Growth Regul. 2009; 57:137-144.
- 8. Yaduraju NT. Weed management perspectives for India in the changing agriculture scenario in the country. Jornal of Weed Science. 2012; 18:703-710.
- 9. Moody K, Ezumah HC. Weed control in major tropical root and tuber crops: A review. PANS. 1974; 20:292-299.
- Srinivasan G, Maheshwarappa HP. Weed control in tropical tuber crops: A review. Journal of Root Crops. 1993; 19:104-112.
- Nedunchezhiyan M, Misra RS. Amorphophallus tubers invaded by Cynodon dactylon. Aroideana. Journal of Root Crops. 2008; 31:129-133.
- 12. Nedunchezhiyan M, Ravindran CS, Ravi V. Weed Management in Root and Tuber Crops in India: Critical Analysis. Journal of Root Crops. 2013; 39:13-20.
- Ravindran CS, Ravi V, Nedunchezhiyan M, James G, Naskar SK. Weed management in tropical tuber crops: An overview. Journal Root Crops. 2010; 36(2):119-131.
- Akobundu IO. Weed control in cassava cultivations in the sub humid tropics. Journal of Tropical Pest Management, 1980; 26:420-426.
- 15. Hahn SK, Keyser J. Cassava: A Basic Food of Africa. Oxford, Pergaman Press. 1985, 1-10.
- 16. Ambe JT, Agboola AA, Hahn SK. Studies on weeding frequency in cassava in Cameroon, 1992.

- 17. Lebot V. Tropical Root and Tuber Crops: Cassava, Sweet Potato, Yams and Aroids. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009; 44(1):56.
- Nedunzhiyan M, Varma SP, Ray RC. Estimation of critical period of crop-weed competition in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.). Jounal of Advanced Horiculture Science. 1998; 12:101-104.
- 19. Stall WM. Weed Control in Sweet Potato. International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology. 2010; 3(2):286-289.
- 20. Nedunzhiyan M, Varma SP, Ray RC. Phyto-sociological studies of weed flora in taro. Journal of Root Crops. 1996; 22(2):128-137.
- 21. Suresh Kuamr J, Sanket J More, Byju G, Sunitha S, Veena SS, Nedunchezhiyan M, Ravi V. Effect of new generation herbicides on weed management, corm yield and economics of elephant foot yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolson]. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019; 7:1213-1218
- 22. Agahiu AE, Udensi UE, Tarawali G, Okoye BC, Ogbuji RO, Baiyeri KP. Assessment of weed management strategies and intercrop combinations on cassava yield in the middle belt of Nigeria. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011; 6(5):729-735.
- 23. Carter AH, Hansen J, Koehler T, Thill DC, Zemetra RS. The effect of imazamox application timing and rate on imazamox resistant wheat cultivars in the Pacific Northwest. Weed Technology. 2007; 21(4):895-899.
- James TK, Rahman A, Trolove M. Optimizing time of planting and herbicide application for control of problem weeds in maize. New Zealand Plant Protection. 2007; 60:183-188.
- Mukherjee I. Effect of organic amendments on degradation of atrazine. Bulletin Journal of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2011; 53(6):832-835.
- 26. Sondhia S. Herbicides residues in soil, water, plants and non-targeted organisms and human health implications: an Indian perspective. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2014; 46(1):66-85.
- 27. Choudhury PP, Singh R, Ghosh D, Sharma AR. Herbicide Use in Indian Agriculture. ICAR - Directorate of Weed Research, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, 2016. 110 p.
- 28. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical Biochemistry. 1976; 72:248-254.
- 29. Sheoran OP, Hisar. Statistical Package for Agricultural Scientists (OPSTAT), CCS HAU. http://www.202.141.47.5/opstat/index.asp
- Sreenivasulu M, Ramesh P, Damodharam T. Effect of glyphosate on chlorophyll and carotenoids in weed species (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L. and *Cyperus rotundus* L.). International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research. 2015; 5:116-123.
- 31. Luiz HSZ, Robert JK, Rubem S.de O. Jr, Jamil C. Glyphosate effects on photosynthesis, nutrient accumulation, and nodulation in glyphosate-resistant soybean. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 2012; 175:319-330.
- 32. Zobiole LHS, Kremer RJ, Oliveira Jr. RS, Constantin J. Glyphosate affects photosynthesis in first and second generation glyphosate resistant soybean. Plant and Soil. 2010; 336:251-265.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

- Mateos-Naranjo E, Redondo-Gomez S, Cox L, Cornejo J, Figueroa ME. Effectiveness of glyphosate and imazamox on the control of the invasive cord grass *Spartina densiflora*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. 2009; 72:1694-1700.
- 34. Zobiole LHS, Kremer RJ, Oliveira Jr. RS, Constantin J, Oliveira RS. Glyphosate affects chlorophyll, nodulation and nutrient accumulation of "second generation" glyphosate-resistant soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology. 2011; 99:53-60.
- 35. Huang J, Silva EN, Shen Z, Jiang B, Lu H. Effects of glyphosate on photosynthesis, chlorophyll fluorescence and physicochemical properties of cogongrass (*Imperata cylindrical* L.). Plant Omics Journal. 2012; 5:177–183.
- Tanaka R, Tanaka A. Tetrapyrrole biosynthesis in higher plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2007; 58:321-346.
- Cakmak I, Yazici A, Tutus Y, Ozturk L. Glyphosate reduced seed and leaf concentrations of calcium, manganese, magnesium, and iron in non glyphosate resistant soybean. European Journal of Agronomy. 2009; 31:114-119.
- Zobiole LHS, Kremer RJ, de Oliveira Jr. RS, Constantin J. Glyphosate effects on photosynthesis, nutrient accumulation, and nodulation in glyphosate-resistant soybean. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 2012; 175:319-330.
- Marsh HVJ, Evans HJ, Matrone G. Investigations of the role of iron in chlorophyll metabolism II. Effect of iron deficiency on chlorophyll synthesis. Plant Physiology. 1963; 38:638-642.
- 40. Kitchen LM. Inhibition of chlorophyll and delta aminolevulinic acid synthesis by glyphosate. Dissertation Abstracts International. 1980; B 41: 2006-2007.
- 41. Singh SP, Pandey P, Kumar M, Singh S, Pandey NS, Srivastva D. Growth and biochemical responses of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to different herbicides. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013. 8:1265-1269.