



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

IJCS 2019; 7(5): 854-858

© 2019 IJCS

Received: 07-07-2019

Accepted: 09-08-2019

**Vidya J Bhat**Department of Fruit Science,  
College of Horticulture,  
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India**Manukumar HR**Department of Fruit Science,  
College of Horticulture, Sirsi,  
Karnataka, India**Athani SI**Department of Fruit Science,  
College of Horticulture,  
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India**Kulapati Hipparagi**Department of Fruit Science,  
College of Horticulture,  
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India**Prashantha A**Department of Plant Pathology,  
College of Horticulture, Sirsi,  
Karnataka, India**Shivakumar KM**Department of Soil Science and  
Agricultural Chemistry, College  
of Horticulture, Sirsi,  
Karnataka, India**SG Gollagi**Department of Crop Physiology,  
UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka,  
India**Correspondence****Vidya J Bhat**Department of Fruit Science,  
College of Horticulture,  
Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

## Effect of different levels of nutrients on vegetative growth of pineapple cv. Kew

**Vidya J Bhat, Manukumar HR, Athani SI, Kulapati Hipparagi, Prashantha A, Shivakumar KM and SG Gollagi**

### Abstract

A field experiment entitled Effect of different levels of nutrients on vegetative growth of pineapple cv. Kew was conducted at College of Horticulture, Sirsi, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka during 2017-2019. The experiment was carried out in split plot design with three replications. The main treatments comprising T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Azotobacter, T<sub>3</sub>: PSB, T<sub>4</sub>: VAM and Sub treatments - S<sub>1</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through Vermicompost, S<sub>2</sub>:80% RDN + 20% RDN through FYM, S<sub>3</sub>:100% RDN + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10 kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>4</sub>: RDN (350:130:440 NPK kg/ha) control, S<sub>5</sub>: S<sub>1</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>6</sub>: S<sub>2</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha). The result revealed that all the growth parameters are significantly affected by different levels of organic, inorganic nutrients and biofertilizer treatment combination. With respect to different plant growth parameters like number of leaves (41.40), D leaf length (64.00 cm), D leaf breadth (3.64 cm), leaf length (64.00 cm), leaf breadth (3.67 cm) and leaf area (8853.11 cm<sup>2</sup>) were found effective with treatment combination T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> [Azotobacter (5 kg/ha) + 80% RDN+20% RDN through vermicompost +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10 kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)]. Whereas, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> [PSB (5 kg/ha) + 80% RDN + 20% RDN through vermicompost + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10 kg/ha) + boron (5 kg/ha)] recorded higher plant height (80.53 cm).

**Keywords:** Pineapple, biofertilizer, RDN

### 1. Introduction

Pineapple (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr.) is an important monocotyledonous, monocarpic, xerophytic perennial herb exhibiting CAM photosynthesis. It is one of the most important commercial fruits of the world. Costa Rica ranks first in pineapple production in the world followed by Brazil and Philippines. India is having an area of 1.21 lakh ha and production of 2.03 million tones with productivity of 16.8 MT/ ha (Annon, 2017) <sup>[1]</sup>. In India, highest area under pineapple is in Assam (18.74 m.ha). While, West Bengal is leading the production with 336.11 million tones and the highest productivity is in Karnataka with 59.97 MT/ha (Annon, 2017) <sup>[1]</sup>.

Pineapple is one of the most important commercial fruit crops of the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. It is highly valued because of its excellence in canning and processing for the production of nutritious and value added products like jam, jelly, candy, canned pineapple, squash, etc. Pineapple fruit is a good source of vitamin A, B, C and minerals (Krishan *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[7]</sup>.

From the few decades, pineapple is being commercially cultivated in Uttara Kannada, Dakshina Kannada and Shimoga districts of Karnataka. In Uttara Kannada, Banavasi is the main geographical place of its commercial cultivation. The farmers are cultivating the crop with inorganic fertilizers and they are getting optimum yield rather than profitable yield. Off late, yield reductions are also reported from many of the traditional pineapple growing villages in and around Banavasi area which may be due to deficiency of nutrients and depletion of soil fertility. In this scenario, this study is formulated to assess the utilization of organic manures, biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers including micronutrients to improve yield and quality of the pineapple.

Hence the present study was formulated with the use of vermicompost & farm yard manure as the source of nutrients through organics, bio-fertilizers such as *Azotobacter*, PSB & AM along with RDN in different combinations to augment the availability of nutrients and enhancement in the yield and quality parameters in pineapple is envisaged.

## Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out to study the Effect of different nutrient levels on growth and yield of pineapple cv. Kew at Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Sirsi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot during 2017-2019. Uniform suckers of pineapple cv. Kew were planted in a double hedge row system with the spacing of 30cm × 60cm × 90cm. The whole experiment was conducted using Split Plot Design with three replications. The experiment consisting of 4 main treatments and 6 sub treatments.

### Main treatments

T<sub>1</sub>: Control  
 T<sub>2</sub>: Azotobacter  
 T<sub>3</sub>: PSB  
 T<sub>4</sub>: VAM

### Sub treatments –

S<sub>1</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through Vermicompost  
 S<sub>2</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through FYM  
 S<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)  
 S<sub>4</sub>: RDN (350:130:440 NPK kg/ha) control  
 S<sub>5</sub>: S<sub>1</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)  
 S<sub>6</sub>: S<sub>2</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)

Nitrogen and potassium were given in 4 split doses at 3 months interval. One split dose of N and K along with entire P was given as basal dose at the time of planting and the remaining quantity of N and K was given in three split doses at every 3 months interval. Azotobacter, PSB and VAM with FYM was applied half at the time of planting and rest half 6 months after planting.

Observations were recorded on growth parameters such as, plant height (cm), Number of leaves, D leaf length (cm), D leaf width (cm) at 4, 8 and 12 months after planting and leaf length (cm), leaf breadth (cm) and leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) at the time of flowering *i.e* 12 months after planting. The leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) was computed by using the following formula.

Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) per plant = L × B × K × Number of leaves  
 where K = constant (0.99)

## Results and Discussion

### Plant height and number of leaves

Among main treatments, T<sub>2</sub> recorded significantly the highest plant height (32.22 cm, 60.78 cm and 73.54 cm) at 4, 8 and 12 month after planting. At 8 and 12 MAP, T<sub>2</sub> recorded significantly higher number of leaves (31.00 and 35.83 respectively) which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> (29.96 and 35). This significant improvement in plant height and number of leaves could be due to the application of biofertilizer which helps the plants to increase the dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase, nitrogenase and hydrolysis enzyme activities mainly due to increase in the rhizosphere microbial population as a consequence of the inoculation treatments. Further, free living N<sub>2</sub> fixers such as *Azotobacter* can affect plant growth not only by fixing N<sub>2</sub> but also by altering microbial balance, solubilizing fixed soil phosphorus and suppressing pathogenic microorganisms by producing metabolites that stimulate plant development (Bohane *et al.*, 2016) [3].

In case of sub treatments, the treatment S<sub>5</sub> recorded significantly highest plant height (34.59, 63.00 and 77.98 cm) and higher number of leaves at 4, 8 and 12 MAP, (16.24, 32.79 and 38.40) respectively. In this treatment, vermicompost has been used a source of supplying 20%

RDN. The significant increase in plant height and number of leaves could be due to vermicompost presence in these treatments as the vermicompost have much finer structure than ordinary compost and contain nutrients in forms that are readily available for plant uptake. It is rich in micro and macronutrients, vital plant promoting and humus forming substances, Nitrogen fixers and humus forming micro-organisms. Increase in plant growth may also be due to presence of earthworms which improves the soil fertility in vermicompost treatments. Further, the growth response of the plants for vermicompost appears more like hormone-induced activity associated with the high levels of humic acids and humates in vermicompost (Chaitra *et al.*, 2018 [5], Canellas *et al.*, 2002 [4]). The present findings are in accordance with the results reported by Dhomane *et al.* (2011) [6] in guava, Singh *et al.* (2010) [12] in strawberry and Nandi *et al.* (2013) [8] in pomegranate.

Among the interactions, the plant height at 4 and 8 MAP was highest at T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (37.67 and 65.90 cm respectively). However, T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> recorded higher plant height (80.53 cm) at 12 MAP which was on par with T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (79.13 cm). Among the interactions, T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> was recorded the higher number of leaves at 4, 8 and 12 MAP (17.67, 35.33 and 41.40) which was on par with T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (17.20, 34.67 and 39.70). The improvement in vegetative parameters may also be due to improvement of physical properties of soil with the inclusion of vermicompost and it could also be because of continuous supply of available nutrients from organic and inorganic form of nutrients applied and also due to the effect of bio active substances produced by the application of bio fertilizers. Similar reports also reported in strawberry (Singh *et al.*, 2015) [11].

### D leaf length and D leaf breadth

At 4, 8 and 12 MAP, T<sub>2</sub> recorded significantly higher D- leaf length (23.04, 52.49 and 57.95 cm). Among the sub treatments, treatment S<sub>5</sub> registered higher D- leaf length (24.65, 54.29 and 59.92 cm) at 4, 8 and 12 MAP respectively. Among interactions, treatment T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> recorded the highest D- leaf length (26.50, 61.33 and 64.00 cm) followed by T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (25.93, 55.93 and 60.73 cm) at 4, 8 and 12 MAP respectively. Among main treatments, T<sub>2</sub> recorded significantly higher D- leaf breadth at 4 and 12 MAP with 2.53 and 3.30 cm. Among sub treatments, the treatment S<sub>5</sub> recorded higher D- leaf breadth (2.50, 2.67 and 3.31 cm). The treatment T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> recorded the highest D- leaf breadth (2.65 and 2.85 cm) at 4 and 8 MAP, respectively. It is clear from the Table 2 that the D- leaf length and breadth has increased continuously from 4 months after planting to flowering (12 months after planting) and significantly varied among the most of the treatments. Such enhanced vegetative growth parameters due to application of nutrients through organics, inorganics and micronutrients in pineapple was reported by Omotoso and Akinrinde (2013) [9] and Bhugaloo (1998) [2].

### Leaf length, leaf breadth and leaf area at the time of flowering

Among main treatments (Table 3), the highest leaf length (55.61 cm), leaf breadth (3.34 cm) and leaf area (6493.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) were recorded in T<sub>2</sub> treatment which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> (55.20 cm, 3.18 cm and 6102.82 cm<sup>2</sup>). Hence, the increased leaf dimensions and resultant leaf area in plants treated with *Azotobacter* and PSB, could be attributed to the enhanced availability of N and P. Such augmented leaf area is also observed in strawberry plants inoculated with *Azotobacter* in combination with 60 kg N/ha (Rana and Chandel, 2003) [10].

Among different sub treatments, the maximum leaf length (57.13 cm), leaf breadth (3.48 cm) and leaf area (7767.11 cm<sup>2</sup>) were found in S<sub>5</sub> treatment. Among different interactions, higher leaf length (64.00 cm), leaf breadth (3.67 cm) and leaf area (8853.11 cm<sup>2</sup>) were recorded in T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> which

was on par with T<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> (59.83 cm and 3.57 cm). The maximum leaf area which is a factor of higher leaf length, breadth and presence of maximum number of leaves is a precursor for sustaining better growth and yield of crops in general and pineapple in particular.

**Table 1:** Effect of organic, inorganic nutrients, biofertilizers and their interaction on plant height and number of leaves of pineapple cv. Kew

Main Treatment	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves / plant		
	4 MAP	8 MAP	12 MAP	4 MAP	8 MAP	12 MAP
T <sub>1</sub>	28.11	54.26	68.84	13.68	27.50	31.36
T <sub>2</sub>	32.22	60.78	73.54	15.37	31.00	35.83
T <sub>3</sub>	30.46	58.37	71.22	15.03	29.96	35.00
T <sub>4</sub>	29.18	55.54	69.76	14.17	28.92	33.82
S.Em±	0.62	1.01	1.27	0.30	0.61	0.80
C.D. @ 5%	1.51	2.48	3.10	0.74	1.48	1.96
C.V. (%)	6.19	5.32	5.37	6.20	6.20	7.07
<b>Sub Treatment</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	31.70	59.67	74.76	15.75	30.92	37.03
S <sub>2</sub>	27.23	55.02	69.13	13.57	26.48	32.21
S <sub>3</sub>	31.69	59.13	72.58	15.18	30.90	34.82
S <sub>4</sub>	26.43	51.70	63.48	12.77	26.19	30.83
S <sub>5</sub>	34.59	63.00	77.98	16.24	32.79	38.40
S <sub>6</sub>	28.28	54.91	67.12	13.85	28.79	30.72
S.Em±	0.56	1.19	1.49	0.33	0.62	0.73
C.D. @ 5%	1.13	2.40	3.02	0.66	1.24	1.48
C.V. (%)	4.55	5.09	5.16	5.51	5.14	5.29
<b>Interaction</b>						
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	29.03	59.53	74.17	14.23	30.00	34.87
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	26.20	54.73	64.93	13.00	23.33	30.67
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	29.10	56.13	71.33	14.50	31.33	32.27
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	24.40	46.00	63.53	12.17	24.00	28.33
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	32.60	59.90	74.47	14.00	30.17	36.33
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	27.30	49.23	64.60	14.17	26.17	25.67
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	34.50	63.20	78.27	16.77	33.17	38.67
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	28.80	57.07	73.07	14.77	27.83	33.73
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	32.50	62.50	71.20	15.97	31.33	35.00
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	28.00	54.87	63.20	12.50	27.00	32.53
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	37.67	65.90	79.13	17.67	35.33	41.40
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	31.83	61.13	76.40	14.53	31.33	33.67
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	32.00	57.87	75.53	16.47	31.83	38.33
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	28.27	52.80	67.93	13.70	27.17	32.63
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	33.83	62.07	74.00	15.60	30.67	36.33
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	27.63	56.13	63.33	13.93	26.27	32.80
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	33.50	64.13	80.53	17.20	34.67	39.70
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	27.50	57.20	66.00	13.27	29.17	30.20
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	31.27	58.07	71.07	15.53	28.67	36.27
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	25.67	55.47	70.60	12.80	27.57	31.80
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	31.33	55.80	73.80	14.67	30.27	35.67
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	25.70	49.80	63.83	12.47	27.50	29.67
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	34.60	62.07	77.80	16.10	31.00	36.17
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	26.50	52.07	61.47	13.43	28.5	33.33
<b>M at S</b>						
S.Em±	1.12	2.38	2.98	0.66	1.23	1.47
C.D. @ 5%	2.25	4.81	6.03	1.32	2.49	2.97
<b>S at M</b>						
S.Em±	1.19	2.40	3.00	0.67	1.28	1.56
C.D. @ 5%	2.54	5.03	6.30	1.41	2.70	3.33

**Main treatments-** T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Azotobacter, T<sub>3</sub>: PSB, T<sub>4</sub>: VAM, **Sub treatments** - S<sub>1</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through Vermicompost, S<sub>2</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through FYM, S<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>4</sub>: RDN (350:130:440 NPK kg/ha) control, S<sub>5</sub>: S<sub>1</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>6</sub>: S<sub>2</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)

**Table 2:** Effect of organic, inorganic nutrients, biofertilizers and their interaction on D leaf length and D leaf breadth of pineapple cv. Kew

Main Treatment	D leaf length (cm)			D leaf breadth (cm)		
	4 MAP	8 MAP	12 MAP	4 MAP	8 MAP	12 MAP
T <sub>1</sub>	20.77	47.26	53.30	2.22	2.31	2.90
T <sub>2</sub>	23.04	52.49	57.95	2.53	2.54	3.30
T <sub>3</sub>	21.65	50.64	54.86	2.33	2.55	2.87
T <sub>4</sub>	20.76	47.94	53.72	2.26	2.45	2.90

S.Em±	0.44	1.24	1.04	0.03	0.04	0.13
C.D. @ 5%	1.07	3.03	2.54	0.08	0.10	0.31
C.V. (%)	6.09	7.49	5.67	4.31	5.10	12.56
<b>Sub Treatment</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	22.59	50.26	57.04	2.43	2.59	3.18
S <sub>2</sub>	18.50	47.89	52.55	2.31	2.26	2.84
S <sub>3</sub>	22.54	50.23	55.38	2.33	2.58	3.03
S <sub>4</sub>	19.89	46.48	52.33	2.10	2.25	2.72
S <sub>5</sub>	24.65	54.29	59.92	2.50	2.67	3.31
S <sub>6</sub>	21.15	48.35	52.52	2.35	2.42	2.88
S.Em±	0.47	1.02	1.17	0.06	0.05	0.08
C.D. @ 5%	0.96	2.06	2.36	0.13	0.10	0.16
C.V. (%)	5.38	5.04	5.21	6.67	5.04	6.28
<b>Interaction</b>						
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	22.00	47.17	53.33	2.36	2.49	3.07
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	17.37	47.20	49.00	2.22	2.28	2.82
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	21.33	48.80	58.07	2.18	2.45	2.98
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	19.28	46.67	53.33	2.02	1.99	2.60
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	24.30	46.50	56.00	2.54	2.43	3.19
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	20.33	47.20	50.07	2.01	2.22	2.74
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	24.40	54.00	59.90	2.62	2.65	3.65
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	19.43	48.97	53.33	2.47	2.14	3.00
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	23.83	54.33	58.47	2.46	2.66	3.29
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	20.28	47.33	55.33	2.38	2.31	2.98
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	26.50	61.33	64.00	2.65	2.85	3.64
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	23.80	49.00	56.67	2.61	2.60	3.21
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	21.63	52.00	58.60	2.49	2.67	3.17
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	18.70	48.73	50.67	2.13	2.39	2.53
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	22.17	52.13	55.33	2.39	2.67	2.97
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	20.67	46.07	49.67	2.02	2.35	2.35
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	25.93	55.93	60.73	2.54	2.78	3.31
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	20.80	49.00	54.13	2.42	2.42	2.90
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	22.33	47.87	56.33	2.23	2.54	2.84
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	18.50	46.67	57.20	2.43	2.21	2.99
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	22.83	45.67	49.67	2.30	2.53	2.87
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	19.33	45.83	51.00	2.00	2.37	2.96
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	21.87	53.40	58.93	2.27	2.60	3.09
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	19.67	48.2	49.20	2.36	2.45	2.67
<b>M at S</b>						
S.Em±	0.95	2.04	2.34	0.13	0.10	0.15
C.D. @ 5%	1.91	4.12	4.72	0.26	0.20	0.31
<b>S at M</b>						
S.Em±	0.97	2.23	2.37	0.12	0.10	0.19
C.D. @ 5%	2.04	4.81	4.99	0.25	0.21	0.42

**Main treatments-** T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Azotobacter, T<sub>3</sub>: PSB, T<sub>4</sub>: VAM, **Sub treatments** - S<sub>1</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through Vermicompost, S<sub>2</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through FYM, S<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>4</sub>: RDN (350:130:440 NPK kg/ha) control, S<sub>5</sub>: S<sub>1</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>6</sub>: S<sub>2</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)

**Table 3:** Effect of organic, inorganic nutrients, biofertilizers and their interaction on leaf length, leaf breadth and leaf area in pineapple cv. Kew

Main Treatment	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )
	12 MAP	12 MAP	12 MAP
T <sub>1</sub>	51.26	3.04	5346.85
T <sub>2</sub>	55.61	3.34	6493.33
T <sub>3</sub>	55.20	3.18	6102.82
T <sub>4</sub>	51.85	3.04	5545.67
S.Em±	1.36	0.05	120.10
C.D. @ 5%	3.33	0.12	293.88
C.V. (%)	7.63	4.68	6.14
<b>Sub Treatment</b>			
S <sub>1</sub>	53.76	3.31	6772.92
S <sub>2</sub>	52.92	2.85	4803.86
S <sub>3</sub>	55.42	3.17	5976.93
S <sub>4</sub>	49.74	2.98	4715.93
S <sub>5</sub>	57.13	3.48	7767.11
S <sub>6</sub>	51.92	3.12	5196.26
S.Em±	1.10	0.05	156.32
C.D. @ 5%	2.22	0.11	315.94
C.V. (%)	5.04	4.22	6.52

Interaction			
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	53.72	3.03	5913.61
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	47.91	2.76	4151.44
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	56.30	3.14	5914.52
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	48.67	2.85	4181.11
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	52.33	3.42	7455.49
T <sub>1</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	48.61	3.05	4464.94
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	56.41	3.62	7824.52
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	51.63	2.91	4966.61
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	57.00	3.42	6332.94
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	51.30	3.20	5073.10
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	64.00	3.67	8853.11
T <sub>2</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	53.33	3.21	5909.68
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	58.22	3.54	7832.35
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	53.96	2.90	4818.54
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	54.15	2.84	5559.33
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	50.33	3.06	5016.10
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	59.83	3.57	7932.64
T <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	54.72	3.17	5457.97
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>1</sub>	46.69	3.06	5521.19
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	58.17	2.81	5278.84
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	54.23	3.27	6100.94
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	48.67	2.80	4593.40
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>5</sub>	52.33	3.26	6827.18
T <sub>4</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	51.01	3.06	4952.46
M at S			
S.Em±	2.20	0.11	312.64
C.D. @ 5%	4.45	0.22	631.88
S at M			
S.Em±	2.43	0.11	309.64
C.D. @ 5%	5.23	0.23	645.65

**Main treatments-** T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Azotobacter, T<sub>3</sub>: PSB, T<sub>4</sub>: VAM, **Sub treatments** - S<sub>1</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through Vermicompost, S<sub>2</sub>: 80% RDN + 20% RDN through FYM, S<sub>3</sub>: 100% RDN + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>4</sub>: RDN (350:130:440 NPK kg/ha) control, S<sub>5</sub>: S<sub>1</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha), S<sub>6</sub>: S<sub>2</sub> + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)

## Conclusion

The results revealed that all the vegetative growth parameters are significantly affected by different levels of biofertilizers, organic and inorganic treatment combinations. With respect to plant growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves, D leaf length, D leaf breadth, leaf length, leaf breadth and leaf area found effective with treatment combination T<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> [Azotobacter (5kg/ha) +80% RDN+20% RDN through vermicompost +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (10 kg/ha) + Boron (5 kg/ha)]. From this investigation, it is clear that treatment with the combination of inorganics, organics, micronutrients and bio-fertilizers showed better performance compared with their individual effects.

## References

1. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database, <http://www.nhb.gov.in>, 2017.
2. Bhugalo RA. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on yield and quality of pineapple variety Queen Victoria. AMAS 98. Food and Agricultural Research Council, Réduit, Mauritius. 1998, 75-80p.
3. Bohane L, Tiwari R, Gautam KK. Integrated nutrient management in ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola under Malwa Plateau conditions of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2016; 73(1):128-132.
4. Canellas LP, Olivares FL, Okorokova-Facanha AL, Facanha AR. Humic acids isolated from earthworm compost enhance root elongation, lateral root emergence and plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in maize roots. Plant Physiology. 2002; 130(4):1951-1957.
5. Chaitra P, Math KK, Bidari BI, Jagadeesh KS. Extraction and characterization of humic acid from vermicompost and farm yard manure. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7(6):573-575.
6. Dhokane PA, Kadam AS, Lakade SK, Gharage VR. Effect of different sources of nitrogen on growth and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2011; 6(1):92-95.
7. Krishan H, Dilip Singh RK, Langoklakpam B. Effect of variety and biofertilizer on growth and yield of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L. Merr.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(6):2568-2571.
8. Nandi B, Bhandari SC, Meena RH, Meena RR. Effect of vermicompost on plant growth, fruit yield and quality of pomegranate cv. Ganesh. Environmental and Ecology. 2013; 31(1A): 322-324.
9. Omotoso SO, Akinrinde EA. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on some growth, yield and fruit quality parameters in pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L. Merr.) plant at Ado-Ekiti South western, Nigeria. International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science. 2013; 3(1):11-16.
10. Rana RK, Chandel JS. Effect of bio-fertilizers and nitrogen on growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry. Progressive Horticulture. 2003; 35(1):25-30.
11. Singh AK, Beer K, Pal AK. Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizers on strawberry: growth, flowering and yield. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2015; 17(2):196-99.
12. Singh R, Sharma RR, Singh DB. Effect of vermicompost on plant growth, fruit yield and quality of strawberries in irrigated arid region of northern plains. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2010; 67(3):318-321.