

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(5): 1051-1054 © 2019 IJCS Received: 19-07-2019 Accepted: 21-08-2019

PA Shinde

Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

Sunita J Magar

Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

KL Jaiswal

Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

PN Kadam

Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

AS Rothe

Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence PA Shinde Department of plant pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur, Maharashtra, India

Efficacy of insecticides, oils and antibiotics on management of Brinjal little leaf disease

PA Shinde, Sunita J Magar, KL Jaiswal, PN Kadam and AS Rothe

Abstract

Brinjal or eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) belongs to the family *Solanaceae* which contains 75 genera and over 2000 species. Phytoplasmal little leaf disease is economical important capable of causing yield losses up to 40 per cent. The commonly encountered disease symptoms were leaf curling or cupping, reduced leaf size, reduced the petiole length, proliferation of auxiliary shoots, flowers malformed into leaf like structures (phyllody), yellowing and stunted plants growth and death of little leaf disease under field condition. For the management of brinjal little leaf disease insecticides, oils and antibiotics were used among this all antibiotic were recorded as effective for management of brinjal little leaf disease can be controlled by treatment with spraying of insecticide i.e. Dimethoate followed by spraying of Neem oil 1000 ppm and Tetracycline @ 500 ppm. The highest disease incidence was recorded in spraying of Garlic oil 1000 ppm.

Keywords: Brinjal little leaf, management, insecticides, oils and antibiotics

Introduction

Brinjal is a self-pollinated, annual herbaceous plant (2n=24 chromosoms). Brinjal is the most popular and widely grown vegetable crop of both tropics and sub-tropics of the world. It is being grown extensively in India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, China, Philippines, France, Italy and United States. India is the centre of origin. It is highly productive and find its place as the poor man's vegetable (Som and Maity, 2002) ^[12]. In India, immature fruits of brinjal are consumed as a cooked vegetable in various ways (Rai *et al.*, 1995) ^[10] and fruits are rich source of minerals like Ca, Mg, P and fatty acids. Besides, it is used as fresh vegetable and known to have some medicinal properties in curing diabetic patients, asthma, cholera, bronchitis, diarrhoea and other complaints (Tomar and Kalda, 1998) ^[13]. Eggplant is very low in calories and fats but rich in soluble fibers. 100 g provides just 24 calories but contributes about 9% of RDA (Recommended Daily Allowance) of fiber. It contains good amounts of many essential B-complex vitamins such as pantothenic acid (Vitamin B₅), pyridoxine (Vitamin B₆), thiamin (Vitamin B₁) and niacin (Vitamin B₃), total water soluble sugars, free reducing sugars and amide proteins (Gopalan *et al.*, 2007)^[3].

Brinjal little leaf disease incidence was up to 45% with the yield per plant reduced by 90% (Kelly *et al.*, 2009) ^[4]. Phytoplasmas are important phloem-limited, insect transmitted pathogenic agents, causing about 1000 diseases, many of which are lethal, in hundreds of plant species. They are non-cultivable, gram positive prokaryotes in the class- Mollicutes (Weintraub, 2007) ^[14].

Brinjal crop cultivation is increasing day by day in India especially, in Maharashtra State. Brinjal little leaf phytoplasmal disease has been emerging as a threat to brinjal cultivation in Marathwada region of the state of Maharashtra. It is serious problem in all varieties considering economic importance of disease and very few information available on management of disease therefore the present investigation carried out for management of brinjal little leaf disease.

Material and Methodology

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* -2018 on the experimental farm of the Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Latur. The field experiment was laid out by applying randomized block design (RBD) with ten treatments and three replications. The Brinjal variety Gaurav, susceptible to brinjal little leaf disease was used during present

experiment. Recommended doses of fertilizers were applied with light irrigation for better seedling growth. Intercultural operations were performed as and when required. Three spraying of insecticides, oils and antibiotic were given. First spraying will be done after first appearance of disease and subsequently two at 20 days interval. Observations on little leaf were done from its first appearance at an interval of 10 days and continued till final picking of the fruits and also one day before each spraying.

Result and Discussion

The results (Table 1) revealed that those insecticides, oils and antibiotic tested were effective in reducing disease incidence over untreated control. Little leaf per cent disease incidence recorded at 60 DAT was comparatively minimum, but later it increased slowly upto 180 DAT in the treatments attempted. Among the treatments, average incidence of the disease ranged from 3.47 to 17.01 per cent. However, it was least with the treatment T_3 : spraying of Dimethoate 30% EC @ 20ml/ 10 lit water (3.47%), followed by T_7 : Neem oil 1000 ppm @ 5 ml/ lit water (4.40%), T_4 : spraying of Tetracycline @ 500 ppm (5.42%), T_1 : spraying of Imidacloprid 17.8% SL @ 2ml/ 10 lit water (5.53%) and T_5 : spraying of Tetracycline @ 1000 (5.74%). Rest of treatments recorded average disease incidence in the range of 6.54 to 11.88 per cent as against comparatively maximum average incidence (17.01%) in unsprayed control.

Table 1: Effect of sprayings of insecticides, oils and antibiotic on incidence of brinjal little leaf disease at various intervals

| Tn | | | Disease incidence (%) days after transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | *Av. | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| тг. No. | Treatments | Dosages | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | Incid. (%) |
| T_1 | Spraying of Imidacloprid 17.8% SL | FS @ 2 ml/10 ltr | 2.64 (9.35) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.53 |
| T_2 | Spraying of Thiomethoxam 25% WG | FS @ 5 gm/10 ltr | 5.32 (13.33) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.54 |
| T3 | Spraying of Dimethoate 30% EC | FS @ 20ml/10 ltr | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 2.64 (9.35) | 2.64 (9.35) | 2.64 (9.35) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 3.47 |
| T_4 | Spraying of Tetracycline | FS @ 500 ppm | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 2.64 (9.35) | 5.32 (13.33) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.64 (14.93) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 5.42 |
| T5 | Spraying of Tetracycline | FS @ 1000 ppm | 2.64 (9.35) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.64 (14.93) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 5.74 |
| T ₆ | Spraying of Tetracycline | FS @ 1500 ppm | 1.32 (6.59) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 6.64 (14.93) | 6.64 (14.93) | 10.64 (19.03) | 10.64 (19.03) | 13.32 (21.40) | 13.32 (21.40) | 13.32 (21.40) | 13.32 (21.40) | 8.01 |
| T ₇ | Spraying of Neem oil 1000 ppm | FS @ 50 ml/ 10ltr | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 4.00 (11.53) | 4.00 (11.53) | 5.32 (13.33) | 5.32 (13.33) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 8.00 (16.42) | 4.40 |
| T_8 | Spraying of Karanj oil 1000 ppm | FS @ 50 ml/ 10ltr | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 1.32 (6.59) | 5.32 (13.33) | 8.00 (16.42) | 10.64 (19.03) | 10.64 (19.03) | 14.64 (22.49) | 14.64 (22.49) | 20.00 (26.56) | 20.00 (26.56) | 20.00 (26.56) | 20.00 (26.56) | 11.37 |
| T9 | Spraying of Garlic oil 1000 ppm | FS @ 50 ml/ 10ltr | 1.32 (6.59) | 4.00 (11.53) | 5.32 (13.33) | 6.64 (14.93) | 12.00 (20.26) | 12.00 (20.26) | 12.00 (20.26) | 13.32 (21.40) | 13.32 (21.40) | 18.64 (25.57) | 18.64 (25.57) | 18.64 (25.57) | 18.64 (25.57) | 11.88 |
| T10 | Control (unsprayed) | | 10.64 (19.03) | 10.64 (19.03) | 13.32 (21.40) | 13.32 (21.40) | 16.00 (23.57) | 17.32 (24.59) | 17.32 (24.59) | 18.64 (25.57) | 18.64 (25.57) | 21.32 (27.49) | 21.32 (27.49) | 21.32 (27.49) | 21.32 (27.49) | 17.01 |
| | S.E. ± | - | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 1.42 | - |
| | C.D. (P=0.05) | - | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.59 | 1.74 | 2.19 | 2.29 | 2.29 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 4.26 | 4.26 | 4.26 | 4.26 | - |

*= Average of three replications; FS= Foliar spray; DAT= Days after transplanting

Figures in parentheses are arcsine transformed values

Similarly, the results (Table 2, Fig. 1) revealed that the initial little leaf incidence at 60 DAT was increased with slow rate upto third spraying of the treatments, but the rate of increase was comparatively maximum in unsprayed control. However, based on terminal disease incidence after third spraying and average disease incidence, the most effective treatment found was T_{3:} spraying of Dimethoate 30% EC @ 20ml/ 10 lit water with significantly least disease incidence (2.64%) after third spraying and minimum average disease incidence (1.65%). This was followed by the treatments Neem oil 1000 ppm @ 5 ml/ lit water (4.00% and 1.99%), spraying of Tetracycline @ 1000 ppm (5.32% and 3.99%) and spraying of Imidacloprid 17.8% SL @ 2ml/ 10 lit water (5.32% and 4.32%), respectively of the disease incidence after third spraying and mean disease incidence. Rests of the treatments were also effective except unsprayed control, with comparatively maximum disease incidence (17.32%) after third spraying as well as maximum mean disease incidence (12.98%)

The results (Table 2) revealed that all the treatments significantly reduced little leaf disease incidence, over unsprayed control. However, comparatively highest reduction

in disease incidence was recorded with the treatment T_3 : spraying of Dimethoate 30% EC @ 20ml/ 10 lit water (87.28%), followed by the treatments T_7 : Neem oil 1000 ppm @ 5 ml/ lit water (84.66%), T_4 : spraying of Tetracycline @ 500 ppm (77.04%), T_5 and T_6 : Tetracycline @ 1000 and 1500 ppm, respectively (each 69.26%). Rest of the treatments recorded disease reduction in the range of 51.38 to 66.71 per cent.

Similar results regarding effectiveness of insecticides in controlling the vector leaf hoppers and thereby resulting in reduced incidence of the phytoplasmal phyllody/ little leaf diseases were reported earlier by several workers (Pathak *et al.*, 2013; Singh *et al.*, 2014; Kumari *et al.*, 2017; Khandelwal, 2018) ^[9, 11, 7, 5]. Similarly, Neem oil and other essential oils were also reported as effective in controlling the vector leaf hoppers and thereby reducing the incidence of phytoplasmal diseases (Kumar *et al.*, 2012c; Ahirwar *et al.*, 2009) ^[6, 1]. Also, the antibiotic Tetracycline at various concentrations was reported as effective in controlling various phytoplasmal diseases (Kumhar and Meena, 2016 and Akhtar *et al.*, 2009a) ^[8, 2].

| т., | | | Disease incidence (%) * | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--|--|
| тг. No | Treatments | Dosages | Before Ist | After Ist | After II nd | After III rd | Maan | Reduction (%) over control | | |
| 190. | | | Spray | Spray | Spray | Spray | Mean | | | |
| т | Spraving of Imidaeloprid 17.8 SI | FS @ 2 m1/10 ltr | 2.64 | 4.00 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 4.32 | 66 71 | | |
| 11 | Spraying of mildaclopfid 17.8 SL | 15 @ 2 mi/10 m | (9.35) | (11.53) | (13.33) | (13.33) | (14.54) | 00.71 | | |
| T ₂ | Spraving of Thiomethoyam 25 WG | FS @ 5 gm/10 ltr | 5.32 | 6.64 | 6.64 | 6.64 | 6.31 | 51.38 | | |
| | Spraying of Thiomethoxani 25 WG | 1.5 @ 5 gm/10 tu | (13.33) | (14.93) | (14.93) | (14.93) | (7.38) | 51.58 | | |
| T ₃ | Spraying of | FS @ $20ml/10$ ltr | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 2.64 | 1.65 | 87 28 | | |
| | Dimethoate 30 EC | 15 @ 2011/10 lu | (6.59) | (6.59) | (6.59) | (9.35) | (9.94) | 87.28 | | |
| T 4 | Spraying of | FS @ | 1.32 | 1.32 | 2.64 | 6.64 | 2.98 | 77.04 | | |
| | Tetracycline | 500 ppm | (6.59) | (6.59) | (9.35) | (14.93) | (11.52) | //.04 | | |
| T 5 | Spraying of | FS @ | 2.64 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.32 | 3.99 | 69.26 | | |
| | Tetracycline | 1000 ppm | (9.35) | (11.53) | (11.53) | (13.33) | (11.52) | 07.20 | | |
| Т | Spraying of | FS @ | 1.32 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 6.64 | 3.99 | 69.26 | | |
| 10 | Tetracycline | 1500 ppm | (6.59) | (11.53) | (11.53) | (14.93) | (11.52) | 09.20 | | |
| T ₇ | Spraying of | FS @ 50 ml/ 10 ltr | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 4.00 | 1.99 | 84 66 | | |
| | Neem oil 1000 ppm | 15 e 50 m/ 10 m | (6.59) | (6.59) | (6.59) | (11.53) | (8.10) | 04.00 | | |
| T8 | Spraying of | FS @ 50 ml/ 10 ltr | 1.32 | 1.32 | 5.32 | 10.64 | 4.65 | 64 17 | | |
| | Karanj oil 1000 ppm | 15 e 50 m/ 10 m | (6.59) | (6.59) | (13.33) | (19.03) | (12.45) | 04.17 | | |
| T 9 | Spraying of | FS @ 50 ml/ 10 ltr | 1.32 | 4.00 | 6.64 | 12.00 | 5.99 | 53.85 | | |
| | Garlic oil 1000 ppm | 15 e 50 m/ 10 m | (6.59) | (11.53) | (14.93) | (20.26) | (14.16) | | | |
| T10 | Control (unsprayed) | _ | 10.64 | 10.64 | 13.32 | 17.32 | 12.98 | _ | | |
| 1 10 | control (unsprayed) | | (19.03) | (19.03) | (21.40) | (24.59) | (21.11) | | | |
| | S.E. ± | - | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.77 | - | - | | |
| | C.D. (P= 0.05) | - | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.74 | 2.29 | - | - | | |

Table 2: Effect of sprayings of insecticides, oils and antibiotic on incidence of brinjal little leaf disease

*= Average of three replications; FS= Foliar spray.

Figures in parentheses are arcsine transformed values.



Fig 1: Brinjal little leaf disease incidence (%) as influenced by sprayings of insecticides, oils and antibiotic

References

- 1. Ahirwar RM, Gupta MP, Banerjee S. Field efficacy of natural and indigenous products on sucking pests of sesame. Indian J Nat. Prod. Res. 2009; 1(2):221-226.
- Akhtar KP, Sarwar G, Dickinson M, Ahmad M, Haq MA, Iabal MJ. Sesame phyllody disease: Its symptomatology, etiology and transmission in Pakistan. Turkey J Agric. 2009a; 33:477-486.
- Gopalan C, Rama BV, Sastri S, Balasubramanian. Nutritive value of Indian foods. Hyderabad, Indian Natl. Inst. Nutr. (NIN), ICMR. 2007, 277-289.
- 4. Kelly PL, Arocha Y, Dider SZ. First report of a 16SrI, *Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris' isolate affecting eggplant and *Mikania* sp. in Bangladesh. J Pl. Path. 2009; 18:52.

- 5. Khandelwal S. Diseases caused by virus in plants (with control measures). Navigation. 2018, 10-12.
- 6. Kumar R, Ali S, Kumar S. Impact of botanical pesticides for the management of *Antigastra catalaunalis* Dup. in *Sesamum indicum* L. Mol. Ento. 2012c; 3:1-3.
- 7. Kumari S, Nagendran K, Rai AB, Singh B, Rao GP. Little leaf: a menace to brinjal cultivation. Biotech. Articles, 2017, 1-5.
- Kumhar DR, Meena AK. Integrated disease management of phyllody of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). J Oilseeds Res. 2016; 33(3):174-177.
- 9. Pathak DM, Patel DR, Patel RR. Control of sesame phyllody caused by plo's., Internat. J. Forestry Crop Improv. 2013; 4(1):47-48.

- Rai M, Gupta PN, Agarwal RC. Catalogue on eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). Germplasm part I. NBPGR, Pusa Campus, New Delhi. 1995, 1-3.
- 11. Singh BK, Singh S, Singh BK, Yadav SM. Some important plant pathogenic diseases of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) and their management. Pl. Path. J. 2014; 13:208-213.
- 12. Som MG, Maity JK. Brinjal vegetable crops, 3rd revised edition, (Eds. Bose, T. K., Kabir, J., Maity, T.K., Parthasarthy, V.A. and Som, M.G.), Naya Prakash Pub., Kolkata. 2002; 1:265-344.
- 13. Tomar BS, Kalda JS. Production system. Is eggplant nutritious. TVIS Newsletter. 1998; 1(1):26.
- Weintraub PG. Insect vectors of phytoplasmas and their control - an update. Bullet. of Insectol. 2007; 60(2):169-173.