

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2019; 7(5): 1345-1349 © 2019 IJCS Received: 22-07-2019 Accepted: 24-08-2019

Alen Bulo SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajiv Umrao SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Lipi Rina SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Correspondence Alen Bulo SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of different plant spacing on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) under subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*) based alley cropping system

# Alen Bulo, Rajiv Umrao and Lipi Rina

#### Abstract

This experiment was laid out during July-September 2016 at forest nursery, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, U.P. in Randomized Block Design. Characters studied regarding growth attributes were plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of branches, while regarding to yield attributes, number of fruits per plant, individual weight of fruit per plant, yield of fruit per plant(g) and yield per hectare (t/ha). Among all the treatments, spacing 60 cm x 30 cm was found best. On the basis of this investigation it is concluded that spacing 60 cm x 30 cm is best for okra, variety Anjali should be adopted in Allahabad (U.P.).

Keywords: Spacing, alley cropping, okra and yield

#### Introduction

Agroforestry integrates trees into farmland and rangeland and in so doing diversifies and sustains production for increased benefits for farmers and the environment. Agroforestry system complement conservation agriculture systems in the provision of soil cover, animal feed, nutrients, household fuel and hillside protection against soil erosion and wind erosion control through shelter belts (Sims *et al.*, 2009). Agroforestry system complement conservation agriculture systems in the provision of soil cover, animal feed, nutrients, household fuel, hillside protection against soil erosion and wind erosion control through shelter belts.

Alley cropping, also known as hedgerow intercropping, involves managing rows of closely planted (with in row) woody plants with annual crops planted in alleys in between hedges. The woody plants are cut regularly and leaves and twigs are used as mulch on the cropped alleys in order to reduce evaporation from the soil surface, suppress weeds and/or add nutrients and organic matter to the top soil. Where nitrogen is required for crop production, nitrogen-fixing plants are the main components of the hedgerows. (Chundawat, 1993)

Distance recommended for planting of okra (branching type) is 60 cm x 30 cm and for non branching type is 45 cm x 30 cm. During spring summer season with less plant growth these spacings are kept at 45 cm x 20 cm or less. Seed should be sown at a depth of 2.5 cm. Recommended nutrients for okra is 25t/ha FYM, 125kg N, 75kg P and 63kg K/ha. Half amount of nitrogen and full amount of P and K should e applied as basal dose while remaining half of the N should be given as top dressing 35-40 days after sowing the seeds. Zinc up to 2% as soil application or 2mg/litre of foliar spray of molybdenum @ 20mg/litre foliar spray for increasing fruit yield. Irrigation is done at an interval of 5-6 days.

*Leucaena leucocephala* also known as subabul belongs to the family Leguminosae/ Mimosoideae. Subabul is a native of Central America; has been introduced in many tropical countries, as in plains of India. The "Hawaiian Giant" variety is being widely cultivated in most states under Social Forestry and Agroforestry Schemes, for fodder, fuel, charcoal, small timber, poles, etc.

Wood is hard, strong, heavy and easily workable for a variety of carpentry purposes; makes cheap constructional timber; used for poles, fence posts, etc. It is suitable for pulp, for manufacture of paper in conjunction with long-fibred pulp (i.e. bamboo pulp). It makes excellent firewood and charcoal.

International Journal of Chemical Studies

Leaves, pods and seeds are nutritious fodder and relished by cattle, sheep and goats. However, a toxic alkaloid mimosine is present in leaves of some varieties that can be injurious to cattle health, it is, therefore, important to use low mimosine strain leaves and mixed with other forage. Leaves and twigs are also used for composting. It is suitable for afforestation of grass lands, denuded watersheds and hill slopes, wind-breaks, fire-breaks; agroforestry and ornamental planting. (Chaturvedi 2011)

# Materials and method

# **Details of experiment**

The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design with the 3 replications having 8 treatments combination with a variety Anjali are allocated randomly in all plots. The details of treatments are given below.

# **Treatment spacing**

- $T_1$  45 cm x 15 cm
- $T_2 50 \text{ cm x } 20 \text{ cm}$
- $T_3 55 \text{ cm x } 25 \text{ cm}$
- $T_4 60 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$
- $T_5 65 \text{ cm x } 35 \text{ cm}$
- T<sub>6</sub> 70 cm x 40 cm T<sub>7</sub> - 75 cm x 45 cm
- $T_8 80 \text{ cm x} 50 \text{ cm}$

# **Results and Discussion**

# Plant height (cm)

1. At 30 DAS, it was observed that different treatment of spacing significantly affect the plant height (cm). The maximum plant height was found in treatment  $T_1$  (34.47

cm) followed by treatment  $T_2$  (33.153 cm) and minimum plant height was found in  $T_8$  with 29.24 cm.

- 2. At 45 DAS, it was observed that different treatment of spacing significantly affect the plant height (cm). The maximum plant height was found in  $T_1$  (51.07 cm) followed by  $T_2$  (50.16 cm) and minimum plant height was found in  $T_8$  (46.25 cm).
- 3. At 60 DAS, it was observed that different treatment of spacing significantly affect the plant height (cm). The maximum plant height was found in  $T_1$  (70.093 cm) followed by  $T_2$  (68.687 cm) and the minimum plant height was found in  $T_8$  with (64.807cm).
- 4. Soni *et al.*, (2006) <sup>[24]</sup> also reported that plant height and number of internodes were maximum under closer spacing.

 
 Table 1: Effect of different plant spacing on plant height (cm) of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) under subabul (Leucaena

leucocephala) based on alley cropping system

Treatment	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
T1	34.47	51.07	70.093
T <sub>2</sub>	33.153	50.16	68.687
T3	33.097	49.107	68.32
<b>T</b> 4	32.36	48.747	66.703
T5	32.17	48.393	66.337
T <sub>6</sub>	31.307	47.527	65.673
T7	30.253	47.113	65.153
T8	29.24	46.25	64.807
F test	S	S	S
S.Ed.(±)	0.487	0.262	0.228
C.D.(P=0.05)	1.054	0.567	0.493



Fig 1: Effect of different plant spacing on plant height (cm) of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) under subabul (Leucaena leucocephala) based on alley cropping system

# Number of branches per plant

At 30 DAS the maximum number of branches per plant was observed in  $T_8$  (2.10) followed by  $T_7$  (1.807) and minimum number of branches was found in  $T_2$  (1.18).

At 45 DAS, the maximum number of branches per plant was observed in  $T_8$  (4.05) followed by  $T_7$  (3.63) and minimum number of branches was found in  $T_2$  (2.46).

At 60 DAS, the maximum number of branches per plant was observed in  $T_8$  (4.92) followed by  $T_7$  (4.72) and minimum number of branches was found in  $T_2$  (3.1). Soni *et al.*, (2006)

<sup>[24]</sup>, Maurya *et al.*, (2013) <sup>[11]</sup> and Madisal et al., (2015) also reported similar results.

Table 2: Effect of different plant spacing on number of branches per plant of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) under subabul (Leucaena
<i>leucocephala</i> ) based on alley cropping system

Treatment	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
T1	1.237	2.573	3.447
T2	1.177	2.460	3.187
Т3	1.270	2.653	3.433
T4	1.350	3.010	3.700
T5	1.447	3.200	4.200
Т6	1.667	3.387	4.517
Τ7	1.807	3.633	4.717
Т8	2.100	4.050	4.917
F test	S	S	S
S.Ed.(±)	0.013	0.026	0.008
C.D.(P=0.05)	0.029	0.056	0.018



Fig. 2: Effect of different plant spacing on number of branches per plant of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) under subabul (*Leucaena leucocephala*) based on alley cropping system

# Number of leaves per plant

At 45 DAS, the maximum number of leaves per plant was observed in  $T_8$  (17.46) followed by  $T_7$  (14.79) and minimum number of leaves was found in  $T_2$  (11.12).

Soni *et al.*, (2006) <sup>[24]</sup> and Madisal *et al.*, (2015) also reported maximum number of leaves under wider spacing.

# Number of fruits per plant

The maximum number of fruits per plant was observed in  $T_8$  (12.87) followed by  $T_7$  (12.13) and minimum number of fruits was found in  $T_2$  (10.93). Kadam *et al.*, (1995), Amjad *et al.*, (2001) and Ekwu *et al.*, (2012) <sup>[8, 1, 5]</sup> also reported maximum number of fruits per plant at the widest spacing.

# Fruit weight per plant (g)

The maximum fruit weight per plant (g) was observed in  $T_8$  (14.73) followed by  $T_7$  (14.62) and minimum weight of fruit was found in  $T_1$  (13.75). Amjad (2001) <sup>[1]</sup> reported similar result.

# Yield per plant (g)

The maximum fruit yield per plant (g) was observed in  $T_8$  (212.69) followed by  $T_7$  (202.02) and minimum fruit yield per plant was found in  $T_1(129.85)$  at harvest.

# Yield (t/ha)

The maximum fruit yield (t/ha) was observed in  $T_1$  with 59.16 followed by  $T_4$  with 5.793and minimum fruit yield was found in  $T_8$  with 4.250 at harvest. Firoz *et al.*, (2007) <sup>[6]</sup> also reported that spacing 60 x 30 cm showed the highest yield.

**Table 3:** Effect of different plant spacing on number of leaves per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant (g), yield per plant (g)and yield (t/ha)

Treatment	Number of leaves per plant	Number of fruits per plant	fruit weight per plant (g)	Yield per plant (g)	Yield (t/ha)
$T_1$	11.844	11.200	13.753	129.85	4.923
$T_2$	11.122	10.933	14.133	138.22	5.253
T <sub>3</sub>	12.710	11.400	14.277	153.40	5.557
$T_4$	12.978	11.567	14.423	170.422	5.793
T5	13.363	11.667	14.527	181.7	5.323
T <sub>6</sub>	14.367	11.733	14.557	191.186	4.897
T <sub>7</sub>	14.789	12.133	14.620	202.02	4.543
T8	17.456	12.867	14.730	212.685	4.250
F test	S	S	S	NS	S
S.Ed. (±)	0.494	0.073	0.047	1.847	0.054
C.D.(P = 0.05)	1.070	0.767	0.102	4.001	0.117



Fig. 3: Effect of different plant spacing on number of leaves per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight per plant (g), yield per plant (g) and yield (t/ha)

Treatment	Cost of cultivation	Yield(t/ha)	Selling rate (Rs/t)	Gross Return (Rs/ha)	Net Return (Rs/ha)	Benefit cost ratio
$T_1$	24,450	4.92	15,000	73,800	49,350	1:2.02
$T_2$	24,350	5.25	15,000	78,750	54,400	1:2.23
<b>T</b> 3	24,250	5.56	15,000	83,400	59,150	1:2.43
$T_4$	24,150	5.79	15,000	86,850	62,700	1:2.60
T <sub>5</sub>	24,050	5.32	15,000	79,800	55,750	1:2.31
T <sub>6</sub>	23,950	4.89	15,000	73,350	49,400	1:2.06
T <sub>7</sub>	23,850	4.54	15,000	68,100	44,250	1:1.85
T <sub>8</sub>	23,750	4.25	15,000	63,750	40,000	1:1.68

#### Conclusion

The present study concluded that  $T_4$  (60 cm x 30 cm) is the best spacing in comparison to other treatments with regards to its yield (t/ha). In terms of economy, the treatment  $T_4$  is found to be the best with Gross return of Rs. 86,850, Net return of Rs. 62,700 and Benefit cost ratio of 1:2.60. Therefore, variety, Anjali of okra with spacing 60 cm x 30 cm is recommended in terms of high yield under Subabul based alley cropping system during *Kharif* season in Allahabad condition.

# References

- 1. Amjad M, Muhammed AA. Effect of phosphorus and density on seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* Moench). Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2001; 3(4):380-383.
- 2. Bajpai VP, Khan AA, Kumar S, Singh P, Singh CB. Effect of spacing and sowing dates on growth and seed

quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Farm science journal. 2004; 13(2):116-117.

- 3. Baruah GKS. Effect of varieties and plant spacing on seed yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] in hill zone of Assam. Hort. J 1995; 8(2):119-124.
- 4. Birbal, Nehra BK, Malik YS. Effect of spacing andnitrogen on fruit yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus Moench*) cv. Varsha Uphar. Haryana Agric. Univ. J Res. 1995; 25(1-2):47-51.
- 5. Ekwu LG, Nwokwu GN. Effect of plant spacing and planting date on the growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L) in Abakaliki. International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development. AGRIC. 2012; 15(2):17-24.
- 6. Firoz ZA, Islam MA, Mohiuddin M, Rahman MM. Yield and yield attributes of okra as influenced by planting time

and plant spacing in hill slope condition. Progress. Agric. 2007; 18(2):67-73.

- 7. Jana JC, Guha S, Chatterjee R. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen levels on crop growth, fruit yield and quality in okra grown during early winter in terai zone of West Bengal. J Hortl. Sci. 2010; 5(1):30-33.
- Kadam KG, Kulkarni SS, Lawande KE. Effects of different spacing on seed yield of okra in kharif season cv. Arka Anamika. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural universities. 1995; 20(2):321-322.
- Kumari M, Singh RK. Studies on effects of fertility levels and spacing on quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). International Journal of Plant Sciences. 2006; 1(2):188-189.
- Madisa1 ME, Mathowa T, Mpofu1 C, Oganne TA. Effects of plant spacing on the growth, yield and yield components of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) in Botswana. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2015; 6(1):7-14.
- 11. Maurya RP, Bailey JA, Chandler JA. Impact of plant spacing and picking interval on the growth, fruit quality and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2013; 1(4):48-54.
- Osipitan AA, Olamiposi B, Garba IG. Evaluation of the role of spacing on yield and in the management of flea beetles, Podagrika spp., infestation in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Journal of Horticulture Sciences. 2012; 8:577-587.
- Paththinige SS, Upashantha PSG, Banda RMR, Fonseka RM. Effect of plant spacing on yield and fruit characteristics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Tropical Agricultural Research. 2009; 20:336-342.
- Philip CB, Sajo AA, Futuless KN. Effect of spacing and N.P.K. fertilizer on the yield and yield components of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) in Mubi, Adamawa state, Nigeria. Journal of Agronomy. 2010; 9(3):131-134.
- Rajaraman G, Pugalendhi L. Influence of spacing and fertilizer levels on the leaf nutrient contents of bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) under drip fertigation system. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(48):6344-6350.
- Rajaraman G, Pugalendhi L. Potential impact of spacing and fertilizer levels on the flowering, productivity and economic viability of hybrid bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) under drip fertigation system. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013; 4(9):1784-1789.
- 17. Ram H, Khan MM, Singh PK. Effect of spacing and cultivars on growth and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Asian J Hort. 2013; 8(2):507-511.
- Saimbhi MS, Datgit Singh, Sandhu KH, Kooner KS, Phillon NPS, Singh D. Effect of plant spacing on fruityield of okra. Agricultural Sciences Digest karnal. 1997; 17(1):40-42.
- Sajjan AS, Shekaragouwda M, Birada BD. Effect of sowing dates, spacings and nitrogen levels on seed yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Seed Research. 2004; 32(2):118-121.
- 20. Sharma SK. Effect of sowing dates and spacing on the seed production of okra. Annuals of Agricultural Research. 2002; 23(3):437-441.

- 21. Singh IP. Effect of spacing on okra. Indian J Agron. 1990; 35(4):439-441.
- 22. Singh JP, Katiyar PN, Singh PC. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on fruit attributes, yield and nitrogen content of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Annuals of Horticulture. 2008; 1(1):64-66.
- Singh P, Singh V, Maurya CL, Swarnkar SK, Bajpai VP. Selection of suitable growth regulator and spacing for seed yield and qulity of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cv. KS-404. Seed Research. 2006; 34(1):61-65.
- 24. Soni N, Bharad SG, Gonge VS, Nandre DR, Ghawade SM. Effect of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and seed yield of okra. Int'l. J Agril. Sci. 2006; 2:444-446.
- Zibelo H, Tsadik K, Sharma JJ. Effect of inter-and intrarow spacing on growth and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] at Humera, Northern Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare, 2016, 6(3).