

International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902 IJCS 2019; 7(6): 2190-2192 © 2019 IJCS Received: 19-09-2019 Accepted: 21-10-2019

SV Mane

Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Dr. AV Solanke

Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

JB Dhangada

Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: SV Mane Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth,

Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Effect of yield target based nutrient management on yield of *rabi* maize

SV Mane, Dr. AV Solanke and JB Dhangada

Abstract

An experiment was conducted on clay loam soil of Western Ghat region to study the Effect of yield target nutrient management in *rabi* maize during *rabi* 2016 and 2017 at Post Graduate Research Farm, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar (M.S.). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine replication. The treatments consists of T₁-Absoulte control; T₂-GRDF (120:60:40, kg N, P₂O₅, K₂O ha⁻¹); T₃- Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (80 q ha⁻¹ yield target); T₄- Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (90 q ha⁻¹ yield target); T₅- Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (100 q ha⁻¹ yield target). The grain and stover yield noticed significantly higher when crop was fertilized with STCR equation (100 q ha⁻¹ yield target) during both the years.

Keywords: Maize, STCR equation, yield

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal food crop grown in India. It ranks third position among the cereal crops after wheat and rice. Globally, maize is known as "Queen of cereals" because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. In India, maize is grown over an area of 8.38 million ha with annual production of 21.76 million tones with an average productivity of 2476 kg ha⁻¹ during 2016 (Anon, 2017)^[1]. In addition to staple food for human being and quality feed for cattle's, maize serves as a basic raw material as an ingredient to several industrial products such as starch, oil, protein, alcoholic beverages, food sweeteners, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, film, textile, gum, package and paper industries etc.

Since maize is an exhaustive crop, the nutrient requirement cannot be supplied only through native nutrient reserves; the additional nutrients can be met by fertilizer application. In maize yield is low due to imbalanced application of fertilizers. The recommendation of a fertilizer dose is a challenge to scientists as it should meet both nutrient demand of crop and sustain the production system.

Application of imbalanced and/or excessive nutrients led to declining nutrient-use efficiency making fertilizer consumption uneconomical and producing adverse effects on atmosphere (Aulakh and Adhya, 2005)^[2] and groundwater quality (Aulakh *et al.* 2009)^[3] causing health hazards and climate change.

The soil test crop response (STCR) are cost effective and plant need based approaches. The STCR approach provide principles and tools for supplying crop nutrients as and when needed to achieve higher yield. The STCR approach not specifically aim to either reduce or increase fertilizer use. Instead, they aim to apply nutrients at optimal rates and time to achieve higher yield and high efficiency of nutrient use by the crop, leading to more net returns per unit of fertilizer invested.

Material and Methods

The field experiment on Maize (*Zea mays* L.) was conducted during *rabi* season of 2016 and 2017 at the Post Graduate Institute Research Farm, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar (M.S.). The soil of experimental plot was clay loam in texture and alkaline in reaction (pH 8.17) with organic carbon (0.57%). It was low in available nitrogen (123.48 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available phosphorus (15.64 kg ha⁻¹) and very high in available potassium (380.80 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine replication. The treatments consists of T₁-Absoulte control; T₂- GRDF (120:60:40, kg N, P₂O₅, K₂O ha⁻¹); T₃- Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (80 q ha⁻¹ yield target); T₄- Fertilizer dose

Result and Discussion

Effect of yield target nutrient management on yield of *rabi* maize

Application of Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (100 q ha^{-1} yield target) to *rabi* maize recorded significantly higher grain yield (92.23, 93.90 and 93.07 q ha^{-1} respectively) during both the years and on pooled mean basis. Whereas, the absolute control treatment recorded significantly lowest grain yield of maize (43.89, 41.50 and 42.70 q ha^{-1}) during first, second year and on pooled mean basis.

The increased grain yield of maize in application of fertilizer as per STCR equation (100 q ha-1) with FYM might be due to

higher growth attributing characters like leaf area and higher dry matter production and its accumulation into different parts of plant and yield attributing characters like rows cob-1, grains cob-1 and weight of cob which resulted higher grain yield. These findings are in corroborative with Verma *et al.* (2005) ^[13], Kolawole and Joyce (2009) ^[8], Jitti S. (2012) ^[7], Birdar *et al.* (2012) ^[5], Biradar and Jayadeva (2013) ^[4], Parihar *et al.* (2015) ^[10],

Data presented in Table 1 implicated fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (100 q ha⁻¹target yield) with FYM recorded significantly maximum stover yield of maize than rest of the treatments during both the years and on pooled mean basis. Significantly minimum stover yield was observed in control treatment during both years and on pooled mean basis. Application of fertilizer as per STCR equation for 100 q ha⁻¹ target yield increased the higher uptake of nutrients by the crop reflected on higher plant growth and yield attributes which in turn increased total biomass of crop. These results are in conformity with those reported by Patra and Biswas. (2009)^[11], Jitti S. (2012)^[7], Nagavani and Subbian (2014)^[9], Shinde *et al.* (2014)^[12], Vikram *et al.* (2015)^[14] and Desai *et al.* (2017)^[6].

Table 1: Grain and stover yield of *rabi* maize as influenced by different treatment

| | Treatment | Yield (q ha ⁻¹) | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| T. No. | | Grain | | | Stover | | |
| | | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled |
| T1: | Absolute Control | 43.89 | 41.50 | 42.70 | 65.80 | 63.69 | 64.75 |
| T ₂ : | GRDF(120:60:40, kg N, P2O5, K2O ha-1) | 71.01 | 73.16 | 72.09 | 99.82 | 102.94 | 101.38 |
| T3: | Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (80 q ha ⁻¹ target yield | 80.86 | 82.08 | 81.47 | 119.87 | 122.65 | 121.26 |
| T4: | Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (90 q ha-1 target yield) | 84.80 | 86.46 | 85.63 | 130.30 | 134.19 | 132.24 |
| T5: | Fertilizer dose as per STCR equation (100 q ha ⁻¹ target yield) | 92.23 | 93.90 | 93.07 | 142.75 | 147.64 | 145.20 |
| | S.E.M. \pm | 0.99 | 0.55 | 0.80 | 1.52 | 2.06 | 1.81 |
| | C.D. at 5% | 2.85 | 1.58 | 2.26 | 4.39 | 5.93 | 5.12 |
| | General mean | 74.56 | 75.42 | 74.99 | 111.71 | 114.22 | 112.97 |

References

- 1. Anonymous. Pocket Book on Agricultural Statistics. Director of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Govt. of India, New Delhi, 2017, 15-27.
- 2. Aulakh MS, Adhya TK. Impact of agricultural acticities on emission of greenhouse gases- Indian perspective. In International conference on soil, water and environment quality–Issues and strategies' held at New Delhi, 2005, 319-335.
- 3. Aulakh MS, Khurana MPS, Singh D. Water pollution related to agricultural, industrial and urban activities, and its effects on food chain: case studies from Punjab. Journal of New seeds. 2009; 10:112-137.
- 4. Biradar A, Jayadeva HM. Influence of targeted yield approach on yield, yield attributes, nutrient uptake and economics of maize. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2013; 100(1-3):146-149.
- 5. Biradar DP, Aladakatti YR, Basavanneppa MA. Enhancing the productivity and economic returns of field crops with balanced nutrient application through site specific nutrient management approach. Proc. Agro-Informatics and Precision Agric., Hyderabad, India, 2012, 146-151.
- 6. Desai AJ, Patel KP, Chaudhari MP, Patel RN. Effect of irrigation level and integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of *rabi* maize. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; SP1:990-992.

- Jitti S. Refinement of existing soil test based NPK recommendation for yield maximization in irrigated maize. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to University Agricultural Science, Dharwad (India), 2012.
- 8. Kolawole EL, Joyce EL. The performance of *Zea mays* as influenced by NPK fertilizer application. Journal of Natural Sciences. Biology and Medicine. 2009; 1(1):59-62.
- 9. Nagavani AV, Subbian P. Productivity and economics of hybrid maize as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Current Biotica. 2014; 7(4):283-293.
- 10. Parihar M, Singh YV, De P, Jat LK. Validation of soil test based fertilizer prescription model under integrated plant nutrient management system for maize in an Inceptisol of Varanasi. Indian Journal of Pure and applied microbiology. 2015; 9(4):1-5.
- 11. Patra PS, Biswas S. Integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under terai region. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2009; 5(1):130-133.
- 12. Shinde SA, Patange MJ, Dhage SJ. Influence of irrigation schedules and integrated management on growth, yield and quality of *rabi* maize. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2014; 12(3):828-832.
- 13. Verma TS, Singh VK, Sandal SK, Paul J. Validation of soil test-based fertilizer adjustment equations on targeted

International Journal of Chemical Studies

yield in wet temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2005; 75(10):654-657.

14. Vikaram AP, Biradar DP, Umesh MR, Basavanneppa MA, Narayana Rao K. Effect of nutrient management techniques on growth, yield and economics of hybrid maize in vertisols. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science. 2015; 28(4):477-481.