P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2020; 8(1): 1992-2003 © 2020 IJCS Received: 10-11-2019 Accepted: 05-12-2019

Pushpendra Kumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Roorkee College of Engineering, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

CN Ram

Associate Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, ANDUA and T, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

MK Singh

Assistant Professor, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University Jalandhar, Phagwara, Punjab, India

Shilpa Saini

Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Roorkee College of Engineering, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author: Pushpendra Kumar Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Roorkee College of Engineering, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

Studies on analysis of variance and mean performance of parents and their crosses among various quantitative traits in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)

Pushpendra Kumar, CN Ram, MK Singh and Shilpa Saini

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i1ad.8558

Abstract

The present study was carried out during Rabi seasons of 2016-17 and 2017-18 at Main Experiment Station of Department of Vegetable Science, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya (U.P.) India. The experimental materials of the study comprised of 54 treatments of tomato [40 F1's and 14 parental lines (10 lines viz., NDT-1, NDT-2, NDT-3, NDT-4, NDT-5, NDT-6, NDT-7, NDT-8, Azad T-6, Arka Saurabh and 4 testers viz., Pusa Ruby, Punjab Chhuhara, Arka Vikash and Arka Meghali]. The 14 parents were involved in a crossing programme to develop a line \times tester set (10 lines + 4 testers + 40 F₁'s). The experimental materials (40 F₁'s and 14 parental lines) were evaluated in Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with three replication having each experimental unit with spacing of 60 cm \times 50 cm with plot size of 1.2 m \times 3.0 m. The observations were recorded on fifteen characters, viz., days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit set, days to first fruit harvest, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), number of locules per fruit, pericarp thickness (mm), total soluble solids (TSS), ascorbic acid (mg/100g fresh fruit) and total fruit yield per plant (kg). Analysis of variance revealed that the differences among treatments were highly significant for all the characters studied in both the years and pooled. Parent Pusa Ruby showed earliest days to 50% flowering among the parents which was followed by NDT-4, NDT-5 and NDT-2 in both the year and pooled. The best F₁ hybrid regarding days to 50% flowering was NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali followed by Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas, NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali and NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara in first year, NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali, NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara and NDT-7 × Arka Vikas in second year, NDT-4 × Arka Meghali followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali, NDT- $6 \times$ Pb. Chhuhara and Azad T- $6 \times$ Arka Vikas in pooled. In parents produced highest total fruit yield per plant was Arka Meghali followed by Arka Vikas, NDT-2 and Arka Saurabh in both the year and pooled. The highest yielding hybrid was NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas followed by NDT-2 \times Arka Meghali, NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas in both the year, NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali followed by NDT-2 \times Arka Meghali, NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara and Azad T-6 \times Arka in pooled.

Keywords: Analysis of variance and mean performance of parents and their crosses among various quantitative traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), 2n=2x=24, a member of the family Solanaceae is one of the most popular & extensively cultivated vegetable throughout the world. It is originated from Peru Ecuador and Bolivia region of Andes of South America (Rick, 1969)^[2]. India ranks third in terms of production after China and USA. In India, total area under tomato cultivation is 0.808 million hectares with production of 19.69 million tonnes and its productivity is 24.4 tonnes per hectare; In India the leading tomato growing states are, Karnataka, West Bengal, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Gujarat and Bihar. (Anonymous, 2017)^[1].

It is a day neutral warm season crop and grows under wide range of soil and climatic conditions. Though tomato is a self-pollinated crop, the unusual high heterosis observed in it, has been attributed to the fact that, originally tomato was a highly out crossing genus which has later evolved into a self-pollinated one (Rick, 1965)^[3] and edible part is botanically known as berry (Kalloo *et al.*, 2001)^[4]. It is globally cultivated for its fleshy fruits and known as

protective food. Under Indian condition, the fruits mainly consumed either as raw or in the preparation of sambar, chatni, pickles etc.

Tomato is also rich in medicinal value. The pulp and juice are digestible, mild aperients, a promoter of gastric secretion and blood purifier. It is reported to have antiseptic properties against intestinal infestations. In the present days, it is gaining more medicinal importance because of the antioxidant property of ascorbic acid and lycopene content. Thus, today it is one of the important raw materials for multimillion food industries. Due to its nutritional values it is also called as "Poor man's apple". In many countries it is considered as "poor man's orange" because of its attractive appearance and nutritive value (Singh *et al.*, 2004) ^[8-5]. It acts as an antioxidant and scavenger of free radicals, which is often associated with carcinogenesis. Thus, lycopene has great beneficial effects on human health (Khachik *et al.*, 1995)^[6].

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out during Rabi seasons of 2016-17 and 2017-18 at Main Experiment Station of Department of Vegetable Science, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Faizabad (U.P.) India. The experimental materials of the study comprised of 54 treatments of tomato [40 F1's and 14 parental lines (10 lines viz., NDT-1, NDT-2, NDT-3, NDT-4, NDT-5, NDT-6, NDT-7, NDT-8, Azad T-6, Arka Saurabh and 4 testers viz., Pusa Ruby, Punjab Chhuhara, Arka Vikash and Arka Meghali]. The 14 parents were involved in a crossing programme to develop a line × tester set (10 lines + 4 testers + 40 F₁'s). The experimental materials (40 F₁'s and 14 parental lines) were evaluated in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replication having each experimental unit with spacing of 60 cm \times 50 cm with plot size of 1.2 m \times 3.0 m. The observations were recorded on fifteen characters, viz., days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit set, days to first fruit harvest, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of fruits per cluster, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), number of locules per fruit, pericarp thickness (mm), total soluble solids (TSS), ascorbic acid (mg/100g fresh fruit) and total fruit yield per plant (kg). The analysis of variance was carried out as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Result and Discussion

Analysis of variance for line \times tester mating design for both the years (Y₁ and Y₂) had been presented in table 1. Analysis of variance revealed that the differences among treatments were highly significant for all the characters studied during both the years. Further partitioning of treatment variances into parents, crosses, lines and testers revealed highly significant differences among parents as well as crosses for all the fifteen characters. Variances due to parents was highly significant for all the characters during both the years and significant for days to 50% flowering in scound year except days to 50% flowering in first year while, for days to first fruit set, days to first fruit harvest, number of primary branches per plant and number of locules per fruit in both the year. Variances due to parents *vs.* crosses were highly significant for days to 50% flowering, days to first fruit set, days to first fruit harvest, plant height, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), pericarp thickness (mm) and total fruit yield per plant (kg) in both the years and ascorbic acid in second year while, significant for number of fruits per cluster and fruit length (cm) in both the years. Variances due to lines *vs.* testers were highly significant for the characters like plant height, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g) and fruit girth (cm) while, significant for number of primary branches per plant and number of locules per fruit in both the years.

The pooled analysis of variance given in table 2 also divided the source of variance into environments found highly significant for all the traits under study. Variances due to treatments and parents were found highly significant for all the traits under study as well as crosses for all the fifteen characters. Variances due to parent vs crosses were found highly significant for all the traits under study except for number of locules per fruit and total soluble solids while, significant for fruit girth (cm) and ascorbic acid. Variances due to line vs testers were found highly significant for the characters like plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (g), fruit girth (cm), number of locules per fruit while, significant for fruit length (cm) and total fruit yield per plant (kg). The similar results were also reported by Kumar et al. (2004)^[8]. The mean performance of 14 parental genotypes of tomato for fifteen quantitative characters had been presented in table 3 and table 4. The highest mean performance for total fruit yield per plant was exhibited by Arka Meghali (3.53 kg) followed by Arka Vikas (3.44 kg), NDT-2 (3.28 kg), Arka Saurabh (3.11 kg) and NDT-8 (2.98 kg). The highest yielding hybrid was NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas (3.17 kg) followed by NDT-2 \times Arka Meghali (3.04 kg), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (2.99 kg), NDT-4 × Arka Vikas (2.94 kg) and NDT-2 × Pusa Ruby (2.88 kg). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 2.84 and 2.49 kg, respectively in Y₁, parents Arka Meghali (3.90 kg) followed by Arka Vikas (3.81 kg), NDT-2 (3.65 kg), Arka Saurabh (3.26 kg) and Azad T-6 (3.19 kg) and hybrid was NDT-3 × Arka Vikas (3.54 kg) followed by NDT- $2 \times$ Arka Meghali (3.41 kg), NDT- $2 \times$ Arka Vikas (3.36 kg),

NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (3.31 kg) and NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby (3.25

kg). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids

were 3.17 and 2.86 kg, respectively in Y₂ and Arka Meghali

(3.71 kg) followed by Arka Vikas (3.63 kg), NDT-2 (3.46 kg), Arka Saurabh (3.18 kg) and NDT-8 (3.05 kg) and hybrid

was NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas (3.36 kg) followed by NDT-2 \times

Arka Meghali (3.22 kg), NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas (3.17 kg), NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (3.13 kg) and NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby (3.07

kg). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids

were 3.01 and 2.68 kg, respectively in pooled.

Table 1: Analysis of variance (Mean sum of squire) for 15 characters of line \times tester set of crosses and their parents in tomato (Y1=2016-17 and
Y2=2017-18)

Sources of variation	Years	df	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first fruit set	Days to first fruit harvest	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches per plant	Number of fruits percluster	Number of fruits per plant
Danliastions	Y1	2	1.97	5.85	1.93	7.44	0.79*	0.11	0.19
Replications	Y2	2	0.27	5.85	1.93	17.78	0.72	0.10	0.19
Ture the cut	Y1	53	7.55**	6.93**	6.74**	1997.65**	0.50^{**}	0.81**	215.86**
Treatments	Y2	53	7.26**	6.93**	6.74**	2002.92**	0.50^{**}	0.80**	215.86**
Parents	Y1	13	4.56	5.04	4.44	1162.58**	0.36	0.82**	390.43**

http://www.chemijournal.com

	Y2	13	5.28*	5.04	4.44	1150.87**	0.36	0.82^{**}	390.43**
Derents (Line)	Y1	9	3.92	4.26	3.94	1497.28**	0.32	1.04^{**}	510.02**
raients (Line)	Y_2	9	4.35	4.26	3.94	1480.81**	0.32	1.04^{**}	510.02**
Parents	Y1	3	6.87	7.63	6.49	501.95**	0.10	0.29	72.78**
(Testers)	Y_2	3	9.84^{*}	7.63	6.49	491.49**	0.10	0.29	72.78**
Lines vs	Y1	1	3.31	4.21	2.84	132.17**	1.48^{*}	0.45	267.00**
Testers	Y ₂	1	0.02	4.21	2.84	159.62**	1.48^{*}	0.45	267.00**
Parents vs	Y_1	1	26.22^{**}	34.60**	34.24**	2727.06**	0.96	1.72^{*}	2687.98^{**}
Crosses	Y2	1	20.93**	34.60**	34.24**	3303.22**	0.96	1.49*	2687.98**
Crosses	Y1	39	8.06^{**}	6.86**	6.80^{**}	2189.35**	0.53**	0.79^{**}	94.28**
Closses	Y2	39	7.57**	6.86**	6.80^{**}	2253.60**	0.53**	0.77^{**}	94.28**
Error	Y1	106	2.64	3.31	3.49	11.42	0.25	0.30	227
Entor	Y ₂	106	2.65	3.31	3.49	12.05	0.25	0.31	227

Table 1: counted...

Sources of variation	Years	Df	Average fruit weight (g)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Number of locules per fruit	Pericarp thickness (mm)	Total soluble solid (TSS)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh fruit)	Total fruit yield per plant (kg)
Paplications	Y1	2	0.09	0.03	3.19	0.10	0.53	0.25	0.49	0.20
Replications	Y2	2	0.13	0.03	3.14	0.10	046	0.25	15.76	0.16
Trastmonts	Y1	53	231.86**	0.98**	3.30**	1.06**	0.49**	0.84**	262.37**	0.46**
Treatments	Y ₂	53	232.13**	0.98**	3.38**	1.06**	0.49**	0.84**	264.10**	0.43**
Doronto	Y1	13	386.23**	1.53**	5.17**	0.57	0.69**	0.84**	128.74**	0.44**
Falents	Y ₂	13	386.23**	1.53**	5.17**	0.57	0.69**	0.84**	117.56**	0.42**
Parants (Lina)	Y1	9	354.49**	1.53**	5.21**	0.46	0.86**	1.02**	178.11**	0.20*
ratents (Line)	Y 2	9	354.49**	1.53**	5.21**	0.46	0.86**	1.02**	161.15**	0.16
Parents	Y1	3	495.69**	1.73**	2.66	0.49	0.40	0.54	22.52*	1.28**
(Testers)	Y ₂	3	495.69**	1.73**	2.66	0.49	0.40	0.54	22.52*	1.28**
Lines vs	Y1	1	343.50**	0.95	12.38**	1.74*	0.04	0.07	3.08	0.12
Testers	Y ₂	1	343.50**	0.95	12.38**	1.74*	0.04	0.07	10.36	0.26
Parents vs	Y1	1	328.71**	1.40*	3.42	0.00	2.20**	0.17	10.54	3.75**
Crosses	Y ₂	1	332.09**	1.40*	3.78	0.00	2.20**	0.17	47.32**	3.00**
Crosses	Y ₁	39	177.92**	0.79**	2.68**	1.26**	0.37*	0.86**	313.37**	0.38**
Crosses	Y ₂	39	178.20**	0.79**	2.77**	1.26**	0.37*	0.86**	318.51**	0.37**
Error	Y1	106	3.60	0.32	1.45	0.36	0.24	0.29	5.86	0.08
EIIOI	Y ₂	106	3.65	0.32	1.46	0.36	0.24	0.29	6.84	0.09

*,** Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

Table 2: Pooled ANOVA for 15 characters of line × tester set of crosses and their parents in tomato

Sources of	df	Days to 50%	Days to first	Days to first	Plant height	Number of primary	Number of	Number of fruits
variation		nowering	iruit set	fruit narvest	(CIII)	branches per plant	fruits per cluster	per plant
Replicates	2	1.53	11.71*	3.86	6.02	1.51**	0.20	0.38
Environments	1	847.39**	126.56**	128.60**	262.21**	17.89**	20.06**	128.60**
$\operatorname{Rep} \times \operatorname{Env}$.	2	0.71	0.00	0.00	19.21	0.00	0.00	0.00
Treatments	53	14.54**	13.86**	13.48**	3943.70**	0.99**	1.60**	431.71**
Parents	13	9.51**	10.07**	8.88**	2313.23**	0.71**	1.64**	780.85**
Parents (Line)	9	8.17**	8.52**	7.88*	2977.99**	0.64**	2.07**	1020.04**
Parents(Testers)	3	16.07**	15.27**	12.97*	992.97**	0.19	0.58	145.55**
Parents (L vs T)	1	1.93	8.42	5.68	291.14**	2.96**	0.91	533.99**
Parent vs Crosses	1	47.00**	69.19**	68.48**	6016.48**	1.92**	3.20**	5375.97**
Crosses	39	15.38**	13.71**	13.60**	4434.04**	1.06**	1.55**	188.56**
Error	212	2.65	3.30	3.49	11.73	0.25	0.30	2.27

Sources of variation	df	Average fruit weight (g)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Number of locules per fruit	Pericarp thickness (mm)	Total soluble solid (TSS)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh fruit)	Total fruit yield per plant (kg)
Replicates	2	0.20	0.06	6.32*	0.21	0.98*	0.50	10.31	0.36*
Environments	1	126.09**	23.62**	116.18**	10.50**	13.62**	12.32**	343.61**	10.36**
$\operatorname{Rep} \times \operatorname{Env}$.	2	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.95	0.00
Treatments	53	463.98**	1.96**	6.67**	2.12**	0.97**	1.69**	514.58**	0.89**
Parents	13	772.46**	3.06**	10.35**	1.13**	1.39**	1.67**	239.99**	0.85**
Parents (Line)	9	708.99**	3.06**	10.42**	0.93**	1.73**	2.04**	330.26**	0.34**
Parents(Testers)	3	991.38**	3.47**	5.31*	0.97*	0.80*	1.08*	45.04**	2.55**
Parents (L vs T)	1	687.00**	1.89*	24.77**	3.49**	0.08	0.15	12.37	0.36*
Parent vs Crosses	1	660.79**	2.79**	7.19*	0.00	4.41**	0.33	51.27*	6.73**
Crosses	39	356.11**	1.58**	5.44**	2.51**	0.74**	1.72**	617.99**	0.75**

Table 2: counted...

International Jou	mal of Ch	emical Studies						http://www	.chemijournal.com
Error	212	3.63	0.32	1.46	0.36	0.24	0.29	6.35	0.08

*,** Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

Table 3: Mean performance, general mean, range, coefficient of variation, critical difference and standard error for 15 characters of line × testerset of 40 F1's and their 14 parents (Y1=2016-17 and Y2=2017-18) and pooled

Constant	Days	to 50% f	owering	Days	to first	fruit set	Days t	o first fru	it harvest	Plan	t height	(cm)
Genotypes	V1	V2	Pooled	V1	V2	Pooled	V1	V2	Pooled	V1	V2	Pooled
Crosses	-1	12	rooncu	- 1	12	1 ooleu	-1		Tonca	*1	12	I Ooleu
NDT-1 \times Pusa Ruby	28.79	31.38	30.09	36.25	37.50	36.88	60.15	61.41	60.78	130.90	132.16	131.53
NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara	28.12	31.33	29.73	35.80	37.05	36.42	59.27	60.53	59.90	94.03	95.29	94.66
NDT-1 × Arka Vikas	30.97	33.85	32.41	38.20	39.45	38.83	61.55	62.81	62.18	101.35	102.61	101.98
NDT-1 × Arka Meghali	27.06	30.27	28.67	34.64	35.89	35.26	58.16	59.42	58.79	104.80	116.06	110.43
NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby	31.08	33.96	32.52	37.10	38.35	37.72	60.98	62.24	61.61	108.03	109.29	108.66
NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara	28.96	32.17	30.56	36.33	37.58	36.96	60.35	61.61	60.98	67.07	68.33	67.70
NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas	31.12	34.33	32.72	38.56	39.81	39.19	62.22	63.48	62.85	76.37	77.63	77.00
NDT-2 × Arka Meghali	30.78	34.66	32.72	39.53	40.78	40.15	62.52	63.78	63.15	82.70	83.96	83.33
NDT-3 × Pusa Ruby	31.44	34.65	33.05	39.24	40.49	39.86	63.18	64.44	63.81	107.69	118.95	113.32
NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara	32.12	35.33	33.72	39.49	40.74	40.11	63.11	64.37	63.74	82.03	83.29	82.66
NDT-3 × Arka Vikas	31.89	35.10	33.49	37.91	39.16	38.53	63.11	64.37	63.74	84.53	85.79	85.16
NDT-3 × Arka Meghali	29.00	33.21	31.11	36.19	37.44	36.81	60.13	61.39	60.76	76.32	77.58	76.95
NDT-4 × Pusa Ruby	28.45	31.66	30.06	35.85	37.10	36.48	59.42	60.68	60.05	148.16	149.42	148.79
NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara	29.45	31.99	30.72	36.21	37.46	36.84	59.99	61.25	60.62	128.03	130.95	129.49
NDT-4 × Arka Vikas	31.01	34.22	32.61	38.09	39.34	38.72	62.02	63.28	62.65	125.91	127.17	126.54
NDT-4 × Arka Meghali	24.45	28.33	26.39	32.60	33.85	33.22	56.38	57.64	57.01	113.66	114.92	114.29
NDT-5 × Pusa Ruby	29.93	33.14	31.53	37.18	38.43	37.81	61.10	62.36	61.73	150.41	155.00	152.70
NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara	27.91	31.12	29.51	35.59	36.84	36.22	59.24	60.50	59.87	138.10	139.36	138.73
NDT-5 × Arka Vikas	30.98	34.19	32.58	38.60	39.85	39.23	62.13	63.39	62.76	134.10	135.36	134.73
NDT-5 × Arka Meghali	30.10	32.31	31.20	36.60	37.85	37.22	60.27	61.53	60.90	131.80	133.06	132.43
NDT-6 × Pusa Ruby	31.45	34.66	33.06	38.88	40.13	39.50	62.56	63.82	63.19	115.43	116.69	116.06
NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara	27.53	30.40	28.97	35.66	36.91	36.28	59.54	60.80	60.17	79.44	85.70	82.57
NDT-6 × Arka Vikas	29.79	33.30	31.54	36.93	38.18	37.55	61.15	62.41	61.78	78.37	79.63	79.00
NDT-6 × Arka Meghali	32.16	35.37	33.77	39.53	40.78	40.15	63.18	64.44	63.81	86.72	87.98	87.35
NDT-7 × Pusa Ruby	30.60	33.81	32.20	37.24	38.49	37.87	61.15	62.41	61.78	135.30	136.56	135.93
NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara	29.38	32.59	30.99	37.04	38.29	37.66	60.47	61.73	61.10	78.03	79.29	78.66
NDT-7 × Arka Vikas	28.14	30.69	29.41	35.31	36.56	35.94	59.19	60.45	59.82	84.97	84.23	84.60
NDT-7 × Arka Meghali	31.56	34.11	32.83	37.98	39.23	38.60	62.20	63.46	62.83	85.70	85.96	85.83
NDT-8 × Pusa Ruby	30.76	33.97	32.37	38.10	39.35	38.72	62.27	63.53	62.90	123.83	125.09	124.46

Constrans	Days t	to 50% f	lowering	Days	to first t	fruit set	Days to) first fru	it harvest	Plan	t height	(cm)
Genotypes	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y1	Y2	Pooled
NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara	28.99	32.20	30.59	36.03	37.28	36.65	60.48	61.74	61.11	68.17	69.43	68.80
NDT-8 \times Arka Vikas	29.79	33.10	31.44	37.28	38.53	37.90	61.36	62.62	61.99	69.83	71.09	70.46
NDT-8 × Arka Meghali	31.45	34.33	32.89	39.07	40.32	39.70	62.19	63.45	62.82	74.63	75.89	75.26
Azad T-6 × Pusa Ruby	30.19	33.40	31.80	38.05	39.30	38.67	61.92	63.18	62.55	157.76	160.02	158.89
Azad T-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara	31.45	34.99	33.22	38.87	40.12	39.50	62.51	63.77	63.14	125.30	126.56	125.93
Azad T-6 × Arka Vikas	26.99	31.20	29.10	35.28	36.53	35.91	59.07	60.33	59.70	126.13	127.39	126.76
Azad T-6 × Arka Meghali	29.32	32.93	31.13	37.09	38.34	37.72	60.31	61.57	60.94	131.53	132.79	132.16
Arka Saurabh × Pusa Ruby	29.30	32.97	31.14	37.78	39.03	38.41	61.34	62.60	61.97	127.15	131.75	129.45
Arka Saurabh × Pb. Chhuhara	30.24	33.45	31.84	38.12	39.37	38.75	61.31	62.57	61.94	73.58	74.84	74.21
Arka Saurabh × Arka Vikas	29.45	32.66	31.06	36.98	38.23	37.60	60.47	61.73	61.10	84.37	85.63	85.00
Arka Saurabh × Arka Meghali	30.79	34.00	32.40	38.24	39.49	38.86	62.12	63.38	62.75	70.70	71.96	71.33
				L	ines							
NDT-1	28.62	32.17	30.40	36.14	37.39	36.77	60.09	61.35	60.72	120.85	122.04	121.44
NDT-2	27.97	31.18	29.58	35.24	36.49	35.87	58.90	60.16	59.53	86.04	87.30	86.67
NDT-3	30.05	33.26	31.66	37.63	38.88	38.26	61.23	62.49	61.86	95.03	96.29	95.66
NDT-4	27.77	30.32	29.05	34.62	35.87	35.24	58.27	59.53	58.90	125.40	126.66	126.03
NDT-5	27.79	31.00	29.40	35.20	36.45	35.83	59.43	60.69	60.06	121.67	122.67	122.17
NDT-6	30.01	33.22	31.61	37.46	38.71	38.08	61.30	62.56	61.93	68.14	69.40	68.77
NDT-7	30.45	33.66	32.06	37.86	39.11	38.49	61.49	62.75	62.12	66.37	67.63	67.00
NDT-8	29.91	33.12	31.52	37.43	38.68	38.05	61.01	62.27	61.64	79.03	80.75	79.89
Azad T-6	27.99	31.20	29.59	35.49	36.74	36.12	59.08	60.34	59.71	110.16	110.82	110.49
Arka Saurabh	30.26	33.14	31.70	36.74	37.99	37.37	60.37	61.63	61.00	85.62	86.88	86.25
				Те	sters							
Pusa Ruby	26.79	30.00	28.40	34.09	35.34	34.72	58.06	59.32	58.69	111.01	111.69	111.35
Punjab Chhuhara	28.12	32.69	30.41	35.68	36.93	36.31	59.31	60.57	59.94	87.20	87.30	87.25
Arka Vikas	28.49	31.70	30.09	35.09	36.34	35.71	59.22	60.48	59.85	82.37	83.63	83.00
Arka Meghali	30.45	34.32	32.39	37.86	39.11	38.49	61.57	62.83	62.20	87.03	88.29	87.66
Mean	29.59	32.82	31.20	36.96	38.21	37.59	60.73	61.99	61.36	101.64	103.44	102.54

http://www.chemijournal.com

(C.V.	5.49	4.96	4.72	4.92	4.76	4.33	3.08	3.01	2.72	3.32	3.36	3.20
S.I	E. m ±	0.94	0.94	0.60	1.05	1.05	0.66	1.08	1.08	0.68	1.95	2.00	1.34
C.D. 5%		2.63	2.64	1.67	2.94	2.94	1.85	3.02	3.02	1.90	5.47	5.62	3.73
D	Lowest	24.45	28.33	26.39	32.60	33.85	33.22	56.38	57.64	57.01	66.37	67.63	67.00
Kange	Highest	32.16	35.37	33.77	39.53	40.78	40.15	63.18	64.44	63.81	157.76	160.02	158.89

Genotypes	Number	of primar plan	y branches per t	Nun	iber of f clust	fruits per er	Num	ber of fr plant	uits per	Avera	ige frui (g)	t weight
Crosses	Y 1	Y2	Pooled	Y 1	Y 2	Pooled	Y 1	Y 2	Pooled	Y 1	Y ₂	Pooled
NDT-1 × Pusa Ruby	3.65	4.12	3.89	5.23	5.74	5.49	41.48	42.74	42.11	30.06	31.32	30.69
NDT-1 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.99	4.46	4.23	6.16	6.67	6.42	43.55	44.81	44.18	37.10	38.36	37.73
NDT-1 × Arka Vikas	3.49	3.96	3.73	6.10	6.61	6.36	40.39	41.65	41.02	50.38	51.64	51.01
NDT-1 × Arka Meghali	3.02	3.49	3.26	5.33	5.84	5.59	43.89	45.15	44.52	56.08	57.34	56.71
NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby	3.75	4.22	3.99	6.16	6.67	6.42	41.86	43.12	42.49	43.78	45.04	44.41
NDT-2 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.35	3.82	3.59	5.60	6.11	5.86	37.23	38.49	37.86	45.75	47.01	46.38
NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas	3.29	3.76	3.53	5.40	5.91	5.66	46.88	48.14	47.51	34.93	36.19	35.56
NDT-2 × Arka Meghali	3.29	3.76	3.53	5.56	6.07	5.82	41.87	43.13	42.50	27.42	28.68	28.05
NDT-3 \times Pusa Ruby	3.52	3.99	3.76	5.63	6.14	5.89	45.82	47.08	46.45	30.21	31.47	30.84
NDT-3 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.32	3.79	3.56	4.60	5.11	4.86	44.88	46.14	45.51	34.99	36.25	35.62
NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas	3.25	3.72	3.49	4.63	5.14	4.89	44.80	46.06	45.43	39.78	41.04	40.41
NDT-3 × Arka Meghali	2.92	3.39	3.16	4.70	5.21	4.96	44.23	45.49	44.86	27.00	28.26	27.63
NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby	2.95	3.42	3.19	5.40	5.91	5.66	38.56	39.82	39.19	26.32	27.58	26.95
NDT-4 × Pb. Chhuhara	2.95	3.42	3.19	5.40	5.91	5.66	37.58	38.84	38.21	28.68	29.94	29.31
NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas	3.89	4.36	4.13	6.10	6.61	6.36	34.38	35.64	35.01	30.33	31.59	30.96
NDT-4 × Arka Meghali	3.09	3.56	3.33	5.60	6.11	5.86	36.53	37.79	37.16	31.20	32.46	31.83
NDT-5 × Pusa Ruby	3.25	3.72	3.49	5.33	5.84	5.59	39.31	40.57	39.94	27.33	28.59	27.96
NDT-5 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.89	4.36	4.13	5.66	6.17	5.92	37.61	38.87	38.24	27.92	29.18	28.55
NDT-5 \times Arka Vikas	3.49	3.96	3.73	5.53	6.04	5.79	37.39	38.65	38.02	28.28	29.54	28.91
NDT-5 × Arka Meghali	3.19	3.66	3.43	4.66	5.17	4.92	38.56	39.82	39.19	28.37	29.63	29.00
NDT-6 × Pusa Ruby	3.49	3.96	3.73	5.66	6.17	5.92	31.49	32.75	32.12	31.30	32.56	31.93
NDT-6 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.29	3.76	3.53	4.56	5.07	4.82	36.89	38.15	37.52	30.23	31.49	30.86
NDT-6 × Arka Vikas	3.79	4.26	4.03	5.63	6.14	5.89	27.50	28.76	28.13	33.65	34.24	33.95
NDT-6 × Arka Meghali	3.99	4.46	4.22	4.80	5.31	5.06	29.95	31.21	30.58	34.22	35.48	34.85
NDT-7 \times Pusa Ruby	3.19	3.66	3.43	4.66	5.17	4.92	36.85	38.11	37.48	33.89	35.15	34.52
NDT-7 × Pb. Chhuhara	3.69	4.16	3.93	5.23	5.74	5.49	35.10	36.36	35.73	36.31	37.57	36.94
NDT-7 × Arka Vikas	3.49	3.96	3.73	6.03	6.54	6.29	28.72	29.98	29.35	40.75	42.01	41.38
NDT-7 × Arka Meghali	3.89	4.36	4.13	5.10	5.28	5.19	40.86	42.12	41.49	45.37	46.63	46.00
NDT-8 \times Pusa Ruby	3.62	4.09	3.86	6.16	6.67	6.42	33.56	34.82	34.19	34.07	35.33	34.70

Table 3: counted...

	Number of	of primary	branches per	Num	ber of f	ruits per	Num	oer of fr	uits per	Average fruit weight		
Genotypes		plant			cluste	r		plant			(g)	
	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y1	Y2	Pooled	Y ₁	Y2	Pooled
NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara	3.75	4.22	3.99	5.94	6.45	6.19	34.55	35.81	35.18	36.32	37.58	36.95
NDT-8 × Arka Vikas	3.82	4.29	4.06	6.38	6.56	6.47	34.29	35.55	34.92	34.06	35.32	34.69
NDT-8 × Arka Meghali	3.19	3.66	3.42	5.60	6.11	5.86	28.59	29.85	29.22	42.63	43.89	43.26
Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby	3.99	4.46	4.23	5.53	6.04	5.79	27.77	29.03	28.40	39.33	40.59	39.96
Azad T- $6 \times$ Pb. Chhuhara	3.79	4.26	4.03	4.56	5.07	4.82	29.58	30.84	30.21	37.83	39.09	38.46
Azad T-6 × Arka Vikas	4.02	4.49	4.26	5.05	5.56	5.31	27.73	28.99	28.36	39.55	40.81	40.18
Azad T-6 × Arka Meghali	4.49	4.96	4.73	5.83	6.34	6.09	32.23	33.49	32.86	48.03	49.29	48.66
Arka Saurabh × Pusa Ruby	2.69	3.16	2.93	5.93	6.44	6.19	36.13	37.39	36.76	56.68	57.94	57.31
Arka Saurabh × Pb. Chhuhara	3.25	3.72	3.49	5.10	5.61	5.36	38.78	40.04	39.41	36.01	37.27	36.64
Arka Saurabh × Arka	2.49	2.96	2.73	5.66	6.17	5.92	40.89	42.15	41.52	33.47	34.73	34.10

V	ikas												
Arka Sau Me	rabh × Arka ghali	2.89	3.36	3.13	5.60	6.11	5.86	45.41	46.67	46.04	33.00	34.26	33.63
	-				Line	s							
NI	DT-1	3.69	4.16	3.93	6.10	6.61	6.36	33.36	34.62	33.99	50.68	51.94	51.31
NI	DT-2	4.15	4.62	4.39	5.36	5.87	5.62	37.37	38.63	38.00	59.32	60.58	59.95
NI	DT-3	3.52	3.99	3.76	4.43	4.94	4.69	35.24	36.50	35.87	36.37	37.63	37.00
NI	DT-4	3.95	4.42	4.19	4.20	4.71	4.46	45.26	46.52	45.89	30.03	31.29	30.66
NI	DT-5	4.19	4.66	4.43	5.70	6.21	5.96	45.23	46.49	45.86	31.08	32.34	31.71
NI	DT-6	3.45	3.92	3.69	5.40	5.91	5.66	73.56	74.82	74.19	25.35	26.61	25.98
NI	DT-7	3.42	3.89	3.66	5.63	6.14	5.89	66.61	67.87	67.24	25.07	26.33	25.70
NI	DT-8	3.39	3.86	3.63	5.70	6.21	5.96	51.23	52.49	51.86	37.23	38.49	37.86
Aza	id T-6	3.62	4.09	3.86	5.13	5.64	5.39	44.58	45.84	45.21	39.26	40.52	39.89
Arka	Saurabh	4.15	4.62	4.39	5.10	5.61	5.36	49.88	51.14	50.51	40.69	41.95	41.32
					Teste	rs							
Pusa	a Ruby	3.49	3.96	3.73	4.83	5.34	5.09	37.96	39.22	38.59	36.33	37.59	36.96
Punjab	Chhuhara	3.15	3.62	3.39	4.73	5.24	4.99	40.88	42.14	41.51	29.67	30.93	30.30
Arka	ı Vikas	3.49	3.96	3.73	5.26	5.77	5.52	42.23	43.49	42.86	56.01	57.27	56.64
Arka	Meghali	3.22	3.69	3.46	5.36	5.87	5.62	49.54	50.80	50.17	53.35	54.61	53.98
М	lean	3.51	3.98	3.74	5.39	5.88	5.64	39.75	41.01	40.38	36.91	38.16	37.53
C	C.V.	14.23	12.58	11.95	10.18	9.45	8.78	3.79	3.67	3.34	5.14	5.01	4.54
S.E	. m ±	0.29	0.29	0.18	0.32	0.32	0.20	0.87	0.87	0.55	1.10	1.10	0.70
C.E	D. 5%	0.81	0.81	0.51	0.89	0.90	0.56	2.44	2.44	1.53	3.07	3.09	1.94
Danaa	Lowest	2.49	2.96	2.73	4.20	4.71	4.46	27.50	28.76	25.07	25.07	26.33	25.70
Kange	Highest	4.49	4.96	4.73	6.38	6.67	6.47	73.56	74.82	59.32	59.32	60.58	59.95

Table 3: counted...

	Fru	it leng	th (cm)	Fru	it girth	(cm)	Numb	er of locu	les per fruit	Peric	arp thick	aness (mm)
Genotypes	V.	Va	Poolod	V.	Va	Poolod	V.	Va	Poolod	V.	V.	Poolod
Crosses	11	12	1 ooleu	11	12	1 ooleu	11	12	1 ooleu	11	12	1 UUIEU
NDT-1 × Pusa Ruby	6.16	6.70	6.43	11.72	12.93	12.33	4.30	4.66	4.48	3.90	4.31	4.11
NDT-1 × Pb. Chhuhara	7.22	7.76	7.49	13.20	14.41	13.81	4.47	4.83	4.65	4.25	4.66	4.46
NDT-1 × Arka Vikas	7.12	7.66	7.39	12.93	14.14	13.54	4.50	4.86	4.68	4.04	4.45	4.25
NDT-1 × Arka Meghali	7.14	7.68	7.41	13.08	14.29	13.68	4.77	5.13	4.95	3.93	4.34	4.14
NDT-2 × Pusa Ruby	6.84	7.38	7.11	11.64	12.85	12.25	4.63	4.99	4.81	4.58	4.99	4.79
NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara	6.84	7.38	7.11	13.08	14.29	13.69	4.10	4.46	4.28	4.79	5.20	5.00
NDT-2 × Arka Vikas	5.97	6.51	6.24	12.01	13.22	12.62	5.13	5.49	5.31	5.07	5.48	5.28
NDT-2 × Arka Meghali	6.81	7.35	7.08	12.24	13.45	12.85	4.37	4.73	4.55	4.61	5.02	4.82
NDT-3 × Pusa Ruby	6.25	6.79	6.52	11.96	13.17	12.57	1.90	2.26	2.08	4.58	4.99	4.79
NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara	7.12	7.66	7.39	12.01	13.22	12.62	3.60	3.96	3.78	4.35	4.76	4.56
NDT-3 × Arka Vikas	6.59	7.13	6.86	12.36	13.57	12.97	3.33	3.69	3.51	4.55	4.96	4.76
NDT-3 × Arka Meghali	6.17	6.71	6.44	12.70	13.91	13.31	4.47	4.83	4.65	4.09	4.50	4.30
NDT-4 × Pusa Ruby	7.60	8.14	7.87	14.21	15.42	14.82	4.83	5.19	5.01	3.98	4.39	4.19
NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara	7.03	7.57	7.30	14.14	15.35	14.75	4.97	5.33	5.15	3.98	4.39	4.19
NDT-4 × Arka Vikas	7.06	7.60	7.33	12.57	13.78	13.18	4.07	4.43	4.25	4.91	5.32	5.12
NDT-4 × Arka Meghali	6.14	6.68	6.41	11.92	13.13	12.53	4.13	4.49	4.31	4.35	4.76	4.56
NDT-5 × Pusa Ruby	5.48	6.02	5.75	10.56	11.77	11.17	3.80	4.16	3.98	4.47	4.88	4.68
NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara	5.91	6.45	6.18	11.54	12.75	12.15	3.97	4.33	4.15	4.53	4.94	4.74
NDT-5 × Arka Vikas	6.29	6.83	6.56	13.27	14.48	13.88	3.60	3.96	3.78	4.69	5.10	4.90
NDT-5 × Arka Meghali	7.00	7.54	7.27	14.41	15.62	15.02	4.23	4.59	4.41	4.69	5.10	4.90
NDT-6 × Pusa Ruby	6.03	6.57	6.30	11.96	13.17	12.57	5.70	6.06	5.88	4.22	4.63	4.43
NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara	6.44	6.98	6.71	12.14	13.02	12.58	5.17	5.53	5.35	4.73	5.14	4.93
NDT-6 \times Arka Vikas	7.54	8.08	7.81	12.24	13.45	12.85	3.90	4.26	4.08	4.42	4.83	4.63
NDT-6 × Arka Meghali	6.47	7.01	6.74	11.87	12.75	12.31	3.93	4.29	4.11	5.12	5.53	5.33
NDT-7 × Pusa Ruby	6.42	6.96	6.69	13.54	14.75	14.15	4.00	4.36	4.18	4.29	4.70	4.49
NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara	7.76	8.30	8.03	13.13	14.34	13.74	4.33	4.69	4.51	4.42	4.83	4.63
NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas	6.72	7.26	6.99	12.86	14.07	13.47	3.83	4.19	4.01	4.85	5.26	5.06
NDT-7 × Arka Meghali	6.56	7.10	6.83	13.28	14.49	13.89	4.87	5.23	5.05	4.33	4.74	4.54
NDT-8 \times Pusa Ruby	7 19	7 73	7 46	12.96	14 17	13 57	3 97	4 33	4 15	4.15	4 56	4 36

Genotypes	Frui	it leng	gth (cm)	Frui	it girtl	n (cm)	Numb	er of locu	les per fruit		Pericarp th	nickness (mm)
Genotypes	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y 1	Y ₂	Pooled	Y 1	Y2	Pooled
NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara	6.19	6.73	6.46	13.06	14.27	13.67	3.83	4.19	4.01	5.34	5.75	5.55
NDT-8 × Arka Vikas	6.66	7.20	6.93	13.01	14.22	13.62	3.90	4.26	4.08	5.00	5.41	5.21
NDT-8 × Arka Meghali	6.64	7.18	6.91	13.20	14.41	13.81	5.13	5.49	5.31	4.90	5.31	5.11
Azad T-6 × Pusa Ruby	6.82	7.36	7.09	12.80	14.01	13.41	5.00	5.36	5.18	4.69	5.10	4.90
Azad T-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara	6.71	7.25	6.98	11.84	13.05	12.45	4.37	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.75	4.55
Azad T- $6 \times$ Arka Vikas	6.89	7.43	7.16	12.09	13.30	12.70	4.87	5.23	5.05	4.59	5.00	4.80

Azad T-6×	Arka Meghali	6.89	7.43	7.16	13.07 1	14.28	13.68	4.57	4.93	4.75	4.52	4.93	4.72
Arka Saurab	$h \times Pusa Ruby$	7.18	7.72	7.45	13.01 1	14.22	13.62	4.30	4.66	4.48	4.29	4.70	4.50
Arka Saurabh	× Pb. Chhuhara	6.48	7.02	6.75	14.80 1	16.01	15.41	4.87	5.23	5.05	4.65	5.06	4.86
Arka Saurab	h × Arka Vikas	7.66	8.20	7.93	15.28 1	16.49	15.89	4.77	5.13	4.95	3.95	4.36	4.16
Arka Saurabh	× Arka Meghali	6.56	7.10	6.83	12.20 1	13.41	12.81	4.13	4.49	4.31	4.29	4.70	4.50
Lines													
N	DT-1	7.09	7.63	7.36	13.56 1	14.77	14.17	4.77	5.13	4.95	5.52	5.93	5.73
N	DT-2	7.70	8.24	7.97	13.36 1	14.57	13.97	5.17	5.53	5.35	4.92	5.33	5.13
N	DT-3	6.53	7.07	6.80	14.50 1	15.71	15.11	4.67	5.03	4.85	4.89	5.30	5.10
N	DT-4	7.93	8.47	8.20	15.47 1	16.68	16.08	4.50	4.86	4.68	4.22	4.63	4.43
N	DT-5	6.53	7.07	6.80	12.49 1	13.70	13.09	4.37	4.73	4.55	4.45	4.86	4.66
N	DT-6	6.29	6.83	6.56	12.46 1	13.67	13.07	4.23	4.59	4.41	4.29	4.70	4.50
N	DT-7	6.41	6.95	6.68	11.50 1	12.71	12.11	4.40	4.76	4.58	4.29	4.70	4.50
N	DT-8	6.50	7.04	6.77	12.16 1	13.37	12.77	3.77	4.13	3.95	4.49	4.90	4.70
Aza	ad T-6	6.93	7.47	7.20	13.53 1	14.74	14.14	4.60	4.96	4.78	5.79	6.20	6.00
Arka	Saurabh	8.30	8.84	8.57	15.20 1	16.41	15.81	4.03	4.39	4.21	4.85	5.26	5.06
							Testers						
Pus	a Ruby	6.40	6.94	6.67	12.30 1	13.51	12.91	3.90	4.26	4.08	4.39	4.80	4.60
Punjab	Chhuhara	5.90	6.44	6.17	11.06 1	12.27	11.67	3.53	3.89	3.71	4.85	5.26	5.06
Arka	a Vikas	7.70	8.24	7.97	13.36 1	14.57	13.97	4.07	4.43	4.25	5.15	5.56	5.36
Arka	Meghali	6.76	7.30	7.03	12.16 1	13.37	12.77	4.50	4.86	4.68	4.42	4.83	4.63
Ν	lean	6.77	7.31	7.04	12.84 1	14.03	13.44	4.32	4.68	4.50	4.56	4.97	4.76
(C.V.	8.36	7.74	7.19	9.37	8.62	8.03	13.93	12.85	11.96	10.67	9.81	9.15
S.E	E. m ±	0.33	0.33	0.21	0.69	0.70	0.44	0.35	0.35	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.18
C.I	D. 5%	0.92	0.92	0.58	1.95	1.96	1.23	0.97	0.97	0.61	0.79	0.79	0.50
Panga	Lowest	5.48	6.02	5.75	10.56 1	11.77	11.17	1.90	2.26	2.08	3.90	4.31	4.11
Kange	Highest	8.30	8.84	8.57	15.47 1	16.68	16.08	5.70	6.06	5.88	5.79	6.20	6.00

Table 3: counted...

Genotypes	Tota	soluble s	olid (TSS)	(mg /1	scorbic a 100 g fres	acid h fruit)	Total	fruit yield p	er plant (kg)
	\mathbf{Y}_1	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
Crosses					_		_	_	
NDT-1 × Pusa Ruby	5.08	5.47	5.28	33.33	34.22	33.77	2.66	3.03	2.85
NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara	5.00	5.39	5.20	25.53	32.35	28.94	2.78	2.99	2.88
NDT-1 × Arka Vikas	5.84	6.23	6.04	26.53	26.75	26.64	2.67	3.04	2.86
NDT-1 × Arka Meghali	4.98	5.37	5.18	36.09	48.64	42.37	2.64	3.01	2.83
NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby	5.97	6.36	6.17	43.22	44.11	43.66	2.88	3.25	3.07
NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara	5.40	5.79	5.60	34.93	36.82	35.87	2.60	2.97	2.79
NDT-2 × Arka Vikas	6.18	6.57	6.38	31.55	33.43	32.49	2.99	3.36	3.17
NDT-2 × Arka Meghali	6.12	6.51	6.32	32.77	33.99	33.38	3.04	3.41	3.22
NDT-3 × Pusa Ruby	5.92	6.31	6.12	32.09	33.31	32.70	2.67	3.04	2.86
NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara	5.34	5.73	5.54	35.09	36.31	35.70	2.85	3.22	3.04
NDT-3 × Arka Vikas	5.76	6.15	5.96	39.08	40.20	39.64	3.17	3.54	3.36
NDT-3 × Arka Meghali	5.96	6.35	6.16	37.27	39.20	38.24	2.60	2.97	2.79
NDT-4 × Pusa Ruby	5.22	5.61	5.42	40.49	41.53	41.01	2.44	2.81	2.63
NDT-4 × Pb. Chhuhara	5.22	5.61	5.42	42.00	43.20	42.60	2.44	2.81	2.63
NDT-4 × Arka Vikas	5.52	5.91	5.72	44.07	45.29	44.68	2.94	3.31	3.13
NDT-4 × Arka Meghali	5.12	5.51	5.32	43.03	44.25	43.64	2.32	2.69	2.51
NDT-5 × Pusa Ruby	4.50	4.89	4.70	46.87	55.75	51.31	1.74	2.11	1.92
NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara	6.00	6.39	6.20	61.09	61.31	61.20	2.35	2.72	2.54
NDT-5 × Arka Vikas	4.96	5.35	5.16	58.70	58.92	58.81	1.74	2.11	1.92
NDT-5 × Arka Meghali	5.72	6.11	5.92	60.31	61.53	60.92	2.30	2.67	2.49
NDT-6 × Pusa Ruby	4.99	5.38	5.19	32.86	34.08	33.47	2.66	3.03	2.85
NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara	5.74	6.13	5.94	32.53	33.75	33.14	1.57	1.94	1.75
NDT-6 × Arka Vikas	5.84	6.23	6.03	30.87	32.09	31.48	2.40	2.77	2.59
NDT-6 × Arka Meghali	4.68	5.07	4.88	32.53	33.75	33.14	2.30	2.67	2.49
NDT-7 × Pusa Ruby	5.04	5.43	5.24	28.75	34.64	31.70	1.94	2.31	2.13
NDT-7 × Pb. Chhuhara	6.40	6.79	6.60	27.20	28.42	27.81	1.84	2.21	2.03
NDT-7 × Arka Vikas	5.01	5.40	5.21	28.75	29.97	29.36	2.35	2.72	2.54
NDT-7 × Arka Meghali	4.85	5.24	5.05	28.42	29.64	29.03	2.44	2.70	2.57
NDT-8 × Pusa Ruby	5.62	6.01	5.82	29.09	30.31	29.70	2.60	2.97	2.79

Construes	Total s	oluble	solid (TSS)	Ascorbic a	cid (mg/100) g fresh fruit)	Total frui	it yield pe	er plant (kg)
Genotypes	Y 1	Y ₂	Pooled	\mathbf{Y}_1	\mathbf{Y}_2	Pooled	\mathbf{Y}_1	\mathbf{Y}_2	Pooled
NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara	4.43	4.82	4.63	38.61	35.49	37.05	2.62	2.93	2.78
NDT-8 $ imes$ Arka Vikas	5.69	6.08	5.88	42.28	45.16	43.72	2.61	2.98	2.80
NDT-8 × Arka Meghali	5.06	5.45	5.26	48.72	50.94	49.83	2.29	2.85	2.57

Azad T-6 >	< Pusa Ruby	5.26	5.65	5.46	49.39	51.61	50.50	2.60	2.94	2.77			
Azad T-6 \times	Pb. Chhuhara	5.72	6.11	5.92	54.12	57.67	55.89	2.36	2.68	2.52			
Azad T-6 ×	Arka Vikas	5.49	5.88	5.69	55.45	58.00	56.72	2.59	2.96	2.78			
Azad T-6 \times	Arka Meghali	5.90	6.29	6.10	57.57	58.79	58.18	2.31	2.68	2.49			
Arka Saurab	h × Pusa Ruby	5.92	6.31	6.12	28.47	40.69	34.58	2.85	3.22	3.04			
Arka Saurabh	× Pb. Chhuhara	5.34	5.73	5.54	31.42	32.64	32.03	2.63	3.00	2.82			
Arka Saurabl	n × Arka Vikas	4.92	5.31	5.12	33.31	32.53	32.92	2.51	2.88	2.69			
Arka Saurabh	× Arka Meghali	6.92	7.31	7.12	31.48	33.70	32.59	2.44	2.91	2.68			
Lines													
NI	DT-1	5.92	6.31	6.12	40.78	42.00	41.39	2.76	3.13	2.94			
NI	DT-2	5.42	5.81	5.62	31.87	40.09	35.98	3.28	3.65	3.46			
NI	DT-3	4.92	5.31	5.12	27.24	28.46	27.85	2.63	3.00	2.82			
NI	DT-4	4.45	4.84	4.65	28.78	30.00	29.39	2.46	2.83	2.64			
NI	DT-5	6.42	6.81	6.62	32.76	33.98	33.37	2.51	2.88	2.69			
NI	DT-6	5.72	6.11	5.92	39.31	41.20	40.26	2.76	3.13	2.94			
NI	DT-7	5.38	5.77	5.58	43.40	44.62	44.01	2.76	3.03	2.89			
NI	DT-8	6.12	6.51	6.32	47.13	48.35	47.74	2.98	3.12	3.05			
Aza	d T-6	5.92	6.31	6.12	49.62	50.84	50.23	2.82	3.19	3.01			
Arka S	Saurabh	5.38	5.77	5.58	41.46	40.02	40.74	3.11	3.26	3.18			
					Testers								
Pusa	Ruby	4.95	5.34	5.15	34.14	35.36	34.75	2.47	2.84	2.65			
Punjab	Chhuhara	5.55	5.94	5.75	37.55	38.77	38.16	2.26	2.63	2.44			
Arka	Vikas	5.98	6.37	6.18	38.03	39.25	38.64	3.44	3.81	3.63			
Arka l	Meghali	5.41	5.80	5.61	40.82	42.04	41.43	3.53	3.90	3.71			
Μ	ean	5.49	5.88	5.68	38.50	40.55	39.53	2.58	2.94	2.76			
C	.V.	9.73	9.09	8.41	6.29	6.45	6.91	10.85	10.05	9.41			
S.E	. m ±	0.31	0.31	0.19	1.40	1.51	1.11	0.16	0.17	0.11			
C.D	0. 5%	0.86	0.86	0.54	3.92	4.23	3.10	0.45	0.48	0.30			
Dongo	Lowest	4.43	4.82	4.63	25.53	26.75	26.64	1.57	1.94	1.75			
Kange	highest	6.92	7.31	7.12	61.09	61.53	61.20	3.53	3.90	3.71			

Table 4: Range of variation in mean values and grand means of various traits $Y_1=2016-17$ and $Y_2=2017-18$ and pooled

		Ra	nge of m	ean valu	ies				Mea	n over			C	and m	
Characters		Parents			Crosses	3]	Paren	its		Crosse	s	Gr	and m	ean
	Y 1	Y ₂	Pooled	Y 1	Y2	Pooled	Y 1	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled	Y ₁	Y ₂	Pooled
Dave to 50% flowering	26.79-	30.00-	28.40-	24.45-	28.33-	26.39-	20 01	22.21	20.56	20.82	22.02	21 42	20.50	22 82	21.20
Days to 30% nowening	30.45	34.32	32.39	32.16	35.37	33.77	20.91	32.21	30.30	29.82	55.05	51.45	29.39	32.82	51.20
Dave to first fruit set	34.09-	35.34-	34.72-	32.60-	33.85-	33.22-	36 18	37 13	36.81	37.24	38 10	37.86	36.06	38 21	37 50
Days to first fruit set	37.86	39.11	38.49	39.53	40.78	40.15	50.18	57.45	50.81	57.24	30.49	57.80	30.90	36.21	37.39
Dava to first fruit herwoot	58.06-	59.32-	58.69-	56.38-	57.64-	57.01-	50.05	61 21	60 59	61.00	62.26	61 62	60 73	61.00	(1.2)
Days to first fruit narvest	61.57	62.83	62.20	63.18	64.44	63.81	39.93	01.21	00.38	01.00	02.20	01.05	00.75	01.99	01.50
Plant height (am)	66.37-	67.63-	67.00-	67.07-	68.33-	67.70-	04 71	05 91	05.26	104.07	106 11	105.09	101 64	4103.44	102 54
Plant height (cm)	125.40	126.66	126.03	157.76	160.02	158.89	94./1	95.01	95.20	104.07	100.11		101.04		102.54
Number of primary branches	3.15-	3.62-	3.39-	2.49-	2.96-	2.73-	3 61	1 11	3 87	2 16	3.93	3.70	3 51	2.09	3.74
per plant	4.19	4.66	4.43	4.49	4.96	4.73	5.04	4.11	5.67	5.40			5.51	5.96	
Number of fruits per cluster	4.20-	4.71-	4.46-	4.56-	5.07-	4.82-	5 21	5 72	5 47	5 45	5 94	5 69	5 30	5 88	5 64
Number of fluits per cluster	6.10	6.61	6.36	6.38	6.67	6.47	5.21	5.72	5.47	5.45	5.94	5.09	5.59	5.88	5.04
Number of fruits per plant	33.36-	34.62-	33.99-	27.50-	28.76-	28.13-	16 61	17 00	17 27	27.24	28 60	27.07	20.75	41.01	40.28
	73.56	74.82	74.19	46.88	48.14	47.51	40.04	47.90	47.27	57.54	38.00	57.97	39.73	41.01	10.50
Avarage fruit weight (a)	25.07-	26.33-	25.70-	26.32-	27.58-	26.95-	39.32	10 58	30.05	36.07	37 31	36.60	36.01	38.16	37 53
Average fruit weight (g)	59.32	60.58	59.95	56.68	57.94	57.31		40.50	39.93	30.07	57.51	30.09	50.91	50.10	51.55
Emit longth (om)	5.90-	6.44-	6.17-	5.48-	6.02-	5.75-	6.02	7 16	7 10	6 71	7 25	6.08	6 77	7.31	7.04
	8.30	8.84	8.57	7.76	8.30	8.03	0.92	7.40	7.19	0.71	1.23	0.98	0.77		
Eruit girth (cm)	11.06-	12.27-	11.67-	10.56-	11.77-	11.17-	13 08	14 20	13 60	12 75	13.04	12 25	12.84	14.03	13.44
Fruit girtir (ciir)	15.47	16.68	16.08	15.28	16.49	15.89	15.08	14.29	15.09	12.75	13.94	15.55	12.04	14.03	
Number of locules per fruit	3.53-	3.89-	3.71-	1.90-	2.26-	2.08-	1 32	1 68	4 50	1 32	1 68	4 50	1 32	1.68	4.50
Number of locales per fruit	5.17	5.53	5.35	5.70	6.06	5.88	4.32	4.00	4.30	4.32	4.08	4.30	4.32	4.00	
Pericarn thickness (mm)	4.22-	4.63-	4.43-	3.90-	4.31-	4.11-	1 75	5 16	1 96	1 10	1 90	1 60	1 56	1 97	1 76
Terearp unexitess (iiiii)	5.79	6.20	6.00	5.34	5.75	5.55	4.75	5.10	4.90	4.47	4.90	4.07	4.50	4.97	4.70
Total soluble solid (TSS)	4.45-	4.84-	4.65-	4.43-	4.82-	4.63-	5 51	5 03	5 74	5 47	5.86	5 66	5 40	5 99	5.68
	6.42	6.81	6.62	6.92	7.31	7.12	5.54	5.95	.95 5.74	5.47	5.80	5.00	5.49	5.88	
Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g fresh	27.24-	28.46-	27.85-	25.53-	26.75-	26.64-	38.06	30 64	38.85	38 65	10.87	30.76	38 50	40.55	30.53
fruit)	49.62	50.84	50.23	61.09	61.53	61.20	50.00	57.04	30.05	38.03	40.87	37.70	50.50	40.55	39.33
Total fruit yield per plant (kg)	2.26-	2.63-	2.44-	1.57-	1.94-	1.75-	281	3 17	3.01	2 /0	286	2.68	2 58	2 04	2.76
Total truit yield per plant (kg)	3.53	3.90	3.71	3.17	3.54	3.36	2.04	5.17	3.01	2.47	2.00	2.00	2.58	2.74	2.70

The above mentioned genotypes may be used as donor parents in hybridization programme for developing high yielding varieties of respective groups. Some other genotypes exhibiting very high mean performance for characters other than fruit yield per plant are also listed in table 3. These lines merits due consideration as promising parents for hybridization programme for bringing over all improvement in plant genetic architecture in a component breeding approach ultimately leading to high yielding tomato genotypes even if they have moderate or low fruit yield. In this context, the most desirable parents were Pusa Ruby (26.79 days) showed earliest days to 50% flowering among the parents followed by NDT-4 (27.77 days), NDT-5 (27.79 days), NDT-2 (27.97 days) and Azad T-6 (27.99 days). The best F_1 hybrid regarding days to 50% flowering was NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali (24.45 days) followed by Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (26.99 days), NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (27.06 days), NDT-6 \times Punjab Chhuhara (27.53 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (27.91 days). Average over the parental mean (28.91 days) and average over the F₁ hybrid mean (29.82 days) were more or less of the same order in Y_1 . Parent Pusa Ruby (30.00 days) followed by NDT-4 (30.32 days), NDT-5 (31.00 days), NDT-2 (31.18 days) and Azad T-6 (31.20 days) and F_1 hybrid was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (28.33 days) followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali (30.27 days), NDT-6 \times Punjab Chhuhara (30.40 days), NDT-7 × Arka Vikas (30.69 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (31.12 days). Average over the parental mean (32.21 days) and average over the F₁ hybrid mean (33.03 days) were more or less of the same order in Y_2 and Parent Pusa Ruby (28.40 days) followed by NDT-4 (29.05 days), NDT-5 (29.40 days), NDT-2 (29.58 days) and Azad T-6 (29.59 days) and F₁ hybrid was NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali (26.39 days) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (28.67 days), NDT-6 × Punjab Chhuhara (28.97 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (29.10 days) and NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (29.41 days). Average over the parental mean (30.56 days) and average over the F1 hybrid mean (31.43 days) were more or less of the same order in pooled.

Earliest days to first fruit set was showed in parent Pusa Ruby (34.09 days) followed by NDT-4 (34.62 days), Arka Vikas (35.09 days), NDT-5 (35.20 days) and NDT-2 (35.34 days). The best F₁ hybrid regarding days to first fruit set was NDT-4 \times Arka Meghali (32.60 days) followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali (34.64 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (35.28 days), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (35.31 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (35.59 days). Average over the parental mean (36.18 days) and average over the F1 hybrid mean (37.24 days) were more or less of the same order in Y₁, Parent Pusa Ruby (35.34 days) followed by NDT-4 (35.87 days), Arka Vikas (36.34 days), NDT-5 (36.45 days) and NDT-2 (36.49 days) and F_1 hybrid was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (33.85 days) followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali (35.89 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (36.53 days), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (36.56 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (36.84 days). Average over the parental mean (37.43 days) and average over the F1 hybrid mean (38.49 days) were more or less of the same order in Y₂ and Parent Pusa Ruby (34.72 days) followed by NDT-4 (35.24 days), Arka Vikas (35.71 days), NDT-5 (35.83 days) and NDT-2 (35.87 days) and F_1 hybrid was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (33.22 days) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (35.26 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (35.91 days), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (35.94 days) and NDT-5 × Pb. Chhuhara (36.22 days). Average over the parental mean (36.81 days) and average over the F₁ hybrid mean (37.86 days) were more or less of the same order in pooled.

An earliest day to first fruit harvest was showed in patents Pusa Ruby (58.06 days) followed by NDT-4 (58.27 days), NDT-2 (58.90 days), Azad T-6 (59.08 days) and Arka Vikas (59.22 days). The best F₁ hybrid regarding days to first fruit harvest was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (56.38 days) followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali (58.16 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (59.07 days), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (59.19 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (59.24 days). Average over the parental mean (59.95 days) and average over the F₁ hybrid mean (61.00 days) were more or less of the same order in Y_1 Parent Pusa Ruby (59.32 days) followed by NDT-4 (59.53 days), NDT-2 (60.16 days), Azad T-6 (60.34 days) and Arka Vikas (60.48 days) and F_1 hybrid was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (57.64 days) followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Meghali (59.42 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (60.33 days), NDT-7 × Arka Vikas (60.45 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (60.50 days). Average over the parental mean (61.21 days) and average over the F1 hybrid mean (62.26 days) were more or less of the same order in Y₂ and Parent Pusa Ruby (58.69 days) followed by NDT-4 (58.90 days), NDT-2 (59.53 days), Azad T-6 (59.71 days) and Arka Vikas (59.85 days) and F_1 hybrid was NDT-4 × Arka Meghali (57.01 days) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (58.79 days), Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (59.70 days), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (59.82 days) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (59.87 days). Average over the parental mean (60.58 days) and average over the F_1 hybrid mean (61.63 days) were more or less of the same order in pooled.

Maximum plant height was recorded in parents NDT-4 (125.40 cm) followed by NDT-5 (121.67 cm), NDT-1 (120.85 cm), Pusa Ruby (111.01 cm) and Azad T-6 (110.16 cm). The F_1 hybrid Azad T-6 × Pusa Ruby (157.76 cm) was the tallest with respect to this trait followed by NDT-5 \times Pusa Ruby (150.41 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (148.16 cm), NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (138.10 cm) and NDT-7 \times Pusa Ruby (135.30 cm). Average over the parental mean (94.71 cm) and average over the F_1 hybrid mean (104.07 cm) in Y_1 , NDT-4 (126.66 cm) followed by NDT-5 (122.67 cm), NDT-1 (122.04 cm), Pusa Ruby (111.69 cm) and Azad T-6 (110.82 cm) and F₁ hybrid Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (160.02 cm) was the tallest with respect to this trait followed by NDT-5 \times Pusa Ruby (155.00 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (149.42 cm), NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (139.36 cm) and NDT-7 \times Pusa Ruby (136.56 cm). Average over the parental mean (95.81 cm) and average over the F_1 hybrid mean (106.11 cm) in Y_2 and NDT-4 (126.66 cm) followed by NDT-5 (122.67 cm), NDT-1 (122.04 cm), Pusa Ruby (111.69 cm) and Azad T-6 (110.82 cm) and F₁ hybrid Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (158.89 cm) was the tallest with respect to this trait followed by NDT-5 \times Pusa Ruby (152.70 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (148.79 cm), NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (138.73 cm) and NDT-7 \times Pusa Ruby (135.93 cm). Average over the parental mean (95.26 cm) and average over the F_1 hybrid mean (105.09 cm) in pooled.

Maximum number of primary branches per plant was recorded in parental line NDT-5 (4.19) followed by NDT-2 and Arka Saurabh (4.15), NDT-4 (3.95), NDT-1 (3.69) and Azad T-6 (3.62), F₁ hybrids Azad T-6 × Arka Meghali (4.49) had maximum number of primary branches per plant followed by Azad T-6 × Arka Vikas (4.02), NDT-1 × Pb. Chhuhara, NDT-6 × Arka Meghali and Azad T-6 × Pusa Ruby (3.99), NDT-7 × Arka Meghali (3.89) and NDT-8 × Arka Vikas (3.82). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 3.64 and 3.46, respectively inY₁, parent NDT-5 (4.66) followed by NDT-2 and Arka Saurabh (4.62), NDT-4 (4.42), NDT-1 (4.16) and Azad T-6 (4.09) and F₁ hybrids Azad T-6 × Arka Meghali (4.96) followed by Azad T-6 × Arka Vikas (4.49), NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara, NDT-6 \times Arka Meghali and Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (4.46), NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas, NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara and NDT-7 \times Arka Meghali (4.36) and NDT- $8 \times$ Arka Vikas (4.29). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 4.11 and 3.93, respectively in Y₂ and NDT-5 (4.43) followed by NDT-2 and Arka Saurabh (4.39), NDT-4 (4.19), NDT-1 (3.93) and Azad T-6 (3.86) and F_1 hybrids Azad T-6 × Arka Meghali (4.73) had maximum number of primary branches per plant followed by Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (4.26), NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara and Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (4.23), NDT-6 \times Arka Meghali (4.22) and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas, NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara and NDT-7 \times Arka Meghali (4.13). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 3.87 and 3.70, respectively in pooled. Highest number of fruits per cluster was recorded in parents NDT-1 (6.10) followed by NDT-5 and NDT-8 (5.70), NDT-7 (5.63), NDT-6 (5.40) and NDT-2 and Arka Meghali (5.36). Among the hybrids, the highest number of fruits per cluster was observed in NDT-8 \times Arka Vikas (6.38) which was followed by NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara, NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby and NDT-8 × Pusa Ruby (6.16), NDT-1 × Arka Vikas and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (6.10), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (6.03) and NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.94). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 5.21 and 5.45, respectively in Y_1 , parent NDT-1 (6.61) followed by NDT-5 and NDT-8 (6.21), NDT-7 (6.14), NDT-6 (5.91) and NDT-2 and Arka Meghali (5.87). Among the hybrids NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara, NDT-2 \times Pusa Ruby and NDT-8 \times Pusa Ruby (6.67) which was followed by NDT-1 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (6.61), NDT-8 × Arka Vikas (6.56), NDT-7 × Arka Vikas (6.54) and Arka Saurabh \times Pusa Ruby (6.44). The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 5.72 and 5.94, respectively in Y_2 and parent NDT-1 (6.36) followed by NDT-5 and NDT-8 (5.96), NDT-7 (5.89), NDT-6 (5.66) and NDT-2 and Arka Meghali (5.62) and hybrid NDT-8 × Arka Vikas (6.47) which was followed by NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara, NDT-2 × Pusa Ruby and NDT-8 × Pusa Ruby (6.42), NDT-1 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (6.36), NDT-7 \times Arka Vikas (6.29) and NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara (6.19). The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 5.47 and 5.69, respectively in pooled.

Highest number of fruits per plant was recorded in parents NDT-6 (73.56) followed by NDT-7 (66.61), NDT-8 (51.23), Arka Saurabh (49.88) and Arka Meghali (49.54). Among the hybrids, the highest number of fruits per plant was observed in NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (46.88) which was followed by NDT-3 × Pusa Ruby (45.82), Arka Saurabh × Arka Meghali (45.41), NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara (44.88) and NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas (44.80). The mean value of 46.64 over the parents and 37.34 over the hybrids in Y₁, parent NDT-6 (74.82) followed by NDT-7 (67.87), NDT-8 (52.49), and Arka Saurabh (51.14) and Arka Meghali (50.80). Among the hybrids NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas (48.14) which was followed by NDT-3 \times Pusa Ruby (47.08), Arka Saurabh \times Arka Meghali (46.67), NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara (46.14) and NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas (46.06). The mean value of 47.90 over the parents and 38.60 over the hybrids in Y₂ and parent NDT-6 (74.19) followed by NDT-7 (67.24), NDT-8 (51.86), and Arka Saurabh (50.51) and Arka Meghali (50.17). Among the hybrids NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas (47.51) which was followed by NDT-3 \times Pusa Ruby (46.45), Arka Saurabh \times Arka Meghali (46.04), NDT-3 \times Pb. Chhuhara (45.51) and NDT-3 \times Arka Vikas (45.43). The mean value of 47.27 over the parents and 37.47 over the hybrids in pooled.

Heaviest fruit produced in parents NDT-2 (59.32 g) followed by Arka Vikas (56.01 g), Arka Meghali (53.35 g), NDT-1 (50.68 g) and Arka Saurabh (40.69 g). Among the hybrids, the highest fruit weight was exhibited by the Arka Saurabh \times Pusa Ruby (56.68 g) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (56.08 g), NDT-1 \times Arka Vikas (50.38 g), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (48.03 g) and NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara (45.75 g) in descending order and the mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 39.32 g and 36.07 g, respectively in Y_1 , parent NDT-2 (60.58 g) followed by Arka Vikas (57.27 g), Arka Meghali (54.61 g), NDT-1 (51.94 g) and Arka Saurabh (41.95 g). Among the hybrids Arka Saurabh \times Pusa Ruby (57.94 g) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (57.34 g), NDT-1 \times Arka Vikas (51.64 g), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (49.29 g) and NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara (47.01 g) in descending order. The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 40.58 g and 37.31 g, respectively in Y₂ and parent NDT-2 (59.95 g) followed by Arka Vikas (56.64 g), Arka Meghali (53.98 g), NDT-1 (51.31 g) and Arka Saurabh (41.32 g). Among the hybrids Arka Saurabh \times Pusa Ruby (57.31 g) followed by NDT-1 × Arka Meghali (56.71 g), NDT-1 × Arka Vikas (51.01 g), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (48.66 g) and NDT-2 \times Pb. Chhuhara (46.38 g) in descending order. The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 39.95 g and 36.69 g, respectively in pooled.

Largest fruit length were recorded in patents Arka Saurabh (8.30 cm) followed by NDT-4 (7.93 cm), NDT-2 and Arka Vikas (7.70 cm), NDT-1 (7.09 cm) and Arka Meghali (6.76 cm). Among the hybrids NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara (7.76 cm) displayed maximum fruit length followed by Arka Saurabh \times Arka Vikas (7.66 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (7.60 cm) and NDT-6 \times Arka Vikas (7.54 cm) and NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara (7.22 cm). The mean value of 6.92 cm over the parents and crosses of 6.71 cm in Y₁, parent Arka Saurabh (8.84 cm) followed by NDT-4 (8.47 cm), NDT-2 and Arka Vikas (8.24 cm), NDT-1 (7.63 cm) and Azad T-6 (7.47 cm). Among the hybrids NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara (8.30 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh \times Arka Vikas (8.20 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (8.14 cm) and NDT-6 \times Arka Vikas (8.08 cm) and NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara (7.76 cm). The mean value of 7.46 cm over the parents and crosses of 7.25 cm in Y₂ and parent Arka Saurabh (8.57 cm) followed by NDT-4 (8.20 cm), NDT-2 and Arka Vikas (7.97 cm), NDT-1 (7.36 cm) and Azad T-6 (7.20 cm). Among the hybrids NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara (8.03 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh \times Arka Vikas (7.93 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (7.87 cm) and NDT-6 \times Arka Vikas (7.81 cm) and NDT-1 \times Pb. Chhuhara (7.49 cm). The mean value of 7.19 cm over the parents and crosses of 6.98 cm in pooled.

Maximum fruit girth in parental line NDT-4 (15.47 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh (15.20 cm), NDT-3 (14.50 cm), NDT-1 (13.56 cm) and Azad T-6 (13.53 cm). Among the hybrids Arka Saurabh × Arka Vikas (15.28 cm) bore the maximum fruit girth followed by Arka Saurabh \times Pb. Chhuhara (14.80 cm), NDT-5 × Arka Meghali (14.41 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (14.21 cm) and NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara (14.14 cm). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 13.08 cm and 12.75 cm, respectively in Y1, parental line NDT-4 (16.68 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh (16.41 cm), NDT-3 (15.71 cm), NDT-1 (14.77 cm) and Azad T-6 (14.74 cm). Among the hybrids Arka Saurabh \times Arka Vikas (16.49 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh × Pb.Chhuhara (16.01 cm), NDT-5 \times Arka Meghali (15.62 cm), NDT-4 \times Pusa Ruby (15.42 cm) and NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara (15.35 cm). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 14.29 cm and 13.94 cm, respectively in Y₂ and parental

line NDT-4 (16.08 cm) followed by Arka Saurabh (15.81 cm), NDT-3 (15.11 cm), NDT-1 (14.17 cm) and Azad T-6 (14.14 cm). Among the hybrids Arka Saurabh × Arka Vikas (15.89 cm) bore the maximum fruit girth followed by Arka Saurabh × Pb.Chhuhara (15.41 cm), NDT-5 × Arka Meghali (15.02 cm), NDT-4 × Pusa Ruby (14.82 cm) and NDT-4 × Pb. Chhuhara (14.75 cm). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 13.69 cm and 13.35 cm, respectively in pooled.

Maximum number of locules per fruit were recorded in patents NDT-2 (5.17) followed by NDT-1 (4.77), NDT-3 (4.67), Azad T-6 (4.60) and NDT-4 and Arka Meghali (4.50). Among the hybrid NDT-6 \times Pusa Ruby (5.70) had maximum number of locules per fruit followed by NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.15), NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-8 \times Arka Meghali (5.13), Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (5.00) and NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara (4.97). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 4.32 and 4.32, respectively in Y₁, NDT-2 (5.53) followed by NDT-1 (5.13), NDT-3 (5.03), Azad T-6 (4.96) and NDT-4 and Arka Meghali (4.86). Among the hybrid NDT-6 \times Pusa Ruby (6.06) had maximum number of locules per fruit followed by NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.53), NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-8 \times Arka Meghali (5.49), Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (5.36) and NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.33). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 4.68 and 4.68, respectively in Y_2 and NDT-2 (5.35) followed by NDT-1 (4.95), NDT-3 (4.85), Azad T-6 (4.78) and NDT-4 and Arka Meghali (4.68). Among the hybrid NDT-6 \times Pusa Ruby (5.88) had maximum number of locules per fruit followed by NDT-6 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.35), NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas and NDT-8 \times Arka Meghali (5.31), Azad T-6 \times Pusa Ruby (5.18) and NDT-4 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.15). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 4.50 and 5.50, respectively in pooled.

Maximum pericarp thickness were recorded in patents Azad T-6 (5.79 mm) followed by NDT-1 (5.52 mm), Arka Vikas (5.15 mm), NDT-2 (4.92 mm) and NDT-3 (4.89 mm). Among the hybrid NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.34 mm) had maximum pericarp thickness followed by NDT-6 \times Arka Meghali (5.12 mm), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (5.07 mm), NDT-8 × Arka Vikas (5.00 mm) and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (4.91 mm). The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 4.75 mm and 4.49 mm, respectively in Y1, Azad T-6 (6.20 mm) followed by NDT-1 (5.93 mm), Arka Vikas (5.56 mm), NDT-2 (5.33 mm) and NDT-3 (5.30 mm). Among the hybrid NDT- $8 \times$ Pb. Chhuhara (5.75 mm) had maximum pericarp thickness followed by NDT-6 \times Arka Meghali (5.53 mm), NDT-2 \times Arka Vikas (5.58 mm), NDT-8 × Arka Vikas (5.41 mm) and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (5.32 mm). The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 5.16 mm and 4.90 mm, respectively in Y₂ and Azad T-6 (6.00 mm) followed by NDT-1 (5.73 mm), Arka Vikas (5.36 mm), NDT-2 (5.13 mm) and NDT-3 (5.10 mm). Among the hybrid NDT-8 \times Pb. Chhuhara (5.55 mm) had maximum pericarp thickness followed by NDT-6 × Arka Meghali (5.33 mm), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (5.28 mm), NDT-8 \times Arka Vikas (5.21 mm) and NDT-4 \times Arka Vikas (5.12 mm). The mean values over the parental lines and F1 hybrids were 4.96 mm and 4.69 mm, respectively in pooled.

Maximum total soluble solids were recorded in parental line NDT-5 (6.42 °B) followed by NDT-8 (6.12 °B), Arka Vikas (5.98 °B), NDT-1 and Azad T-6 (5.92 °B) and NDT-6 (5.72 °B). Among the hybrids, Arka Saurabh × Arka Meghali (6.92 °B) showed highest total soluble solids followed by NDT-7 × Pb. Chhuhara (6.40 °B), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (6.18 °B),

NDT-2 × Arka Meghali (6.12 °B) and NDT-5 × Pb. Chhuhara (6.00 °B). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 5.54 °B and 5.47 °B, respectively in Y₁, NDT-5 (6.81 °B) followed by NDT-8 (6.51 °B), Arka Vikas (6.37 °B), NDT-1 and Azad T-6 (6.31 °B) and NDT-6 (6.11 °B). Among the hybrids, Arka Saurabh \times Arka Meghali (7.31 °B) showed highest total soluble solids followed by NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara (6.79 °B), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (6.57 °B), NDT-2 \times Arka Meghali (6.51 °B) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (6.39 °B). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 5.93 °B and 5.86 °B, respectively in Y₂ and NDT-5 (6.62 °B) followed by NDT-8 (6.32 °B), Arka Vikas (6.18 °B), NDT-1 and Azad T-6 (6.12 °B) and NDT-6 (5.92 °B). Among the hybrids, Arka Saurabh \times Arka Meghali (7.12 °B) showed highest total soluble solids followed by NDT-7 \times Pb. Chhuhara (6.60 °B), NDT-2 × Arka Vikas (6.38 °B), NDT-2 \times Arka Meghali (6.32 °B) and NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (6.20 °B). The mean values over the parental lines and F₁ hybrids were 5.74 °B and 5.66 °B, respectively in pooled.

Maximum ascorbic acid were recorded in patents Azad T-6 (49.62 mg) followed by NDT-8 (47.13 mg), NDT-7 (43.40 mg), Arka Saurabh (41.46 mg) and Arka Meghali (40.82 mg). Among the hybrid NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (61.09 mg) had maximum ascorbic acid followed by NDT-5 \times Arka Meghali (60.31 mg), NDT-5 \times Arka Vikas (58.70 mg), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (57.57 mg) and Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (55.45 mg). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 38.06 mg and 38.65 mg, respectively in Y₁, Azad T-6 (50.84 mg) followed by NDT-8 (48.35 mg), NDT-7 (44.62 mg), Arka Meghali (42.04 mg) and NDT-1 (42.00 mg). Among the hybrid NDT-5 \times Arka Meghali (61.53 mg) had maximum ascorbic acid followed by NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (61.31 mg), NDT-5 \times Arka Vikas (58.92 mg), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (58.79 mg) and Azad T- $6 \times$ Arka Vikas (58.00 mg). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 39.64 mg and 40.87 mg, respectively in Y₂ and Azad T-6 (50.23 mg) followed by NDT-8 (47.74 mg), NDT-7 (44.01 mg), Arka Meghali (41.43 mg) and NDT-1 (41.39 mg). Among the hybrid NDT-5 \times Pb. Chhuhara (61.20 mg) had maximum ascorbic acid followed by NDT-5 × Arka Meghali (60.92 mg), NDT-5 \times Arka Vikas (58.81 mg), Azad T-6 \times Arka Meghali (58.18 mg) and Azad T-6 \times Arka Vikas (56.72 mg). The mean values over the parental lines and F_1 hybrids were 38.85 mg and 39.76 mg, respectively in pooled.

Acknowledgment

The work on tomato reported in this paper has been supported by research and teaching faculties of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D.U.A.T and We would also like to thank Late Mr. Murli Mohan Khetan for statistical analysis.

References

- 1. Anonymous. Data base Horticultural Statistics at a Glance India, 2017.
- Rick CM. Origin of cultivated tomato, current status of the problem. International Botanical Congress, 1969, 180.
- 3. Rick CM. Cytogenetics of the tomato. Advances in Genetics. 1965; 8:267-382.
- 4. Kalloo G, Banerjee MK, Tewari RN, Pachouri DC. Vegetable tuber crops and spices. Ist Edi. Directorate of information and Publication of Agriculture, I.C.A.R. New Delhi, 2001, 10-25.

- Singh NP, Bharadwaj AK, Kumar A, Singh KM. Modern technology on vegetable production. International Book Distributing Company. Lucknow, 2004, 84-98.
- 6. Khachik F, Beecher GR, Smit JC. Lactin, lycopene and their oxidative metabolism in chemoprevention of cancer. Journal of Cell Biochemistry. 1995; 22:109-126.
- Panse VG, Shukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. 2nd Edn. ICAR, New Delhi. 1967; 1:52-157.
- Kumar S, Singh T, Singh B, Singh JP. Studies on heritability and genetic advance in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Progressive Agriculture. 2004; 4(1):76-77.