# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 IJCS 2020; 8(1): 1718-1721 © 2020 IJCS Received: 09-11-2019 Accepted: 13-12-2019

#### Atish

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

#### Bharamaraj Badiger

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture Hanumanmatti, UAS Dharwad, Karnataka, India

#### **D.** Shivamurthy

Scientist (Agronomy) Krishi Vigyan Kendra Hanumanmatti, UAS Dharwad, Karnataka, India

#### Ravi Hunje

Principal (Diploma), Diploma College of Agriculture UAS Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Atish Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

# Effect of foliar organic nutrients and time of application on seed yield and yield attributes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.)

# Atish, Bharamaraj Badiger, D Shivmurty and R Hunje

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i1y.8511

#### Abstract

A field experiment was carried out to find out the effects of foliar organic nutrient application on seed yield and quality in foxtail millet at Agricultural Research Station, Hanumanmatti, UAS, Dharwad during *Kharif* 2018. The field trial was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design. Foliar application of organic nutrients exhibited significant variation on seed yield and yield components of foxtail millet. Foliar application of panchagavya (3%) recorded higher ear head length (20.70 cm), ear head weight (6.49 g), seed yield per plant (5.98 g) and thousand seed weight (4.89 g), seed yield (22.11 q/ha) compared to control. Among the different stage, 50 per cent flowering stage exhibited significantly higher seed yield (21.24 q/ha), ear head length (19.63 cm), ear head weight (6.12 g), seed yield per plant (5.59 g) and thousand seed weight (4.64 g) compared to panicle initiation stage.

Keywords: Foxtail millet, panchagavya, vermiwash, foliar nutrient spray, time of application

#### Introduction

Millets are the significant food and fodder plants in semi-arid areas and are gaining more importance in a globe that is increasingly becoming populous. Millets are amazing in their nutrient content, each of the millets contains rich in fibres, non-starchy polysaccharides with few unique nutrients and have been recognized as "nutritious or nutritious grains" since they have superior quality proteins and essential amino acids. Among the eight millets, foxtail millet (Setaria italica L.) is a dry land crop belonging to the family of graminaceae. It mainly constitutes protein (11.7%), fat (3.9%), ash (3%), crude fiber (7%), carbohydrates (60.9 g), few major micronutrients like Ca (31 mg), Fe (2.8 mg) and amino acids like niacin (3.2 mg), thiamin (0.59 mg) and riboflavin (0.11 mg) (Ahmed et al., 2013). This crop has interesting characteristic features *i.e.*, fairly tolerant to drought, and can escape terminal drought because of early maturity (Chandra et al., 2016)<sup>[4]</sup>. Foxtail millet is comparable to that of super cereals like rice and wheat due to its capacity to withstand drought, adaptable to adverse climatic condition and input management. It is suitable for intercropping and multiple cropping systems because of its short duration nature and adjustable to mid-season correction. In India, foxtail millet cultivated over an area of 0.619 m. ha with the production of 0.442 mt and productivity of 714 kg per hectare. The area is mostly concentrated in Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra and Karnataka. In Karnataka, it is widely grown in Haveri, Dharwad and Belagavi districts of Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka (Zone-8). It occupies an area of 0.028 m. ha producing 0.01mt with average productivity of 357 kg/ ha (Anon., 2017)<sup>[2]</sup>. The yield level of this crop is not stable under rainfed conditions due to its cultivation on marginal soils with less inputs use and potential yield are yet to be achieved. The decline in seed yield is mainly because of low soil fertility status and can be maintained on sustainable manner under organic cultivation system. Several strategies have been launched to boost foxtail millet productivity. The efficient way to exploit the potential of the crop by application of organic and inorganic nutrient sources. Among the different method of application viz., soil and foliar application is considered as efficient and economical method to supply nutrient requirement at critical stages of crop growth (Chandrasekhar and Bangarusamy, 2003)<sup>[5]</sup>. As organics are rich in nutrients that help to enhance the physical and chemical properties of soil and improve the use of applied nutrients has led higher seed yield

and its quality. The options available for foliar supplementation of nutrients include use of various organic manures viz., FYM, compost, vermin-compost, green manures, bio-fertilizers etc., along with other nutrient management practices like use of fermented organics viz., panchagavya, jeevamrutha, cow urine and vermiwash etc. Application of foliar organic nutrients entails the supply of plant hormones and nutrients in liquid form to plant through aerial parts of the plants viz., leaves and stems and other sites to realize enhanced yield and quality (Manonmani and Srimathi, 2016)<sup>[10]</sup>. The uniqueness of organics is that they provide growth promoting hormones and immunity boosters for plants. (Xu et al., 2000; Sreenivasa and Naik, 2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The imbalanced use of chemical fertilizers leads to a decline in the crop yield, which results in deficiency of nutrients in the soil. The advantage of liquid organic nutrients is that they disperse in water easily and is rapidly taken up by plants when compared to solid organic fertilizers and interestingly plant can absorb nutrients about twenty times faster through the leaves than applied through the soil, which helps in overcoming temporary, acute nutrient shortages in the crops. Hence, the present investigations entitled "Effect of foliar organic nutrients application on seed yield and quality in foxtail millet (Setaria italica L.)" was taken up to study the effect of foliar organic nutrient and time of application on seed yield.

## **Material and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Hanuamanmatti situated in Northern Transition Zone (Zone 8) of Karnataka during Kharif 2018 to study the "Effect of foliar organic nutrient application on seed yield and quality of foxtail millet (Seteria italica L.)". The freshly harvested DHft-109-3 variety seed materials were taken from the Agriculture Research Station, Hanumanmati which were produced during kharif - 2017. The soil of the experimental site is low in available N (170.30 kg/ha) and  $P_2$  O<sub>5</sub> (12.84 kg/ha) and medium in available K2O (220.37 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatment consisting of two factors where, Factor-1 consists of foliar nutrient application of organic nutrients i.e., F1: Cow urine at 5%, F2: Panchagavya at 3%, F<sub>3</sub>: Vermiwash at 5%, F<sub>4</sub>: Jeevamrutha at 5% and F<sub>5</sub>: Control (water), whereas, Factor-2 consisted of time of foliar application *i.e.*,  $T_1$  at Panicle initiation stage and T<sub>2</sub> at 50 per cent flowering stage. The foxtail millet (DHFt-109-3) was sown with a spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm. The recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF- 30 kg N + 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 30 kg K2O ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied to the plots as per the treatment details. All the fertilizers were applied in a single dose at the time of sowing as basal dose. The organic foliar sprays of cow urine 5%, panchagavya 3%, vermiwash 5%, jeevamrutha 5% and water spray (absolute contol) were sprayed at two times, one at panicle initiation stage and another at 50 per cent flowering stage according to the treatment combinations and observation were recorded.

### **Results and Discussion**

From the experiment data, higher ear had length (20.70 cm), ear head weight (6.49 g), thousand seed weight (4.89 g), seed yield per plant (5.98 g) and seed yield (22.11 q/ha) was recorded with panchagavya 3 per cent and it was on par with vermiwash 5 per cent ( $F_3$ ) (19.80 cm, 6.27 g, 4.81 g, 5.72 g and 21.38 q/ha, respectively) compared to control ( $F_5$ ) (17.13 cm, 5.28 g, 3.73 g, 4.72 g and 19.56 q/ha, respectively) (Table

1). About 13 per cent increase in seed yield per hectare was observed with foliar application of panchagavya over control. The increase in seed yield and yield attributing parameters is mainly due to the presence of plant growth regulating substance present in panchagavya viz., IAA, GA<sub>3</sub>. When panchagavya is applied through foliar application it would have created stimuli in the plant system due to higher production of growth regulators and its action in the cell and plant system might have helped in enhancing of biological efficiency of the crop (Yadav and Christopher, 2006)<sup>[16]</sup>. This might be due to favorable effect of panchagavya on reproductive parts like ear head length, ear head weight, thousand seed weight and seed yield per plants which were regarded as yield attributing parameters. Foliar application helps in slow release of nutrients into leaves and other parts of the plants through the process of absorption. During this process nutrients loss will be low as a result of exchange of cat ion capacity with increased activity of organic content. Thus nutrients will be available for longer time in required quality so that plants can absorb the nutrients as per the requirement, which results in improved growth, development and yield components (Somasundaram, 2003 and Kulkarani, 1991) <sup>[12, 9]</sup>, The maximum enhancement in seed yield with different foliar sources might be due to increased activity of nitrate reductase and supply of essential plant nutrient at required crop growth stage (Jain et al., 2014)<sup>[8]</sup>. These results are in agreement with the findings of Pavan and Hunje (2019) <sup>[11]</sup> in kabuli chickpea and Sreenivasa and Naik (2011) <sup>[13]</sup> in wheat, Boomiraj (2003)<sup>[3]</sup> in bhendi, Somasundaram, (2003) <sup>[12]</sup> in maize-sunflower-greengram cropping system.

Influence of time of foliar application on growth, seed yield parameters: In the present study, foliar application at 50 per cent flowering stage  $(T_2)$  recorded significant increase in yield and yield attributing parameters compared to panicle initiation stage  $(T_1)$ . From the experiment data, higher ear had length (19.63 cm), ear head weight (6.12 g), thousand seed weight (4.64 g), seed yield per plant (5.59 g) and seed yield (21.24 q/ha) was recorded at 50 per cent flowering stage and compared to panicle initiation stage (T1) (17.95 cm, 5.71 g, 4.11 g, 5.27 g and 20 q/ha, respectively) (Table 1). About 6.2 per cent increase in seed yield per hectare was noticed due to foliar application at 50 per cent flowering stage compared to panicle initiation stage. Foliar spray during 50 per cent flowering stage might have helped in accumulation of essential nutrients required for grain filling stage which results in better seed yield. Increase in growth, yield and yield attributes might be due to the assimilation of nutrients applied through foliar application at flowering stage which helps the crop to meet the required nutrients demand, because flowering stage of the crop in characterized by more uptake of nutrients for sink development. Foliar application resulted in increased absorption and translocation of nutrients for photosynthetic activity. Foliar application provides easy supply of nutrients and growth stimulants to plants in optimum dose might have reason for increased yield attributes. Choudhary et al. (2017)<sup>[6, 7]</sup> opined that increased availability of nutrients at required growth phase helps in enhancement of carbohydrates synthesis and translocation of photosynthates for development of sink. The pronounced increase in yield might be due to sustained availability of nutrients (N, P, K, S, Zn and Fe) at critical growth phases and also due to increased carbohydrate synthesis and effective translocation of photosynthates to the developing sink resulting in higher yield and yield attributes. Similarly findings were reported by Swaminathan et al. (2007) and Choudhary et al. (2014) in groundnut. The interaction effect between foliar organic nutrient spray (F) and Time of

application (T) showed non-significant difference for yield parameters.

Table 1: Effect of foliar organic nutrients and time of application on ear head length, ear head weight per plant and test weight in foxtail millet

Treatments	Ear head length (cm)	Ear head weight (g)	Test weight (g)	Seed yield per plant (g)	Seed yield (q/ha)
Foliar nu					
F <sub>1</sub> : Cow urine (5%)	17.54	5.42	3.90	5.23	19.88
F <sub>2</sub> : Panchagavya (3%)	20.70	6.49	4.89	5.98	22.11
F <sub>3</sub> : Vermiwash (5%)	19.80	6.27	4.81	5.72	21.38
F <sub>4</sub> : Jeevamrutha (5%)	18.79	6.12	4.55	5.48	20.17
F5: Control (water)	17.13	5.28	3.73	4.72	19.56
S.Em±	0.61	0.19	0.14	0.17	0.58
C.D at 5%	1.82	0.55	0.41	0.49	1.73
Time of foliar application (T):					
T <sub>1</sub> : Panicle initiation stage	17.95	5.71	4.11	5.27	20.00
T <sub>2</sub> : 50 per cent flowering stage	19.63	6.12	4.64	5.59	21.24
S. Em±	0.39	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.37
C.D at 5%	1.15	0.35	0.26	0.31	1.10
Interactions $(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{F})$ :					
$F_1T_1$	17.49	5.40	3.81	5.22	19.33
F <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	17.59	5.44	3.99	5.25	20.43
F <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	19.43	6.13	4.46	5.61	20.60
F2T2	21.97	6.85	5.33	6.36	23.61
F <sub>3</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	18.00	5.84	4.35	5.46	21.06
F <sub>3</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	21.59	6.70	5.28	5.99	21.70
$F_4T_1$	17.59	5.85	4.23	5.38	19.68
F4T2	19.98	6.38	4.88	5.59	20.66
F5T1	17.23	5.32	3.72	4.68	19.33
F5T2	17.02	5.24	3.75	4.76	19.89
Mean	18.79	5.92	4.38	5.43	20.60
S.Em±	0.87	0.26	0.20	0.23	0.82
C.D at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS: Non Significant

# Conclusion

Foliar application of organic nutrients exhibited significant difference in growth parameter at different stages of the crop. The experiment data revealed that, maximum ear head length (20.70 cm), ear head weight (6.49 g), thousand seed weight (4.89 g), seed yield per plant (5.98 g) and seed yield (22.11 q/ha) were observed due to foliar spray of panchagavya at 3 per cent compared to control (17.13 cm, 5.28 g, 3.73 g, 4.72 g and 19.56 q/ha, respectively) While, foliar application at 50 per cent flowering stage recorded higher ear head length (19.63 cm), ear head weight (6.12 g), thousand seed weight (4.64 g), seed yield per plant (5.59 g) and seed yield (21.24 q/ha) were observed due to foliar spray of panchagavya at 3 per cent compared to control (17.95 cm, 5.71 g, 4.11 g, 5.27 g and 20 q/ha, respectively). From the experiment results it can be concluded that foliar spray of organics helps in achieving higher growth parameters and seed yield parameters. While, foliar spray at 50 per cent flowering stage helps in obtaining higher seed yield parameters.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Department of Seed Science and Technology and <sup>2</sup>Seed Testing Laboratory, National Seed Project, Seed Unit, UAS, Dharwad for providing facilities to conduct research work.

# References

1. Ahmed SM, Saleh M, Zhang Q, Millet. grains: Nutritional quality, processing and potential health benefits. Comprehensive Review on Food Science and Food Safety. 2017; 12(1):1-5.

- 2. Anonymous. Area, production and productivity of world. http://www.faostat.fao.org, 2017
- 3. Boomiraj K, Evaluation of organic sources of nutrients, panchagavya and botanicals spray on bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* M). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University Coimbatore, India, 2003.
- 4. Chandra D, Chandra S, Pallavi A, Sharma AK. Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn: A power house of health benefiting nutrients. Food Science and Human Wellness. 2016; 5(1):149-155.
- 5. Chandrasekhar CN, Bangarusamy U. Maximizing the yield of mung bean by foliar application of growth regulating chemicals and nutrients. Madras Agriculture Journal. 2003; 90(1):142-145.
- Choudhary G, Sharma SK, Singh KP, Choudhary S, Bazaya BR. Effect of panchagavya on growth and yield of organic blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(10):1627-1632.
- 7. Choudhary KM, Patel MM, Pagar RD. Effect of foliar application of panchagavya and leaf extracts of endemic plants on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Legume Research. 2017; 37(2):223-226.
- 8. Jain P, Chandra RS, Bhattacharyya P, Banik P. Effect of new organic supplement (panchgavya) on seed germination and soil quality. Environment Monitoring Assessesment. 2014; 186:1999-2011.
- 9. Kulkarani MK, Senescence regulation in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University Coimbatore, India, 1991.
- 10. Manonmani V, Srimathi R. Influence of seed priming on physiological performance of foxtail, little and proso

millets International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research 2016; 6(4):176-186.

- 11. Pavan S, Hunje R. Influence of soil application of organic manures and foliar spray of organic nutrients on resultant seed quality in kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. Legume Res. 2019; 4(1):1-6.
- 12. Somasundaram E. Evaluation of organic sources of nutrients and panchagavya spray on the growth and productivity of maize-sunflower-greengram system. PhD. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University Coimbatore, India, 2003.
- Sreenivasa MN, Naik N. Impact of bacteria isolated from organic liquid manures on seeding vigour index of wheat and soybean. Organic Farming and Newsletter. 2011; 7(1):3-5.
- 14. Swaminathan C, Swaminathan V, Vijayalakshmi K, Panchagavya - boon to organic farming, International Book, Distributing Co., Lucknow, 181-195.
- 15. Xu HL, Wang XJ, Wang JH. Effect of microbial inoculation on stomatal response of maize leaves. Journal of Crop Production. 2003; 3(1):235-243.
- 16. Yadav BK, Christopher L. Effect of organic manures and panchagavya spray yield attributes, yield and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Crop Research. 2006; 31(1):1-5.