

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(3): 947-949 © 2020 IJCS Received: 13-03-2020 Accepted: 15-04-2020

L Krishna

Rice Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

CH Surender Raju

Rice Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

#### Y Chandra Mohan

Rice Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

D Bhadru

Maize Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author: L Krishna Rice Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

# Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance of grain yield and quality in aromatic rice

# L Krishna, CH Surender Raju, Y Chandra Mohan and D Bhadru

# DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i31.9321

#### Abstract

 $F_3$  generations of 28 crosses were evaluated for the genetic parameters in rice. The estimates of GCV were lower than the respective PCV, indicating the influence of environmental factors on the expression of the quantitative and qualitative traits studied. In  $F_3$  population, higher magnitudes of PCV and GCV recorded for no. of filled grains/panicle and panicle weight and indicated greater scope of obtaining high selection response for these traits. The high estimates of heritability in broad sense with moderate genetic advance were recorded for the yield components *viz.*, panicle length, filled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight and kernel traits *viz.*, kernel length and L/B ratio, which suggested that additive genetic effects played greater role as such, the chances of obtaining desirable segregants with good yield potential and quality are very bright through direct selection.

Keywords: Rice, F3 generation, PCV, GCV, heritability and genetic advance

# Introduction

Aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) with an aroma and flavour similar to popcorn, constitutes a small group of rice that is regarded as best in quality (Singh *et al.*, 2000) <sup>[11]</sup>. Although, aromatic rices popular in world market are long-grain types forming the bulk of export, majority of the Indian indigenous aromatic rices are small and medium-grain types, mostly cultivated for local consumption. Few of the small and medium-grained aromatic rices possess excellent aroma and other quality traits viz., kernel elongation after cooking, taste etc. which could be excellent sources for improving quality in high yielding varieties.

To achieve genetic improvement in yield traits, it is imperative to generate information on variability, its heritable proportion and also interrelationships existing in the breeding material handled. The knowledge of mode of inheritance, variability and association studies is essential to have effective selection programme for identification of superior genotypes. The  $F_2$  or  $F_3$  derived lines are far from being homozygous and early generation selection relies on the assumption that the performance of a line at an early generation of selfing is predicative of its performance at homozygosity (Chahota *et al.* 2007) <sup>[3]</sup>. Empirical studies in different self pollinated crops have indicated that early generation selection is sometimes effective and sometime ineffective (Bernardo, 2003) <sup>[2]</sup>. The present study, reports the extent of genetic variability and interrelationships for yield and yield contributing traits in rice from  $F_3$  generation of twenty eight crosses. The information reported will help in predicting of the effectiveness of selection in early generation selection to isolate high yield potential pure lines of aromatic rice.

# Material and methods

In the present study,  $F_3$  generation material obtained from eight rice genotypes *viz.*, BPT 5204, Akshyadhan, NLR 145, PUSA 1121, RNR 2354, Sumathi, Improved Pusa Basmati and Basmati 370 which were crossed in a diallel fashion (8 x 8) without reciprocals (Tab. 1) was chosen and evaluated at Agricultural Research Station, Kampasagar, Nalgonda district in a Randomized Block Design with 3 replications. Recommended package of practices were followed as specified for transplanted rice. Each entry was grown in 12 rows of 3 m length adopting a spacing of 20 x 15 cm with single seedlings per hill.

Observations were recorded on yield components and physical grain characteristics *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), panicle weight (g), number of filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g), kernel length (mm), kernel breadth (mm), kernel L/B ratio, kernel length after cooking (mm), kernel elongation ratio and head rice recovery (%) and the means were subjected to statistical analysis. Finally, the parameters genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation (Siva Subramanian and Madhavamenon, 1973) <sup>[12]</sup>, heritability in narrow sense, genetic advance and genetic advance as percentage of mean were estimated using Window Stat statistical package.

# **Results and discussion**

A wide range of variation was observed among  $28 \text{ F}_3$  cross population for 14 characters which were evaluated (Table 2). The data revealed that variance due to treatments was highly significant for all the characters studied. The estimates of genetic parameters including co-efficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance deserve attention in deciding the selection criteria for improvement in the concerned characters.

In the present study, the phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation computed to assess the nature and magnitude of existing variability in the F<sub>3</sub> population, reveled presence of higher magnitudes of PCV and GCV with respect to no. of filled grains/panicle and panicle weight (Table 3). This indicated greater scope of obtaining high selection response for these traits. These results are in conformity with the findings of earlier workers *viz.*, Pandey *et al.* (2009)<sup>[8]</sup>, Yadav *et al.* (2010)<sup>[15]</sup>, Maddeppa Mallimar *et al.* (2015)<sup>[7]</sup> and Venkatesan *et al.* (2020).

The moderate estimates of Coefficient of Variation at genotypic level were observed for plant height, no. of productive tillers/plant, 1000 grain weight, grain yield, kernel length after cooking and head rice recovery, as such these traits are likely to permit limited direct selection. Singh *et al.* (2011) found moderate PCV and GCV estimates for grain yield per plant and no. of productive tillers/plant.

Low estimates of PCV and GCV were observed for days to 50% flowering, plant height panicle length, kernel length, kernel breadth and kernel elongation ratio. Khedikar *et al.* (2004) <sup>[5]</sup> and Krishna *et al.* (2014) <sup>[6]</sup> also reported low estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients with respect to days to 50% flowering and plant height. The occurrence of low estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation indicated that further selection directly based on these parameters would not be much rewarding.

Among the characters studied, high estimates of heritability in broad sense with high genetic advance in percentage of mean were observed in general for most of the characters including grain dimensions whereas, in case of others, kernel length after cooking and head rice recovery the values were low. High estimates of heritability with high genetic advance in percent of mean have also been reported earlier for grain yield per plant (Sarangi *et al.* 2009) <sup>[9]</sup>, no. of filled grains/panicle (Suman *et al.* 2005, Devi *et al.* 2006) <sup>[13, 4]</sup> and for number of grains per panicle (Anjaneyulu *et al.* 2010) <sup>[1]</sup>. The traits *viz.*, plant height, no. of productive tillers/plant, panicle weight, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant also showed moderate to low heritability and genetic advance which suggested that inter mating of segregating genotypes to accumulate plus genes may provide very high response to selection for further improvement.

The high estimates of heritability with moderate genetic advance were recorded for the yield components *viz.*, panicle length, filled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight and kernel traits *viz.*, kernel length and L/B ratio, which suggested that additive genetic effects played greater role as such, the chances of obtaining desirable segregants with good yield potential and quality are very bright through direct selection. However, for kernel elongation ratio and head rice recovery direct selection may not be much useful due low heritability coupled with low to medium genetic advance as percent mean.

| Table 1: Cross combination of F3 progenies of hill rice used as |  |
|---|--|
| experimental material   |  |

| S. No | Cross Combinations                           |
|-------|--|
| 1     | BPT 5204 x Akshyadhan                        |
| 2     | BPT 5204 x Aksiyadilar<br>BPT 5204 x NLR 145 |
| 3     | BPT 5204 x Pusa 1121                         |
| 4     | BPT 5204 x RNR 2354                          |
| 5     | BPT 5204 x Kitt 2554<br>BPT 5204 x Sumathi   |
| 6     | BPT 5204 x Improved Pusa Basmati             |
| 7     | BPT 5204 x Basmati 370                       |
| 8     | Akshyadhan x NLR 145                         |
| 9     | Akshyadhan x Pusa 1121                       |
| 10    | Akshyadhan x RNR 2354                        |
| 11    | Akshyadhan x Sumathi                         |
| 12    | Akshyadhan x Improved Pusa Basmati           |
| 13    | Akshyadhan x Basmati 370                     |
| 14    | NLR 145 x Pusa 1121                          |
| 15    | NLR 145 x RNR 2354                           |
| 16    | NLR 145 x Sumathi                            |
| 17    | NLR 145 x Improved Pusa Basmati              |
| 18    | NLR 145 x Basmati 370                        |
| 19    | Pusa 1121 x RNR 2354                         |
| 20    | Pusa 1121 x Sumathi                          |
| 21    | Pusa 1121 x Improved Pusa Basmati            |
| 22    | Pusa 1121 x Basmati 370                      |
| 23    | RNR 2354 x Sumathi                           |
| 24    | RNR 2354 x Improved Pusa Basmati             |
| 25    | RNR 2354 x Basmati 370                       |
| 26    | Sumathi x Improved Pusa Basmati              |
| 27    | Sumathi x Basmati 370                        |
| 28    | Improved Pusa Basmati x Basmati 370          |

Table 2: Analysis of variance (mean squares) for grain yield and kernel quality characteristics in rice

| Source of variation              | Replications (d.f = 2) | Treatments (d.f = 65) | <b>Error</b> ( <b>d.f</b> = $130$ ) | Total (d.f = 197) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering           | 3.48                   | 230.17 **             | 3.4                                 | 78.22             |
| Plant height (cm)                | 12.21                  | 492.78 **             | 12.11                               | 170.71            |
| No. of productive tillers/ plant | 0.55                   | 13.79 **              | 0.85                                | 5.12              |
| Panicle length (cm)              | 3.12                   | 25.37 **              | 4.65                                | 11.47             |
| Panicle weight (g)               | 3.86                   | 134.23 **             | 3.99                                | 46.96             |
| No. of filled grains/ panicle    | 195.72                 | 8187.87 **            | 212.27                              | 2843.65           |
| 1000 grain weight (g)            | 2.32 **                | 40.34 **              | 0.4                                 | 13.6              |

| Grain yield/ plant (g)           | 3.4     | 125.62 ** | 5.85  | 45.35 |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Kernel length (mm)               | 0.001   | 1.25 **   | 0.03  | 0.43  |
| Kernel breadth (mm)              | 0.001   | 0.02 **   | 0.002 | 0.01  |
| Kernel l/b ratio                 | 0.001   | 0.42 **   | 0.02  | 0.15  |
| Kernel length after cooking (mm) | 1.44 ** | 5.75 **   | 0.17  | 2.02  |
| Kernel elongation ratio          | 0.04 ** | 0.14 **   | 0.01  | 0.05  |
| Head rice recovery (%)           | 2.64    | 204.48 ** | 8.79  | 73.3  |

\*Significant at 5 % level, \*\* Significant at 1 % level

| Table 3: Genetic parameters for yield and | l of quality characters o | of rice in F <sub>3</sub> progenies |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| Parameter                        | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | h <sup>2</sup> (broad sense) (%) | GA (%) | GAM (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Days to 50 % flowering           | 8.14    | 7.87    | 94                               | 19.78  | 20.09   |
| Plant height (cm)                | 14.86   | 12.97   | 76                               | 30.18  | 29.87   |
| No. of productive tillers/ plant | 18.93   | 16.06   | 72                               | 5.31   | 35.98   |
| Panicle length (cm)              | 9.36    | 8.51    | 83                               | 4.92   | 20.42   |
| Panicle weight (g)               | 23.07   | 21.44   | 86                               | 12.53  | 52.61   |
| No. of filled grains/ panicle    | 35.73   | 35.1    | 97                               | 68.71  | 91.02   |
| 1000 grain weight (g)            | 16.42   | 15.62   | 90                               | 8.13   | 39.2    |
| Grain yield/ plant (g)           | 22.01   | 19.32   | 77                               | 8.29   | 44.76   |
| Kernel length (mm)               | 9.83    | 8.28    | 71                               | 1.1    | 18.39   |
| Kernel breadth (mm)              | 7.8     | 6.3     | 65                               | 0.22   | 13.41   |
| Kernel l/b ratio                 | 11.54   | 9.96    | 75                               | 0.83   | 22.68   |
| Kernel length after cooking (mm) | 13.39   | 11.78   | 77                               | 2.6    | 27.34   |
| Kernel elongation ratio          | 7.23    | 4.75    | 43                               | 0.13   | 8.23    |
| Head rice recovery (%)           | 14.27   | 11.62   | 66                               | 12.22  | 24.96   |

 $h^2$  = heritability, GA = Genetic advance, GAM = Genetic advance as per cent of mean.

### References

- 1. Anjaneyulu M, Reddy DR, Reddy KHP. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Research on Crops. 2010; 11:415-416.
- Bernardo R. On the effectiveness of early generation selection in self-pollinated crops. Crop Sci. 2003; 43:1558-1560.
- Chahota RK, Kishore N, Dhiman KC, Sharma TR, Sharma SK. Predicting transgressive segregants in early generation using single seed descent method derived micro-macrosperma genepool of lentil. Euphytica. 2007; 156:305-310.
- 4. Devi SL, Raina FA, Pandey MK, Cole CR. Genetic parameters of variation for grain yield and its components in rice. Crop Research. 2006; 32(1):69-71.
- 5. Khedikar VP, Bharose AA, Sharma D, Khedikar YP, Killare AS. Path coefficient analysis of yield components of scented rice. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2004; 14(1):198-201.
- Krishna L, Surender Raju Ch, Sudheer Kuamr S, Narender Reddy S, Bhave MHV. Genetic variability studies for qualitative and quantitative traits in F2 generation of aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa L*.). The Journal of Research, PJTSAU. 2014; 42(3):26-30.
- Maddeppa Mallimar, Surendra P, Hanamaratti NG, Mahantesh Jogi, Sathisha TN, Ramaling Hundekar. Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in F<sub>3</sub> generation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2015; 9(1):24-28.
- 8. Pandey P, Anurag P, Tiwari D, Yadav S, Kumar B. Genetic Variability, Diversity and Association of Quantitative Traits with Grain Yield in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Bio-Science. 2009; 17:77-82.
- Sarangi DN, Pradhan B, Sial P, Mishra CHP. Genetic variability, correlation and path-coefficient analysis in early rice genotypes. Environment and Ecology. 2009; 27:307-312.
- 10. Singh SK, Singh CM, Lal GM. Assessment of genetic variability for yield and its component characters in rice

(*Oryza sativa* L.). Research in Plant Biology. 2011; 1(4):73-76.

- Singh RK, Singh US, Khush GS. Aromatic Rices. International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, 2000, 46.
- Siva Subramanian S, Madhavamenon P. Combining ability in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1973; 60:419-421.
- Suman A, Gouri Shankar V, Subbarao LV, Sreedhar N. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Crop Research. 2005; 30(2):211-214.
- Venkatesan M, Eswaran R, Karthikeyan P, Saravanan KR. Genetic variability studies in F<sub>3</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> segregating generations for yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019; SP2:10-14.
- 15. Yadav SK, Suresh BG, Praveen Pandey, Binod Kumar. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path association in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Biosciences. 2010; 18:1-8.