

# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(3): 1163-1166 © 2020 IJCS Received: 20-03-2020 Accepted: 22-04-2020

#### Dhinu Yadav

Department of Microbiology CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Leela Wati

Department of Microbiology CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

**Corresponding Author: Dhinu Yadav** Department of Microbiology CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

# Impact of zero tillage on rice-wheat cropping systems in Haryana

## Dhinu Yadav and Leela Wati

### DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i3o.9357

### Abstract

The present study was conducted to evaluate the long term effect of zero-tillage practices on physicochemical properties of soil at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth under rice-wheat cropping system in Karnal. Soil texture was loamy at Karnal sampling sites and results of the study revealed that pH, EC and BD (bulk density) was not significantly affected by zero-tillage (ZT) practices. On adopting ZT, soil organic carbon (SOC) increased from 0.49 to 0.52% at surface soil and 0.41 to 0.43% at sub-surface soil in comparison to CT. More available N, P, K, and total N were recorded in surface soil samples under zero tillage as compared to conventional system, however, C:N ratio was observed to be slightly affected by this management practice. Therefore, the ZT practices resulting, improved SOC, can be suitable for improving productivity and soil health under the rice- wheat cropping system (RWCS).

Keywords: Cropping system, crop productivity, soil quality and zero-tillage

### Introduction

Soil quality highly depends on its structure, natural productivity and human influence. Tillage is the mechanical disturbance of the soil through plowing, cultivation or digging and has been used by the farmers since ancient time (Busari et al., 2015)<sup>[4]</sup>. There are a number of advantages of tillage as it incorporates biomass in deeper layer of soil, fertilizers, manure and residues to the soil; optimize the bulk density; helps to maintain soil aeration; release nutrients from organic matter in available forms; controls several soil and residue born diseases and pests through residue incorporation (Hobbs, 2007) <sup>[10]</sup>. Tillage systems influence physicochemical and biological properties of soil and have a major impact on productivity and sustainability (Giller et al., 2015)<sup>[8]</sup>. Conventional tillage practices may adversely affect longterm soil productivity due to erosion and loss of organic matter in soils. As an alternative to conventional practices, zero-tillage have shown its effectiveness in sustaining and improving productivity of rice-wheat crop systems (RWCS) at the same time preserving scarce natural resources such as energy, labour, time, water and environment quality (Dikgwatlhe et al., 2014)<sup>[5]</sup>. Sustainable soil management can be practiced through conservation tillage (including no tillage), high crop residue return, and crop rotation (Hobbs et al., 2008)<sup>[11]</sup>. Studies conducted under a wide range of climatic conditions, soil types and crop rotations showed that soils under no- tillage and reduced tillage have significantly higher soil organic matter contents compared to conventionally tilled soils.

Conservation tillage is the practice in which at least 30% of crop residues are left in the field during sowing, thus reduce soil erosion (NRC, 2010)<sup>[19]</sup>. The advantages of conservation tillage practices over conventional tillage include reducing cultivation cost, allowing crop residues to act as an insulator and reducing soil temperature fluctuation, building up soil organic matter and conserving soil moisture. Different tillage practices cause changes in soil physical properties, such as bulk density (Singh *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[25]</sup> and stratification of soil organic matter has been observed in long-term conservation tillage system (Kushwa *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[17]</sup>. Thus, altered soil physical and chemical conditions under conservation tillage create significantly different habitats for microorganisms and shift in soil microbial community structure (Kandeler *et al.*, 1999)<sup>[13]</sup>. Conventional tillage can lead to soil microbial communities dominated by aerobic microorganisms, while conservation tillage practices increase microbial population and activity as well as microbial biomass (Balota *et al.*, 2003)<sup>[2]</sup>.

Despite economical and environmental benefits from lowintensity tillage systems, its adoption is still low due to reduced tillage and, especially, no tillage often results in lower crop yields than the conventional tillage system which is caused by soil compaction, residue management, germination problems and weed and pest incidence (Mankeviciene et al., 2012)<sup>[18]</sup>. Nevertheless, long-term no tillage application increases organic carbon content, positively affecting not only soil structure, but also microbial activity (Desanctis et al., 2012)<sup>[6]</sup>. The influence of tillage systems on the total soil organic matter content is detectable only after several years of its application. Microbial activity responds quickly to disturbances in a shorter period of time than other parameters. The specific objective of the study was to observe soil physico-chemical properties of soil under different tillage systems following rice-wheat cropping system in Karnal district of Haryana.

### Materials and methods Soil Samples

To study the effect of conservational practices on physicochemical properties of soil under rice-wheat cropping systems, soil samples (0-15 and 15-30 cm depths) were collected from Karnal fields located at Haryana after harvesting of wheat, having following treatments:

- 1. No-till no till
- 2. Conventional till-Conventional till

### **Preparation of soil for analysis**

The soil samples collected were sieved through 2 mm sieve for different analysis.

### Analytical methods

**Bulk density (BD):** Bulk density of oven dried soil samples was determined by volume-mass relationship by packing the soil material through tapping in a cylinder of known volume and from the measured weight of the soil in the cylinder.

### **Chemical properties**

# Soil pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Organic Carbon

To measure the pH and EC of different soil samples, fivegram soil was mixed with 12.5 ml of distilled water. It was shaken for 30 minutes and pH of soil suspension was measured at room temperature with Systronics 331 pH meter and EC was measured with Naina electrical conductivity meter. The organic carbon content in different soil samples was determined by the method of Kalembassa and Jenkinson (1973)<sup>[14]</sup>.

### Total N, P and K

Total nitrogen content in different soil samples was estimated by Kjeldhal's method (Bremner, 1965). The total phosphorus content of different soil samples was determined by the method of John (1970)<sup>[12]</sup>. The total potassium content in soil samples was estimated on flame photometer as described by Antil *et al.* (2002)<sup>[1]</sup>.

### Available N, P and K

Available nitrogen content of soil was determined by alkaline permanganate method (Subbia and Asija, 1956)<sup>[27]</sup>. Available phosphorus was estimated by using Olsen's method and available potassium was estimated on flame photometer as described by Hanway and Heidal, 1952<sup>[9]</sup>.

### Statistical analysis

To assess the effects of different tillage practices on the soil properties, the RBD statistical programme was used for two way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

### **Results and discussion**

**Soil texture:** The texture of different soil samples collected from conventional and conservationally cultivated farms was loamy.

### Effect of tillage on bulk density (BD)

Soil compaction remains a concern for farmers and scientists, especially in ZT system and the long- term zero tillage in RWCS under present study affected the soil bulk density that was lower in 0-15 cm soil layer as compared to 15-30 cm depth under CT and vice-versa under ZT. The bulk density under CT was 1.62 and 1.63 mgm<sup>-3</sup> at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth, respectively, and under ZT, corresponding values were 1.72 and 1.66 mgm<sup>-3</sup>. Stanek-Tarkowska *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[26]</sup> also reported increased bulk density under reduced tillage at the 0-5 and 5-10 cm depths by 0.11 and 0.05 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, as compared to traditional tillage.

### Effect of tillage on pH, EC and organic carbon (OC)

The pH of soils under CT was 7.7 and 7.4 at surface and subsurface layer, respectively, and upon adoption of zero-tillage the values were 7.7 and 7.6 at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth, respectively. Although, a slight change in pH was observed upon adoption of zero-tillage practice but no significant difference was observed between the two systems (Table 1). The results are similar with the observations of Singh *et al.*, (2014)<sup>[24]</sup> that CT and ZT had similar soil pH at surface layer though soil pH showed higher values under ZT at subsurface layer but the differences were not significant because the surface soil becomes more acidic under ZT practices than that under conventional practice. The lowering of pH in surface layer under CA-based system has been attributed to build up of soil organic matter and release of organic acids upon decomposition in the surface layer.

Conservational tillage had not much effect on EC contents of Karnal soils at 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth as compared to conventional tillage which might be due to buffering capacity of soils based on carbonate contents which resisted change in EC of soils during organic matter decomposition under conservational tillage. Similar findings were reported by Khan *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[16]</sup> in terms of an increased EC under NT which might be due to minimized leaching of nutrients along with water and enhanced nutrients availability under NT but in contrast, Roldan *et al.*, (2005)<sup>[23]</sup> reported that EC was not affected by the tillage practices.

The long-term ZT practice in RWCS resulted in accumulation of organic matter in soils surface layer whereas effect on C:N ratio was non-significant (Table 1). Increase in organic carbon (OC) was observed at 0-15 cm depth in loam soil which indicated build up of OC to a deeper depth with increase in fineness of soil texture. Studies that compared soil organic carbon content under ZT and CT have, however, reported inconsistent results with Busari and Salako (2015)<sup>[4]</sup> and Kaushik *et al.*, 2018<sup>[15]</sup> who found that OC was higher under zero tillage system as compared to conventional tillage system. Table 1: Effect of conventional and zero tillage on soil pH, EC, organic carbon and C:N ratio under rice-wheat crop rotations

	EC (dS/m)		рН		Organic	C:N ratio						
Tillage	Depth (cm)											
	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30				
СТ	0.35	0.39	7.7	7.4	0.49	0.41	8.90	8.72				
ZT	0.36	0.41	7.7	7.6	0.52	0.43	8.52	8.26				
C D at 50/												

C.D. at 5%

Factors	pH	EC	Organic carbon	C:N ratio
A (Tillage)	NS	NS	0.023	NS
B (Depth)	NS	NS	0.023	NS
A X B	NS	NS	NS	NS

### Effect of tillage on N, P and K content

Changes in total N, P and K content of soils under RWCS are shown in Table 2. The major affect produced by no-tillage RWCS was on total N, P and K at surface layer under CT and ZT. The findings are similar with the observations of Neugschwandtner *et al.*,  $(2014)^{[20]}$  in respect of total N, P and K content which were significantly influenced by tillage with depth but no rotation effect was observed and total N, P and K was higher at 0–10 cm than at 20–30 cm depth in no-till (NT) compared to shallow conventional tillage (CTs). An accumulation of P and K with reduced tillage (NT and CTs) occurred in the upper soil layers and depletion in the deepest sampled soil layer over time, therefore, NT resulted in an increase of P and K at 0–15 cm depth compared to CT. Similar observations were reported by Dorr de Quadros *et al.*, (2012)<sup>[7]</sup> for the total N and P and found that N and P content were significantly higher in the no- tillage system because of high microbial diversity and high accumulation of soil organic matter.

There was significant influence of tillage on available N, P and K content after RWCS at both 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth (Table 2). The available N, P and K content under ZT was 172, 12.9 and 231 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at surface layer while at subsurface layer the respective values were 161, 12.1 and 218 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Yadav *et al.*, (2016)<sup>[28]</sup> reported 219.8, 24.9 and 203.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K at 0-15 cm soil after seven years of conservational tillage which was minimum under CT and similar results were reported by (Ram *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[22]</sup> that available macro nutrients like N, P and K were higher at surface soil (0-5 cm) as compared to sub surface soil (10-15 cm) after rice-crop may be due to more organic matter accumulation at surface layer under no-tillage.

Table 2: Effect of conventional and zero tillage on total and available N, P and K content of soil under rice-wheat crop rotations

	Total N (%)			Total P (%)		Total K (%)	Available N (Kg/ha)		Available P (Kg/ha)		Available K (Kg/ha)	
Tillage	Depth (cm)											
	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30	0-15	15-30
CT	0.055	0.047	0.33	0.28	0.89	0.82	159	152	12.4	11.6	224	202
ZT	0.061	0.052	0.39	0.34	0.99	0.85	172	161	12.9	12.1	231	218

C.D. at 5%

Factors	Total N	Total P	Total K	Available N	Available P	Available K
A (Tillage)	0.05	0.025	0.025	5.746	0.392	2.629
B (Depth)	0.05	0.025	0.025	5.746	0.392	2.629
A X B	NS	0.035	0.035	NS	NS	3.719

### Conclusion

Zero-tillage under RWCS influenced various physicochemical properties of soil which may affect crop growth and productivity by nutrient conservation.

### References

- 1. Antil RS, Singh A, Dahiya SS. Practical Manual of Soil and Plant Analysis. Department of Soil Science, CCS Haryana Agric. Univ, 2002.
- 2. Balota EL, ColozziFilho A, Andrade DS, Dick RP. Microbial biomass in soils under different tillage and crop rotation systems. Biol. Fert. Soil. 2003; 38:15-20.
- Bremner JM. Total nitrogen. In: Method of Soil Analysis. (ed. Black, C.A.), published by American Society of Agronomy, Madison. 1965; 2:1149-1178.
- 4. Busari MA, Kukal SS, Kaur A, Bhatt R, Dulazi AA. Conservation tillage impacts on soil, crop and the environment. Int. Soil Water Cons. Res. 2015; 3(2):119-129.
- 5. Dikgwatlhe SB, Chen Z, Lal R, Zhang H, Chen F. Changes in soil organic carbon and nitrogen as affected by tillage and residue management under wheat-maize

cropping system in the North China Plain. Soil Till. Res. 2014; 144:110-118.

- 6. Desanctis G, Roggero PP, Seddaiu G, Orsini R, Porter CH, Jones JW. Long-term no tillage increased soil organic carbon content of rain-fed cereal systems in a Mediterranean area. Eur. J. Agron. 2012; 40:18-27.
- Dorr de Quadros P, Zhalnina K, Davis-Richardson A, Fagen JR, Drew J, Bayer C *et al.* The effect of tillage system and crop rotation on soil microbial diversity and composition in a subtropical acrisol. Diversity. 2012; 4(4):375-395.
- Giller KE, Andersson JA, Corbeels M, Kirkegaard J, Mortensen D, Erenstein O. Beyond conservation agriculture. Front Plant. Sci. 2015; 6:1-14.
- 9. Hanway JJ, Heidal H. Soil analysis methods used in Iowa state soil testing labn. Agronomy. 1952; 57:1-37.
- Hobbs PR. Conservation Agriculture: What Is It and Why Is It Important for Future Sustainable Food Production? J. Agri. Sci. 2007; 145:127-137.
- Hobbs PR, Sayre K, Gupta R. The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 2008; 363:543-555.

- 12. John MK. Colorimetric determination of phosphorus in soil and plant materials with ascorbic acid. Soil Sci. 1970; 109:214-220.
- 13. Kandeler E, Tscherko D, Spiegel H. Long term monitoring of microbial biomass, N mineralization and enzyme activites of a chernozem under different tillage management. Biol. Fertility Soils. 1999; 28(4):343-351.
- Kalembassa SJ, Jenkinson DS. A comparative study of titrimetric and gravimetric methods for the determination of organic carbon in soil. J. Sci. Food Agri. 1973; 24:1089-1090.
- Kaushik U, Raj D, Rani P, Antil RS. Impact of zero tillage on available nutrients status on pearlmillet wheat cropping system. Inter. J. Chemical Studies. 2018; 6(3):2997-3000.
- 16. Khan S, Shah MA, Wahid MA, Rasool A, Khan M. Impact of tillage practices on soil physical properties, nitrate leaching and yield attributes of maize. Biosci. Agric. Res. 2017; 13(01):1099-1108.
- Kushwa V, Hati KM, Sinha NK, Singh RK, Mohanty M, Somasundaram J *et al.* Long-term conservation tillage effect on soil organic carbon and available phosphorous content in vertisols of central India. Agri. Res. 2016; 5(4):353-361.
- Mankevičienė ASS, Kadžienė G, Kačergius A, Feizienė D, Feiza V, Semaškienė R *et al.* The impact of tillage and fertilization on Fusariuminfection andmycotoxin production in wheat grains. Zemdirbystė Agri. 2012; 99(3):265.
- 19. National Research Council (NRC). (April). The impact of genetically engineered crops on farm sustainability in the United States. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2010.
- 20. Neugschwandtner RW, Liebhard P, Kaul HP, Wagentristl H. Soil chemical properties as affected by tillage and crop rotation in a long-term field experiment. Plant Soil Environ. 2014; 60(2):57-62.
- 21. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular, 1954, 939.
- 22. Ram S, Ghosh AK, Nema AK, Singh SP, Kumar V, Singh P. Influence of long-term tillage, organic and inorganic fertilization on primary nutrient and s in ricelentil cropping sequence under dry land ecosystem. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018; 7(4):2511-2522.
- Roldan A, Salinas-Garcı JR, Alguacil MM, Dıaz E, Caravaca F. Soil enzyme activities suggest advantages of conservation tillage practices in sorghum cultivation under subtropical conditions. Geoderma. 2005; 129:178-185.
- Singh Y, Sidhu HS. Management of cereal crop residues for sustainable rice-wheat production system in the Indo-Gangetic plains of India. ProcInd Nat Sci Acad. 2014; 80:95-114.
- Singh R, Serawat M, Singh A, Babli. Effect of tillage and crop residue management on soil physical properties. J. Soil Salinity Water Quality. 2018; 10(2):200-206.
- 26. Stanek-Tarkowska J, Czyż EA, Dexter AR, Sławiński C. Effects of reduced and traditional tillage on soil properties and diversity of diatoms under winter wheat. Int. Agrophys. 2018; 32:403-409.
- 27. Subbiah B, Asija GL. Alkaline permanganate method of available nitrogen determination. Current Sci. 1956; 25:259.

 Yadav MR, Parihar CM, Jat SL, Singh AK, Kumar D, Pooniya V. Effect of long term tillage and diversified crop rotations on nutrient uptake, profitability and energetic of maize. Ind. J. Agri. Sci. 2016; 86:743-749.

http://www.chemijournal.com