International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(3): 1817-1820 © 2020 IJCS Received: 14-03-2020 Accepted: 16-04-2020

Tran Quyen Nguyen Pepper Research and Development Centre, Gia Lai, Vietnam

Thi Dieu Hien Tran Pepper Research and Development Centre, Gia Lai, Vietnam

Oanh Duong Thi Pepper Research and Development Centre, Gia Lai, Vietnam

Nguyen Quang Ngoc Pepper Research and Development Centre, Gia Lai, Vietnam

Ba Dan Dang National Agricultural Extension

Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vietnam

Corresponding Author: Tran Quyen Nguyen Pepper Research and Development Centre, Gia Lai, Vietnam

Determination grafting techniques and compatible grafts between *piper* species - a case study in Vietnam

Tran Quyen Nguyen, Thi Dieu Hien Tran, Oanh Duong Thi, Nguyen Quang Ngoc and Ba Dan Dang

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i3y.9471

Abstract

Black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) is one of the main crops in Vietnam. Currently, Vietnam is biggest black pepper producer globally and the crop has brought millions of US dollars to Vietnam economy. However, in recent years pepper plantation has been dying off thousands of hectares due to *phytophthora capsici*, a soil borne pathogen. Using resistant *piper* species as rootstock is considered an alternative option to control *phytophthora capsici*. This study was carried out to investigate suitable grafting methods and compatible grafts. Two way factor experiment consisting of six treatments and 3 replications was conducted. *Piper colubrinum, piper betle* large leaves and *piper betle* small leaves were used as rootstocks materials. They are being grafted by *piper nigrum* as scions in two grafting techniques: Splice graft and Cleft graft. Growth parameters such as successful rate (%), scion height (cm), scion diameter (mm), number of leaves and scion dry biomass (g/graft) were recorded after 30 days, 45 days, 60 days, 75 days and 90 days of grafting. The results showed that Cleft graft was better than Splice graft. *Piper nigrum* grafted on *piper colubrinum* by Cleft technique showed highest growth parameter as well as dry weight.

Keywords: Piper nigrum, piper colubrinum, piper betle, grafting

Introduction

Black pepper (*piper nigrum*) is one of main crops in Vietnam. Annually, the crop generates million of US dollars to Vietnam economy. In crop year 2019, it is estimated that Vietnam will export around 270,000 tons and becomes the biggest pepper producer in the world ^[1]. At the household level, the crop provides livelihoods for million people in the Central Highlands and South-eastern regions, Vietnam ^[2].

However, in recent years farming has been facing many challenges. A significant drop in pepper price resulted in low incomes to farmers, profits cannot cover production costs. Moreover, soil borne diseases such as Quick wilt disease and foot rot caused by *Phytopththora capsici* has killed thousands of hectares of pepper plantation ^[3].

Phytophthora capsici control by chemical fungicides seems to be less effectiveness and increase production costs as well as resulting in concerns about food safety. Therefore, new approaches and strategies are developed to control the fungi as well as enhance crop growth and productivity ^[3].

Many research works have concluded *piper colubrinum* as *phytophthora capsici* and *Meloidogyne incognita* resistance plant while *piper betle* is considered a *phytophthora capsici* tolerance plants ^[4]. These plants can be used as rootstock materials in grafting, a potential technique to control *phytophthora capsici* in black pepper plantation.

However, which grafting techniques show the best compatibility and initial growth have not been fully understood. The objective of this study is to investigate suitable grafting techniques and compatible grafts between *piper* species.

Materials and methods

Root stock preparation: Root stock cuttings of *Piper colubrinum, Piper betle* large leaves and *Piper betle* small leaves are harvested from free diseased gardens. Then, they are planted in the pots (14 cm in diameter and 25 cm in length) which are filled with potting mixtures consisting of top soil, cow dung, coir pit and river sand (ratio of 1:1:1:1 in volume).

The pots are placed in greenhouse and watered every two days. After 4 months of planting, cuttings are 4 to 5 leaves, and around 45 cm in length, they are ready for the experiment.

Scion preparations: semi-harwood shoots of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*) are used as scions. Two nodes of shoots are collected from free disease gardens, then they are kept in moist condition.

http://www.chemijournal.com

Experiment design

A two factor experiment is used for this study. Factor A (root stocks): *Piper colubrinum*, *Piper betle* large leave, *Piper betle* small leaves. Factor B (grafting techniques): Spice graft and Cleft graft.

There are six treatments (Table 1), 30 pots for each treatment x 6 treatments x 3 replications = 540 pots.

After 30 days and 45 days of grafting, Piper nigrum grafted

on *Piper colubrinum* showed highest height growth, following by *Piper betle* large leaves and *Piper betle* small leaves.

However, the period from 60 days to 90 days after being

grafted the scion height of *Piper colubrinum* and *Piper betle*

large leaves were similar compared to Piper betle small

Regarding to grafting techniques, Cleft method gave better

Scion diameter is one of growth characteristics to identify

how fast growth in different grafts. Overall, from 30 days to 75 days after grafting, scion diameter of *Piper nigrum* grafted

on Piper colubrinum was biggest, following by Piper betle

Splice and Cleft techniques did not give different growth in

scion height growth than Splice method.

Fable 1: Deta	ls of six tre	eatments for	the experiment
---------------	---------------	--------------	----------------

Treatments	Root stock	Scion	Grafting techniques
Treatment 1	Piper colubrinum	Piper nigrum	Splice graft
Treatment 2	Piper colubrinum	Piper nigrum	Cleft graft
Treatment 3	Piper betle large leaves	Piper nigrum	Splice graft
Treatment 4	Piper betle large leaves	Piper nigrum	Cleft graft
Treatment 5	Piper betle small leaves	Piper nigrum	Splice graft
Treatment 6	Piper betle small leaves	Piper nigrum	Cleft graft

Scion height

Data collection

Sucess rate (%): record all pots, 15 days interval after grafting.

Scion height (cm), scion diameter (mm), number of leaves: all survival grafts are recorded, 15 days interval after grafting.

Scion dry weight (g): 30 grafts per treatment (180 grafts in total) are randomly chosen. Then, scions are careful separated. Finally, scions are dried at 105°C during 10 hours until unchanged weight. Finally, they are measured by a balance.

Data analysis

Anova two factors analysis is used to identify differences between means and interactions between two factors.

Results

Success rate of grafts

Success rate of grafts after 30 days, 45 days, 60 days, 75 days and 90 days of grafting were showed at table 2. In general, there were significant differences between root stock materials and grafting techniques. *Piper nigrum* grafted in *Piper colubrinum* showed highest rate of success compare to *Piper betle* large leaves and *Piper betle* small leaves. In terms of grafting techniques, Cleft gave higher success rate than Spice Graft.

The data also showed that *Piper nigrum* grafted in *piper colubrinum* used Cleft method show highest rate of success.

The successful rate of grafts decreased following by days after grafting. The highest rate could be found after 30 days, these figures drop after 90 days of grafting.

Scion dry weight

Cleft graft showed highest dry weight in comparision to Splice graft. Dry weight measured in grafts used *Piper colubrinum* as rootstocks gave highest dry weight following by *Piper betle* large leaves and *Piper betle* small leaves.

	Deat steeles (A)	Grafting tecl	Grafting techniques (B)	
	KOOL SLOCKS (A)	Splice graft	Cleft graft	Mean (A)
	Piper colubrinum	86,66°	97,00ª	91,83ª
	Piper betle large leave	85,33°	90,33 ^b	87,83 ^b
After 30 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	81,33 ^d	87,00 ^c	84,16 ^c
	Mean (B)	84,44 ^b	91,44 ^a	
	$CV(\%) = 1,13; F_{A}^{*}; F_{B}^{*}; F_{A*B}^{*}$			
	Piper colubrinum	82,66	93,33	88,00 ^a
After 45 days of grafting	Piper betle large leave	81,66	87,00	84,33 ^b
	Piper betle small leave	77,66	84,33	81,00 ^c
	Mean (B)	80.66 ^b	88.22ª	

Table 2: Success rates after grafting

large leaves and *Piper betle* small leaves. On the other hand, at 90 days of grafting there was no significant difference.

leaves.

Scion diameter

Number of leaves

scion diameter.

In terms of grafting techniques, young plants grafted by Cleft technique produced more leaves than Splice technique (not significant difference at $\alpha = 0.05$). *Piper nigrum* being grafted on *Piper colubrinum* and *Piper betle* large leaves showed better leave growth than *Piper betle* small leaves.

	$CV(\%) = 2,15; F_A^*; F_B^*; F_{A^*B}^{ns}$				
	Piper colubrinum	79,66	88,00	83,83 ^a	
	Piper betle large leave	78,33	83,00	80,66 ^b	
After 60 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	76,00	79,00	77,50°	
	Mean (B)	78,00 ^b	83,33ª		
	CV	$(\%) = 2,41; F_{A}^{*}; F_{B}^{*}; F_{A}$	*B ^{ns}		
	Piper colubrinum	78,00	84,66	81,33 ^a	
	Piper betle large leave	75,66	80,66	78,16 ^b	
After 75 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	72,66	76,33	74,50°	
	Mean (B)	75,44 ^b	80,55ª		
	$CV(\%) = 1,67; F_A^*; F_B^*; F_{A*B}^{ns}$				
	Piper colubrinum	75,66 ^c	81,66ª	78,66 ^a	
	Piper betle large leave	73,33 ^d	78,00 ^b	76,66 ^b	
After 90 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	70,00 ^e	72,66 ^d	71,33°	
	Mean (B)	73,00 ^b	77,44 ^a		
	$CV(\%) = 1,32; F_{B}^{*}; F_{B}^{*}; F_{A*B}^{*}$				
Different letters showed differences in	tes in means at $P_{0.05}$; ns = not significant differences				

	Deat stocks (A)	Grafting tec	Grafting techniques (B)	
Days after gratting	KOOU STOCKS (A)	Splice graft	Cleft graft	Mean (A)
	Piper colubrinum	4,73 ^{bc}	7,70ª	6,21ª
	Piper betle large leave	5,03 ^b	3,30 ^{bcd}	4,16 ^b
After 30 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,26 ^d	3,16 ^{cd}	2,71°
	Mean (B)	4,01	4,72	
	CV(%) = 20,96; F_A^* ; F_B^{ns} ; H	A*B [*]	
	Piper colubrinum	9,03	10,66	9,85 ^a
	Piper betle large leave	7,8	6,03	6,91 ^b
After 45 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	3,70	5,26	4,48°
	Mean (B)	6,84	7,32	
	$CV(\%) = 20,29; F_A^*; F_B^{ns}; F_{A^*B}^{ns}$			
	Piper colubrinum	12,86 ^{bc}	16,36 ^a	14,61 ^a
	Piper betle large leave	15,23 ^{ab}	10,33 ^{cd}	12,78 ^a
After 60 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	5,73 ^e	9,43 ^d	7,58 ^b
	Mean (B)	11,27	12,04	
	$CV(\%) = 14,47; F_{A}^{*}; F_{B}^{ns}; F_{A*B}^{*}$			
	Piper colubrinum	18,36 ^{bc}	22,33 ^a	20,35 ^a
	Piper betle large leave	21,66 ^{ab}	17,36 ^c	19,51ª
After 75 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	10,83 ^d	17,7°	14,26 ^b
	Mean (B)	16,95 ^b	19,13 ^a	
	$CV(\%) = 11,28; F_A^*; F_B^*; F_{A^*B}^*$			
	Piper colubrinum	26,70 ^b	27,33 ^b	27,01 ^a
	Piper betle large leave	30,73 ^a	24,73 ^{bc}	27,73 ^a
After 90 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	21,73°	24,73 ^{bc}	23,23 ^b
	Mean (B)	26,38	25,60	
	$CV(\%) = 6.98 \cdot F_{A}^{*} \cdot F_{D}^{ns} \cdot F_{A \approx D}^{*}$			

 Table 3: Scion height after grafting

Different letters showed differences in means at $P_{0.05}$; ns = not significant differences

Table 4: Scion diameter

	Deat stacks (A)	Grafting tec	Grafting techniques (B)		
Days after gratting	ROOT STOCKS (A)	Splice graft	Cleft graft	Mean (A)	
	Piper colubrinum	2,30	2,26	2,28ª	
	Piper betle large leave	2,10	1,83	1,96 ^{ab}	
After 30 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,00	1,80	1,90 ^b	
	Mean (B)	2,13	1,96		
	CV(%) = 12,04; F_A^* ; F_B^{ns} ; F	A*B ^{ns}		
	Piper colubrinum	2,83	2,90	2,86 ^a	
	Piper betle large leave	2,73	2,66	2,70 ^{ab}	
After 45 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,46	2,60	2,53 ^b	
	Mean (B)	2,67	2,72		
	$CV(\%) = 7,05; F_A^*; F_B^{ns}; F_{A^*B}^{ns}$				
	Piper colubrinum	3,03	3,10	3,06 ^a	
	Piper betle large leave	2,83	2,90	2,86 ^b	
After 60 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,60	2,70	2,65°	
	Mean (B)	2,82	2,90		
	$CV(\%) = 4,63; F_A^*; F_B^{ns}; F_{A^*B}^{ns}$				
	Piper colubrinum	3,16	3,30	3,23ª	
	Piper betle large leave	3,03	3,13	3,08 ^b	
After 75 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,86	2,90	2,83°	
	Mean (B)	3,02	3,11		
	CV($(\%) = 3.20$; F_{A}^{*} ; F_{B}^{ns} ; F	A*B ^{ns}		

	Piper colubrinum	3,46	3,53	3,50
	Piper betle large leave	3,56	3,43	3,50
After 90 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	3,23	3,26	3,25
	Mean (B) 3,42 3,41			
	$CV(\%) = 6.60; F_A^{ns}; F_B^{ns}; F_{A^*B}^{ns}$			

Different letters showed differences in means at $P_{0.05}$; ns = not significant differences

Doug often anoftin a	Doot stooks (A)	Grafting tec	Grafting techniques (B)	
Days after gratting	Root stocks (A)	Splice graft	Cleft graft	Mean (A)
	Piper colubrinum	1,86	1,76	1,81 ^a
	Piper betle large leave	2,23	1,70	1,96 ^a
After 45 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	1,23	1,30	1,26 ^b
	Mean (B)	1,77	1,58	
	CV	$(\%) = 12,22; F_A^*; F_B^{ns}; F_B^$	A*B ^{ns}	
	Piper colubrinum	2,16	2,00	2,08ª
	Piper betle large leave	2,63	2,53	2,58 ^b
After 60 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	1,63	1,63	1,63°
	Mean (B)	2,14	2,05	
	$CV(\%) = 8,95; F_A^*; F_B^{ns}; F_{A*B}^{ns}$			
	Piper colubrinum	2,40	3,06	2,73ª
	Piper betle large leave	2,93	2,96	2,95ª
After 75 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	1,96	2,06	2,01 ^b
	Mean (B)	2,43 ^b	2,70ª	
	$CV(\%) = 9,85; F_{A}^{*}; F_{B}^{*}; F_{A*B}^{ns}$			
	Piper colubrinum	3,23	3,83	3,53ª
	Piper betle large leave	3,66	3,40	3,53ª
After 90 days of grafting	Piper betle small leave	2,33	2,60	2,46 ^b
	Mean (B)	3,07	3,27	
	$CV(\%) = 11.37$ $E_{A}^{*} E_{P}^{ns} E_{A \neq P}^{ns}$			

Table 5: Number of leaves

Different letters showed differences in means at $P_{0.05}$; ns = not significant differences

Table 6: Scion dry weight

De et steeles (A)	Grafting tec	Mean (A)	
KOOL SLOCKS (A)	Splice graft	Cleft graft	Mean (A)
Piper colubrinum	3,21	3,75	3,48ª
Piper betle large leave	2,45	3,60	3,03 ^b
Piper betle small leave	2,89	3,35	3,12 ^b
Mean (B)	2,85 ^a	3,57 ^b	

Different letters showed differences in means at $P_{0.05}$; ns = not significant differences

Discussions

Grafting in black pepper has been carried out by several studies. Albuquerque (1969) concluded that only *Piper colubrinum* showed highest success to 95 per cent. In this study the author also used folk method which is also known as Cleft graft ^[5].

Alternative grafting techniques have been used such as wedge, saddle, Splice, Modified Splice, Tongue, Double rootstock. The highest success rate was found in double rootstock methods while Wedge (Cleft) and Splice were only 62.00% and 60% ^[6]. The different success rate was explained by Vanaja, T., *et al.*, 2007. The author concluded that different months of grafting will result in different success rate. In addition, *Piper nigrum* varieties also affect grafting survivals ^[7].

Conclusion

Cleft graft was better than Splice graft. *Piper nigrum* grafted on *piper colubrinum* by Cleft technique showed highest growth parameter as well as dry weight.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vietnam for funding the research activities. Special thanks to Mr. Nguyen Ngoc Dung for his great contributions during this study.

References

- 1. IPC. Available from, 2020. https://internationalpepperconference.com/report/reports.
- 2. Current status of black pepper industry and suggestions for improvement, in Conference of black pepper sustainable development in Vietnam to meet global market requirements. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development: Dak Nong Vietnam, 2019.
- 3. Current status of pets and diseases in black pepper cultivation and propose sollutions to control., in Conference of black pepper sustainable development in Vietnam to meet global market requirements. Department of Plant Protection, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development: Dak Nong Vietnam, 2019.
- 4. Hien Tran Thi Dieu QNT, Ngoc Nguyen Quang, Oanh Duong Thi. Resistant ability of rootstock materials to *Phytophthora capsici* and *Meloidogyne incognita* for grafting black pepper in Vietnam. Journal of Vietnam Agricultural Science and Technology, 2019.
- 5. Albuquerque FC, *Piper colubrinum* Link; A grafting root-stock for Piper nigrum L. resistant to diseases caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* Bull, and *Fusarium solanii* f. piperi. Perqui Agropecuar. 1969; 3:141-145.
- 6. Mathew PA, JR. Grafting in Black *pepper* to control foot rot. Spice India Indian Institute of Spices Research, 2000.
- 7. Vanaja T *et al.*, Graft recovery of *Piper nigrum* L. runner shoots on *Piper colubrinum* Link. rootstocks as influenced by varieties and month of grafting. Journal of Tropical Agriculture, 2007, 45.