International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 2841-2845 © 2020 IJCS Received: 10-05-2020 Accepted: 12-06-2020

Balasubramanian V

Assistant Director of Agriculture, District Watershed Development Agency, Department of Agriculture, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu, India

J Suresh

Professor, Department of Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

R Srinivasan

Scientist, ICAR- National Bureau of Soil Survey & Land Use Planning, Regional Centre, Hebbal, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

M Prabhu

Assistant Professor, Department of Vegetables Science, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,, India

E Manikandan

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Geology, Periyar University, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

VB Gokul Nandha

Medical Officer, Department of Health and Family Welfare, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author: Balasubramanian V Assistant Director of Agriculture, District Watershed Development Agency, Department of Agriculture, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu, India

Evaluation of soil characteristics and yield variation of coleus (*Coleus forskohlii*) in different agro climatic zones of Tamil Nadu

Balasubramanian V, J Suresh, R Srinivasan, M Prabhu, E Manikandan and VB Gokul Nandha

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4ah.10076

Abstract

Coleus is a perennial herb with fleshy, fibrous roots and grows wild in the warm sub-tropical temperate areas in India. In Ayurvedic Medicine it has been used for over 3,000 years to treat heart and lung diseases. In India it has been cultivated for a long time as an important medicinal plant because of its unique diterpenoid content. In recent years the plant has gained pharmacological importance as the only known plant source of the adenylate cyclase activating compound. Detailed soil characterization was carried out in different yield rating (low, medium and high) zones in two Agro climatic zones of (North Eastern and Hill area zones) of Tamil Nadu to assessing the yield limiting soil factors. Typifying soil profiles and surface samples were collected from representing agro climatic zones and soils were analysed. Soils are shallow to deep, well to somewhat poorly drained, dark brown to dark reddish brown in moist colour. The soils were sandy clay loam to sandy clay and clay content ranged from 28 to 45%. The organic carbon in soils ranged from 0.10 to 1.16% and cation exchange capacity from 8.2 to 16.6 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ soil, respectively. Soils were low in available nitrogen, low to medium in available phosphorus and low to medium in available potassium. In general, soils were deficient in DTPA-extractable Zn and other micro nutrients like copper, iron and manganese are sufficient. Plant characteristics of different climatic zones were shows significant with soil depth and other characteristics.

Keywords: Coleus, soil characteristics, plant nutrients, agro climatic zones, Tamil Nadu

Introduction

Medicinal plants continue to be an important source of lifesaving drugs for humankind, especially in the developing nations. The World Health Organization has estimated that more than 80% of the world population in developing countries depends primarily on herbal medicine for basic health care (Vines, 2004)^[16]. Increasing realization of the health hazards and toxicity associated with indiscriminate use of synthetic drugs and antibiotics has renewed the interest in the use of plants and plant-based drugs. Subsequent global inclination towards herbal medicine has advanced the expansion of plant-based pharmaceutical industries. Only a small percentage of medicinal plants traded in India are solely cultivated. Coleus (Coleus forskohlii) belonging to the family of Lamiaceae is an Indian medicinal herb (Valdes et al., 1987) [15]. It grows wild in the subtropical temperate climates of India, Nepal, Burma, Sri Lanka and Thailand. Apparently, it has been distributed to Egypt, Arabia, Ethiopia, tropical East Africa and Brazil. In India, the plant is found mostly on the dry and barren hills (Anon, 1950) ^[2]. It is the most important species of genus Coleus popularly known as 'garmar' in Maharastra and 'makandiberu' in Karnataka. It is cultivated to a limited extent in Maharastra, Tamil Nadu, Gujarat and Karnataka, for the tuberous roots which are pickled and eaten (Krishnan et al., 2011)^[6], and also used for medicinal purposes mentioned in the Hindu and Ayurvedic schools of medicines (Ammon and Muller, 1985)^[1]. Coleus is the only known source of forskolin (De Souza and Shah, 1988)^[4]. Though almost all parts of the plants are found to have traces of forskolin, the roots are the main source, containing 0.1 to 0.5% and are commercially preferred for its extraction (Valdes et al., 1987)^[15]. The tuber attachment region contains maximum (1.3 times higher) forskolin (Yanagihara, 1995) ^[19].Coleus contract farming is gaining popularity among the small and marginal farmers of Tamil Nadu, about 10000 tonnes of coleus roots are harvested every year. The yield variation of coleus roots were

observed among agro climatic zones of Tamil Nadu. There is need to assess the yield and plant limiting soil factors through soil resource inventory. Hence, a detailed soil survey information and fertility status of the soils are very useful tools to soil and crop management and enhances the productivity. Keeping this in view, the present study was attempted in various coleus growing villages of North Eastern and Hill area agro climatic zones of Tamil Nadu. The yield variation of coleus and yield limiting soil properties assessed for improving productivity.

Materials and methods

Study area

A field survey was under taken to study the yield variation of coleus in two Agro climatic zones (North Eastern and Hill area zones) of Tamil Nadu. Coleus crops are cultivated during September -October months of every year. Selection of study area was based on high acreage of coleus growing villages of North Eastern zone and Hill area zone of Tamil Nadu. Coleus growing North Eastern zone - Thiruvannamalai, Villupuram, Kanchepuram districts and Hill area zone is Javad hills of Thiruvannamalai districts of Tamil Nadu. A detailed soil information collected from North Eastern zone villages of Thanipady, Tharadapet, Annanagar and Kuravanodai villages of Thandrampet block of Tiruvannamalai district and Hill area zone villages of Nellimarathur, Puduran kottai and Mandarakottai where coleus cultivating predominantly in more than 500 acres in season.

Climatic condition

Study area of North Eastern and Hill area zones comes under the semi arid tropical climate. The mean annual rainfall is 1100 mm received in 53 rainy days.North East monsoon season provides the maximum amount of 566 mm rainfall in 22 days followed by South West monsoon contributing 407 mm in 21 rainy days. The moisture regime is ustic. Nellimarathur, Puduran kottai and Mandarakottai villages of Hill area zone study area has a semi arid tropical climate. The mean annual rainfall ranges from 1000 mm-5000 mm. The moisture regime is ustic.

Filed studies

The field survey was conducted during 2016- 2017 in selective villages from different climatic zones. Soil profiles study was taken in high, medium and low yielding areas of Coleus zones. Soils were characterized for morphological, physical and chemical properties and individual pedons were described as per Soil Survey Division staff (2000) ^[11].

Laboratory analysis

Soil horizon wise samples and village wise surface soil samples (15 cm depth) were collected, air dried and sieved through 2 mm sieve (0.2) mm sieve for organic carbon, labeled and stored, analysed for particle size distribution following international pipette method and chemical properties like pH, EC, OC, CEC, exchangeable cations, ESP and BS were determined by adopting standard methods (Jackson, 1973) ^[5]. The soil fertility samples were collected in different villages at depth of 15 cm and determinate the status. Soil organic carbon was determined by the wet oxidation method (Walkley and Black, 1934) ^[18]. The available nitrogen (N) was estimated through alkaline permanganate method as suggested by Subbiah and Asija (1956) ^[14]. The assessment of available phosphorus by using 0.5M NaHCO₃ extract as described by Olsen *et al.* (1954) ^[8] and available potassium

was estimated by flame photometer after extraction with Neutral normal ammonium acetate solution (pH 7.0). The available micro nutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn) were extracted using DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978) ^[7]. Plant characteristics like height, number of branches/plant, yield attributes, yield and quality characters were recorded during field work.

Results and Discussion Morphological Properties

Site and morphological characteristics of soils were given in Table 1 and Table 2. The soil depth varied from shallow (<50 cm) to deep (150 cm). These soils are well to somewhat poorly drained. The colour varied from brown (7.5YR 4/4 to reddish brown (2.5 YR 4/4) in surface and dark reddish brown (5YR 3/4 to 2.5 YR 3/3) in sub soils. The soil colour appears to be the function of chemical and mineralogical composition as well as textural make up of soils and conditioned by topographic position and moisture regime (Walia and Rao, 1997) ^[17]. The different climatic condition varied textural condition (sandy clay loam to sandy clay). The wide textural variation might be due to variation in parent material (granitegneiss and dolomite), topography, in-situ weathering and translocation of clay by eluviation. The structure of the soils is sub-angular blocky. The blocky structure i.e., sub-angular blocky were attributed to the presence of higher quantities of clay fraction (Sharma et al., 2004) ^[10]. Slight effervescence and fine to coarse root distribution in all the soils.

Physical characteristics

Physical and physico-chemical characteristics of the soils are presented in Table 2 and Table. 3. The sand and silt contents ranged from 45 to 65 and 7 to 15% respectively. The sand content was higher in surface horizons, where as higher clay content was found in the sub-surface horizon because of the illuviation of fine fractions from the surface layers in all the sites except site 4. The increase in clay content in Bt horizons of all the soils could be attributed to vertical migration or translocation of clay (Srinivasan *et al.*, 2013)^[12].

Physico-chemical characteristics

The pH of soils ranged from 5.5 to 8.0 and electrical conductivity ranged 0.1 to 0. 3 ds m⁻¹. Organic carbon content varied from 0.1 to 1.16%. The enrichment of OC content in surface soils are high could be plant dry matter and root biomass accumulation (Balasubramanian et al., 2020)^[3]. Low OC content indicated poor nutrient management and high removal of crops (Srinivasan et al., 2017) [13]. Cation exchange capacity of typifying pedons ranged from 8.6 to 16.6 cmol (p^+) kg⁻¹ with maximum from site-3 low production of coleus. The CEC increased with increase in clay content of the pedons. Higher values of CEC in sub surface horizons commensurate with the amount of clay. The exchangeable bases had distinct pattern regarding their sequential dominance. In all the pedons, the order followed was Ca> Na > Mg >K. Among the cations Ca²⁺ was dominant. The variation observed in base saturation (BS) indicates the degree of leaching which was used as diagnostic character for classifying the soil group. High base saturation was due to high Ca²⁺ followed by Mg²⁺, Na²⁺, K⁺ (Patil and Dasog, 1997) ^[9]. Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) varied from 0.1 to 8.6 %, ESP was higher in North Eastern zone soils and lower values are found in Hill Area zone.

Fertility status

The village wise fertility status was assessed in both climatic zones (Table. 4). Soil fertility exhibits the status of different soils with regard to the amount and availability of nutrients essential for plant growth. The available N content varied from 67 to 155 kg ha⁻¹ in different sites of coleus cultivation, over all rated as low. Similarly available P and K varied from 2.5 to 21.0 and 48 to 233 kg ha⁻¹ in different sites surface soils. The DTPA-extractable Zn ranged from 0.70 to 1.30 mg kg⁻¹ others are Fe (3.7-12.7 mg kg⁻¹) Mn (5.7-18.0 mg kg⁻¹) and cu (1.8-2.9 mg kg⁻¹) respectively. Soils were deficient in all the nutrients except few sites medium to high in available Fe, Mn and Cu.

Coleus plant growth and yield limiting soil parameters Plant characteristics like height, number of branches, stem girth, number of leaves, lamina length & breath, petiole length, shoot fresh weight, number of tubers, length of tubers, girth of tubers and fresh weight of tuber were recorded 30 days interval up to harvest (120 days) (Table. 5). Plant growth parameters are significant with coleus tuber yield i.e. healthy plant get more yield than moderate and low plants. As a result of yield limiting soil parameters are depth, heavy texture, degraded structure, high sodium and calcium concentration, low organic carbon and poor soil fertility status. Based on the soil properties yield rating classes were assessed and suggested suitable soil and land management for better coleus production.

| Depth | Colour | Texture | Structure | Effervescence | Root distribution | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Site-1: | Annanagar-I (No | orth Eastern zone) | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 7.5 YR 4/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Cf | | | | | |
| 21-40 | 5 YR 3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 41-80 | 2.5YR 3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 81-110 | 2.5YR 3/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| Site-2: Annanagar-II | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 7.5 YR 4/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Mf | | | | | |
| 21-60 | 5YR 3/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Cf | | | | | |
| | Site-3: Tharadapet | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 5 YR 4/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Mf | | | | | |
| 20-50 | 2.5 YR 3/4 | Gscl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| | | Site-4 | : Nellimarathur | (Hill area zone) | | | | | | |
| 0-30 | 7.5 YR 4/4 | Sc | M2 sbk | Nc | Cf | | | | | |
| 30-62 | 5 YR 3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 62-92 | 2.5 YR 3/3 | Sc | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 93-150 | 2.5 YR 3/4 | Sc | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| | | | Site-5: Pudura | ankottai | | | | | | |
| 0-30 | 2.5 YR 4/4 | Sc | m2 sbk | Nc | Cf | | | | | |
| 31-45 | 5 YR 3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 46-75 | 2.5 YR 3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 76-110 | 2.5 YR 3/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| | | | Site-6: Manda | rakottai | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 7.5 YR 4/4 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Cf | | | | | |
| 21-40 | 5 YR3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |
| 41-80 | 2.5 YR3/3 | Scl | m2 sbk | Nc | Ff | | | | | |

Sbk-sub angular blocky; ss- slightly sticky; sp- slightly plastic; S0- no sticky; P0-no plastic

Table 2: Physico-chemical properties of different soils in NE and HAZ zones

| Donth | Gand | aları | C:14 | 11 | FC | 00 | CEC | Exchangeable cations | | | | ECD | DCD | |
|--------|------|-------|------|-------|-------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|-----|--|
| Deptn | Sand | clay | SIII | рн | EC | UC | CEC | Ca | Mg | Na | K | ESP | BSP | |
| (cm) | | % | | 1:2.5 | dSm ⁻¹ | % | | cm | $ol(p^+)$ | kg-1 | | % | | |
| | | | | Site | -1: Anna | nagar-I | (North | Eastern z | cone) | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 55 | 28 | 8 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 0.30 | 15.8 | 9.8 | 2.8 | 0.90 | 0.26 | 5.7 | 87 | |
| 20-40 | 55 | 34 | 10 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.20 | 13.9 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 1.10 | 0.20 | 7.9 | 87 | |
| 41-80 | 56 | 33 | 9 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.10 | 12.8 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 1.10 | 0.31 | 8.6 | 87 | |
| 81-110 | 55 | 34 | 9 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 14.4 | 9.4 | 1.2 | 1.20 | 0.23 | 8.3 | 83 | |
| | | | | | Sit | e-2: A | nnanaga | r-II | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 65 | 28 | 7 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 0.30 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 6.8 | 83 | |
| 21-60 | 55 | 34 | 10 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 13.4 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 0.90 | 0.12 | 6.7 | 85 | |
| | | | | | S | ite-3: T | Tharadar | bet | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 62 | 28 | 10 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 0.40 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 2.1 | 0.90 | 0.18 | 5.4 | 86 | |
| 20-50 | 56 | 34 | 10 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 0.80 | 0.13 | 5.8 | 87 | |
| | | | | Site | e-4: Jamu | namara | athur (H | ill area zo | one) | | | | | |
| 0-30 | 45 | 40 | 15 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.35 | 13.8 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 1.4 | 71 | |
| 31-62 | 50 | 35 | 15 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 8.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.15 | 0.58 | 1.4 | 77 | |
| 63-92 | 45 | 40 | 15 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 0.23 | 12.8 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 0.9 | 63 | |
| 93-150 | 48 | 37 | 15 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 1.16 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 1.1 | 69 | |
| | | | | | Sit | e-5: Pu | duranko | ottai | | | | | | |
| 0-31 | 58 | 35 | 7 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.43 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 0.7 | 73 | |
| 31-45 | 60 | 30 | 10 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.5 | 74 | |

| 46-75 | 45 | 45 | 10 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 13.2 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.3 | 73 |
|--------|-----------------------|----|----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|----|
| 76-110 | 45 | 45 | 10 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 14.4 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.1 | 76 |
| | Site-6: Mandarakottai | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-20 | 52 | 28 | 10 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 0.10 | 0.62 | 1.2 | 76 |
| 21-40 | 56 | 34 | 10 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 9.6 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 1.1 | 69 |
| 41-80 | 57 | 33 | 10 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 0.10 | 0.41 | 1.1 | 71 |

Table 3: Comparative statements of different soil morphological characteristics

| | | North Eastern Zone (1 | NEZ) | Hill Area | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Properties | Annanagar-1 | Annanagar-2 | Tharadapet | Nellimarathur | Puduran kottai | Mandarakottai |
| Yield | High | Medium | Low | High | Medium | Low |
| Depth of solum | 110+ | 31 | 40 | 150+ | 150+ | 100+ |
| Coarse fragments | 15 | 20 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| Texture | | | | | | |
| surface | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay | Sandy clay | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay |
| Sub surface | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam | Sandy clay loam |
| Structure | | | | | | |
| Surface | sbk | Sbk | Sbk | sbk | sbk | sbk |
| Sub surface | sbk | Sbk | Sbk | sbk | sbk | sbk |
| consistency | | | | | | |
| Surface | Ss,Sp | S0,P0 | Ss,S_0 | Ss,Sp | S0,p0 | Ss,Sp |
| Sub surface | S0,p0 | Ss,Sp | Ss,Sp | S0,p0 | Ss,Sp | S0,p0 |
| calcareousness | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Soil reaction | | | | | | |
| Surface | Neutral | Neutral | Neutral | Neutral | Neutral | Neutral |
| Sub surface | Slightly alkali | Slightly alkali | Slightly alkali | Acidic | Neutral | Neutral |
| Drainage | Well drained | Somewhat poorly drained | Moderately well drained | Well | Well | Well |

Sbk-sub angular blocky; ss- slightly sticky; sp- slightly plastic; So- no sticky; Po-no plastic

Table 4: Fertility status of surface soil in different Agro climatic Zones

| | | | | ЕС | Available nutrients | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|------|-----|------|------|------|-----|--|
| Zones | Yield criteria | Sample size | (1:2.5) | (dSm ⁻¹) | Ν | Р | K | Fe | Mn | Cu | Zn | |
| | | | | | Kg ac ⁻¹ | | | ppm | | | | |
| NEZ | High | 22 | 7.2 | 0.20 | 78 | 2.5 | 79 | 12.7 | 15.2 | 1.73 | 0.7 | |
| | Medium | 20 | 7.1 | 0.20 | 92 | 21.0 | 48 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 | |
| | Low | 10 | 7.6 | 0.20 | 155 | 16.1 | 49 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 | |
| HAZ | High | 20 | 6.9 | 0.20 | 71 | 4.1 | 101 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 1.2 | |
| | Medium | 20 | 7.5 | 0.10 | 103 | 10.0 | 68 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | |
| | Low | 10 | 6.3 | 0.10 | 67 | 16.0 | 233 | 3.7 | 18.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | |

| Doutionlong | North | h Eastern Zone (| NEZ) | Hill Area Zone (HAZ) | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|------------------|------|----------------------|--------|------|--|--|
| Farticulars | Low | Medium | High | Low | Medium | High | | |
| Yield (kg ac ⁻¹) | 2000 | 2770 | 3700 | 3150 | 4280 | 5900 | | |
| Plant Height (cm) | 62 | 50 | 45 | 60 | 52 | 55 | | |
| No of branches/plant | 67 | 45 | 56 | 48 | 57 | 59 | | |
| Stem girth (cm) | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| No of leaves/plant | 380 | 430 | 650 | 256 | 360 | 376 | | |
| Lamina length(cm) | 6 | 7 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | | |
| Lamina breath(cm) | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | | |
| Petiole length (cm) | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.1 | | |
| Shoot fresh weigh (g) | 525 | 575 | 935 | 310 | 450 | 690 | | |
| No of tubers | 14 | 22 | 27 | 16 | 19 | 21 | | |
| Length of tubers (cm) | 22 | 28 | 36 | 18 | 22 | 25 | | |
| Girth of tubers (cm) | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 8 | | |
| Fresh weight of tubers (g) | 270 | 435 | 560 | 380 | 450 | 750 | | |

Conclusions

From the present investigation it can be concluded that varying morphological, physical and physicochemical properties of soils in different climatic zones were significantly influences the yield of coleus plant. Soils are acidic, non saline, texture varied from sand clay loam to sandy clay. CEC was low to medium and exchange complex was dominated by Ca²⁺. Soil characteristics like soil depth, texture, drainage and poor soil fertility status were reducing the yield of coleus in North Eastern and Hill area zones of

Tamil Nadu. Hence, judicious use soil resources and application appropriate nutrient management not only paves the way for achieving sustainable yields of crops but also maintains soil health.

References

 Ammon HPT, Muller AB. Forskolin: from an ayurvedic remedy to a modern agent. Planta Med. 1985; 51(6):473-477.

- 2. Anonymous. *Coleus forskohlii*. In: Wealth of India Raw materials, Central Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi. 1950; II:308.
- Balasubramanian V, Ragunath KP, Srinivasan R, Manikandan E, Suresh K. Mapping and Classification of upland soils Formed from Peninsular Gneiss in Rasipuram Block, Namakkal District of Tamil Nadu. I J Bio-resource and Stress Manage. 2020; 11(3):232-239.
- 4. De Souza NJ, Shah V. Forskolin-An adenylate cyclase activating drug from Indian herb. In: Econ Med. Plant Res. 1988; 2:1-16.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1973; 498.
- Krishnan PN, Decruse SW, Radha RK. Conservation of medicinal plants of Western Ghats, India and its sustainable utilization through in vitro technology. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant. 2011; 47:110-122.
- Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1978; 42:421-428.
- 8. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe PS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S.DA circ, 1954, 939.
- Patil PL, Dasog GS. Low land soils of the western Ghatregion II - clay mineralogy. Agropedology. 1997; 7:78-83.
- Sharma SS, Totawat KL, Shyampura RL. Characterization and classification of salt-affected soils of southern Rajasthan. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2004; 52:209-213.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. Soil Survey Manual (Indian Print), USDA Handbook 18, US Govt. Printing Office, Washington, 2000.
- Srinivasan R, Natarajan A, Anil Kumar KS, Kalaivanan D. Characterization of major Cashew Growing Soils of Dakshina Kannada District of Karnataka. Agropedology. 2013; 23(2):59-64.
- Srinivasan R, Singh SK, Nayak DC, Dharumarajan S. Assessment of Soil Properties and Nutrients Status in three Horticultural Land use System of Coastal Odisha, India. I J Bio-resource and Stress Manage. 2017; 8(1):033-040.
- Subbiah BV, Asija CLA. Rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956; 25:259-260.
- 15. Valdes LJ, Mislankar SG, Paul AG. *Coleus barbatus (C. forskohlii)* (Lamiaceae) and the potential new drug forskolin (Coleonol). Econ. Bot. 1987; 44(4):474-483.
- 16. Vines G. Herbal harvests with a future: towards a sustainable source for medicinal plants, Plant life International. www.plantlife. org.uk, 2004.
- Walia CS, Rao YS. Characteristics and classification of some soils of Trans-Yamuna plains. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 1997; 45:156-162.
- 18. Walkley AJ, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 1934; 37:29-38.
- 19. Yanagihara H, Sakata R, Shoyama Y, Murakami S. Rapid analysis of small samples containing forskolin using mono clonal antibodies. Planta Med. 1995; 62(2):169-172.