International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 2921-2923 © 2020 IJCS Received: 14-05-2020 Accepted: 16-06-2020

Reshma Negi

College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

SR Dhiman

College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

MR Dhiman

ICAR-IARI Regional Research Station, Katrain, Kullu, Himachal Pradesh, India

YC Gupta

College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

RK Dogra

College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

RK Gupta

College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Reshma Negi College of Horticulture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

Performance and flower characterization of newly evolved genotypes of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema* grandiflora Tzvelev) for cut flower production

Reshma Negi, SR Dhiman, MR Dhiman, YC Gupta, RK Dogra and RK Gupta

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4ai.10093

Abstract

An experiment was conducted on Performance and flower characterization of newly evolved genotypes of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) for cut flower production at the experimental farm of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan and ICAR-IARI Regional Research Station, Katrain, Kullu Valley ofH.P for two successive years 2017 and 2018 on nineteen genotypes of chrysanthemum, including cultivar 'Ajay' as check. On the bases of number of cut stems per plant genotypes 'UHFSChrs117', 'UHFSChrs122', 'UHFSChrs125', and 'UHFSChrs131' found suitable for cut flower production.

Keywords: Performance, flower characterization, genotypes, production, floriculture

1. Introduction

Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) is a multi use flower crop, belongs to family Asteraceae. It is native to northern hemisphere chiefly Europe and Asia. It is national flower of Japan and species in the genus chrysanthemum varies from 100 to 200. It ranks second after rose (Anonymous, 2017)^[1]. In India during 2016-2017 the area under chrysanthemum was 20090 hectare and production of cut flower was 14930 MT (Anonymous, 2018)^[2]. Total area under chrysanthemum in Himachal Pradesh for cut flower is 67.01ha andwith annual production of 5360 lakh number of cut flower respectively during the year 2018-2019 (Anonymous, 2019)^[3].

There are large numbers of germplasm available but could not fulfill the requirements in terms of new colors, forms, types and various characteristics. However; there is always a demand of superior and new flowers over the existing cultivars. Therefore, there is urgent need to identify stable genotypes having wider adaptability and easy availability to the growers at cheaper rate. Therefore, an investigation was conducted on performance and flower characterization of newly evolved genotypes of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) for cut flower production. The present study was therefore aimed to evaluate chrysanthemum tocheck theirperformance for yield and yields components across different environments.

2. Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted to study the performance and characterization of newly evolved genotypes of chrysanthemum for cut flower production trial was conducted at experimental farm of Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan and ICAR-IARI, Regional Research Station, Katrain, Kullu Valley of H.P. for two successive years 2017 and 2018 on nineteen genotypes of chrysanthemum. Genotypes namely 'UHFSChr111', 'UHFSChr113', 'UHFSChr118', 'UHFSChr120', 'UHFSChr114', 'UHFSChr115', 'UHFSChr117', 'UHFSChr124', 'UHFSChr121', 'UHFSChr122', 'UHFSChr123', 'UHFSChr125', 'UHFSChr126', 'UHFSChr128', 'UHFSChr129', 'UHFSChr130', 'UHFSChr131', 'UHFSChr132' including 'Ajay' as check. The plants were planted in three replications in Randomized Block Design in open field conditions using FYM 5 kg/m²andhalf dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium was also mixed in the soil at the time of bed preparation. The remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied 45 days after transplanting. Data were recorded in terms of different plant parameters viz., days taken for flowering, plant height (cm) http://www.chemijournal.com

Results and discussion

Genotype	Plant height (cm)	Days taken to bud formation	Days taken to flowering	Plant spread (cm)	Duration of flowering	Flower diameter (cm)	Stem length (cm)	Number of cut stems/plant	Weight of cut stem(g)	Number of flowers per stem
UHFSChr 111	68.15	122.87	160.75	36.85	35.00	5.20	56.33	5.30	43.00	54.00
UHFSChr 113	83.67	124.60	160.85	37.50	25.92	6.05	63.58	5.08	27.83	38.26
UHFSChr 114	114.97	125.88	156.68	36.25	25.08	4.03	78.84	5.67	24.17	49.06
UHFSChr 115	114.90	124.27	158.75	36.22	25.92	6.33	97.00	6.16	25.00	35.47
UHFSChr 117	116.08	124.29	157.18	37.39	27.00	3.59	77.27	5.92	30.67	63.75
UHFSChr 118	75.53	124.00	158.57	34.18	25.67	3.90	65.17	4.75	26.83	29.95
UHFSChr 120	71.33	126.13	161.82	35.94	33.25	5.27	64.58	5.60	27.58	33.17
UHFSChr 121	84.68	122.70	155.35	35.76	24.50	4.15	73.83	5.19	26.50	46.60
UHFSChr 122	82.31	123.98	153.20	37.28	27.50	4.98	75.25	6.02	38.42	52.12
UHFSChr 123	82.53	119.55	156.75	35.83	26.67	5.49	71.33	5.63	25.50	13.68
UHFSChr 124	70.42	125.08	157.50	27.47	33.67	10.19	58.58	4.17	33.25	8.25
UHFSChr 125	90.33	124.47	160.23	37.24	24.75	4.29	78.50	5.93	29.00	42.56
UHFSChr 126	82.75	124.73	161.23	35.10	35.42	5.21	70.67	5.50	42.08	39.54
UHFSChr 128	84.68	124.07	158.95	37.98	25.83	3.63	70.92	5.83	32.33	74.04
UHFSChr 129	85.08	130.35	165.15	33.33	26.17	5.38	71.00	5.25	30.83	23.25
UHFSChr 130	85.27	125.04	156.09	33.07	34.67	5.14	71.08	5.80	38.25	23.64
UHFSChr 131	87.00	123.63	167.75	34.87	28.08	4.06	70.17	5.85	27.42	47.30
UHFSChr 132	88.33	124.12	160.23	37.28	35.33	4.97	73.08	5.30	38.83	59.84
Ajay	80.85	123.78	160.47	35.06	34.75	4.03	67.08	5.08	39.08	54.00
CD 0.05									0.85	
Genotypes (G)	2.04	2.00	3.71	2.24	1.44	0.26	2.35	5.92	1.93	35.47
Year (Y)	0.93	0.92	1.85	36.85	0.66	0.12	1.08	4.75	0.88	63.75
G X Y	4.07	4.01	0.85	37.50	2.89	0.52	4.69	5.60	43.00	29.95

The mean performance of nineteen genotypes is presented in Table 1 which showed the significant variation among the different genotypes. Maximum plant height was found in genotype 'UHFSChr117' (116.08 cm) and minimum height was recorded in genotype 'UHFSChr111' (68.15 cm). The finding was agreement with findings of Pal and George, Kanamandi and Patil (1993)^[9], Vetrivel and Jawaharlal (2014)^[17], Shabnam (2017)^[13]. Genotype 'UHFSChr123' (119.55 days) initiated earlier bud formation and maximum days taken to bud formation was observed in genotype 'UHFSChr129' (130.35 days) also reported by Negi et al., (2015). Minimum days taken to flowering was observed of genotype UHFSChr131(151.92 days) and maximum was recorded genotype 'UHFSChr129'(165.15 in days).Significant variation in days taken to flowering were alsoobserved by Kanamandi and Patil (1993)^[9], Deka and Paswan (2001). Maximum plant spread was observed in genotype 'UHFSChr128' (37.98 cm) and minimum was observed of genotype 'UHFSChr124' (27.47cm) similar variation in plant spread was observed by Gonddhali et al., (2000a), Talukdar et al., (2003)^[14]. Maximum flower duration was recorded in genotype 'UHFSChr126' (35.42 days) and minimum was observed of genotype 'UHFSChr124' (24.75

days). Maximum flower diameter was observed of genotype 'UHFSChr124' (10.19 cm) and minimum was observed of genotype 'UHFSChr117' (3.59 cm). Similar variation was also reported by Talukdar et al., (1992), Baskaran et al., (1992)^[6] and Vaidya (2006)^[16]. Genotype 'UHFSChr115' (97.00 cm) recorded maximum stem length and minimum was observed of genotype 'UHFSChr111' (56.33 cm). The variation in stem length might be due to the genetic makeup of the plant also reported by Kumar et al., (2014). Variation in number of cut stems per plant was observed of genotypes and found maximum with genotype 'UHFSChr115'(6.16) by'UHFSChr122'(6.02), 'UHFSChr125'(5.93), followed 'UHFSChr114'(5.92) 'UHFSChr131' (5.85)and minimum was observed of genotype 'UHFSchr 124'(4.17).Weight of cut stem showed significant variation among different genotypes and found maximum with genotype 'UHFSChr111'(43.00g) and minimum was observed in genotype 'UHFSChr114'(24.17g) similar variation was also reported due to interaction between genotype and environment has also reported by Barigdad and Patil (2014). Number of flowers per stem was observed maximum with genotype 'UHFSChr117' (63.75) and minimum was observed in genotype 'UHFSChr124' (8.25).

Table 2: Variation among different genotypes of chrysanthemum for flower colour (RHS colour chart) and flower type

Genotypes	Flower colour (RHS colour charts)	Flower type
UHFSChr 111	Yellow (9 B)	Double
UHFSChr 113	Greyed orange (171 A)	Intermediateincurve
UHFSChr 114	Yellow orange (23 A)	Pompon
UHFSChr 115	Greyed red (181 A)	Spoon
UHFSChr 117	Yellow (12 A)	Double
UHFSChr 118	Greyed red (178 C)	Pompon
UHFSChr 120	Yellow (9 A)	Anemone

UHFSChr 121	Red purple (69 A)	Semi-double
UHFSChr 122	Greyed orange (163 B)	Semi-double
UHFSChr 123	Yellow (9 A)	Semi-double
UHFSChr 124	White (155 B)	Spoon
UHFSChr 125	Red purple (64 A)	Pompon
UHFSChr 126	Red purple (65 A)	Semi-double
UHFSChr 128	Greyed red (180 A)	Single
UHFSChr 129	Orange red (34 B)	Semi-double
UHFSChr 130	Red purple (62 C)	Single
UHFSChr 131	Yellow (8 C)	Single
UHFSChr 132	Purple (75 A)	Double
Ajay	Yellow	Double

Flower colour and flower type

The RHS (Royal Horticulture Society) colour chart was used at the time of peak flowering to record the flower colour. Among all the genotypes, maximum of six genotypes namely; 'Ajay', 'UHFSChr111', UHFSChr117', 'UHFSChr120', 'UHFSChr123' and 'UHFSChr 121' belong to yellow group, while 'UHFSChr114' belong to yellow orange group. While, 'UHFSChr113', and 'UHFSChr122' belong to greyed orange group and 'UHFSChr129' belong to orange red group. Three 'UHFSChr115', UHFSChr118' genotypes and 'UHFSChr128' belong to greyed red and group 'UHFSChr132' belong to purple group while remaining genotypes 'UHFSChr131', UHFSChr125', 'UHFSChr126' and 'UHFSChr130' belong to red purple group. Only two genotypes belong to white group i.e. 'UHFSChr124'. For flower type varied among all the genotypes, maximum of three genotypes ('UHFSChr128', 'UHFSChr130' and 'UHFSChr131') were single type. Genotypes namely;

'UHFSChr131') were single type. Genotypes namely; 'UHFSChr123', 'UHFSChr121', 'UHFSChr122', 'UHFSChr126'and 'UHFSChr129' belong to semi-double type, and genotypes ('UHFSChr111' 'UHFSChr117', 'UHFSChr132', 'Ajay' was double type respectively. Three genotypes 'UHFSChr115' and 'UHFSChr124', belongs to spoon type. The genotypes 'UHFSChr114', 'UHFSChr118' and 'UHFSChr125' belong to pompon type. One genotype, 'UHFSChr113' belong to intermediate curve type and the genotype 'UHFSChr120' was anemone type. The data have been supported by Thakur *et al.*, (2018)^[15] and Prakash *et al.*, (2018)^[12].

Conclusion

On the bases of number of cut stems per plant it was concluded that genotype namely 'UHFSChr 117', 'UHFSChr122''UHFSchr125' and'UHFSChr 131' were recommended for cut flower production.

Reference

- 1. Anonymous. Royal Flora Holland Facts and Figures, 2017.https:// www.royalfloraholland.com.
- 2. Anonymous. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, 2018. (https://www.indiastat.com/).
- 3. Anonymous. Status of floriculture in Himachal Pradesh. Directorate of Horticulture, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India, 2019.
- 4. Arora JS, Sharma SC. Genotype x environment interaction of some quantitative traits in gladiolus. Journal of Horticulture New Series. 1991; 48(1):83-86.
- Barigidad H, Patil AA. Relative performance of chrysanthemum cultivars under transistional tract of Karnataka. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science. 1997; 10:98-10.

- 6. Baskaran V, Janakiram T, Jayanthi R. Correlation and path coefficient analysis studies in chrysanthemum. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2004; 7:37-44.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984, 680p.
- Gondhali BV, Yadav ED, Dhemre JK. Evaluation of chrysanthemum cultivars for cutflowers. Orissa J. Hort. 1997; 25(2):10-13.
- 9. Kanamadi VC, Patil AA. Performance of chrysanthemum varieties in the transitional tract of Karnataka. South Indian Horticulture. 1993; 41(1):58-60.
- Kumar A, Dubey P, Patanwar M, Sharma R. Evaluation of chrysanthemum for loose flower production in Chhattisgarh plains. Trends in Bioscience. 2015; 8:175-177.
- 11. Negi R, Jarial K, Kumar S, Dhiman SR. Evaluation of different cultivars of chrysanthemum suitable for low hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Hill Agriculture. 2015; 6(2):144-146.
- Prakash A, Kumar M, Kumar A, Kumar M, Gupta A, Badal D. Performance and flower characterization of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora Tzvelev*) genotypes under Agro climatic region of western U.P. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018; 6(5):1439-1442.
- Shabnam. Evaluation of newly evolved genotypes of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev)for commercial use. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr Y.S.Parmar UHF, Nauni, Solan, (H.P) India, 2017.
- Talukdar MC, Mahanta S, Sharma B, Das S. Extentofgenetic variation for growth and floral characters in chrysanthemum cultivars under Assam condition. J. Orn. Hort. 2003; 6(3):201-211.
- Thakur N, Nair SA, Kumar R, Bharathi TU, Dhananjaya MV, Venugopalan R. Evaluation of Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) for Desirable Horticultural Traits. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(08):565-574.
- Vaidya P. Evaluation, selection and stability analysis of elite lines of chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzvelev). Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, (H.P.), 2006, 122.
- 17. Vetrivel T, Jawaharlal M. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) varieties for yield and quality under sub tropical hills. Trends in Biosciences. 2014; 7(14):1812-1815.