CH₃O CH₃O CH₃O OCH₃

International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 3074-3077 © 2020 IJCS Received: 25-05-2020 Accepted: 27-06-2020

AV Kakade

M. Sc. Student, Division of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

AM Tirmali

Assistant Professor of Plant Pathology, ZARS, Ganeshkhind, Pune, Maharashtra, India

AA Bhagat

Assistant Professor of Statistics, ZARS, Ganeshkhind, Pune, Maharashtra, India

KT Suryawanshi

Senior Research Assistant Division of Plant Pathology and Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: AA Bhagat Assistant Professor of Statistics, ZARS, Ganeshkhind, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Management of powdery mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) of okra by using low profile chemicals

AV Kakade, AM Tirmali, AA Bhagat and KT Suryawanshi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4ak.10119

Abstract

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench is one of the most popular vegetable belonging to the family Malvaceae and has its special place in Indian food habit. The field experiment was carried out to study the efficacy of different low profile chemicals against powdery mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) of okra in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine treatments (Seven low profile chemicals, one standard check and one control) and three replications were adopted.

The disease management studies showed that all the low profile chemicals evaluated in field condition against powdery mildew significantly reduced disease intensity over water sprayed control. Chlorine dioxide @ 0.2 per cent gave significant reduction in powdery mildew disease incidence on okra with PDI in the range of (6.90 to 21.27%) and maximum disease control (PDC) about 59.09 to 66.43 per cent followed by hexaconazole (0.1%) and *Ampelomyces quisqualis* (0.3%). Chlorine dioxide (0.2%) gave significantly highest yield (22.65 t/ha) in comparison to check (14.39 t/ha). Minimum infection rate 'r' of 0.29 and lowest AUDPC value (410.7) were observed in chlorine dioxide (0.2%).

Keywords: Okra, powdery mildew, low profile chemicals, per cent disease intensity and yield

Introduction

Okra is among the most important vegetable crop due to its nutritional, industrial and medicinal values. It is rich in vitamin A and C, calcium, potassium. phosphorous and iron. Mainly fruits and stems are used for cleaning the sugarcane juice while preparing jaggery from it (Chavan *et al.* 2007) ^[1]. This crop is affected by many fungal as well as viral diseases such as Damping off, Leaf spot, Leaf blight, Powdery mildew, Yellow vein mosaic, Bhendi enation mosaic, Enation leaf curl (Diwakar *et al.*, 1986) ^[4]. The crops have also suffered from root knot nematode disease. Among all the fungal diseases, powdery mildew is one of the most important diseases. It is generally seen in July to September every year and first appears with onset of monsoon (Choudhary, 1975) ^[2]. For the control of powdery mildew disease several systemic and non-systemic fungicides have reported and are available in market. However the phyto-toxicity of such fungicides coupled with prolonged persistence in tissues and huge residue accumulation in soil have resulted in dreadful consequences with respect to environment and human health. In such cases, low profile chemicals are important in

disease management. Among the most promising low profile chemicals like Chlorine dioxide, Hydrogen peroxide, Ozonated water, Nano silver, Potassium salt of phosphoric acid, *Ampelomyces quisqualis* and bioactive oligosaccharides CHI (Chitosan) which have attracted attention because of their unique biological properties, including inhibitory effect on the growth ofvarious pathogenic fungi and its ability to be a potent elicitor of plant defence reactions. The present study was undertaken to study the effect of low profile chemicals on powdery mildew of okra.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in *kharif*, 2018 at Div-16 of National Agricultural Research Project, Ganeshkhind, Pune- 67 (MS). The field experiment was carried out to study the efficacy of different low profile chemicals against powdery mildew of okra (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*). Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine treatments (Seven low profile chemicals, one standard check and one control) and three replications were adopted. Powdery

mildew susceptible okra variety Pusa Sawani was obtained from Seed Processing Unit, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri (MS). Total seven low profile chemicals, one standard check and one untreated control water spray were undertaken during application. The low profile chemicals such as Hexaconazole @ 0.1%, Chitosan @ 0.2%, Ampelomyces quisqualis @ 0.3%, Potassium salt of phosphoric acid @ 0.5%, Chlorine dioxide @ 0.2%, Hydrogen peroxide @ 0.2%, Ozonated water @ 0.2%, Nano silver @ 0.2%, water spray were used for experiment. Spray suspension solution made separately by adding desired quantity in specified amount of water resulting into concentration normally recommended for spraying. Symptoms of the disease on the host plants were observed and studied directly from the experimental plot. The symptoms of the disease were periodically observed and described.

For recording observations, five plants from each plot were randomly selected and tagged before the incidence of the disease. The total numbers of leaves were counted and each leaf was examined individually. The disease was graded on the basis of intensity of powdery mildew on the leaves given by Mayee and Datar (1986)^[6]. The per cent disease intensity (PDI) and per cent disease control (PDC) calculated on the basis of observations recorded and method adopted by Mayee and Datar (1986)^[6]. Based on mean PDI, the genotypes were rated as: Highly resistant (0 PDI), Resistant (1 to 10 PDI), Moderately resistant (11 to 25 PDI), Moderately susceptible (26 to 50 PDI),

Susceptible (51 to 75 PDI) and Highly susceptible (76 to 100 PDI).

Per cent Disease Control (PDC) =

The per cent increase in fruit yield in each treatment over the control was worked by using following formula.

Per cent increase in yield=

Apparent Infection Rate

It is an estimate of the rate of progress of a disease, based on proportional measures of the extent of infection at different times.

$$r = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \log \frac{x_2 (1 - x_1)}{x_1 (1 - x_2)}$$

Where,

r = Apparent infection rate

t1 = Time of the first measurement

t2 = Time of second measurement

 x_1 = Proportion of infection measured at time $t_1 \ x_2$ = Proportion of infection measured at time t_2

Area under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC)

$$A = \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{x_{i+1} + x_i}{2} \right) (t_1 + 1 - t_2)$$

Where,

Xi = Disease index expressed as a proportion at the i^{th} observation

t = Time (days after planting) at the ith observations

n = Total number of observations.

Results and Discussion

The PDI calculated before spraying was in the range of 1.12 to 2.11 per cent and it was statistically non-significant among the treatments. The chlorine dioxide (0.2%) spray was statistically significant in controlling per cent disease intensity of powdery mildew of okra with PDI

6.90 per cent in comparison to check followed by hydrogen peroxide (0.2%) with PDI 8.67 per cent in post spray (PDI-I) observations. The effectiveness of chlorine dioxide (0.2%) was found to be rather consistent in controlling per cent disease incidence with PDI 10.31 per cent in comparison to check followed by Ampelomyces quisqualis (0.3%) with PDI 12.17 per cent in post spray (PDI-II) observations. Among the low profile chemicals tested, chlorine dioxide (0.2%) gave significant reduction in incidence of powdery mildew of okra under field condition with PDI 18.33 per cent and it was at par with hexaconazole (0.1%) with PDI 19.17 per cent, Ampelomyces quisqualis (0.3%) with PDI 20.67 per cent in comparison to check followed by chitosan (0.2%) with PDI 22.87 per cent and nano silver particle (0.2%) with PDI 23.63 per cent in the post spray (PDI-III) observations. During field studies, per cent disease control was also calculated at different intervals. It showed that chlorine dioxide (0.2%)consistently gave maximum per cent disease control over untreated check. The per cent disease control (PDC) due to chlorine dioxide (0.2%) was ranged from 59.09 to 66.43 per cent followed by hexaconzole (0.2%) in the range of 27.08 to

Rate of infection

64.89 per cent over unsprayed check.

The result of rate of infection is presented in (Table 2). It was observed that rate of infection (units day⁻¹) varied from 0.25 to 0.37 within intervals. On comparison among treatments overall mean as well as between each interval 'r' values were always high in T₉ (Untreated control). Mean 'r' value was noticed as the lowest 0.29 in T₅ (Chlorine dioxide @ 0.2per cent),

Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC)

The result of effect of low profile chemicals on area under disease progress curve is presented in table 3. It was observed that progress of powdery mildew disease was higher due to favourable environmental conditions. The AUDPC values of powdery mildew disease were ranged from 410.7 to 1166.82. The maximum disease progress was observed in treatment T_9 (Untreated control) with value 1166.82. The lowest AUDPC was observed in treatment T_5 (Chlorine dioxide @

0.2 per cent) compared to any other treatment with value 410.7.

The result of effect of low profile chemicals on yield of okra is presented in table 4. It was observed that all treatments effectively controlled the powdery mildew severity over water sprayed control. The results on fruit yield were statistically significant. Fruit yield was ranged from 14.39 t/ha to 22.65 International Journal of Chemical Studies

t/ha. The maximum yield of 22.65 t/ha was obtained from the plot treated with T_5 (Chlorine dioxide @ 0.2 per cent) with 57.40 per cent increase in yield over control, followed by treatment T_1 (Hexaconazole @ 0.1 per cent) with 21.39 t/ha and 48.64 per cent increase in yield over control. The minimum okra fruits were harvested from T_9 (Untreated control) with 14.39 t/ha. The spraying of low profile

chemicals showed either decrease or less effective performance to control disease severity. Various researchers like Khunti *et al.*, (2002) ^[7], Singh and Sirohi (2003) ^[10], Naik and Nagarja (2003) ^[8], Suryawanshi *et al.* (2009) ^[11], Dhutraj and Dadke (2012) ^[2] have worked on it and gave similar results.

Tr. Conc. (%) Mean PDI and PDC					d PDC at	DC at intervals			
No.	Treatment	conc. (%)	Pre spray	PDI I	PDC	PDI II	PDC	PDI III	PDC
T_1	Hexaconazole	0.1	0.76	12.30	27.08	15.43	47.69	19.17	64.89
11	Hexacollazole	0.1	(5.00)	(20.52)	27.08	(23.12)	47.09	(25.96)	04.69
T_2	Chitosan	0.2	1.71	9.63	42.91	14.32	51.45	22.87	58.12
12	Cintosan	0.2	(7.51)	(18.07)	72.71	(22.23)	51.45	(28.56)	36.12
T 3	Ampelomyces quisqualis	0.3	1.97	10.70	36.57	12.17	58.74	20.67	62.14
13	Ampetomyces quisquatis	0.5	(8.07)	(19.09)	50.57	(20.41)	36.74	(27.03)	02.14
T_4	Potassium salt of phosphoric	0.5	1.66	9.07	46.23	16.33	44.64	25.83	52.70
14	acid	0.5	(7.40)	(17.52)	40.23	(23.83)	44.04	(30.53)	52.70
T ₅	Chlorine dioxide	0.2	1.34	6.90	59.09	10.31	65.05	18.33	66.43
15	Chlornie dloxide	0.2	(6.64)	(15.22)	57.09	(18.72)	05.05	(25.34)	00.45
T_6	Hydrogen peroxide	0.2	2.11	8.67	48.60	13.33	54.81	21.27	61.05
10	Hydrogen peroxide	0.2	(8.35)	(17.12)	48.00	(21.41)	54.01	(27.45)	01.05
T ₇	Ozonated Water	0.2	1.45	13.20	21.75	17.83	39.55	25.17	53.90
17	Ozonated Water	0.2	(6.91)	(21.38)	21.75	(24.97)	37.33	(30.10)	55.70
T ₈	Nano silver	0.2	0.87	11.45	32.12	16.53	43.96	23.63	56.72
18		0.2	(5.35)	(19.77)	32.12	(23.98)	43.90	(29.07)	30.72
T ₉	Untreated		1.89	16.87	0.00	29.50	0.00	54.61	0.00
19	Control (Water Spray)		(7.90)	(24.24)	0.00	(32.88)	0.00	(47.63)	0.00
	S. Em. <u>+</u>		0.24	0.64	-	0.58	-	1.37	
	CD at 5%		NS	1.95	-	1.77	-	4.16	

values in bracket are arcsine transformed value.

Table 2: Progress of powdery mildew disease of okra over period of time under influence of different spray treatments

Treatment No.	Treatment	Rate of infection (units day ⁻¹) at i th interval				
Treatment No.	Treatment	\mathbf{r}_1	r 2	r 3	R mean	
T_1	Hexaconazole	0.28	0.33	0.35	0.32	
T_2	Chitosan	0.28	0.32	0.36	0.32	
T ₃	Ampelomyces	0.29	0.30	0.35	0.31	
T_4	Potassium salt of phosphoric acid	0.27	0.33	0.37	0.32	
T5	Chlorine dioxide	0.25	0.29	0.34	0.29	
T ₆	Hydrogen peroxide	0.27	0.31	0.35	0.31	
T ₇	Ozonated Water	0.30	0.34	0.37	0.33	
T_8	Nano silver	0.28	0.33	0.36	0.32	
T9	Untreated Control (Water Spray)	0.33	0.38	0.45	0.38	

r = Rate of infection at ith interval

Table 3: Effect of low profile chemicals on AUDPC value of powdery mildew on okra

Tr. No.	Treatment	Conc. (%)	AUDPC values
1	Hexaconazole	0.1	528.18
2	Chitosan	0.2	538.08
3	3 Ampelomyces quiqualis		496.33
4	4 Potassium salt of phosphoric acid		592.53
5	5 Chlorine dioxide		410.7
6	6 Hydrogen peroxide		499.56
7	7 Ozonated Water		639.15
8	Nano silver	0.2	587.58
9	9 Untreated Control (Water Spray)		1166.82

Table 4: Effect of low	profile chemicals o	n yield of okra	(Kharif, 2018)
------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	----------------

Tr. No.	Treatment	conc. (%)	Fruit Yield (t/ha)	Per cent increase over control
T1	Hexaconazole	0.1	21.39	48.64
T ₂	Chitosan	0.2	17.83	23.21
T3	Ampelomyces	0.3	19.91	38.35
T 4	Potassium salt of phosphoric acid	0.5	15.89	10.42
T ₅	Chlorine dioxide	0.2	22.65	57.40
T ₆	Hydrogen peroxide	0.2	18.12	25.92

http://www.chemijournal.com

T ₇	Ozonated Water	0.2	16.57	15.14
T8	Nano silver	0.2	17.20	19.52
T9	Untreated Control(Water Spray)		14.39	
	S. E. <u>+</u>	0.89		
	CD at 5%	2.17		

Conclusion

Chlorine dioxide (0.2%) gave significant reduction in powdery mildew disease incidence on okra with PDI in the range of (6.90 to 21.27%) in comparison to check and maximum per cent disease control ranging from 59.09 to 66.43 per cent over unsprayed check. Chlorine dioxide (0.2%) produced significantly highest yield (22.65 t/ha) in comparison to check (14.39 t/ha).Among all the treatments mean infection rate 'r' values were always high in untreated check (0.38) and minimum 'r' value (0.29) was noticed in chlorine dioxide (0.2%). The maximum area under disease progress curve of 1166.82 was noticed in untreated check control and the lowest AUDPC value (410.7) was observed in chlorine dioxide (0.2%).

References

- Chavan JK, Dalvi U, Chavan UD, Isolation of lady's finger stem mucilage as clarificant in jaggery preparation. Journal of Food Science and Technology, Mysore. 2007; 44(1):59-61.
- Choudhary SL. Fungicidal control of powdery mildew of 'bhindi' (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 1975; 5(1):42
- 3. Dhutraj DN, Dadke MS. Evaluation of fungicides against *Erysiphe cichoracearum* and yield of okra. Indian Phytopathology. 2012; 65(1):85-86.
- 4. Diwakar MP, Rajput JC, Dsouza TF. Diseases of okra in Kokan region of Maharashtra. Pesticides. 1986; 20(3):34.
- 5. Kothari KL, Shekhawat PS. Chemical control of the powdery mildew of okra. The Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1972; 29(2):235-236.
- Mayee CD, Datar VV. Phytopathometry. Tech. Bull. 1. Univ. Press. Marathwada Agriculture University, Parbhani (M.S.) 1986, 186.
- 7. Khunti JP, Bhoraniya MF, Vora VD. Management of powdery mildew and *Cercospora* leaf spot of mungbean by some systemic fungicides. Journal of Mycology Plant Pathology. 2002; 32(1):103-105.
- Naik KS, Nagaraja A. Chemical control of powdery mildew of okra. Agricultural Science Digest. 2003; 23(4):305-306.
- 9. Singh S, Bisht IS, Mujumdar A. Major, viral, fungal and bacterial disease of bhendi and their control measures. Seed and Farm. 1988; 14(4):27-28.
- Singh A, Sirohi A. Effect of some weather parametres on the development of powdery mildew (*Erysiphe polygoni*) in black gram. Plant Disease Research journal. 2003; 18(1):63-64.
- 11. Suryawanshi AP, Wadje AG, Gawade DB, Kadam TS, Pawar AK. Field evaluation of fungicides and botanicals against powdery mildew of mungbean. Agricultural Science Digest. 2009; 29(3):209-211.