

### E-ISSN: 2321-4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 3055-3058 © 2020 IJCS

Received: 13-05-2020 Accepted: 15-06-2020

P-ISSN: 2349-8528

### **Gauray Kant**

Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

### RPS Dalal

Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

# Effect of chemicals on growth and buddability in rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush) rootstock seedlings under semi arid irrigated ecosystem of Haryana

# **Gaurav Kant and RPS Dalal**

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4ak.10115

### Abstract

An experiment was conducted with the objective to advance and enhance the buddabiltiy in rough lemon (*Citrus jambhiri* Lush) seedlings comprising foliar application of  $T_1$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_2$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_3$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_5$  (NAA 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_6$  (NAA 50 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_7$  (NAA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_8$  (NAA 100 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_9$  (ABA 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_{10}$  (ABA 50 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_{12}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 1%) and  $T_{13}$  (Control) twice after 30 and 60 days after transplanting. Maximum seedling height 95.83cm and numbers of leaves 98.17/plant was measured in treatment  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%) at 180 days after transplanting. Maximum stem diameter 7.16mm was measured in treatment  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%). The highest per cent seedlings 57.14, 76.19 and 95.24% attained buddable stage in treatment  $T_{11}$  at 150 DAT, 165 DAT and 180 DAT respectively. Overall, foliar spray of ABA (100 ppm + Urea 0.5%) twice at 30 and 60 DAT resulted in 57% buddable seedling over control (14%) in rough lemon rootstock 150 DAT.

Keywords: Plant growth regulator, urea, foliar spray, rough lemon, buddability

## Introduction

In India, the area under citrus is 1.03 million ha with production of 13.20 million tonnes and average productivity of 9.78 tones/ha. (NHB data base). Citrus occupy third rank after mango and banana. Among the citrus cultivars, sweet orange, mandarin, limes, lemon and grapefruit are important and occupy a major acreage in the state. They are propagated by budding mainly on rough lemon (Citrus jambhiri, Lush) rootstock. Rough lemon originated in the Himalayan foothills of India and is the most widely used rootstock for citrus. Availability of sufficient, superior quality planting material and its effective distribution to the orchardists is likely to improve orchard efficiency. Under sub-tropical conditions of Haryana and surrounding states, the time required to grow citrus rootstock seedlings to a size suitable for budding may be as long as one and half to two years. The entire process is time consuming and labour intensive as, irrigations, fertilization and plant protection measures are to be taken up at regular intervals at all nursery stages thereby increasing cost of production. Shortening this time would benefit to the nurserymen by reducing cost of production. After budding operation, although the citrus plant becomes ready for plantation in about six to eight months, it can only be planted in the orchard, in spring or autumn. Hence, the plant can only be transplanted around one year after budding. So, it takes about total three years from seed sowing to reach to farmers' field. Use of plant growth regulators and chemicals is a boon to horticulture and is being used for various purposes with an ultimate aim to increase production. Gibberellic acid takes part in rapid cell division, which mainly helps to increase the thickness of seedling girth (Hoda et al. 2010) [6] in citrus. Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA) belongs to synthetic forms of auxins play key role in cell elongation, cell division, vascular tissue, differentiation, root initiation, apical dominance and leaf senescence (Davies, 1987) [5]. Foliar application of NAA has also been found to increase plant height, number of leaves per plant in different crops (Lee, 1990) [10]. Plant growth retardants are applied in agronomic and horticultural crops to reduce unwanted longitudinal shoot growth without lowering plant productivity (Rademacher, 2000) [12].

Corresponding Author: Gaurav Kant Department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India So, keeping in view the above facts the present investigation was carried out with the objective to reduce the time and cost of production of healthy Kinnow plants on rough lemon rootstocks for the benefit of entrepreneurs and farmers with the intervention of growth regulators and urea under semi arid irrigated ecosystem.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out at department of Horticulture, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during 2016-17 in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replication. Fruits of rough lemon were harvested directly from the single plant during the month of September. Seeds were extracted manually and sown in the primary nursery. In the mid of March, healthy and uniform seedlings were transplanted in the secondary nursery. These seedlings were used for the experiment, comprising of foliar application of thirteen treatments viz. T<sub>1</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm + Urea 1%), T<sub>3</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%), T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%), T<sub>5</sub> (NAA 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%), T<sub>6</sub> (NAA 50 ppm + Urea 1%), T<sub>7</sub> (NAA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_8$  (NAA 100 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_9$  (ABA 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_{10}$  (ABA 50 ppm + Urea 1%),  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%),  $T_{12}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 1%) and  $T_{13}$ (Control, water spray) twice after 30 and 60 days after transplanting (DAT) on the same seedlings. Solution of growth regulators were prepared by dissolving the required quantity in small amount of absolute alcohol and then final volume was made with distilled water. Height of the seedlings was measured from soil surface to the plant tip in centimeters with a meter scale, while diameter was measured with Vernier calipers at 15 cm above ground level. Leaves of each seedling was counted and averaged per replication as well as treatment. The seedling that attained stem diameter of 6 mm at 15 cm above ground level was considered ready for budding and hence, observations on buddable percentage was determined on the basis of stem thicken. The mean values of different treatments were analysed with the help of a windows based computer package OPSTAT along with corresponding standard error of mean (S.E.±). The critical difference at 5 per cent level of significance was computed.

# **Results and Discussion**

**Seedling height:** Mean seedling height differed significantly among treatments (table-1). Mean seedling height increased significantly with treatments T1 to T8 and decreased with treatments T9 to T12 over control. Maximum seedling height (73.52 cm) was measured in treatment  $T_4$  (GA $_3$  100 ppm + Urea 1%) followed by (70.77 cm) in treatment  $T_2$  (GA $_3$  50 ppm + Urea 1%), whereas, minimum seedling height (46.31cm) was measured in treatment  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%) significantly followed by (47.98 cm) in  $T_9$  (ABA 50 ppm + Urea 0.5%). Similarly, seedling height increased significantly with every increase in the number of days after transplanting (DAT) irrespective of treatment. Maximum seedling height (74.78 cm) was found at 180 DAT and minimum (43.41 cm) at 30 DAT.

The interaction of days after transplanting and treatment was also significant. Maximum seedling height (95.83 cm) was measured at 180 DAT in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%) and minimum (41.28 cm) was observed with the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (NAA 50 ppm + urea 1%) at 30 DAT. In the present study, the higher seedling height with GA3 and urea spray may be attributed to the cell multiplication and elongation in the cambium tissue of the internodal region, because gibberellic acid apparently activates the metabolic processes or nullifies the effect of growth inhibitor. NAA has been shown to greatly increase cellulose fiber formation in plants (Suman et al. 2017) [14]. Arne and Mitchell (1969) [1] also reported that ABA inhibits stem elongation probably by its inhibitory effect on gibberellic acid. These results are in accordance with the findings of Dalal et al. (2004) [4] and Jadhav et al. (2007) [7] in rangpur lime.

Days after transplanting (DAT) **Treatment** 30 60 180 Mean 90 120 150 T<sub>1</sub>: GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 0.5% 44.18 48.50 66.73 71.20 74.10 79.00 63.95 T<sub>2</sub>: GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 1% 44.17 52.67 71.20 80.31 85.47 90.80 70.77 T<sub>3</sub>: GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 0.5% 43.70 49.83 70.81 73.40 75.82 82.85 66.07 T<sub>4</sub>: GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 1% 75.78 82.94 95.83 73.52 43.67 54.33 88.56 T<sub>5</sub>: NAA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5% 44.43 47.33 61.70 64.50 68.54 75.58 60.35 T<sub>6</sub>: NAA 50 ppm +Urea 1% 41.28 49.17 62.50 67.28 72.94 79.11 62.13 T<sub>7</sub>: NAA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5% 49.67 43.33 68.03 73.38 76.12 81.33 65.31 T<sub>8</sub>: NAA 100 ppm +Urea 1% 53.17 76.15 81.7487.95 43.83 70.38 68.87 T9: ABA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5% 42.37 44.10 44.48 47.49 53.26 56.00 47.98  $T_{10}\text{: }A\overline{BA\ 50}\ ppm\ + Urea\ 1\%$ 42.83 43.17 46.52 50.17 57.45 60.08 50.04 T<sub>11</sub>: ABA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5% 41.77 42.53 43.72 45.66 49.50 55.17 46.31 43.13 48.73 53.20 T<sub>12</sub>: ABA 100 ppm +Urea 1% 42.10 44.16 58.96 48.27 63.23 42.70 45.17 52.00 57.62 69.50 55.04 T<sub>13</sub>: Control 43.41 47.59 59.82 64.53 69.23 74.78 Mean C.D. at 5% Treatment=1.32 Days=1.02 Treatment × Days=2.91

Table 1: Effect of different chemicals on the seedling height (cm) of rough lemon

**Stem diameters:** Stem diameter of the seedling influenced significantly by various treatments, days after transplanting and their interaction. Treatments T9 to T12 and T5 significantly increased stem diameter over control, whereas, all remaining treatments were at par with control. Maximum stem diameter (5.54 mm) was measured in treatment  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%) closely followed by (5.41 mm) in  $T_{12}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 1%). Treatment  $T_9$  (5.30 mm) and  $T_{10}$  (5.28 mm) were found at par with each other. The

minimum stem diameter (4.64 mm) was observed in treatment  $T_4$  (GA $_3$  100 ppm + Urea 1%) and it was at par with  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  with values 4.80, 4.67 and 4.71 mm, respectively. Maximum stem diameter (6.20 mm) was observed at 180 DAT and minimum (3.71 mm) at 30 DAT. While comparing their interaction, maximum stem diameter (7.16 mm) was observed at 180 DAT with treatment  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%) and it was at par with treatment  $T_{10}$  (6.75 mm) and  $T_{12}$  (6.88 mm) at 180 DAT and minimum stem diameter

(3.59 mm) was observed in control at 30 DAT. Nitrogen shows the positive correlation with  $GA_3$  which resulted into maximum stem diameter as  $GA_3$  plays role of cell elongation. ABA possesses the capacity of inhibiting elongation of normal light-grown internodes, and of preventing the

outgrowth of lateral shoots, hence more stem diameter. Increase in the stem diameter with the application of  $GA_3$  were earlier reported by Modesto *et al.*(1996) & Kadam *et al.* (2011) in rangpur lime and Kadam *et al.*(2010) in Kagzi Lime.

<b>Table 2:</b> Effect of different	chemicals on stem	diameter (mm)	of rough	lemon seedlings

Treatment	Days after transplanting (DAT)						
	30	60	90	120	150	180	Mean
T <sub>1</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.80	3.97	4.61	4.89	5.51	6.04	4.80
T <sub>2</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 1%	3.65	3.78	4.50	4.83	5.42	5.83	4.67
T <sub>3</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.72	3.84	4.47	4.85	5.45	5.93	4.71
T <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 1%	3.71	3.96	4.36	4.75	5.39	5.68	4.64
T <sub>5</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.80	4.04	4.80	5.03	5.66	6.23	4.93
T <sub>6</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	3.69	4.07	4.68	4.90	5.58	6.02	4.82
T <sub>7</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.75	4.10	4.71	4.99	5.51	5.96	4.84
T <sub>8</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	3.85	4.02	4.61	4.93	5.63	5.94	4.83
T <sub>9</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.68	4.20	5.32	5.66	6.28	6.67	5.30
T <sub>10</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	3.72	4.21	5.16	5.57	6.28	6.75	5.28
T <sub>11</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	3.62	4.25	5.57	5.94	6.67	7.16	5.54
T <sub>12</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	3.67	4.24	5.40	5.91	6.37	6.88	5.41
T <sub>13</sub> : Control	3.59	3.96	4.83	4.99	5.19	5.56	4.69
Mean	3.71	4.05	4.85	5.17	5.76	6.20	
C.D. at 5%	Treatment=0.16 Days=0.10 Treatment×Days=0.41						

**Number of leaves:** All the treatments were found significantly effective in increasing the number of leaves per plant over control (Table-3). However, maximum numbers of leaves 82.19 per seedling were counted with the application of treatment  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%) and minimum 67.40 leaves per seedling was counted in treatment  $T_{13}$  (control). It was also observed that the number of leaves per seedling increased significantly with gradual increase in the number of days after transplanting (DAT) irrespective of the treatments. However, the maximum number of leaves 86.41 per seedling was observed at 180 DAT and minimum 44.69 at 30 DAT. The interaction between days after transplanting and

treatment was also found significant. Maximum number of leaves 98.17 per seedling was observed in treatment  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 ppm + Urea 1%) at 180 DAT and minimum number of leaves 43.17 in control at 30 DAT. This increase in number of leaves per seedling increased may be due to increase in seedling height as evident from the investigation. Increase in number of leaves with GA<sub>3</sub> and urea treatment may be due to the enhanced physiological processes to form new leaves at faster rate and promotion of linear growth which creates an ideal condition to develop new leaf primordial. Similar results reported by Patil *et al.*(1996) in khirni rootstock, Singh and Sheo-Govind (2000) in *Citrus reticulata*.

Table 3: Effect of different chemicals on number of leaves per seedling of rough lemon

Treatment	Days after transplanting (DAT)						
	30	60	90	120	150	180	Mean
T <sub>1</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	47.33	68.67	78.83	83.83	89.83	93.50	77.00
T <sub>2</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 1%	44.33	66.50	82.90	89.17	84.50	88.17	75.93
T <sub>3</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	43.17	73.83	80.50	85.50	88.50	94.17	77.61
T <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 1%	44.02	78.50	87.83	90.17	94.47	98.17	82.19
T <sub>5</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	43.83	63.17	68.17	81.83	76.33	80.17	68.92
T <sub>6</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	43.67	61.67	66.67	72.83	75.17	78.83	66.47
T <sub>7</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	44.67	61.00	77.50	80.97	85.17	88.50	72.97
T <sub>8</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	43.83	67.33	82.63	87.83	91.47	94.83	77.99
T <sub>9</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	45.50	68.50	71.33	75.50	77.07	80.10	69.67
T <sub>10</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	46.83	64.83	76.50	79.17	82.83	84.67	72.47
T <sub>11</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	45.17	59.67	72.50	75.50	79.83	82.50	69.20
T <sub>12</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	45.67	65.27	72.65	74.13	76.80	80.50	69.27
T <sub>13</sub> : Control	43.00	61.73	70.83	72.83	76.83	79.17	67.40
Mean	44.69	66.21	76.06	80.71	82.98	86.41	
C.D. at 5%	Treatment=1.29 Days=1.35 Treatment × Days=4.60					•	

# **Buddability**

All the treatments were found significantly effective in increasing the buddability of the seedlings over control from 150 DAT onwards (Table-4). Highest percentage of buddable seedlings 57.14, 76.19 and 95.24% was observed in  $T_{11}$  (ABA 100 ppm + Urea 0.5%) and lowest (14.28, 33.33 and 71.43%) in T13 (control) at 150 DAT, 165 DAT and 180 DAT, respectively. This shows that foliar application of ABA along with Urea resulted into more than 50% buddable seedling one

month advance as compared to control. ABA being growth inhibitor might have not allowed the sprouting of the buds and thus these buds might have delayed growth and increases the diameter of the stem, hence advanced the buddability as evident from the present investigation. Whereas, role of auxins and GA3 in increasing buddabilty is mediated through increase in overall growth i.e. Height and number of leaves which results into increased photosynthetic activity and accumulation of more metabolites which increases the stem

diameter. These results are in conformity with the earlier findings of Dalal *et al.* (2002) [3] and Dalal *et al.* (2004) [4] in rangpur lime.

**Table 4:** Effect of different chemicals on buddable percentage of rough lemon seedling

Treatment		Days after transplanting					
Treatment	135	150	165	180			
T <sub>1</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	23.80	47.62	76.19			
T <sub>2</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	23.80	47.62	71.43			
T <sub>3</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	19.05	47.62	76.19			
T <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	19.05	42.85	71.43			
T <sub>5</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	39.00	61.90	85.71			
T <sub>6</sub> : NAA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	28.57	52.38	76.19			
T <sub>7</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	28.57	61.90	76.19			
T <sub>8</sub> : NAA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	35.14	57.14	85.71			
T <sub>9</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	52.38	66.67	90.48			
T <sub>10</sub> : ABA 50 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	52.38	66.67	90.48			
T <sub>11</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 0.5%	1.00	57.14	76.19	95.24			
T <sub>12</sub> : ABA 100 ppm +Urea 1%	1.00	52.14	71.43	95.24			
T <sub>13</sub> : Control	1.00	14.28	33.33	71.43			
C.D. at 5%	NS	3.32	6.45	5.47			

It may be concluded from the study that foliar application of NAA and ABA @50-100ppm along with urea 0.5-1.0% at 30 and 60 days after transplanting advanced the buddabilty and more percentage of buddable seedlings in rough lemon rootstock of citrus. The effect of ABA was more pronounced.

## References

- 1. Arne S, Mitchell DL. The effect of abscisic acid on stem elongation and correlative inhibition. New phytologist. 1969; 68:1001-1015.
- 2. Area, Production of Horticulture Crops: All India NHB Data Base, 2018-19
- 3. Dalal SR, Patil SR, Gonge VS, Athawale RB. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and urea on growth of Rangpur lime seedlings in nursery. Indian Journal of Citriculture. 2002; (1, 2):121-124.
- 4. Dalal SR, Zade VR, Gonge VS, Anuje AA. Responses of growth promoting substances on growth of Rangpur lime seedling. Annals of Plant. Physiology, 2004; 18(1):6-8.
- Davies PJ. Plant hormone and their role in plant growth and development. Martinus Nijh of Publication. Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1987.
- 6. Hoda M, Abd-El-Rahman M, Abd-El-Raheem ME. Impact of gibberellic acid enhancing treatments on shortening time to budding of citrus nursery stocks. Journal of American Science. 2010; 6(12):410-422.
- 7. Jadhav SB, Gore AK, Ghuge TD. Effect of growth regulators and urea sprays on growth of jambheri seedling. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2007; 17(1):101-104.
- 8. Kadam AB, Singh DB, Kade RA. Effect of plant growth regulators and potassium nitrate on growth of seedling of kagzi lime. The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2010; 5(2):431-434.
- Kadam AB, Singh DB, Kade RA. Effect of plant growth regulator and potassium nitrate on growth of seedling of rangpur lime. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2011; 7:96-99.
- 10. Lee HS. Effect of pre-sowing seed treatment with GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA on flowering and yield components of groundnut. Korean Journal of Crop Science. 1990; 35(1):1-9.

- 11. Patil SR, Deotale AB, Dharmik YB, Belokar PV, Jaronde RV. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub>, ascorbic acid and nitrogen on growth of khirni (*Manilikara hexandra* L.) rootstock. Journal of Soil and Crops. 1996; 5(2):25-30.
- 12. Rademacher W. Effects on gibberellin biosynthesis and other metabolic pathways. Annual Rev. Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology. 2000; 51:501-531.
- 13. Singh IP, Sheo-Govind. Effect of urea, GA<sub>3</sub> and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> spray on the growth of citrus seedlings in two species. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2000; 14(1):39-42.
- Suman, Mahaveer, Sangma PD, Meghawal DR, Sahu OP. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit crops. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(2):331-337.