



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2020; 8(4): 886-893

© 2020 IJCS

Received: 03-05-2020

Accepted: 07-06-2020

Rynjah S

Ph.D., Scholar Department of Vegetable Crops, HC&RI, TNAU Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Arumugam T

Dean, HC&RI, TNAU, Periyakulam, Tamil Nadu, India

Mohankumar S

Director, CPMB&B, TNAU Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Kamala Kannan A

Professor, Plant Pathology, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Exploitation of heterosis for yield and yield related traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench)

Rynjah S, Arumugam T, Mohankumar S and Kamala Kannan A

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4f.9715>

Abstract

The present study was conducted in okra to estimate the magnitude of heterosis and to identify superior cross combinations for yield and its component traits. Thirty six F₁s were developed by crossing 6 lines viz., Pusa Sawani (L₁), Parbhani Kranti (L₂), Arka Abhay (L₃), Arka Anamika (L₄), AE 65 (L₅) and 14/11 (L₆) and 6 testers viz., AE 64 (T₁), AE 66 (T₂), AE 17 (T₃), 14/4 (T₄), 14/5 (T₅) and 14/10 (T₆) of okra in line x tester design during summer of 2018. All the 36 F₁s along with their 12 parents (6 lines and 6 testers) and one standard check (Bhendi Hybrid CO 4) were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with two replications at Department of Vegetable Crops, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. Significance of mean squares due to treatments revealed the presence of considerable amount of genetic variability among the parents and cross combinations for all yield and yield attributing traits. The relative heterosis recorded the highest of 39.71 per cent in L₃ x T₂ (Arka Abhay x AE 66) in which twenty one cross combinations were positive and significant. Heterobeliosis (dii) registered the highest of 28.65 per cent in Pusa Sawani x 14/4 (L₁ x T₄) in which eighteen cross combinations were significant and positive. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 recorded the highest of 37.12 per cent in Arka Anamika x 14/10 (L₄ x T₆) and twenty hybrids are positive and significant. Based on the results of the heterosis studies, it is clear that none of the 36 F₁ hybrids of okra exhibited any consistency in terms of the direction and degree of heterosis over three bases viz., relative heterosis, heterobeliosis and standard heterosis for all the characters studied. In the present study, the magnitude of standard heterosis was found to be highly variable in direction and magnitude for all the characters under study. Negative heterosis was desirable for the traits viz., days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, internodal length and per cent disease incidence in respect to yield while positive heterosis was considered to be desirable for the remaining traits viz., plant height, number of branches per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant and yield per plant. Arka Anamika x AE 17 (L₄ x T₃) expressed significant and positive standard heterosis over the standard parent Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 for eleven traits. The same cross combination Arka Anamika x AE 17 (L₄ x T₃) recorded significant and negative standard heterosis over the standard check for days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering and per cent disease incidence. Similarly, the cross combination Arka Anamika x 14/10 (L₄ x T₆) recorded significant standard heterosis over the standard parent Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 for eleven characters. The cross combination of 14/11 x 14/4 (L₆ x T₄) also noted significant standard heterotic effect over the standard parent for ten traits. AE 65 x 14/4 (L₅ x T₄) is another best hybrid in terms of heterotic effect over the standard check in which it revealed significant values for nine traits. Considering the above facts, the above mentioned hybrids i.e., Arka Anamika x AE 17 (L₄ x T₃), Arka Anamika x 14/10 (L₄ x T₆), 14/11 x 14/4 (L₆ x T₄) and AE 65 x 14/4 (L₅ x T₄) could be selected for further improvement in yield and yield attributing components.

Keywords: *Abelmoschus esculentus*, standard heterosis, yield, line x tester

Introduction

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) belong to the family Malvaceae is an economically important vegetable crop widely cultivated in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. It is commonly known as bhendi or lady's finger or gumbo. The immature and tender okra pods are used as vegetable in India, Brazil, West Africa and many other nations. (Wammanda *et al.*, 2010) [21]. It has a vital source of fat, carbohydrate, fiber, calcium, phosphorous, iron, ascorbic acid, carotene, thiamin and riboflavin (Benchasri, 2012) [3].

Corresponding Author:**Rynjah S**

Ph.D., Scholar Department of Vegetable Crops, HC&RI, TNAU Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Heterosis or hybrid vigour is the phenomenon in which F1 shows increase or decrease vigour over the parents. It was referred as the phenomenon for stimulation of heterozygosity (Shull, 1908)^[17]. The adoption of heterosis breeding has been the most successful approach in enhancing the productivity in many cross pollinated crops. Okra is one often-cross pollinated vegetable crop and heterosis was first reported by Vijayaraghavan and Warier, 1946^[20]. Heterosis breeding for yield and its components were extensively studied. Several workers have reported the occurrence of heterosis in fruit yield of okra and its attributing traits (Mehta *et al.*, 2007; Jindal *et al.*, 2009)^[11,6].

The exploitation of heterosis is largely dependent on the screening and identification of diverse genotypes that could be produced by better combinations of important yield and yield contributing traits. Identification of suitable parental lines is the first and foremost step for any breeding programme. Parents are usually selected based on their phenotypic performance but it is essential that they should be selected on the basis of their combining ability as well. The estimation of heterosis gives an idea on the choice of desirable parental lines for the development of cross combinations with superior performance. In this way, hybrid vigour can be properly exploited. The present study aims to study the direction and magnitude of relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for yield and its component traits in 6 x 6 Line X Tester mating design for utilization of existing genetic diversity to develop heterotic F1 hybrids in okra.

Materials and Methods

The experimental materials comprised of twelve diverse okra genotypes [(six lines *viz.*, Pusa Sawani (L₁), Parbhani Kranti (L₂), Arka Abhay (L₃), Arka Anamika (L₄), AE 65 (L₅) and

14/11 (L₆) and (six testers *viz.*, AE 64 (T₁), AE 66 (T₂), AE 17 (T₃), 14/4 (T₄), 14/5 (T₅) and 14/10 (T₆)] which were crossed line x tester mating design to developed thirty six cross combinations. The thirty six cross combinations along with their parents and one standard check (Bhendi Hybrid CO 4) were evaluated in a randomized complete block design in two replications. The entire study was carried out at the College Orchard, Department of Vegetable Crops, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. The experiment was conducted during summer of 2018 for crossing the genotypes in Line x Tester fashion and the evaluation of the resulting hybrids was done during the summer of 2019. The standard horticultural practices were followed during the entire crop duration. Biometric data were recorded for eleven quantitative characters *viz.*, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, internodal length (cm), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), fruit weight (g), number of fruits per plant, per cent disease incidence of *Yellow vein mosaic virus* disease (per cent). Relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis were determined as percent increase (+) or decrease (-) of F1 over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (SC) using the formulae (F1-MP/MP × 100), (F1-BP/BP × 100) and (F1-SC/SC × 100), respectively (Singh, 1973). The statistical significance of heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis was assessed by t-test.

Results and Discussion

In the present study, the analysis of variance of 12 parents (6 lines and six testers) and their 36 F₁s for line x tester analysis revealed that the mean squares due to treatments have highly significant differences for all the characters under study. Based on this, it imply that there is a sufficient amount of genetic variability among the parents and the crosses. (Table 1)

Table 1: Analysis of Variance of Line x tester analysis for various traits in okra.

Source of Variation	df	Days to first flowering	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches per plant	Internodal length (cm)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant	Per cent disease incidence of YVMV	Yield per plant (g)
Replication	1	6.5104	32.6667	26.0521	0.0023	0.006	0.0384	0.0051	0.0128	0.0088	58.2817	359.7551
Treatments	47	3.1859**	6.7863**	922.6796**	0.1999**	0.8555**	1.6012**	0.2567**	6.1883**	19.7658	1945.1621**	3775.7487**
Error	47	0.5104	0.4752	4.4814	0.0276	0.0121	0.0239	0.0064	7.3888	0.322	1.3656	74.1701

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

The range of heterosis and the number of crosses displaying significantly positive and negative heterosis over standard control (Bhendi Hybrid CO 4) are presented in Table 2 to

Table 7. There was considerable amount of variation in heterotic effects as they varied differently for different traits.

Table 2: Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for days to first flowering and days to 50 per cent flowering

Hybrids	Days to first flowering,			Days to 50 per cent flowering		
	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	3.11*	2.34	-2.32	7.06**	2.25	3.51
L ₁ x T ₂	-6.1**	-7.23**	-6.53**	2.82**	1.05	1.15
L ₁ x T ₃	-1.25	-4.82**	-7.2**	2.35	-1.15	-0.25
L ₁ x T ₄	-5.21**	-7.93**	-7.23**	1.14	0.23	1.87
L ₁ x T ₅	-1.25	-4.82**	-6.2**	-1.71	-3.37*	-5.37*
L ₁ x T ₆	-1.36	-12.05**	-13.05**	8.05**	5.62**	4.12**
L ₂ x T ₁	3.18*	2.53	-2.41	12.88**	12.2**	3.37*
L ₂ x T ₂	-1.45	-4.94**	-8.03**	5.88**	2.27	1.12
L ₂ x T ₃	-3.85*	-5.06**	-9.64**	14.11**	13.41**	5.19**
L ₂ x T ₄	-10**	2.56	-1.21	5.33**	2.3	0.78
L ₂ x T ₅	-1.28	-2.53	-1.23	10.71**	8.14**	12.9**
L ₂ x T ₆	5.13**	3.8*	-1.2	7.78**	5.88**	1.12

L ₃ x T ₁	5.66**	3.7*	1.5	4.82**	2.35	-2.25
L ₃ x T ₂	-4.94**	-5.9**	-6.34**	-1.73	-3.41*	-4.49**
L ₃ x T ₃	-5.06**	2.59	-9.64**	-2.41	-4.71**	-8.99**
L ₃ x T ₄	-1.30	-1.90	-2.41	1.16	2.17	-2.25
L ₃ x T ₅	-2.01	-2.47	-4.82**	-1.75	-2.33	-5.62**
L ₃ x T ₆	-1.26	-2.01	-5.21	-2.35	-2.35	-6.74**
L ₄ x T ₁	7.1**	6.41**	4.12**	5.95**	2.3	-2.1
L ₄ x T ₂	-1.27	-3.7*	-6.02**	-7.43**	-7.95**	-10.99**
L ₄ x T ₃	-8.75**	-7.41**	-9.3**	-3.57*	-6.78**	-8.09**
L ₄ x T ₄	-2.53	2.85	-1.22	-5.75**	-5.75**	-7.87**
L ₄ x T ₅	-1.03	1.87	-7.03**	-6.36**	-6.9**	-9.19**
L ₄ x T ₆	-3.75*	-4.94**	-6.23**	-5.81**	-6.1**	-8.64**
L ₅ x T ₁	2.53	1.25	-2.41	5.45**	3.57*	-2.25
L ₅ x T ₂	-1.86	-2.47	-4.92**	0.58*	-1.15	-3.37*
L ₅ x T ₃	3.18*	1.25	-2.41	3.03*	1.19	-1.9
L ₅ x T ₄	-4.35**	-4.94**	-0.8	-3.49*	-5.68**	-6.74**
L ₅ x T ₅	-1.91	-3.75*	-5.13**	-2.35	-3.49*	-7.4**
L ₅ x T ₆	0.64	-1.25	-1.48	-1.78	-2.35	-8.76**
L ₆ x T ₁	3.85*	3.85*	-2.41	4.29**	3.66*	-4.81**
L ₆ x T ₂	-0.63	-2.47	-3.82	-2.35	-7.68**	-6.74**
L ₆ x T ₃	1.89	3.85*	-2.41	4.29**	3.56*	-4.19**
L ₆ x T ₄	-4.52**	-6.11**	-7.42**	-2.99*	-4.71**	-2.4
L ₆ x T ₅	1.94	1.28	-2.11	-1.19	-3.49*	-6.74**
L ₆ x T ₆	1.82	1.20	-3.22**	1.78	-1.15	-3.37*

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

Table 3: Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for plant height (cm) and number of Brnches per plant.

Hybrids	Plant height			Number of branches per plant		
	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	18.74**	26.49**	39.16**	4.4	5.82	5.82
L ₁ x T ₂	-9.87**	-19.5**	-18.7**	16.4**	19.2**	22.24**
L ₁ x T ₃	15.84**	23.56**	-6.39	9.58*	11.66**	17.71**
L ₁ x T ₄	11.55**	18.3**	-3.57	7.86*	9.28*	20.19*
L ₁ x T ₅	36.13**	42.59**	28.04**	22.6**	14.81**	24.4**
L ₁ x T ₆	26.57**	33.7**	17.74**	17.91**	15.8**	14.48**
L ₂ x T ₁	38.94**	39.14**	54.79**	12.68**	16.36**	11.39**
L ₂ x T ₂	-15.56**	-23.39**	-5.95**	2.76	0.12	5.82
L ₂ x T ₃	34.54**	-34.63**	-19.74*	0.31	4.79	0.87
L ₂ x T ₄	26.12**	27.55**	-11.05	4.36	16.71**	11.76**
L ₂ x T ₅	32.53**	-3.22	-1.31	5.84	14.37**	9.28*
L ₂ x T ₆	28.89**	29.26**	-12.23	11.44**	16.36**	11.39**
L ₃ x T ₁	-9.93**	-10.66**	10.39**	0.42	6.88	3.84
L ₃ x T ₂	12.28**	2.33	24.38**	4.04	8.99*	1.49
L ₃ x T ₃	3.78**	3.4*	26.61**	9.7**	1.66	5.07
L ₃ x T ₄	2.38	1.03	22.8**	2.49	8.88*	1.61
L ₃ x T ₅	1.08	-0.45	24.77**	6.87	4.99	5.94
L ₃ x T ₆	-1.01	-2.02	21.57**	0.6	8.32*	2.23
L ₄ x T ₁	-24.45**	25.18**	-7.55**	1.17	4.19	0.99
L ₄ x T ₂	14.29**	-0.96	26.39**	2.37	0.72	4.08
L ₄ x T ₃	3.65**	13.11*	26.25**	13.12**	8.14*	13.37**
L ₄ x T ₄	0.22	2.76	24.51**	0.37	2.75	0.5
L ₄ x T ₅	3.22**	1.51	27.22**	2.21	5.99	2.85
L ₄ x T ₆	14.1**	18.3**	22.89**	4.26	8.5*	5.45
L ₅ x T ₁	16.02**	6.63**	3.02	10.47**	11.47**	12.13**
L ₅ x T ₂	10.42**	10.55**	22.45**	4.47	4.83	4.83
L ₅ x T ₃	-3.34	-3.6	1.08	0.32	2.37	3.09
L ₅ x T ₄	4.52**	20.91*	25.33**	11.55**	12.59**	13.24**
L ₅ x T ₅	0.42	-1.05	24.07**	1.26	5.11	5.82
L ₅ x T ₆	-0.55	-1.47	22.25**	8.77*	11.1*	11.76**
L ₆ x T ₁	20.05**	21.14**	12.55**	9.17*	11.69**	9.28*
L ₆ x T ₂	13.68**	1.46	25.1**	4.27	5.54	14.48**
L ₆ x T ₃	-0.14	-1.05	21.06**	6.01	3.01	5.82
L ₆ x T ₄	11.51*	14.13**	3.02	15.7**	11.45**	2.97
L ₆ x T ₅	-2.09	-4.09**	-10.91	8.43*	3.78	2.2
L ₆ x T ₆	1.17	-0.4	26.95**	1.45	5.54	1.06

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

Table 4: Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for internodal length (cm) and fruit length (cm)

Hybrids	Internodal length			Fruit length		
	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	30.2**	9.13**	29.1	3.35**	-3.17**	10.8
L ₁ x T ₂	-0.78	-0.69	-0.70	-0.1	0.76	1.56
L ₁ x T ₃	10.94	3.4	2.1	-2.49	-9.51**	5.72**
L ₁ x T ₄	0.68	-6.12**	0.19	-4.84**	-11.96**	3.55**
L ₁ x T ₅	-15.07**	-17.09**	-18.01**	5.07**	-2.59*	14.03**
L ₁ x T ₆	-16.57**	-20.67**	-12.04**	-10.87**	-19.63**	0.04
L ₂ x T ₁	-13.82**	-14.41**	-14.66**	-1.34	-7.7	-6.46
L ₂ x T ₂	-19.11**	-19.22**	-29.2**	-0.3	-1.78	-1.22
L ₂ x T ₃	-12.68**	-18.5**	-18.74**	-8.13**	-8.67**	-6.7**
L ₂ x T ₄	-15.43**	-21.03**	-21.26**	-7.53**	-8.38**	7.77**
L ₂ x T ₅	-16.33**	-18.21**	-17.4**	-5.07**	-5.72**	0.37
L ₂ x T ₆	-18.95**	-23.03**	-14.66**	-8.56**	-11.87**	9.7**
L ₃ x T ₁	13.88**	12.14	10.29	-5.58**	-5.79**	7.8**
L ₃ x T ₂	-9.44**	-10.55**	-18.41**	16.27**	-0.2	24.36**
L ₃ x T ₃	-11.22**	-15.38**	-19.32**	7.89**	6.55**	24.48**
L ₃ x T ₄	5.07	0.2	-4.47*	3.34**	1.71	19.63**
L ₃ x T ₅	-18.08**	-19.13**	-21.94**	-0.89	-2.22*	14.47**
L ₃ x T ₆	-21.15**	-33.19**	-25.92**	-0.26	-4.5**	18.88**
L ₄ x T ₁	3.13	2.03	2.52	-5.43**	-5.9**	8.75**
L ₄ x T ₂	-22.62**	-22.8**	-22.43**	0.34	8.08**	24.91**
L ₄ x T ₃	-7.01**	-13.53**	16.11**	15.89**	9.17**	16.59**
L ₄ x T ₄	-1.66	8.5**	-8.06**	-1.45	-2.31*	14.9**
L ₄ x T ₅	-18.15**	-20.29**	-19.9**	7.93**	-3.52**	25.54**
L ₄ x T ₆	-28.16**	-31.52**	-24.08**	8.21**	7.89**	15.33**
L ₅ x T ₁	6.01	3.99	6.31	-6.95**	-7.55**	7.17**
L ₅ x T ₂	-14.55**	-15.48**	-13.59**	-3.91**	0.78	16.83**
L ₅ x T ₃	-8.29**	1.38	-13.5**	1.07	0.67	-5.89*
L ₅ x T ₄	0.93	-6.84**	4.76*	6.85**	6.33**	17.07**
L ₅ x T ₅	12.29	8.45	10.87	0.25	-0.47	1.63
L ₅ x T ₆	-5.33**	0.17	0.87	-0.48	-3.89**	-0.15
L ₆ x T ₁	28.02**	23.33	30.87**	-11.27**	-12.26**	0.67
L ₆ x T ₂	1.84	-1.1	4.95*	-3.28**	0.2	-17.26**
L ₆ x T ₃	-1.87	-10.98**	-5.53*	-2.48**	-2.56*	-14.03**
L ₆ x T ₄	-0.2	-9.42**	-3.88	8.06**	7.24**	13.48**
L ₆ x T ₅	-21.22**	-25.25**	-2.11	-0.02	-0.03	-17.03**
L ₆ x T ₆	-21.7**	-1.05	15.05**	-0.24	-1.07	-4.43**

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively**Table 5:** Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for fruit girth (cm) and fruit weight (g)

Hybrids	Fruit girth			Fruit weight		
	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	-13.73**	-16.61**	-10.65**	-12.07**	-17.92**	-5.32**
L ₁ x T ₂	-4.62**	-4.62**	-4.62**	-14.85**	-10.5**	-11.16**
L ₁ x T ₃	1.48	0.32	0.99	-7.06**	-7.82**	-6.3**
L ₁ x T ₄	-4.83	-1.65	0.52	-11.47**	-18.42**	-3.23
L ₁ x T ₅	-1.45	-0.02	1.58	-9.06**	-12.89**	-4.88**
L ₁ x T ₆	1.25	0.43	-11.08*	-17.16**	-21.95**	-11.75**
L ₂ x T ₁	2.45	1.38	-1.90	-20.56**	-22.34**	-6.23**
L ₂ x T ₂	-0.17	-0.61	0.26	-20.44**	-27.27**	-12.19**
L ₂ x T ₃	2.04	-1.61	2.89	-18.18**	-24.65**	-9.02**
L ₂ x T ₄	7.2**	2.2	13.7**	-31.58**	-22.18**	-12.1**
L ₂ x T ₅	-1.61	5.78**	-3.84**	-19.81**	-23.65**	-7.81**
L ₂ x T ₆	-9.92**	-14.06**	-4.54**	-30.47**	-32.68**	-18.72**
L ₃ x T ₁	-14.98**	-15.64**	-9.6**	-8.95**	-8.96**	5.02**
L ₃ x T ₂	6.75**	3.97**	9.69**	12.12**	4.67**	20.71**
L ₃ x T ₃	2.77*	1.29	10.03**	15.24**	8.41**	25.02**
L ₃ x T ₄	-0.56	-3.14*	7.77**	-5.64**	-6.95**	10.37**
L ₃ x T ₅	-1.62	-3.72**	6.11**	7.47**	4.61**	20.64**
L ₃ x T ₆	0.64	-1.89	8.99**	4.79**	3.77*	19.66**
L ₄ x T ₁	-6.04**	6.19**	0.52	-14.74**	-15.47**	-2.49
L ₄ x T ₂	-3.85**	4.66**	0.58	16.87**	9.98**	24.68**
L ₄ x T ₃	9.96**	-0.94	13.7**	13.84**	7.96**	22.39**

L ₄ x T ₄	-7.24**	-9.1**	1.13	-3.34*	-5.48**	-12.12**
L ₄ x T ₅	-1.88	-3.77**	-5.93**	12.62**	10.54**	25.32**
L ₄ x T ₆	6.63**	4.99**	-5.71*	-3.67*	-3.8*	9.06**
L ₅ x T ₁	-12.5**	-12.78**	6.89**	-9.43**	-10.16**	3.64*
L ₅ x T ₂	-0.53	0.73	1.92	-4.78**	-10.44**	1.65
L ₅ x T ₃	0.6	2.41	11.26**	10.27**	4.51**	18.62**
L ₅ x T ₄	2.78*	6.45**	-0.32	-5.92**	7.95**	9.19**
L ₅ x T ₅	1.88	0.79	11.08**	1.54	-0.39	13.06**
L ₅ x T ₆	-0.68	-2.12	8.73**	-4.12**	-4.3**	8.62**
L ₆ x T ₁	-15.94**	-11.48**	-9.34**	-6.72**	-7.65**	-6.53**
L ₆ x T ₂	-3.01*	-6.83**	1.13	13.27**	6.73**	20.67**
L ₆ x T ₃	-4.86**	-4.9**	3.32*	11.62**	5.99**	-12.29**
L ₆ x T ₄	3.37**	4.55**	6.2**	-3.07*	5.34**	19.83**
L ₆ x T ₅	-0.76	-1.5	8.55**	1.74	2.11	13.06**
L ₆ x T ₆	2.42*	-3.53**	7.16**	2.03	2.03	15.35**

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

Table 6: Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for number of fruits per plant and per cent disease incidence of *yellow vein mosaic virus*

Hybrids	Number of fruits per plant			Per cent disease incidence of <i>yellow vein mosaic virus</i>		
	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	29.49**	27.9**	41.86**	53.55**	35.63**	67.78**
L ₁ x T ₂	6.27**	-4.46	-22.29**	65.69**	70.88**	56.65**
L ₁ x T ₃	2.27	-16.62**	-12.21**	54.03**	76.19**	63.68**
L ₁ x T ₄	-5.86*	-22.01**	-18.68**	62.88**	51.44**	61.12**
L ₁ x T ₅	-3.44	-19.83**	21.39**	22.53**	34.11	45.27**
L ₁ x T ₆	-33.5**	-15.87**	-13.79**	24.79**	45.21	46.92**
L ₂ x T ₁	-6.68**	-9.38**	-44.29**	32.13**	51.11**	29.12**
L ₂ x T ₂	-1.1	-19.49**	-28.18**	-46.33**	-9.67**	-39.91**
L ₂ x T ₃	-18.12**	-18.28**	-30.11**	-39.23**	-42.10**	-45.13**
L ₂ x T ₄	-26.98**	-28.6**	-13.68**	-25.10**	-5.76**	-39.20**
L ₂ x T ₅	-20.53**	-22.48**	-23.43**	47.32**	58.93**	71.34**
L ₂ x T ₆	-5.43**	-0.87	2.82	-28.76**	-35.12**	-56.36**
L ₃ x T ₁	-27.92**	-28.67**	-9.29*	-22.57**	-28.23**	49.32**
L ₃ x T ₂	-2.06	0.45	2.12	-20.99**	-51.18**	-34.84**
L ₃ x T ₃	7.22**	-2.71	7.14*	15.04**	24.73**	37.57**
L ₃ x T ₄	5.26*	4.9	15.71**	-37.15**	-45.12**	-27.5**
L ₃ x T ₅	-2.46	-3.03	1.01	60.57**	27.02**	60.44**
L ₃ x T ₆	0.11	-1.79	12.45**	34.73**	6.06	19.32**
L ₄ x T ₁	-9.5	-1.03	-8.14	-19.37**	-59.68**	0.41
L ₄ x T ₂	3.28	2.7	-5.11	-17.27**	-58.64**	22.23**
L ₄ x T ₃	28.51**	15.24*	22.86**	-51.57**	-24.21**	-47.86**
L ₄ x T ₄	-5.2*	6.61*	26.43**	-30.72**	9.45	13.45**
L ₄ x T ₅	4.29	2.51	1.71	4.06	-47.97**	-11.67**
L ₄ x T ₆	24.06**	6.29*	40.23**	-28.06**	-44.03**	-41.27**
L ₅ x T ₁	-29.42**	-30.7**	7.86	12.19**	13.05**	23.29**
L ₅ x T ₂	1.24	1.88	18.57**	-39.02**	-41.07**	24.12**
L ₅ x T ₃	-3.16	-4.05	-22.14**	-16.54**	-13.67**	11.96**
L ₅ x T ₄	20.33**	5.41*	1.43	-41.44**	-29.28**	-54.91**
L ₅ x T ₅	3.75	2.34	9.29**	29.14**	4.34	37.57**
L ₅ x T ₆	-2.02	-3.14	4.29**	11.85**	-10.09**	47.81**
L ₆ x T ₁	-34.56**	-36.61**	1.43	-48.45**	-48.13**	-28.82**
L ₆ x T ₂	-1.6	-2.23	26.43**	-49.9**	-53.69**	36.84**
L ₆ x T ₃	-1.35	-1.79	-18.72**	4.31	8.89	18.20**
L ₆ x T ₄	28.63**	12.29**	32.86**	-29.81**	-47.2**	-40.77**
L ₆ x T ₅	2.75	2.42	10.12**	53.29**	28.53**	52.32**
L ₆ x T ₆	-6.04**	-6.25*	0.67	19.11**	-1.03	-0.21

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

Table 7: Heterosis (per cent) over the mid parent (di), better parent (dii) and the standard parent (diii) for yield per plant

Hybrids	Relative Heterosis (di)	Heterobeltiosis (dii)	Standard parent Heterosis (diii)
L ₁ x T ₁	9.76**	9.81**	10.89**
L ₁ x T ₂	-13.44**	-29.46**	-23.46**
L ₁ x T ₃	11.17**	16.04**	5.71*
L ₁ x T ₄	21.61**	28.65**	13.01**
L ₁ x T ₅	19.79**	21.96**	17.49**
L ₁ x T ₆	5.95*	8.76**	-2.97
L ₂ x T ₁	1.91	-2.22	-2.5
L ₂ x T ₂	11.59**	2.59	5.99*
L ₂ x T ₃	18.38**	25.89**	16.77**
L ₂ x T ₄	8.06**	5.36*	15.39**
L ₂ x T ₅	-0.28	-6.92*	-1.59
L ₂ x T ₆	14.51**	6.59*	13.35**
L ₃ x T ₁	-19.23**	-22.34**	-22.57**
L ₃ x T ₂	39.71**	28.2**	17.97**
L ₃ x T ₃	23.98**	12.79**	26.10**
L ₃ x T ₄	5.67*	17.24**	0.92
L ₃ x T ₅	1.14	-5.42	0.56
L ₃ x T ₆	13.85**	6.18*	12.91**
L ₄ x T ₁	19.48**	22.16**	16.84**
L ₄ x T ₂	2.73	0.23	12.56**
L ₄ x T ₃	37.48**	18.19**	29.27**
L ₄ x T ₄	-3.37	-9.35**	10.53**
L ₄ x T ₅	-1.14	-1.37	5.38
L ₄ x T ₆	29.01**	21.34**	37.12**
L ₅ x T ₁	-17.36**	-18.37**	-18.61**
L ₅ x T ₂	16.05**	3.88	1.03
L ₅ x T ₃	-4.56	-10.95**	-21.14**
L ₅ x T ₄	10.46**	13.28**	25.73*
L ₅ x T ₅	4.78*	0.58	6.34*
L ₅ x T ₆	1.43	-2.91	3.25
L ₆ x T ₁	-20.46**	-22.38**	-22.6**
L ₆ x T ₂	1.71	-7.97**	-12.65**
L ₆ x T ₃	-3.52	1.91	2.01
L ₆ x T ₄	9.19**	19.25**	31.02**
L ₆ x T ₅	-0.61	-5.69*	-0.29
L ₆ x T ₆	13.04**	6.96*	13.75**

*, **Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$ levels, respectively

Negative heterosis was considered to be better for some of the traits studied viz., days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, internodal length and per cent disease incidence of *yellow vein mosaic virus* in respect to the yield while positive heterosis was considered to be desirable for the remaining traits viz., plant height, number of branches per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant and yield per plant.

Days to first flowering recorded the minimum and maximum relative heterosis (di) of -8.75 (L₄ x T₃) and 5.66 (L₃ x T₁) respectively in which ten hybrids out of thirty six hybrids expressed negative and significant heterosis over mid parent for this trait. Heterobeltiosis (dii) ranged from -12.05 (L₁ x T₆) to 6.41 (L₄ x T₁) in which twelve cross out of thirty six combination expressed negative and significant heterosis over the better parent. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 recorded the lowest and highest in L₁ x T₆ (-13.05) and L₄ x T₁ (4.12) respectively in which eighteen hybrids out of thirty six hybrids expressed negative and significant values. Similar results were reported by Bendale *et al.* (2004)^[4], Medagam *et al.* (2012)^[10] and Kumar *et al.* (2019)^[8].

For days to 50 per cent flowering, the relative heterosis (di) recorded a ranged of -7.43 (L₄ x T₂) to 14.11 (L₂ x T₃). Out of thirty six hybrids seven cross combinations expressed

negative and significant heterosis over mid parent. The heterobeltiosis (dii) ranged from -7.95 (L₄ x T₂) to 13.41 (L₂ x T₃) in which thirteen out of thirty six cross combination recorded significance and negative heterosis over better parent. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 recorded the highest and lowest in L₄ x T₂ (-10.99) and L₂ x T₅ (12.9) respectively in which nineteen out of thirty six cross combination recorded significance and negative heterosis over standard parent. The results are in line with the findings of Verma and Sood (2015)^[19] and Ali *et al.* (2013)^[1].

For plant height the heterosis over mid parent (di) recorded the minimum of -24.45 (L₄ x T₁) and a maximum of 38.94 (L₂ x T₁). Out of thirty six hybrids, twenty two hybrids were positive and significant. The heterosis over better parent (dii) ranged from -34.63 (L₂ x T₃) to 42.59 (L₁ x T₅) in which seventeen out of thirty six cross combinations noted to be positive and significant. The range of standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 recorded the highest and lowest in L₂ x T₃ (-19.74) and L₂ x T₁ (54.79) respectively in which twenty three cross combination recorded significance and positive heterosis over standard parent. These findings are in collaboration with the findings of Ali *et al.* (2013)^[1] and Kerure and Pitchaimuthu (2019)^[7].

For number of branches per plant the relative heterosis (di) recorded the maximum and minimum in L₅ x T₃ (0.32) and L₁ x T₅ (22.6) respectively and fifteen hybrids expressed positive and significant heterosis over the mid parent. The heterobeltiosis (dii) ranged from 0.12 (L₂ x T₂) to 19.2 (L₁ x T₂) and nineteen hybrids expressed positive and significant heterosis over the better parent. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 recorded the highest and lowest in L₄ x T₄ (0.5) and L₁ x T₅ (24.4) respectively and fifteen cross combination recorded significance and positive heterosis over standard parent. Wammanda *et al.* (2010)^[21], Pradeep and Singh (2012)^[13] and Medagam *et al.* (2012)^[10] also reported hetrotic effects of similar magnitude for number of branches per plant;

For internodal length the relative heterosis (di) was found to be the minimum in L₄ x T₆ (-28.16) and maximum in L₁ x T₁ (30.2) in which twenty one cross combinations showed negative and significance heterosis over the mid parent. The range of heterobeltiosis (dii) was from -33.19 (L₃ x T₆) to 9.13 (L₁ x T₁) in which twenty two cross combinations were negative and significant. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 ranged from -29.2 (L₂ x T₂) to 30.87 (L₆ x T₁) and twenty hybrids noted significant and negative. These findings are in line with the reports of Medagam *et al.* (2012)^[10] Rewale *et al.* (2003)^[14], Wammanda *et al.* (2010)^[21] and Verma and Sood (2015)^[19].

For fruit length the range of relative heterosis (di) was observed from -10.87 (L₁ x T₆) to 16.27 (L₃ x T₂) in which ten cross combinations were positive and significant. The heterobeltiosis (dii) exhibited the minimum and maximum of -19.63 (L₁ x T₆) and 9.17 (L₄ x T₃) respectively and six out of thirty six hybrids were positive and significant. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 was found to be significant and positive in twenty one cross combinations and the ranged existed between -17.26 (L₆ x T₂) to 25.54 (L₄ x T₅). Amutha *et al.* (2007)^[2], Senthilkumar and Sreeparvathy (2010)^[15], Wammanda *et al.* (2010)^[21] and Medagam *et al.* (2012)^[10] also reported similar findings.

For fruit girth, eight hybrids exhibited significant positive relative heterosis (di) in which the minimum and maximum values were L₆ x T₁ (-15.94) and L₄ x T₃ (9.96).

Heterobeltiosis (dii) ranged from -16.61 ($L_1 \times T_1$) to 6.45 ($L_5 \times T_4$) in which seven cross combination noted significant and positive values. The range of standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 existed between -1.08 ($L_1 \times T_6$) to 15.71 ($L_4 \times T_6$) and fourteen hybrids expressed positive and significant values. Such extent of heterotic effects for fruit girth were reported by Borgaonkar *et al.* (2006) [5], Senthilkumar and Sreeparvathy (2010) [15], Kumar *et al.* (2019) [8] and Medagam *et al.* (2012) [10].

For fruit weight the heterosis over mid parent (di) recorded the minimum of -31.58 ($L_2 \times T_4$) and a maximum of 16.87 ($L_4 \times T_2$) in which ten hybrids expressed positive and significant values. Ten hybrids exhibited significant positive heterobeltiosis (dii) for fruit weight and the minimum and maximum value were -32.68 ($L_2 \times T_6$) and 10.54 ($L_4 \times T_5$) respectively. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 was minimum in $L_2 \times T_6$ (-18.72) and maximum in $L_4 \times T_5$ (25.32) and eighteen hybrids exhibited significant positive heterosis over standard parent. These heterosis estimates for fruit weight are in line with those of Senthilkumar and Sreeparvathy (2010) [15], Verma and Sood (2015) [19], Kerure and Pitchaimuthu (2019) [7] and Kumar *et al.* (2019) [8].

For number of fruits per plant, eight hybrids recorded positive and significance heterosis over the mid parent (di) in which the range was from -34.56 ($L_6 \times T_1$) to 29.49 ($L_1 \times T_1$). The range of heterobeltiosis (dii) was minimum in $L_6 \times T_1$ (-36.61) and maximum $L_1 \times T_1$ (27.9) and six cross combinations exhibited significant positive heterosis over better parent. Fourteen hybrids expressed positive and significant heterosis (diii) for standard heterosis over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 in which the range was observed from -44.29 ($L_2 \times T_1$) to 40.23 ($L_4 \times T_6$). Similar magnitude of heterosis were reported by Medagam *et al.* (2012) [10], Mistry *et al.* (2012) [12] Verma and Sood (2015) [19] and Kerure and Pitchaimuthu (2019) [7] for number of fruits per plant.

For per cent disease incidence of yellow vein mosaic virus, the range of relative heterosis (di) recorded from -51.57 ($L_4 \times T_3$) to 65.69 ($L_1 \times T_2$) and there were eighteen hybrids which are negative and significant. Heterobeltiosis (dii) exhibited the lowest and minimum values from -59.68 ($L_4 \times T_1$) to 76.19 ($L_1 \times T_3$) in which eighteen hybrids are negative and significant. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 ranged from -56.36 ($L_2 \times T_6$) to 71.34 ($L_2 \times T_5$) and there are twelve hybrids which noted negative and significant values. Seth *et al.* (2016) [16] and Mahalik (2018) [9] also reported similar heterotic effects for per cent disease incidence of okra yellow vein mosaic virus.

For yield per plant the relative heterosis (di) recorded the lowest in $L_6 \times T_1$ (-20.46) and highest in $L_3 \times T_2$ (39.71) in which twenty one cross combinations were positive and significant. Heterobeltiosis (dii) ranged from -29.46 ($L_1 \times T_2$) to 28.65 ($L_1 \times T_4$) in which eighteen cross combinations were significant and positive. The standard heterosis (diii) over check hybrid Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 ranged from -23.46 ($L_1 \times T_2$) to 37.12 ($L_4 \times T_6$) and twenty hybrids are positive and significant. These findings are in line with the findings of Kerure and Pitchaimuthu (2019) [7], Singh and Singh (2012) [18] and Pradeep and Singh (2012) [13] for yield per plant.

Based on the results of the heterosis studies, it is clear that none of the 36 F1 hybrids of okra exhibited any consistency in terms of the direction and degree of heterosis over three bases *viz.*, relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for all the characters studied. Among the hybrids, some of them manifested positive heterosis while others

exhibited negative heterosis which suggests the extent of genetic diversity among the parents of different cross combinations for the component traits. In the present study, the magnitude of standard heterosis was found to be highly variable in direction and magnitude for all the characters under study. Similar findings in the variation of heterosis for different characters was reported by and Jindal *et al.* (2009) [6].

The heterosis analysis revealed that among the thirty six hybrids, Arka Anamika x AE 17 ($L_4 \times T_3$) expressed significant and positive standard heterosis over the standard parent Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 for eleven traits *viz.*, plant height, number of branches per plant, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, total phenol, peroxidase activity, polyphenol oxidase activity, per cent disease incidence and yield per plant. The same cross combination Arka Anamika x AE 17 ($L_4 \times T_3$) recorded significant and negative standard heterosis over the standard check for days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering and per cent disease incidence.

Similarly, the cross combination Arka Anamika x 14/10 ($L_4 \times T_6$) recorded significant standard heterosis over the standard parent Bhendi Hybrid CO 4 for eleven characters *viz.*, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, intermodal length, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, polyphenol oxidase activity, per cent disease incidence and yield per plant.

The cross combination of 14/11 x 14/4 ($L_6 \times T_4$) also noted significant standard heterotic effect over the standard parent for ten traits *viz.*, days to first flowering, fruit length, fruit girth, fruit weight, number of fruits per plant, total phenol, polyphenol oxidase activity, per cent disease incidence and yield per plant.

AE 65 x 14/4 ($L_5 \times T_4$) is another best hybrid in terms of heterotic effect over the standard check in which it revealed significant values for nine traits *viz.*, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of branches per plant, fruit length, fruit weight, peroxidase activity, polyphenol oxidase activity, per cent disease incidence and yield per plant.

Considering the above facts, the above mentioned hybrids *i.e.*, Arka Anamika x AE 17 ($L_4 \times T_3$), Arka Anamika x 14/10 ($L_4 \times T_6$), 14/11 x 14/4 ($L_6 \times T_4$) and AE 65 x 14/4 ($L_5 \times T_4$) could be selected for further improvement in yield and yield attributing components.

References

1. Ali HAO, Eldekashy MHZ, Helaly AA. Combining ability and heterosis studies for yield and its components in some cultivars of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). American-Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci. 2013; 13:162-167.
2. Amutha R, Venkatesan M, Kumar NS, Thangavel P. Hybrid vigour and inbreeding depression in Bhendi {*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench.}. Agricultural Science Digest. 2007; 27(2):131-133.
3. Benchasri S. Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) as a valuable vegetable of the world. Ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, 2012; 49(1):105-112.
4. Bendale VW, Madav RR, Bhav SG, Pethe UB. Heterosis and combining ability of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) cultivars. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2004; 14(2):269-272.
5. Borgaonkar SB, Poshya VK, Savargaonkar SL, Sharma KM, Patil MINAKSHI. Combining ability studies in okra

- (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). International Journal of Plant Science. 2006; 1(2):246-248.
6. Jindal SK, Deepak A, Ghai TR. Heterobeltiosis and combining ability for earliness in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Crop Improvement. 2009; 36(2):59-66.
 7. Kerure P, Pitchaimuthu M. Evaluation for heterosis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019; 10(1):248-255.
 8. Kumar SCS. Exploitation of heterosis for yield and yield attributes in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. IJCS. 2019; 7(4):853-857.
 9. Mahalik MK. Identification of resistant genotypes of okra and virus associated with Bhendi yellow vein mosaic disease (Doctoral dissertation, Division of Vegetable Science Indian Agricultural Research Institute New Delhi, 2018).
 10. Medagam TR, Kadiyala H, Mutyala G, Hameedunnisa, B. Heterosis for yield and yield components in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research. 2012; 72(3):316.
 11. Mehta N, Asati BS, Mamidwar SR. Heterosis and gene action in okra. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2007; 32(3):421-432.
 12. Mistry PM. Heterosis, heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal. 2012; 32(4):332-335.
 13. Pradeep K, Singh DK. Potential heterosis in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2012; 7(1):175-179.
 14. Rewale VS, Bendale VW, Bhave SG, Madav RR, Jadhav BB. Heterosis for yields and yield components in okras. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities (India), 2003.
 15. Kumar PS, Sreeparvathy S. Studies on heterosis in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2010; 1(6):1431-1433.
 16. Seth T, Chattopadhyay A, Chatterjee S, Dutta S, Singh B. Selecting parental lines among cultivated and wild species of okra for hybridization aiming at YVMV disease resistance, 2016.
 17. Shull GH. The composition of field of maize. Rept. Amer. Breeders Assoc. 1908; 4:296-301. "Heterosis" (Ed. Growen, J.W.) Iowa State College Press, Amer, Iowa, pp. 531.
 18. Singh AK, Singh MC. Studies of Heterosis and Identification of Superior Crosses in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal. 2012; 32(1):55-57.
 19. Verma A, Sood S. Genetic expression of heterosis for fruit yield and yield components in intraspecific hybrids of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). SABRAO Journal of Breeding & Genetics. 2015; 47(3).
 20. Vijayaraghavan C, Warriar VA. Evaluation of high yielding hybrids in bhendi (*Hibiscus esculentus*). Proceedings of 33rd Indian Science Congress. 1946; 33(33).
 21. Wammanda DT, Kadams AM, Jonah PM. Combining ability analysis and heterosis in a diallel cross of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010; 5(16):2108-2115.