International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 1421-1425 © 2020 IJCS Received: 11-05-2020 Accepted: 13-06-2020

Kachhadia Palak

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Patel BN

Department of Fruit Science ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University Navsari, Gujarat, India

Bhanderi DR

Department of Fruit Science ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University Navsari, Gujarat, India

Patel Dharmishtha

Department of Fruit Science ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University Navsari, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Kachhadia Palak Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Fruit Science, ASPEE College

Fruit Science, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Effect of foliar spray of silicon and boron on fruiting and yield of rejuvenated mango (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Sonpari

Kachhadia Palak, Patel BN, Bhanderi DR and Patel Dharmishtha

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4m.9798

Abstract

An experiment was conducted at Regional Horticultural Research Station, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, during two continuous years 2017-18 and 2018-19 to assess the effect of different silicon and boron sources on fruiting and yield of mango cv. Sonpari under South Gujarat conditions. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with factorial concept having ten treatment combinations comprising five levels of silicon and two levels of boron. Foliar spray of potassium silicate @ 1.5% (S₃) was found better with respect to maximum fruit retention (4.14%), higher number of fruits at marble (11.05) and harvest (1.91) stages per panicle, higher number of fruits per tree (182.00) and yield (64.62kg/tree). Similarly, foliar spray of boric acid @ $1.2g L^{-1}$ (B₂) gave maximum fruit retention (4.08%), number of fruits at marble (10.56) and harvest (1.78) stages per panicle, number of fruits per tree (172.80) and yield (60.94kg/tree). In interaction effect, combined spray of potassium silicate @ 1.5% + boric acid @ $1.2g L^{-1}$ (S₃B₂) gave maximum number of fruits per panicle at marble and harvest stages.

Keywords: Mango, sonpari, potassium silicate, silicic acid, boric acid

Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) belongs to family Anacardiaceae is universally accepted as the finest tropical fruit of the world and has been called "King of the fruits". Mango is rightly known as "National Fruit of India" because of its nutritional richness, unique taste, flavour and medicinal importance. It is originated from South East Asia, the Indo-Burma region, in the foothills of the Himalayas (Mukherjee, 1951)^[13]. Sonpari is a popular mango hybrid of South Gujarat region and also known as Gujarat Mango Hybrid-1 (GMH-1). It was released in the year 2000 from Agriculture Experimental Station, NAU, Paria (Gujarat). It was developed from Alphonso as female parent and Baneshan as the male parent. Sonpari is heavy yielder and regular in bearing.

Most of the commercial varieties in mango suffer from erratic fruit drop and sometimes only 0.1% of set fruit reach maturity, which often is a consequence of biotic and abiotic stress. Silicon is taken up by the plant in the form of silicic acid (H_4SiO_4) from the soil. The exact role and requirement of silicon are not identified even though it is found beneficial for crop growth, yield and pest and disease suppression (Epstein, 1999)^[6]. The role of silicon in plant biology is to reduce multiple stresses including biotic and abiotic stresses (Melo *et al.*, 2003)^[10].

The main functions of boron are cell wall strengthens and development, cell division, fruit and seed development, sugar transport and hormone development. Boron is directly or indirectly involved in several physiological and biochemical processes during plant growth (Sharma, 2006) ^[20]. Boron is involved in the reproduction of plants and germination of pollen spikelet (Bolanos *et al.*, 2004) ^[4]. The role of boron in promoting pollen tube growth is well established and positive correlation could be found between B in the plant and number of flowers (O'Niell *et al.*, 2004 and Bergmann, 1984) ^[3].

Material and Methods

The investigation was carried out during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19 at Regional Horticultural Research Station, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari $^{\sim1421}$

Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat. Heading back of mango trees was done in February, 2012 and top worked with Sonpari cultivar in May, 2012. Trees are medium tall, rounded with moderately spreading twigs. In all 30 uniform tress of mango cv. Sonpari were selected for experimentation. All experimental trees were uniformly treated in respect with fertilization, irrigation and plant protection measures during the course of investigation, as recommended by NAU, Navsari.

Trees were planted at a distance of 6m x 6m. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with factorial concept having ten treatment combinations comprising five levels of silicon *viz.*, S₁: no silicon, S₂: potassium silicate @ 1.0%, S₃: potassium silicate @ 1.5%, S₄: silicic acid @ 1.0%, S₅: silicic acid @ 1.5% and two levels of boron *viz.*, B₁: no boric acid and B₂: boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹ and repeated thrice. The first foliar spray was given at full bloom stage and second at pea stage of mango fruits.

Treatment combinations

S₁B₁: Absolute control (No silicon + No boric acid)

S₂B: Potassium silicate (K_2SiO_3) @ 1.0% + No boric acid

S₃B₁: Potassium silicate (K_2SiO_3) @ 1.5% + No boric acid

S₄B₁: Silicic acid (H₄SiO₄) @ 1.0% + No boric acid

S₅B₁: Silicic acid (H₄SiO₄) @ 1.5% + No boric acid

S₁B₂: No silicon + Boric acid (H₃BO₃) @ $1.2g L^{-1}$

 S_2B_2 : Potassium silicate (K_2SiO_3) @ 1.0% + Boric acid (H_3BO_3) @ 1.2g $L^{\text{-}1}$

S₃B₂: Potassium silicate (K₂SiO₃) @ 1.5% + Boric acid (H₃BO₃) @ 1.2g L⁻¹

S₄B₂: Silicic acid (H₄SiO₄) @ 1.0% + Boric acid (H₃BO₃) @ $1.2g L^{-1}$

 $\textbf{S_5B_2:}$ Silicic acid (H_4SiO_4) @ 1.5% + Boric acid (H_3BO_3) @ 1.2g L^{-1}

Fruits retained per panicle at harvest were estimated using the following formula and recorded in percentage. Fruit drop per panicle was calculated by subtracting the number of fruits at harvest from the number of fruits at marble stage.

Fruit retention (%) =
$$\frac{\text{No. of fruits at marble stage} - \text{No. of fruits dropped}}{\text{No. of fruits at marble stage}} X 100$$

The number of fruits per panicle were counted from all twenty tagged panicles at the time of marble stage and harvest stage of fruit and average was worked out. The number of fruits per tree were counted treatment wise from each experimental tree at the time of harvest. The total produce per tree was weighed and noted treatment wise for each experimental tree at harvest and expressed in kilogram per tree.

The data obtained to all the characters studied under present research work were statistically analysed by the method of Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with factorial concept as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The treatment means were compared by critical differences at five per cent level of probability. The data were transformed wherever necessary.

Results and Discussion Effect on Fruit Retention

The data regarding on fruit retention (Table-1) as influenced by foliar spray of silicon and boron sources were found nonsignificant in individual years, but it was found significant in pooled analysis. Significantly maximum fruit retention (4.14%) was observed in S_3 (potassium silicate @ 1.5%) which was statistically at par with S_5 (silicic acid @ 1.5%). Likewise, significantly maximum fruit retention (4.08%) was noticed in foliar spray of boric acid @ $1.2g L^{-1}$ (B₂) as compared to no spray. All the possible interactions between silicon and boron with year were found non-significant on fruit retention (%) in both the years as well as in pooled analysis. This might be attributed to the essential role of silicon and responding the adverse effects of water stress and disorders on growth and fruiting as well as enhancing the tolerance of the trees to drought, water transport and root development while, boron associated with hormonal metabolism, photosynthate accumulation and water relation, thereby increasing retention of fruits. The results are in conformity with Ahmed *et al.* (2013) ^[2], Abd El-Rahman (2015) ^[1], Moawad *et al.* (2015) ^[12] and Sankar *et al.* (2013) ^[18] in mango.

Effect on Fruit Yield and Its Attributes

It is evident from the data presented in Table-2 & 3 that number of fruits per panicle at marble and at harvest stages were significantly influenced by foliar application of silicon and boron sources. Maximum number of fruits at marble (11.46, 10.64 and 11.05) and harvest (2.23, 1.60 and 1.91) stages were observed in potassium silicate @ 1.5% (S₃) during both the years and in pooled analysis, respectively. Foliar application of boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹ (B₂) was gave maximum number of fruits per panicle at marble (10.76, 10.35 and 10.56) and harvest (2.00, 1.55 and 1.78) stages during both the years as well as in pooled analysis, respectively as compared to without spray of boric acid. Interaction between different levels of silicon and boron had significant effect during year 2017-18 and in pooled analysis on number of fruits per panicle at marble stage. Number of fruits per panicle at marble stage was observed maximum (12.23 and 11.94) with combined application of potassium silicate @ 1.5% + boric acid @ $1.2g L^{-1} (S_3B_2)$ during first year and in pooled analysis, respectively. Significantly maximum number of fruits per panicle at harvest (2.42) was noted in S_3B_2 (potassium silicate @ 1.5% + boric acid @ 1.2g) L-1) in 2017-18. However, in second year and in pooled analysis, higher number of fruits per panicle at harvest stage (1.85 and 2.10) was observed in S_5B_2 (silicic acid @ 1.5% + boric acid @ $1.2g L^{-1}$) which was at par with S_3B_2 (potassium) silicate @ 1.5% + boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹). Silicon application might enhance cell division, more nutrients and water uptake and resulted in production of a greater number of fruits. Similar observations were made by Gorecki and Danielski-Busch (2009)^[7] in green house cucumber, Nesreen et al. (2011)^[14] in beans and Stamatakis et al. (2003)^[21] in tomato. Increased fruit set and number of fruits per panicle at marble and harvest stage due to boron was attributed to stimulation of pollen germination, growth of pollen tube, stimulation of fertilization process and higher synthesis of metabolites (Perez-Lopez and Reyes, 1983) ^[17]. The results are in conformity with the previous reports of Sankar et al. (2013) ^[18] in mango and Chander *et al.* (2017)^[5] in guava.

The data pertaining to number of fruits per tree (Table-4) was significantly affected due to foliar application of silicon sources (potassium silicate and silicic acid) and boron source (boric acid). Maximum number of fruits per tree (181.17, 182.83 and 182.00) was observed in S₃ (potassium silicate @ 1.5%) in both separate years and in pooled analysis, respectively. Correspondingly, higher number of fruits per tree (174.00, 171.60 and 172.80) was obtained in B₂ (boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹) in the year 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. Interaction effect between silicon and

boron remained non-significant on number of fruits per tree in both the years and in pooled analysis. Higher number of fruits per tree might be due to the involvement of silicon in water and nutrients uptake, photosynthesis process, water transport and root development and tolerance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses (Mengel *et al.*, 2011; Iwaskai *et al.*, 2002 and Melo *et al.*, 2003) ^[8, 10]. Boron helps in translocation of starch to fruit and auxin synthesis. The balance auxin in plant regulates the fruit drop or fruit retention in plants, which altered the control of fruit drop and increased the total number of fruits per tree. Similar results were observed by Sankar *et al.* (2013) ^[18] in mango, Kavitha (2000) ^[9] in papaya and Sarolia *et al.* (2007) ^[19] in guava.

The data (Table-5) clearly indicated that maximum fruit yield was recorded in S_3 (potassium silicate @ 1.5%) during both the years and in pooled analysis (65.44, 63.80 and 64.62kg/tree, respectively), which was statistically at par with S_5 (silicic acid @ 1.5%). Similarly, significantly maximum fruit yield was recorded in B_2 (boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹) during

both separate years and in pooled analysis (61.60, 60.28 and 60.94kg/tree, respectively) as compared to without spray of boric acid. Interaction effect between silicon and boron was found non-significant on fruit yield in individual years and in pooled analysis. The significant increase in fruit yield is a cumulative effect of increase in number of fruits because of reduction in fruit drop and higher fruit set by the direct and indirect effect of foliar spray of silicon and boron sources. These were promotion of starch formation followed by rapid transportation of carbohydrates in plant. The findings are in consistent with Moawad *et al.* (2015) ^[12] in mango.

Conclusion

It can be concluded that the combined application of potassium silicate @ 1.5% + boric acid @ 1.2g L⁻¹ (S₃B₂) at full bloom stage and pea stage of mango fruit under South Gujarat conditions was found beneficial for superior production of mango cv. Sonpari with higher yield.

Table 1: Effect of silicon and boron on fruit retention of n	nango cv. Sonpari
--	-------------------

			Fruit	t retention (%	%) at harvest	t					
Treatments		2017-18			2018-19		Pooled				
	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1		B ₂	Mean (S	
S_1	3.61	4.20	3.90	3.55	3.88	3.71	3.58		4.04	3.81	
31	(13.02)	(17.68)	(15.35)	(12.70)	(15.06)	(13.88)	(12.86	5)	(16.37)	(14.62)	
C	3.64	4.12	3.88	3.59	3.64	3.62	3.62		3.88	3.75	
S_2	(13.28)	(16.95)	(15.11)	(13.15)	(13.47)	(13.31)	(13.21)	(15.21)	(14.21)	
C	4.38	4.44	4.41	3.86	3.89	3.88	4.12		4.17	4.14	
S_3	(19.32)	(19.75)	(19.54)	(14.88)	(15.17)	(15.03)	(17.10))	(17.46)	(17.28)	
3.67		3.67 4.30		3.51	3.89	3.89 3.70			4.09	3.84	
\mathbf{S}_4	(13.52)	(18.65)	(16.09)	(12.33)	(15.17)	(13.75)	(12.93	5)	(16.91)	(14.92)	
C	3.88	4.40	4.14	3.77	4.03	3.90	3.83		4.21	4.02	
S_5	(15.09)	(19.34)	(17.22)	(14.37)	(16.27)	(15.32)	(14.73	5)	(17.80)	(16.27)	
	3.84	4.29	4.06	3.66	3.87	3.76	3.75		4.08	3.91	
Mean (B)	(14.85)	(18.47)	(16.66)	(13.49)	(15.03)	(14.26)	(14.17	')	(16.75)	(15.46)	
	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S	В		S	B x S	
S. Em. +	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.69	1.09	1.55	0.06		0.09	0.13	
C. D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.167	1	0.263	NS	
C.V.%			9.46			18.77				7.92	
							Y	B x Y	S x Y	B x S x '	
S. Em. +	1						0.06	0.08	0.13	0.18	
C. D. at 5%	1						0.17	NS	NS	NS	
C.V.%	1									7.99	

Note: Figures outside the parenthesis indicate square root transformed value

Table 2: Effect of silicon and boron on number of fruits per panicle at marble stage in mango cv. Sonpari

		ľ	Number of fru	uits per pa	anicle at ma	rble stage					
Turstanta		2017-18			2018-19)	Pooled				
Treatments	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1	E	B ₂	Mean (S)	
S_1	7.62	10.12	8.87	7.15	9.87	8.51	7.38	9.	99	8.69	
S_2	8.78	8.85	8.82	8.45	8.55	8.50	8.62	8.	70	8.66	
S ₃	10.70	12.23	11.47	9.63	11.65	10.64	10.17	11	.94	11.05	
S_4	8.50	10.47	9.48	8.42	10.20	9.31	8.46	10.33		9.40	
S 5	9.28	12.15	10.72	9.43	11.48	10.46	9.36	11.82		10.59	
Mean (B)	8.98	10.76	9.87	8.62	10.35	9.48	8.80	10	10.56		
	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S	В	5	5	B x S	
S. Em. <u>+</u>	0.18	0.29	0.41	0.28	0.45	0.63	0.17	0.	27	0.39	
C. D. at 5%	0.54	0.85	1.20	0.84	1.32	NS	0.50	0.	80	1.15	
C.V.%			7.16			11.57				9.77	
							Y	B x Y	S x Y	B x S x Y	
S. Em. <u>+</u>							0.16	0.23	0.37	0.52	
C. D. at 5%							NS	NS	NS	NS	
C.V.%										9.30	

Table 3: Effect of silicon and boron on number of fruits per panicle at harvest stage in mango cv. Sonpari

Number of fruits per panicle at harvest stage											
Turotanonta	2017-18				2018-1	9	Pooled				
Treatments	B ₁	B ₂	Mean (S)	B ₁	B ₂	Mean (S)	B ₁		\mathbf{B}_2	Mean (S)	
S 1	0.98	1.78	1.38	0.88	1.48	1.18	0.93		1.63	1.28	
S_2	1.17	1.50	1.33	1.08	1.13	1.11	1.13		1.32	1.22	
S_3	2.03	2.42	2.23	1.43	1.77	1.60	1.73		2.09	1.91	
S_4	1.15	1.93	1.54	1.03	1.53	1.28	1.09		1.73	1.41	
S ₅	1.40	2.35	1.88	1.32	1.85	1.58	1.36	1.36 2		1.73	
Mean (B)	1.35	2.00	1.67	1.15	1.55	1.35	1.25		1.78	1.51	
	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S	В		S	B x S	
S. Em. <u>+</u>	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.03		0.05	0.07	
C. D. at 5%	0.12	0.20	0.28	0.11	0.17	0.24	0.09		0.14	0.20	
C.V.%			9.69			10.59				11.26	
							Y	B x Y	S x Y	B x S x Y	
S. Em. <u>+</u>							0.02	0.03	0.06	0.08	
C. D. at 5%							0.07	0.10	0.16	NS	
C.V.%										8.92	

Table 4: Effect of silicon and boron on number of fruits per tree in mango cv. Sonpari.

	Number of fruits per tree											
Turation	2017-18			2018-1	9	Pooled						
Treatments	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B ₁	B ₂	Mean (S)	B ₁	B ₂	Mean (S)			
S_1	148.33	163.33	155.83	141.67	155.33	148.50	145.00	159.33	152.17			
S_2	155.00	165.33	160.17	149.00	157.00	153.00	152.00	161.17	156.58			
S ₃	169.33	193.00	181.17	178.67	187.00	182.83	174.00	190.00	182.00			
S_4	152.00	165.67	158.83	148.33	173.33	160.83	150.17	169.50	159.83			
S 5	158.67	182.67	170.67	152.33	185.33	168.83	155.50	184.00	169.75			
Mean (B)	156.67	174.00	165.33	154.00	171.60	162.80	155.33	172.80	164.07			
	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S			
S. Em. <u>+</u>	3.64	5.75	8.14	4.94	7.81	11.04	4.56	6.82	10.52			
C. D. at 5%	10.73	16.97	NS	14.57	23.04	NS	13.71	20.54	NS			
C.V.%			8.52			11.75			10.20			
						Y	B x Y	S x Y	B x S x Y			
S. Em. <u>+</u>						5.05	6.65	11.30	14.87			
C. D. at 5%]					NS	NS	NS	NS			
C.V.%									7.67			

	Table-5: Effect of silicon and boron on fruit yield in mango cv. Sonpari										
				Fruit y	vield (kg/tr	ree)					
Treatmonte		2017-	18		2018-	19	Pooled				
Treatments	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1	B ₂	Mean (S)	B 1		B ₂	Mean (S)	
S_1	45.32	55.22	50.27	43.92	50.68	47.30	44.62	2	52.95	48.78	
S_2	48.66	58.20	53.43	47.50	56.86	52.18	48.08	3	57.53	52.80	
S_3	62.98	67.89	65.44	60.71	66.89	63.80	61.85	5	67.39	64.62	
S_4	48.39	61.15	54.77	47.11	62.09	54.60	47.75	i i	61.62	54.69	
S 5	50.24	65.55	57.90	54.56	64.90	59.73	52.40)	65.23	58.81	
Mean (B)	51.12	61.60	56.36	50.76	60.28	55.52	50.94	ŀ	60.94	55.94	
	В	S	B x S	В	S	B x S	В		S	B x S	
S. Em. <u>+</u>	2.02	3.20	4.52	2.00	3.18	4.49	1.92		3.03	4.29	
C. D. at 5%	5.96	9.43	NS	5.93	9.37	NS	5.66		8.95	NS	
C.V.%			13.89			14.02				18.79	
							Y	B x Y	S x Y	B x S x Y	
S. Em. <u>+</u>	7						0.62	0.87	1.38	1.95	
C. D. at 5%	7						NS	NS	NS	NS	
C.V.%	7									6.05	

References

- 1. Abd El-Rahman MMA. Relation of spraying silicon with fruiting of Keitte mango trees growing under Upper Egypt conditions. Stem Cell. 2015; 6(2):1-5.
- Ahmed FF, Mansour AEM, Mohamed AY, Mostafa EAM, Ashour NE. Using of silicon and salicylic acid for promoting production of Hindybisinnara mango trees grown under sandy soil. Middle East J Agric. Res. 2013; 2(2):51-55.
- 3. Bergmann W. The significance of micronutrient boron in agriculture. *Symposium held by the borax group in the Int. trade centre of GDR*, Berlin, 20th December, 1984.
- 4. Bolanos L, Lukaszewski K, Bonilla I, Blevins D. Why boron? *Plant Physiol. and Biochem.* 2004; 42:907-912.
- 5. Chander S, Jain MC, Pareek PK, Bola PK, Meena RR, Sharma YK, Renuka. Effect of foliar feeding of borax, zinc sulphate and urea on fruiting and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cvs. Lalit and Shweta under high

density planting system. Chem. Sci. Rev. Lett. 2017; 6(22):874-883.

- 6. Epstein E. Silicon. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol., Plant Mol. Biol. 1999; 50:641-644.
- Gorecki RS, Danielski-Busch W. Effects of silicate fertilizers on yielding of Greenhouse cucumber (*Cucumis* sativus L.) in container cultivation. J Elementol. 2009; 14(1):71-78.
- 8. Iwaskai K, Meier P, Fecht M, Hart WI. Effect of silicon supply oil apoplastic, manganese concentrations in leave sand their relation to manganese tolerance in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Plant Soil, 2002, 238-288.
- Kavitha M. Effect of zinc and boron on growth, yield and quality of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. CO.5. M.Sc. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T.N. (India). (Unpublished), 2000.
- 10. Melo SP, Korndorfer GH, Korndorfer CM, Lana RM, Santan DG. Silicon accumulation and water deficient tolerance in grasses. Sci. Agricola. 2003; 60:755-759.
- Mengel KE, Kirkby EA, Kosegarten H, Appel T. *Principles of Plant Nutrition*. 5th ed. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, 2001, 1-311.
- 12. Moawad AM, Mohamed AE, Hamdy AM. Response of Succary mango trees to foliar application of silicon and boron. World Rural Observations. 2015; 7(2):93-98.
- 13. Mukherjee SK. Origin of Mango. Indian J Genet. pl. Breed. 1951; 11:49-56.
- 14. Nesreen H, Abou-Baker, Abd-Eladl M, Mohsen MA. Use of silicate and different cultivation practices in alleviating salt stress effect on bean plants. Australian J Basic and Applied Sci. 2011; 5(9):769-781.
- 15. O'Neill MA, Ishii T, Albersheim P, Darvill AG. Rhamnogalacturonan II: structure and function of a borate crosslinked cell wall pectic polysaccharide. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2004; 55:109-139.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. "Statistical Method for Agricultural Workers", ICAR, New Delhi, 1985, 152-161.
- Perez-Lopez A, Reyes RD. Effect of nitrogen and boron application on papaya. J Agric. Univ. Puerto Rico. 1983; 67(3):181-187.
- Sankar C, Saraladevi D, Parthiban S. Influence of preharvest foliar application of micronutrients and sorbitol on pollination, fruit set, fruit drop and yield in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Alphonso. The Asian J Hort. 2013; 8(2):635-640.
- 19. Sarolia DK, Rathore NS, Rathore RS. Response of zinc sulphate and iron sulphate sprays on growth and productivity of guava cv. Sardar. Curr. Agric. 2007; 31(1-2):73-77.
- 20. Sharma CP. Plant Micronutrients. *Science Publishers*, Enfield, USA, 2006.
- Stamatakis A, Papadantonakis N, Lydakis-Simantiris N, Kefalas P, Savvas D. Effect of silicon and salinity on fruit, yield and quality of tomato grown hydroponically. Acta Hort. 2003; 609:141-147.