

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 1477-1480 © 2020 IJCS Received: 08-05-2020 Accepted: 10-06-2020

Mamilla Bharath Shiva Teja

MSc Scholar, Uttaranchal University, Department of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture, Department of Plant Pathology, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Jai Prakash Mishra

Principal & Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, Uttaranchal University, School of Agriculture, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Rajendra Prasad

Asst-Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, Uttaranchal University, School of Agriculture, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

J Chandra Sekhar

Uttaranchal University, School of Agriculture, Department of Plant Pathology, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Vedukola Pulla Reddy

Department of Plant Pathology, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

Sunil Kumar

Department of Plant Pathology, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

VK Kiranmayee

Uttaranchal University, School of Agriculture, Department of Plant Pathology, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author:

Mamilla Bharath Shiva Teja MSc Scholar, Uttaranchal University, Department of Plant Pathology, School of Agriculture, Department of Plant Pathology, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Isolation and *in vitro* evaluation of fungicides against anthracnose of sorghum caused by *Colletotrichum graminicola*

Mamilla Bharath Shiva Teja, Jai Prakash Mishra, Rajendra Prasad, J Chandra Sekhar, Vedukola Pulla Reddy, Sunil Kumar and VK Kiranmayee

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4n.9820

Abstract

Sorghum is subjected to several diseases at all the stages of its development. Sorghum belongs to the family poaceae. It is one of the most important cereal crops in india, it stands fifth most important world cereal crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley. Among all the diseases infecting sorghum, anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum graminicola* is one of the most destructive foliar diseases in sorghum. According to present investigation under *in vitro* conditions, followed by eight fungicides i.e, (five systemic and three non-systemic) were used at different concentrations 100ppm, 500ppm, 1000ppm were tested by Poison Food Technique to know their inhibitory effect on the growth of anthracnose of *Colletotrichum graminicola*. According to the present investigation Mancozeb, Propiconazole, Carbendazim and Bavistin shows high inhibiting growth of mycelium, where as the fungus inhibiting growth was recorded very poor in Hexaconazole, Chlorothalonil, Propineb and Difenconazole.

Keywords: Sorghum, anthracnose, Colletotrichum graminicola mycelium growth, fungicides.

Introduction

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolar (L) Moench] is one of the yields that are cultivated in marginal regions, where low oil and moisture and high encompassing temperatures are the fundamental limiting abiotic factors [wenzel and van Rooyen 2001, Machado *et al.*, 2002, Gebeyehu *et al.*, 2004] sorghum is a tropical plant having a place with the poaceae family and it is accepted to have started in north east Africa, where it was tamed around 3000-5000 years back [Pederson *et al.*, 2003] the principle races of developed sorghum are bicolar, vulgare, c audatum, kafir, guinea and durra [deu *et al.*, 1994] sorghum positions fifth among oats in world production, which was 57 million tons in 2006 with the creating scene representing 84% of this all out [Fao,2008] over 35% of the sorghum delivered is straightforwardly utilized for human utilization. The rest is utilized fundamentally for animal fields, production of liquor and industrial items [awika and roney, 2004]

Its substitute uses are poultry feeds and liquor. In china, sorghum is aged and refined to create maotai, which is viewed as one of the nation's most popular alcohol. Sorghum was ground and the flour was the primary option in contrast to wheat in north china for quite a while. The harvest is hereditarily fit to hot and dry agro-ecologies where it is hard to develop other food grain crops. Sorghum is really a double reason crop where both grain and Stover are profoundly esteemed items. In larger parts of the developing world, Stover contributes up to half of the complete estimation of the harvest. Among the rural people who remain alive on oats and millets sorghum is the principle wellspring of calories. Another sort of sorghum, sweet sorghum is developed for the production of syrup. Sorghum is developed broadly all through the parched, semi-bone-dry tropics and mild districts inside the scope of 45°N to 45°S. Its exceptional nature of withstanding dry season [Ross and webster, 1970] makes it a possible option in dry land and downpour took care of conditions in semi-dry tropics [SAT].

Sorghum is developed more than 90 nations on the planet, Asia 29% and Africa 52% of 42.8 million of all out world region. In Asia India accounts 84%, china8% and Thailand 1.4% of the complete 12.5 million HA territory. The world evaluated sorghum creation is 59 million, with

efficiency of 1.4 t ha. The significant states in India where the oat grain is created are Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan Uttar Pradesh. Maharashtra produces most extreme sorghum in India.

Materials and methods.

Isolation of Colletotrichum graminicola

In the present investigation the diseases samples were collected from the field. Small tissues from infected stem or roots (5mm) along with the healthy tissue were cut with sterile scalpel. The tissues were surface sterilized with 0.1% mercury chloride for 30 seconds. The tissues were subsequently washed in three changes of sterile distilled water to eliminate mercury ions. The surface sterilized tissues were transferred on to the PDA and incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in BOD incubator and growth was observed periodically.

3.4.1. *In-vitro* evaluation of fungicides against *Colletotrichum graminicola* causing anthracnose of sorghum

The relative efficacy of eight fungicides including systemic and non-systemic fungicides were evaluated under *in vitro* conditions at three different concentration levels i.e. 100, 500, and 1000 ppm by using poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal, 1979). From the stock double strength potato dextrose agar medium, different lots each containing 50ml double strength potato dextrose medium in a conical flask (150ml) were sterilized at 15 psi (1.05 kg/cm²) pressure at 121.6°C for 20 minutes. Simultaneously, concentrations of different fungicides were also prepared in equal amount (50 ml) of sterilized distilled water so as to get the desired concentration of fungicides after mixing the fungicide solutions in the double strength media.

Fungicides solution were added separately to equal quantities of double strength PDA medium aseptically before pouring in Petri plates. The culture discs (6 mm) cut from the margin of 7 days old vigorously growing culture of the test pathogen were placed in the center of each prepared Petri plate. A control was also maintained in which only plain sterilized water was added to the double strength medium. Each treatment was replicated four times and the inoculated plates were incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in BOD incubator. The colony diameter of the test pathogen was recorded until the control plates were full of mycelial growth of the test pathogen. The per cent inhibition of mycelial growth at different test concentrations in relation to control was calculated by using the formula (Vincent, 1947) given as below:

I =
$$\frac{(C-T)}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

I = Percent inhibition

C = Radial growth in check in cm

T = Radial growth in treated plates in cm

Table 1: The following fungicides were used for in vitro evaluation are given below

S. No.	Fungicides	Test Concentration (ppm)
1.	Carbendazim	100, 500, 1000
2.	Propiconazole	100, 500, 1000
3.	Bavistin	100, 500, 1000
4.	Mancozeb	100, 500, 1000
5.	Hexaconazoloe	100, 500, 1000
6.	Chlorothalonil	100, 500, 1000
7.	Propineb	100, 500, 1000
8.	Difenconazole	100, 500, 1000

Results and discussion

In-vitro evaluation of fungicides against *Colletotrichum* graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum

Eight fungicides among which there are five systemic and three non-systemic fungicides were tested against *C. graminicola* under *in vitro* conditions through poison food technique. The data regarding the percent growth inhibition by different fungicides at different concentration levels 100, 500 and 1000 ppm. Variable inhibition reactions of different fungicides against *C. graminicola* were described in the report.

Systemic fungicides were found more inhibitory as compared to non-systemic fungicides even at equal levels of concentration against the pathogen. Irrespective of different concentration levels of fungicide tested against mycelial growth of *C. graminicola*. Carbendazim, bavistin, mancozeb and propiconazole proved most efficacious providing inhibition of colony diameter at 100, 500 and 1000 ppm followed by Difeconazole, chlorothalonil, propineb and hexaconazole also resulted in significant growth inhibition of the pathogen. The inhibitory responses being only moderate in fungicides.

The data regarding the growth inhibition by eight fungicides (five systemic and three non-systemic) are as follows, Among

the systemic fungicide, carbendazim, propiconazole and bavistin produced significant growth inhibition at the concentration level of 500 ppm and at the concentration level of 1000 ppm. Hexaconazole and difenconazole provided statistically similar inhibition at different dosage levels proved next efficacious. Among the non-systemic fungicide, mancozeb produced significant growth inhibition at the concentration level of 500 ppm and at the concentration level of 1000 ppm. Chlorothalonil and propineb provided statistically similar inhibition at higher (1000 ppm) dosage level proved next efficacious.

Table 2: In-vitro evaluation of carbendazim (systemic fungicide)against colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum

Europiaido concontrationa	Carbendazim						
r ungicide concentrations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 PPM	6.00	16.00	23.75	32.00	40.50	51.50	
500 PPM	6.00	11.75	15.75	21.50	28.75	35.00	
1000 PPM	6.00	6.00	7.50	9.00	11.00	14.00	
Control	14.25	24.25	43.00	64.75	77.00	90.00	
C.D.	1.17	1.23	1.31	1.25	1.54	1.35	
SE(m)	0.38	0.40	0.42	0.40	0.50	0.43	

Table 3: In-vitro evaluation of propiconazole (systemic fungicide) against Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum.

Europeiaido concentrationa	Propiconazole						
Fungicide concentrations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 PPM	6.00	15.00	21.75	30.00	38.50	55.50	
500 PPM	6.00	12.75	16.75	22.50	29.75	38.00	
1000 PPM	6.00	6.00	7.50	10.00	12.00	14.00	
Control	14.25	24.25	43.00	64.75	77.00	90.00	
C.D.	1.17	1.23	1.31	1.25	1.54	1.35	
SE(m)	0.38	0.40	0.42	0.40	0.50	0.43	

Table 4: In-vitro evaluation of Bavistin (systemic fungicide)	against
Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorgh	ıum

Funciaida concentrationa	Bavistin						
r ungicide concenti ations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100M	6.00	20.00	27.00	35.75	43.00	59.00	
500M	6.00	13.00	25.75	32.00	40.00	45.25	
1000	6.00	8.25	10.25	11.25	14.00	16.00	
Control	14.00	25.00	34.25	53.25	73.50	90.00	
C.D.	0.64	1.17	15.75	1.29	1.49	1.17	
SE(m)	0.20	0.38	5.05	0.42	0.48	0.38	

Table 5: In-vitro evaluation of Mancozeb (Non-systemic fungicide) against Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum.

Funciaida concentrationa	Mancozeb						
r ungicide concenti ations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 M	6.00	22.00	36.00	45.75	51.00	63.00	
500 M	6.00	14.00	27.75	33.00	41.00	48.25	
1000	6.00	8.25	10.25	11.25	14.00	18.00	
Control	14.00	25.00	34.25	53.25	73.50	90.00	
C.D.	0.64	1.17	15.75	1.29	1.49	1.17	
SE(m)	0.20	0.38	5.05	0.42	0.48	0.38	

Table 6: In-vitro evaluation of Hexaconazole (systemic fungicide) against Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum.

Europiaido componentenciona	Hexaconazole						
r ungicide concentrations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 PPM	6.00	23.25	38.00	48.00	54.00	65.75	
500 PPM	6.00	17.00	28.00	39.25	43.00	52.00	
1000 PPM	6.00	10.25	13.00	15.00	20.75	25.00	
Control	14.00	26.25	45.00	53.00	70.00	90.00	
C.D.	0.64	1.44	1.27	1.33	1.33	1.17	
SE(m)	0.20	0.46	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.38	

Table 7: In-vitro evaluation of Chlorothalonil (Non-systemic fungicide) against anthracnose of sorghum caused by Colletotrichum graminicola

F	Chlorothalonil						
rungicide concentrations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 PPM	6.00	25.00	39.00	49.00	54.25	67.00	
500 PPM	6.00	18.00	29.75	40.00	45.00	55.50	
1000 PPM	6.00	10.75	13.75	17.00	25.00	29.75	
Control	14.00	24.75	43.00	58.00	80.00	90.00	
C.D.	0.64	1.33	1.39	1.27	1.33	1.25	
SE(m)	0.20	0.43	0.45	0.41	0.43	0.40	

Table 8: In-vitro evaluation of Propineb (Non-systemic fungicide) against anthracnose of sorghum caused by Colletotrichum graminicola

F	Propineb						
r ungicide concentrations	Day-1	Day-2	Day-3	Day-4	Day-5	Day-6	
100 PPM	6.00	22.00	41.00	52.00	54.75	70.00	
500 PPM	6.00	19.00	30.50	39.00	47.00	57.25	
1000 PPM	6.00	11.00	16.75	19.00	26.00	30.25	
Control	13.00	25.00	41.50	60.00	76.50	90.00	
C.D.	0.64	1.17	1.39	1.27	3.08	1.23	
SE(m)	0.20	0.38	0.45	0.41	0.99	0.40	

Fungicide concentrations Day-1Day-2Day-3Day-4Day-5Day-6 100 PPM 6.00 20.00 36.25 44.00 54.00 70.00 6.00 15.00 30.50 39.00 47.00 59.25 500 PPM 1000 PPM 16.75 19.00 26.00 34.25 6.00 10.25

0.64

Control C.D.

Table 9: In-vitro evaluation of Difenconazole (Systemic fungicide) against anthracnose of sorghum caused by Colletotrichum graminicola.

Difenconazole

76.50 90.00

1 23

3.08

13.00 25.00 41.50 60.00

1.39

1.27

1.17

SE(m)	0.20 0.38 0.45 0.41 0.99 0.40
100 500 1000 ctrl	100 500 1000 ctri
T1- CARBENDAZIM	T2- PROPICANOZOLE
100 500 1000	
cut 🕢	cut 💽
T3-BAVISTIN	T4-MANCOZEB
100 500 1000 ctrl	100 500 1000 ctrl
T5-HEXACANOZOLE	T6-CHLOROTHALONIL
	1000 500 100 ctit

Plate 1: In vitro effects of different fungicides against mycelial growth of Colletotreichum graminicola causal agent of anthracnose of sorghum.

High efficacies of Carbendazim, bavistin, mancozeb and propiconazole have also been recorded against Colletotrichum graminicola by Vijaya N.L, Patel D.S and Maheshwari K.D (2019) ^[11]. While evaluating different fungicides Rekha, (2013) reported that bavistin as the most promising fungicides at higher concentrations against the mycelial growth of Colletotrichum graminicoloa. Similarly, Narendra kumar P.G, (2006). reported mancozeb as most effective against Colletotrichum graminicola followed by chlorothalonil. Vijaya N.L et al. (2019) [11] recorded carbendazim, International Journal of Chemical Studies

propiconazole, copperoxy chloride and mancozeb as potent fungicides against mycelial growth of *Colletotrichum graminicola* causing anthracnose of sorghum. Chandra Sekhar J, *et al.*, 2020^[3] who also used same fungicides at concentration levels against the pathogen and similar results were observed.

Acknowledgement

It's my heartfelt gratitude to the chairman of my Advisory Committee, Dr. Jai Prakash Mishra (Principal, Deptt. of Plant pathology), Asst-Professor Dr. Rajandra Prasad (Deptt. of Plant pathology). Special thanks to my seniors Mr. Vedukola Pulla Reddy, Mr. Sunil Kumar, Mr. J Chandra sekhar, Mr. G Anil Kumar Reddy and V.K Kiranmayee for all your extensive support, guidance, continuous encouragement, innovative ideas, valuable suggestions and needful help during the entire course of my study and always corrected me while I was finishing my research works.

References

- 1. Akpa AD, Tsammani DR. Effect of four fungicides against anthracnose of sorghum. Samaru journal of Agricultural Research. 1993; 10:77-84.
- 2. Chaudhari KA, Gohel NM. Management of anthracnose disease of mungbean through new fungicidal formulations. Journal of pure and applied Microbiology. 2016; 10(1):691-696.
- Chandra Sekhar J, Jai Prakash Mishra, Rajendra Prasad, Vedukola Pulla Reddy, Sunil Kumar, Ankita Thakur and Joginder Pal, Isolation and *In vitro* evaluation of biocontrol agents, fungicides and essential oils against stem blight of tomato caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* (curzi) C.C Tu & Kimber, Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020; 9(3):700-705.
- 4. Kumar S, Yadav BP. Efficency of Fungicides and Phyto extracts on *colletoteichum* spp. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 2007; 37(2):336-334.
- Mishra A, Siradhana BS. Chemical control of anthracnose of sorghum. Indian Phytopathology. 1978; 31:225-227.
- Rangaswami G, Mahadevan A. Diseases of cereals. Diseases of crop plants in India. 4th ED. Prentice-Hall of India publication, 2010, 223-224.
- Rao BD, Rana BS, Jyothi S, Hyma L, Karthikeyan K, Kumar KAB (2003). Importance and Economics of Sorghum and Pearl millet production in asia in alternative uses of sorghum and pearl millet in asia, proceedings of the expert meeting ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India 1st to 4th July CFC Technical Paper No. 2004; 34:14-41.
- 8. Rekha, Yogender Singh. *in vitro* efficacy of organic amended soil extracts against Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum, journal of environment and bio-science. 2016; 30(2):271-273.
- Rewale KA, Deshmukh RW, Gaikwad SH. *In vitro* evaluation of fungicides against Colletotrichum graminicola causing anthracnose of sorghum. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2016; 34(6):1695-1700.
- 10. Singh D, Chandra JP, Singh AB. Response of fungicides and antibiotics against anthracnose of sorghum caused by *Colletoteichum graminicola*, Indian Forester. 1999; 125:566-572.
- 11. Vijaya M Limbachiya, Patel DS, Maheshwari KD. *in vitro* effects of different phyto extracts and fungicides

against Colletotrichum graminicola (Ces.) Wilson, International journal of chemical studies. 2019; 7(6):186-191.