

# International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2020; 8(4): 2002-2005 © 2020 IJCS Received: 28-05-2020 Accepted: 30-06-2020

#### SC Sahoo

Directorate of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

#### Dr KC Barik

Directorate of Research, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

#### **HK Patro**

Directorate of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Corresponding Author: SC Sahoo Directorate of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

# Effect of plant population on baby corn yield: A review

# SC Sahoo, Dr KC Barik and HK Patro

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4u.9924

#### Abstract

Baby corn is the dehusked young cob of maize plant harvested just after emergence of silk. Plant population plays an important role to obtain timely growth and yield of baby corn. Spatial arrangement of plant influences the shape and size of leaf area that affects interception of solar radiation. Crop geometry has positive correlation on yield and yield attributes of baby corn. Although single plant yield of baby corn and fodder is higher in case of widely spaced crop, the total yield per unit area was found more in densely spaced crop. Economics of cultivation goes in favour of closely spaced crops due to enhanced yield of baby corn and green fodder. There is sporadic evidence regarding effect of plant population on quality parameters of baby corn. Planting distance of baby corn can be decided depending on local agroclimatic situation and management practices. Wherever possible, higher plant population may be adopted to obtain maximum yield of baby corn and green fodder.

Keywords: Baby corn, Zea mays, plant population

#### Introduction

Maize (Zea mays) is an important cereal crop used for diversified purposes such as human food, animal feed, fodder and industrial raw material. Recently, specialized corns such as baby corn and sweet corn are gaining popularity in various areas. Baby corn is the dehusked young ear of maize plant, harvested at emergence of silk and before fertilization (Pandey et al., 2002) <sup>[22]</sup>. As per Bairagi et al. (2015) <sup>[2]</sup>, baby corn is the dehusked young cob of maize plant, harvested before or just after emergence of silk. Important features of baby corn cultivation are early maturity, ability to produce multiple ears, synchronized emergence of ears and yellow kernels (Kumar and Kalloo, 1998)<sup>[14]</sup>. Various authors have described the characteristics of baby corn from visual observation. Muthukumar et al. (2005)<sup>[17]</sup> mentioned that light yellow coloured dehusked baby corn with regular row arrangement of kernels and with length of 10 to 12 cm & diameter of 1.0 to 1.5 cm has better preference in the market. Baby corn is good for health as it contains low calorie with higher fibre content and zero cholesterol (Dar et al., 2017) <sup>[5]</sup>. Baby corn can be a remunerative crop, which can be consumed as food for human and fodder for animal. In the present time, production and consumption of baby corn is gaining momentum in India (Rathika, 2014)<sup>[24]</sup>. Baby corn has ample of potentiality to provide opportunity for crop diversification, value addition and revenue generation (Bindhani et al., 2007; Ghosh et. al., 2017) <sup>[3, 7]</sup>.

Among various agronomic management practices, plant density plays a vital role to obtain suitable growth and yield of baby corn. Planting geometry is a non-monetary input, which plays a crucial role to obtain higher yield from the crop. Suitable crop geometry helps in effective utilization of solar radiation and available soil resources for dry matter production through enhanced photosynthesis. Optimum plant density helps in appropriate utilization of solar radiation by proper interception of sunlight due to enhanced leaf area (Moosavi *et al.*, 2012) <sup>[16]</sup>. As maize plant do not produce tillers, plant population play an important role in deciding yield of baby corn (Dar *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[5]</sup>. Hence, plant population of baby corn cannot adjust to variation in plant stand. Optimum plant density for baby corn production varies depending on environmental factors, varietal characteristics and management options. The performance of single-plant can be improved under low plant density, but the yield per unit area decreases due to inadequate plant population. Similarly, excess plant population results in enhanced competition among individual plants, which ultimately affects the yield adversely.

Spatial arrangement of plant influences the shape and size of leaf area, which affects the interception of solar radiation. Development of suitable agro techniques, especially planting geometry is crucial to improve yield and quality of baby corn and fodder (Rathika, 2014)<sup>[24]</sup>.

# **Growth and Development**

Appropriate planting density facilitates proper utilization of solar radiation by influencing leaf area interception, which ultimately affects dry matter accumulation and biomass production (Ramachandrappa et al., 2004) [23]. Enhanced relative growth rate was observed with lower plant population, whereas increased leaf area index was recorded with higher plant population (Ravichandran et al., 2016)<sup>[25]</sup>. Thakur et al. (1997)<sup>[31]</sup> reported significant increase in growth and yield characters in closely spaced crop. Higher leaf area index in closely spaced crops facilitated better utilization of solar radiation and proper exploitation of ground area (Moosavi *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[16]</sup>. Meena *et al.* (2017)<sup>[15]</sup> from Udaipur recorded maximum leaf area index of 3.46 at 40 DAS with the plant population of 83,333 per ha. Closely spaced crop produced taller plants due to increase in competition by the plants for sunlight, nutrients, space and water (Neelam and Dutta, 2018)<sup>[18]</sup>. Under Kerala condition, Archana and Lalitha Bai (2016)<sup>[1]</sup> recorded 25 per cent increase in tallness of plants with closure spacing of 40 cm x 15 cm as compared to 60 cm x 15 cm due to intra-row plant competition to receive more solar radiation. Thavaprakaash and Velayudhan (2008)<sup>[32]</sup> reported that a spacing of 60 cm x 19 cm intercepted more light at 25, 45 and 60 days after sowing than the spacing 45 cm x 25 cm during kharif season. On the contrary, Bairagi et al. (2015)<sup>[2]</sup> reported tallest plants with wider spacing of 45 cm x 30 cm as compared with the spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm or 45 cm x 10 cm.

## **Yield attributes**

Yield of any crop is a function of various yield attributes, which are dependent on vegetative and reproductive growth. Crop geometry has a positive correlation with the yield attributes of baby corn, those ultimately affect the yield. The yield attributing characters of baby corn viz. number and weight of ears are considerably affected by crop geometry (Dar et al., 2017)<sup>[5]</sup>. Golada et al. (2013)<sup>[8]</sup> opined that maximum yield can be achieved with optimum planting density, which allows individual plant to realize the maximum inherent potential. Ghosh et al. (2017)<sup>[7]</sup> recorded the lowest fresh weight of baby corn at a planting density of 1,20,000/ha. Pandey et al. (2002)<sup>[22]</sup> observed that increase in plant density had adverse effect on cob count due to presence of more barren plants in densely planted crop. Neelam and Dutta (2018) <sup>[18]</sup> observed that wider spacing of 45 cm x 25 cm recorded higher values for weight, length and girth of baby corn as compared to the spacing of 40 cm x 20 cm, 40 cm x 25 cm and 45 cm x 20 cm because of less crowding in widely spaced crop. Wider spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm resulted in higher values with respect to cobs per plant and length & weight of baby corn over the spacing of 30 cm x 20 cm (Gosavi and Bhagat, 2009)<sup>[9]</sup>. Under Varanasi situation, plants with wider spacing (40cm x 15cm) produced heavier cobs (6.95 g without husk) as compared with narrow spaced crop (30cm x 15cm) (Neupane et. al., 2011) [19]. Thavaprakaash et al. (2005) [33] observed that wider spaced (60 cm x 19 cm) crop had noticeable effect on yield and yield attributing characters of baby corn as compared with closely spaced (45 cm x 25 cm) crops. Faiguebaum and Olivares

(1995) <sup>[6]</sup> did not observe any significant effect of plant to plant spacing of 12.5 cm, 16.7 cm or 25 cm with constant row spacing of 75 cm on length and weight of cob. Meena *et al.* (2017) <sup>[15]</sup> realized the longest (8.26 cm), thickest (1.45 cm) and heaviest (21.38 g) cob of baby corn with a plant population of 83,333/ha. From the above discussion, it can be derived that yield attributing characters of individual plant is more in widely spaced crop as compared with closely spaced crop.

# Baby corn yield

Planting geometry has substantial effect on yield of baby corn due to variation in final plant stand. Higher plant population in closely spaced (45 cm x 10 cm) crops intercepted more light, which has helped higher photosynthetic activity and ultimately resulted in better yield (Bairagi et al., 2015)<sup>[2]</sup>. Sobhana et al. (2012)<sup>[28]</sup> reported that there is increase in yield of baby corn with increase in plant population. A wider row spacing of 60 cm increased almost all the growth and yield attributes in baby corn, but did not compensate the yield obtained in closer spacing (Thakur et al., 1997)<sup>[31]</sup>. Neelam and Dutta (2018)<sup>[18]</sup> recorded the maximum baby corn yield (1865 kg/ha) at a spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm, which was statistically at par with the yield (1812 kg/ha) obtained with a spacing of 45 cm x 25 cm. Thavaprakaash and Velayudhan (2008) <sup>[32]</sup> recorded 10.7 per cent higher yield of baby corn yield with a spacing of 60 cm x 19 cm than the spacing of 45 cm x 25 cm due to effective utilization of applied plant nutrients and better nutrient uptake by the plant. Kotch et al. (1995)<sup>[13]</sup> recommended a spacing of 90 cm x 10 cm with a plant population of 1,10,000 per hectare to obtain higher yield of baby corn. Ghosh et. al. (2017)<sup>[7]</sup> realized the maximum yield of baby corn with husk (6367 kg/ha) with a plant population of 1.00,000/ha, which was at par with the plant population of 60,000 and 80,000 plants/ha. Increase in plant population from 80,000 to 1,40,000 plants per hectare resulted 30 per cent increase in baby corn yield (Jarumayan and Baldos, 1993)<sup>[11]</sup>. Koauychai et al. (2001)<sup>[12]</sup> also recorded maximum baby corn yield with higher plant density of 1,00,000 plants/ha. Under Almora condition, Pandey et al. (2002) <sup>[22]</sup> reported comparable yield of baby corn with plant population of 1,66,000 plants/ha (1148 kg/ha) and 1,33,000 plants/ha (1086 kg/ha). Ravichandran et al. (2016)<sup>[25]</sup> from Tamil Nadu recorded maximum yield of husked baby corn (6084 kg/ha during kharif & 6236 kg/ha during rabi) with a plant population of 1.48 lakh/ha (spacing 45 cm x 15 cm) due to higher values of various yield attributing characters.

The spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm recorded significantly higher baby corn yield as compared to the spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm and 30 cm x 20 cm. (Gosavi and Bhagat, 2009)<sup>[9]</sup>. Under Coimbatore situation, Rathika (2014) [24] observed that planting of baby corn at a spacing of 75 cm x 16 cm yielded 6.7 per cent more green cob as compared with the spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm due to effective utilization of plant nutrients, enhanced sink capacity and maximum nutrient uptake by the crop with wider row spacing. Higher yield of baby corn (32.55%) and fodder (26.21%) was recorded with a spacing of 45 cm  $\times$  25 cm as compared with the spacing of 60 cm  $\times$  25 cm (Singh *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[27]</sup>. But, Hargilas (2015) <sup>[10]</sup> reported that narrow plant spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm produced 8.47% higher yield of baby corn than the yield obtained from the crop with wider plant spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm. Sahoo and Panda (1999) <sup>[26]</sup> realized the highest yield (1620 kg/ha) of baby corn at a spacing of 40 cm x 20 cm during wet season under Odisha situation. Similar finding was also reported by

Thakur et al. (1997) [31], who recommended a spacing of 40 cm x 20 cm to obtain maximum yield of baby corn (1737 kg/ha). Under Bengaluru situation, Ramachandrappa et al. (2004) <sup>[23]</sup> recorded the maximum baby corn yield at the spacing of 45 cm  $\times$  30 cm due to increased number of ears per plant (2.16) and heavier baby corn. Under Rajasthan situation, Golada et al. (2013)<sup>[8]</sup> recorded the highest yield of baby corn (2065 kg/ha) from a spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm, which was statistically at par with yield (2015 kg/ha) obtained from the spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm. Archana and Lalitha Bai (2016)<sup>[1]</sup> recorded 43 per cent enhanced yield of baby corn with closer planting of 30 cm x 15 cm as compared with wider spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm. Under Udaipur situation, Meena et al. (2017) <sup>[15]</sup> recorded the highest baby corn yield (5617 kg/ha with husk & 2172 kg/ha without husk) from the plant population of 83,333 per ha, which was statistically at par with the yield (5488 kg/ha with husk & 2033 kg/ha without husk) obtained from the population of 66,666 plants/ha. Pal and Meena (2016) [21] from Pantnagar obtained 24.7% higher yield of baby corn with a spacing of  $60 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$  when compared with the yield obtained from the spacing of  $45 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$ .

#### Fodder yield

Plant stand has direct effect on yield of green fodder. Bairagi et al. (2015)<sup>[2]</sup> opined that opined that there is reduction in fodder yield in wider spaced crop. Similarly, Sukanya et al. (1998)<sup>[29]</sup> observed significant increase in green fodder yield with increase in plant population. There was more green fodder yield with increase in plant population (Thakur et al., 2000) <sup>[30]</sup>. Narrow spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm resulted in higher green fodder yield (28.9 t/ha) as compared with wider spacing of 45 cm x 25 cm possibly due to more plant stand per unit area in case of narrow spacing (Neelam and Dutta, 2018)<sup>[18]</sup>. Ramachandrappa *et al.* (2004)<sup>[23]</sup> observed increase in fodder yield with narrow spacing due to the increased plant stand per unit area. Gosavi and Bhagat (2009)<sup>[9]</sup> reported higher green fodder yield at a spacing of 30 cm x 20 cm, which was superior to the spacing of 45 cm x 20 cm and 60 cm x 20 cm. The fodder yield increased steadily with increase in plant density and the highest value (19.62 t/ha) was recorded with a plant population of 1,20,000 plants/ha, which was statistically at par with 1,00,000 plants/ha (Ghosh et. al., 2017) <sup>[7]</sup>. But, Pandey et al. (2002) <sup>[22]</sup> obtained maximum yield (24.5 t/ha) of green fodder with still higher plant population of 1,66,000 plants/ha, which was statistically similar to the yield (23.4 t/ha) obtained from the population of 1,33,000/ha. Ravichandran et. al. (2016)<sup>[25]</sup> from Tamil Nadu obtained the maximum green fodder yield (18.8 t/ha during kharif & 19.1 t/ha during rabi season) with a plant population of 1.48 lakh/ha. Hargilas (2015)<sup>[10]</sup> reported that narrow plant spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm produced 16.4% higher yield of green fodder than wider spacing (60 cm x 20 cm). Under Udaipur situation, Meena et al. (2017)<sup>[15]</sup> recorded the green fodder yield (29.19 t/ha) with a plant population of 83,333 per ha, which was statistically at par with the 66,666 plants/ha.

#### **Quality parameters**

The market preference of baby corn is considerably influenced by various quality parameters such as protein and sugar content. Some researchers have observed variation in such quality parameters due to variation in plant population. Dar *et al.* (2014) <sup>[4]</sup> observed that planting geometry of 60 cm x 20 cm resulted in higher crude protein content of baby corn. Neupane and Mahajan (2013) <sup>[20]</sup> observed that there was increase in various quality parameters of baby corn when

sown at a row spacing of 40 cm x 15 cm. However, Ramchandrappa *et al.* (2004) did not find any significant variation of nutritional parameters due to variation in spacing. Similarly, Rathika (2014) <sup>[24]</sup> from Coimbatore did not observe any effect of crop geometry on crude protein and crude fibre content of baby corn fodder.

### Economics

The plant population has a direct effect on the economics of baby corn production. Hargilas (2015) <sup>[10]</sup> observed 16.52% higher net return when sown at a spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm than the spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm. He also recorded higher B:C ratio (3.08) at a spacing of 60 cm x 15 cm as compared with the spacing 60 cm x 20 cm (2.71). Ghosh *et al.* (2017) <sup>[7]</sup> observed that baby corn crop grown at a density of 1,00,000 plants/ha resulted the highest net return of Rs 62,368/ha and benefit:cost ratio of 4.34. Sahoo and Panda (1999) [26] obtained maximum net profit of Rs 83,000/ha from a spacing of 40 cm x 20 cm during wet season. But, Pandey et al. (2002) [22] recorded higher net return and benefit: cost ratio with increase in plant population up to 1,33,000 plants/ha. Under Kerala condition, closer planting (30 cm x 15 cm) was more remunerative than other plant spacing (Archana and Lalitha Bai, 2016)<sup>[1]</sup>.

Depending on agro-climatic situation, growing conditions and management practices, baby corn can be grown at a suitable spacing for yield enhancement. However, wherever possible, higher plant population may be opted to obtain maximum yield of baby corn and green fodder.

### References

- 1. Archana CR, Lalitha Bai EK. Influence of varieties and spacing on yield of dual purpose baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) in summer rice fallows of Kerala. Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2016; 54(2):190-193.
- 2. Bairagi S, Pandit MK, Sidhya P, Adhikary S, Koundinya AVV. Impacts of date of planting and crop geometry on growth and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* var. rugosa). Journal Crop and Weed. 2015; 11(2):127-131.
- 3. Bindhani A, Barik KC, Garnayak LM, Mahapatra PK. Nitrogen management in baby corn (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2007; 52(2):135-138.
- Dar EA, Harika AS, Tomar SK, Tyagi AK, Datta A. Effect of crop geometry and nitrogen levels on quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as fodder. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2014; 31(1):60-64.
- 5. Dar EA, Yousuf A, Bhat MA, Poonia T. Growth, yield and quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) and it's fodder as influenced by crop geometry and nitrogen application - a review. The Bioscan. 2017; 12(1):463-469.
- 6. Faiguebaum H, Olivares C. Evaluation of effects of three spacings on baby corn cv. Sweet Boy. Ciencia-e-Investigation-agriraria. 1995; 22(1, 2):15-19.
- Ghosh Mainak, Maity SK, Gupta SK, Roy Chowdhury A. Performance of Baby Corn under Different Plant Densities and Fertility Levels in Lateritic Soils of Eastern India. International Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences. 2017; 5(3):696-702.
- Golada SL, Sharma GL, Jain HK. Performance of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by spacing, nitrogen fertilization and plant growth regulators under sub humid condition in Rajasthan, India. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 8(12):1100-1107.
- 9. Gosavi SP, Bhagat SB. Effect of nitrogen levels and spacing on yield attributes, yield and quality parameters

of baby corn (*Zea mays*). Annals of Agricultural Research. 2009; 30(3, 4):125-128.

- 10. Hargilas. Evaluation of Baby Corn Hybrids Productivity and Profitability under Different Fertilizer Doses and Spacings. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2015; 6(4):503-508.
- 11. Jarumayan MA, Baldos DP. Young cob corn production as influenced by planting density and detasseling. Philippine Agriculturist. 1993; 75(1, 2):47-51.
- Koauychai P, Sereeprasert V, Soonsuwan W, Eksomtramang T. Path analysis of baby corn yield. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology 2001; 23(2):215-223.
- 13. Kotch RS, Murphy JH, Orzolek MD, Ferretti PA. Factors affecting the production of baby corn. Journal of Vegetable Crop Production. 1995; 1(1):19-28.
- Kumar S, Kalloo G. Attributes of maize genotype for baby corn production. Maize genetics Newsletter. 1998, 74.
- Meena RK, Tiwari RC, Meena VD, Charpota JL, Meena AK. Effect of Irrigation Management and Plant Population on the Performance of Summer Baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(7):2274-2282.
- Moosavi SG, Seghatoleslami MJ, Moazeni A. Effect of planting date and plant density on morphological traits, LAI and forage corn (Sc. 370) yield in second cultivation. International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences. 2012; 3(1):57-63.
- 17. Muthukumar VB, Velayudham K, Thavaprakaash N. Growth and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by plant growth regulators and different time of nitrogen application. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2005; 1(4):303-307.
- Neelam, Dutta R. Production of Baby Corn as Influenced by Spacing and Nutrient Management. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(12):1332-1339.
- Neupane MP, Singh RK, Kumar Rakesh, Kumari Anupma. Response of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.) to Nitrogen Sources and Row Spacing. Environment & Ecology. 2011; 29(3):1176-1179
- Neupane MP, Mahajan Gaurav. Effect of Nitrogen Sources and Row Spacing on Yield and Quality of Baby Corn (*Zea mays*). Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 5(1):64-67.
- Pal MS, Meena D. Productivity, Economics and Quality of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.) under Different Planting Geometries and Nutrient Management Practices in Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Indian Journal of Plant and Soil. 2016; 3(2):57-59.
- 22. Pandey AK, Mani VP, Ved Prakash, Singh RD, Gupta HS. Effect of varieties and plant densities on yield, yield attributes and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2002; 47(2):221-226.
- 23. Ramachandrappa BK, Nanjappa HV, Shivakumar HK. Yield and quality of baby com (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by spacing and fertilization levels. Acta Agronomica Hungarica. 2004; 52(3):237-43.
- 24. Rathika S. Influence of crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices on green cob yield and fodder quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014; 10(1):182-185.

- Ravichandran S, Sureshkumar R, Hariharasuthan V. Growth, yield and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by plant population and integrated nitrogen management. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016; 8(53):2774-2776.
- 26. Sahoo SC, Panda MM. Effect of level of nitrogen and plant population on yield of baby corn (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 1999; 69(2):157-58.
- 27. Singh Ganesh, Kumar Satish, Singh Rajesh, Singh SS. Growth and yield of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by varieties, spacings and dates of sowing. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015; 49(4):353-357.
- 28. Sobhana V, Kumar A, Idnani LK, Singh I, Shivadhar. Plant population and nutrient requirement for baby corn hybrids (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2012; 57(3):294-296.
- Sukanya TS, Nanjappa HV, Ramachandrappa BK. Growth parameters and yield of baby corn as influenced by varieties and spacing. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1998; 32:264-268.
- Thakur DR, Kharwara PC, Prakash O. Effect of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, development and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2000; 21:5-10.
- Thakur DR, Prakash OM, Kharwara PC, Bhalla SK. Effect of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, yield and economics of baby com (*Zea mays L.*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1997; 42:479- 83.
- Thavaprakaash N, Velayudham K. Light interception and productivity of baby corn as influenced by crop geometry intercropping system and INM practices. Asian Journal of Scientific Research. 2008; 1:72-78.
- 33. Thavaprakaash N, Velayudham K, Muthu Kumar VB. Effect of crop geometry, intercropping systems and integrated nutrient management practices on the productivity of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping systems. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences. 2005; 1(4):295- 302.