



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2020; 8(6): 3046-3049

© 2020 IJCS

Received: 28-09-2020

Accepted: 31-10-2020

Jakkannagari Chaithanya

Dept. of Agronomy,

NM College of Agriculture,

Navsari Agricultural University,

Navsari, Gujarat, India

Arvadiya LK

Dept. of Agronomy,

NM College of Agriculture,

Navsari Agricultural University,

Navsari, Gujarat, India

Madagoudra YB

Dept. of Agronomy,

NM College of Agriculture,

Navsari Agricultural University,

Navsari, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author:**Jakkannagari Chaithanya**

Dept. of Agronomy,

NM College of Agriculture,

Navsari Agricultural University,

Navsari, Gujarat, India

Effect on yield and soil fertility status of summer sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) under sole crop and different inter cropping systems

Jakkannagari Chaithanya, Arvadiya LK and Madagoudra YB

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i6aq.11999>

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out on clay soil during summer season of 2018 at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari to evaluate the Performance of summer sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) under sole crop and different intercropping systems in south Gujarat condition. Total nine treatments viz., T₁ sole sorghum, T₂ sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60 cm), T₃ sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75 cm), T₄ sole greengram, T₅ sole blackgram, T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1), T₇ sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2), T₈ sorghum + blackgram (Paired 2:1) and T₉ sorghum + blackgram (Paired 3:2) were allocated in randomized block design with four replications. The soil of the experimental area was low in available nitrogen (185.26 kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (31.88 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (390.41 kg ha⁻¹), slightly alkaline in reaction with normal electrical conductivity. Results revealed that, sole sorghum (T₁) recorded significant higher grain yield although it was remained at par with T₂, T₃ and T₆. Among all the treatments, treatment T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1) recorded significantly higher SEY, which was at par with treatment T₇ sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2). Sorghum + greengram paired at 2:1 (T₆) recorded maximum LER (1.31) followed by sorghum and greengram paired at 3:2 (T₇), sorghum + blackgram paired at 2:1 (T₈) and sorghum + blackgram paired at 3:2 (T₉) with LER 1.28, 1.24 and 1.23, respectively.

Keywords: Sorghum, Greengram, Blackgram, intercropping, SEY, LER and net returns

Introduction

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) is principal cereal that forms an important staple diet throughout the semiarid Asian and African regions. It belongs to the family Phocaea. It is the fifth most important cereal after wheat, maize, rice and barley in the world and third most important crop in India after rice and wheat in total area and production. Sorghum is widely cultivated crop covering wider areas in Africa, America, Asia and many other parts of the world. It has greatest capacity to withstand drought. Sorghum will perform better than maize in marginal land under moisture stress or excessive moisture conditions. Pulses play an important role in Indian agriculture as it improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil and due to short duration and photo insensitive varieties fitted well in many intensive cropping systems across the nation. Pulses are rich source of vegetable protein for vegetarians and also serve as excellent forage for large cattle population in the country.

Intercropping has been recognized as a potentially beneficial system of crop production which can provide sustained yield advantages compared to sole cropping. To take the advantage of different rooting depths, duration, nutrient and water requirement of the crops and better utilization of all the resources, the concept of intercropping has been introduced in primitive agriculture. The main objective of intercropping is to increase productivity per unit area by crop intensification. The cereal-legume intercropping is mainly practiced for subsistence agriculture i.e. to get full yield of cereal crops for food and legumes are included in intercropping system to get protein and some additional returns. Studies at ICRISAT indicated that cereal-legume intercropping is superior over cereal-cereal intercropping system (Rao and Willey, 1980) [13].

Material and methods

A field experiment was conducted during the summer season 2018 at College Farm, NM College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat) to study Performance of summer sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) under sole crop and different intercropping systems in south Gujarat condition in Randomized Block Design with 9 treatments (Table 1), replicated four times. The soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen (185.26 kg ha⁻¹), high in available phosphorus (31.88 kg ha⁻¹) and available potassium (390.41 kg ha⁻¹), slightly alkaline in reaction with normal electrical conductivity. There was no rainfall during crop growth period as well as no severe attack

of insect and pest on the base of visual observation. Recommended cultivars like 'GNJ-1' of sorghum, 'GM-6' of greengram and 'GU-1' of blackgram were used in the experiment. The final plant to-plant distance in main crop and intercrop was maintained at 10 cm. The recommended fertilizer schedule (80-40-00 and 20-40-00 kg of N-P₂O₅-K₂O ha⁻¹ for main crop and intercrop respectively) was followed both for sole and intercropping systems. The other agronomic practices were done as per recommended package of practices for both main and intercrops. Observations on desired parameters of the component crops were noted using standard procedures and the obtained data were analyzed statistically.

Table 1: Effect of different intercropping systems on yield attributes of sorghum

Treatment	Length of ear head (cm)	Girth of ear head (cm)	Grain weight per ear head (g)	Number of grains per ear head	Test weight (g)
T ₁ Sole sorghum	21.63	14.33	45.28	2140	23.34
T ₂ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60 cm)	22.23	13.24	42.13	1955	22.69
T ₃ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75 cm)	22.35	12.93	41.21	1903	22.40
T ₄ Sole greengram	-	-	-	-	-
T ₅ Sole blackgram	-	-	-	-	-
T ₆ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1)	22.50	12.56	40.82	1868	22.27
T ₇ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2)	23.28	12.55	38.03	1811	21.33
T ₈ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 2:1)	22.45	12.38	38.61	1844	21.46
T ₉ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 3:2)	22.93	11.90	34.06	1805	20.93
SEm±	0.81	0.48	2.10	67.34	0.52
CD at 5 %	NS	1.41	6.25	200.09	1.54
CV %	7.23	7.41	10.52	7.08	4.70

Results and discussion

Effect of intercropping on yield attributes and yield of sorghum

Various sole and intercropping treatments showed significant effect on almost all yield attributes of sorghum except length of ear head. Sole sorghum (T₁) recorded significantly higher yield attributes viz., ear head girth, number of grains per ear head, grain weight per ear head and test weight but it was at par with treatment T₂ and T₃ with respect to ear head girth, treatment T₂, T₃ and T₆ with respect to grain weight per ear head and test weight, treatment T₂ with respect to number of grains per panicle. Length of the ear head did not reach to the level of significant and remained in the order of treatments T₇ > T₉ > T₆ > T₈ > T₃ > T₂ > T₁. Intercropping considerably reduced the ear head girth, number of grains per ear head, grain weight per ear head and test weight compared sole treatments. Results of intercropping revealed that yield attributing characters reduced significantly due to intense competition effect of intercropping treatments. These results are more or less similar to Lingaraju *et al.* (2008) [6] in maize with pignonpea in maize with pulses.

Sorghum grain yield was significantly affected by different sole and intercropping systems. However, fodder yield of sorghum was not influenced significantly but it remained in same trend. Among all the treatments sole sorghum (T₁) recorded significant higher grain yield although it was remained at par with T₂ sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60 cm), treatment T₃ sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75 cm) and treatment T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1), increase in yield by 9%, 16%, 15% and 18% over intercropped treatments T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉, respectively. Intercropping reduced the grain as well as fodder yield of sorghum. The higher grain yield of sorghum in sole treatments might be due to increase in overall plant growth as reflected from higher values of different growth and yield attributes due to competition free environment and effective utilization all the resources. Intercropping reduced the grain as well as fodder

yield of sorghum. However, fodder yield of sorghum was not influenced significantly but it remained in same trend. Similar results were also reported by Lingaraju *et al.* (2008) [6] in maize with pignonpea, Muhammad and Ranamukhaarachchi (2012) [11] in sweet sorghum. Himmatrao *et al.* (2013) [3] in maize. Layek *et al.* (2014) [5] in soya bean with cereals, Yogesh *et al.* (2014) [16] in maize with soybean.

The data on fodder yield sorghum revealed non-significant variations due different sole and intercropping systems. However, it remained in order of T₁ > T₂ > T₃ > T₆ > T₈ > T₉ > T₇. This may be attributed to competition free environment in sole cropping and higher availability of water and nutrients, its uptake and further translocation to developing leaves, stem and grain. The lower fodder yield of sorghum in intercropping treatments might be due to reduction in overall plant growth as reflected from lower values of different growth and yield attributes due to intense competition among the intercropping treatments. These findings corroborated the report of Himmatrao *et al.* (2013) [3] in maize and Shah *et al.* (2011) [14] in maize with soya bean.

Effect of intercropping on SEY and LER

Treatment T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1) recorded significantly higher SEY among all the treatments which was at par with treatment T₇ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1) increase in yield by 27 %, 35% and 36% over sole sorghum treatments T₁, T₂ and T₃, respectively. These treatments were followed by treatment T₈, T₄ and T₉. Treatment T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1) recorded the highest (12670 kg ha⁻¹) sorghum fodder equivalent yield among all the treatments, which was statistically at par with all the treatments except treatment T₄ and T₅. Similar findings were also reported by Mohan *et al.* (2005) [10] in maize and French bean, Marer *et al.* (2007) [8] in maize and pigeon pea, Mishra and Elamathi, (2009) [9] in maize and greengram.

With respect to LER, all the intercropping treatments found advantageous as they recorded LER > 1. Sorghum +

greengram paired at 2:1(T₆) recorded maximum LER (1.31) followed by sorghum and greengram paired at 3:2 (T₇), sorghum + blackgram paired at 2:1 (T₈) and sorghum + blackgram paired at 3:2 (T₉) with LER 1.28, 1.24 and 1.23, respectively. Sorghum being long duration crop compared to greengram/blackgram and shallow rooted crop, in other hand deep root system of intercrops did not pose any severe competition for natural resources among the intercropping treatments. These findings corroborated the report of Lingaraju *et al.* (2008) [6] in maize and pigeonpea, Ijoyah *et al.* (2013) [4] maize and soya bean, Hamd Alla *et al.* (2014) in maize and cowpea.

Post-harvest fertility status of soil

Different sole and intercropping systems showed significant effect on availability of N and P₂O₅ content of soil after crop harvest. Sole greengram (T₄) recorded sizably higher available N as well as P₂O₅ compared to other treatments. While, it was remained at par with sole blackgram (T₅) and other intercropped treatments (T₆, T₇, T₈ and T₉) with respect to available nitrogen. The improved nitrogen status in sole greengram, blackgram and intercropped treatments may be

due to higher number of nodules per plant could attributed the addition of nitrogen to soil through symbiotic nitrogen fixation and by defoliations which in turn enhanced the activity of micro-organisms. Similar reason has also been reported by in pigeonpea in cotton based cropping system, Bindhu *et al.* (2014) [1] sesame with greengram and blackgram. While in case of available phosphorus content it was at par with treatment T₅ only. Among the intercropping treatments, T₆ sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1) recorded maximum P₂O₅ content in soil after crop harvest followed by T₇ sorghum + greengram (Paired 3: 2). Whereas, all the sole sorghum treatments (T₁, T₂ and T₃) recorded significantly lower available N and P₂O₅ content. The improved available P₂O₅ content in soil may be due to mineralization of native P in soil by the decomposition of organic matter due to release of root exudates and organic acids in legume sole and intercropping treatments. Similar results were also observed by Tuti *et al.* (2012) in wheat and lentil, Bindhu *et al.* (2014) [1] sesame with greengram and blackgram. However, available K₂O content in soil was not significantly influenced by various treatments, while it was remained in same order like available N and P₂O₅.

Table 2: Grain yield and fodder yield of sorghum as influenced by sole and intercropping systems

Treatment	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Fodder yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ Sole sorghum	3370	12176
T ₂ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60 cm)	3175	12023
T ₃ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75 cm)	3130	11928
T ₄ Sole greengram	-	-
T ₅ Sole blackgram	-	-
T ₆ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1)	3098	11771
T ₇ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2)	2900	11333
T ₈ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 2:1)	2917	11495
T ₉ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 3:2)	2758	11190
SEm±	125	745
CD at 5 %	370	NS
CV %	8.17	12.74

Table 3: Sorghum equivalent yield (kg ha⁻¹) and land equivalent ratio as influenced by sole and intercropping systems

Treatment	Sorghum grain equivalent yield (kg ha ⁻¹) (SEY)	Sorghum fodder equivalent Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Land equivalent ratio (LER)
T ₁ Sole sorghum	3370	12176	1.00
T ₂ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60 cm)	3175	12023	1.00
T ₃ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75 cm)	3130	11928	1.00
T ₄ Sole greengram	3630	3123	1.00
T ₅ Sole blackgram	2471	3028	1.00
T ₆ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1)	4293	12670	1.31
T ₇ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2)	4142	12545	1.28
T ₈ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 2:1)	3712	12360	1.24
T ₉ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 3:2)	3602	12356	1.23
SEm±	140.74	637.13	
CD at 5 %	410.83	1859.72	
CV %	8.03	12.44	

Table 4: Available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O (kg ha⁻¹) status of soil after harvest as influenced by sole and intercropping systems

Treatment	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ Sole sorghum	179.23	30.55	372.73
T ₂ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-60cm)	173.42	28.92	369.95
T ₃ Sole sorghum (Paired rows at 30-30-75cm)	169.60	27.10	364.88
T ₄ Sole greengram	226.88	38.99	406.83
T ₅ Sole blackgram	220.73	36.20	404.33
T ₆ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 2:1)	212.88	34.18	386.03
T ₇ Sorghum + greengram (Paired 3:2)	219.00	35.00	392.10
T ₈ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 2:1)	208.75	32.49	383.35
T ₉ Sorghum + blackgram (Paired 3:2)	212.03	33.43	389.68
SEm±	7.23	1.40	13.80
CD at 5 %	21.11	4.10	NS
CV %	7.14	8.51	7.16

References

1. Bindhu JS, Raj SK and Girijadevi L. Sustainable system intensification of sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.) through legume intercropping in sandy loam tract of Kerala, *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2014; 10(2):38-42.
2. Hamd Alla WA, Shalaby EM, Dawood RA and Zohry AA. Effect of cowpea (*Vigna sinensis* L.) with maize (*Zea mays* L.) intercropping on yield and its components. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Agricultural and Bio systems Engineering*. 2014; 8:11.
3. Himmatrao MS, Raghavaiah R, Kadasiddappa M and Soumya B. Influence of row ratio and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility status of maize (*Zea mays*) - Soybean (*Glycine max*) intercropping systems under rain fed conditions *Environment & Ecology*. 2013; 32(1): 169-173.
4. Ijoyah MO, Ogar AO and Ojo GOS. Soybean-maize intercropping on yield and system productivity in Makurdi Central Nigeria *Scientific Journal of Crop Science*. 2013; 2(4): 49-55.
5. Layek J, Shiva Kumar BG, Rana DS, Munda S, Lakshman K, Das A and Ramkrushna GI. Soybean cereal intercropping systems as influenced by nitrogen nutrition *Agronomy Journal*. 2014; 106(6):1933-1946.
6. Lingaraju BS, Marer SB and Chandrashekar SS. Studies on intercropping of maize and pigeon pea under rain fed conditions in northern transitional zone of Karnataka, *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2008; 21(1):1-3.
7. Madhavi Latha P and Prasad PVN. Productive and economics of maize + greengram inter cropping at different NPK levels *agricultural science digest*. 2008; 28(1):30-32.
8. Marer SB, Lingaraju BS and Shashidhara GB. Productivity and economics of maize and pigeon pea intercropping under rain fed condition in Northern Transitional Zone of Karnataka, *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2007; 20(1):1-3.
9. Mishra AS and Elamathi S. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) + legume inter cropping system to different weed control practices *Madrass agricultural Journal*. 2009; 96(7-12): 322-324.
10. Mohan HM, Chittapur BM, Hiramath SM and Chimmad VP. Performance of maize under intercropping with grain legumes Karnataka, *Journal of agricultural sciences*. 2005; 18(2):290-293.
11. Muhammad A and Ranamukhaarachchi SL. Effects of legume type, planting pattern and time of establishment on growth and yield of sweet sorghum-legume intercropping, *Australian Journal crop science*. 2012; 6(8):1265-1274.
12. Panhale A, Angadi SS and Hebbar M. Performance of sorghum based intercropping systems under dry sowing and normal sowing conditions, *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2016; 11(1):180-18.
13. Rao MR and Willey RW. Evaluation of yield stability in intercropping studies on sorghum/pigeon pea experimental agriculture. 1980; 16:105-116.
14. Shah SN, Shroff JC, Patel RH and Usadadiya VP. Influence of intercropping and weed management practices on weed and yields of maize, *International Journal of Science and nature*. 2011; 2(1):47-50.
15. Tuti MD, Mahanta D, Mina BL, Bhattacharyya R, Bisht JK and Bhatt JC. Performance of lentil (*Lens culinaris*) and troika (*Brassicacampestris*) intercropping with wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) under rain fed conditions of north-west Himalaya, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2012; 82(10):841-844.
16. Yogesh S, Halikatti SI, Hiremath SM, Potdar MP, Harlapur SI and Venkatesh H. Light use efficiency, productivity and profitability of maize and soybean intercropping as influenced by planting geometry and row proportion, Karnataka, *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2014; 27(1):1-4.