

International Journal of Chemical Studies

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2021; 9(1): 2436-2438 © 2021 IJCS Received: 07-10-2020 Accepted: 16-12-2020

Undavalli Satyanarayana

M.Sc. Ag. 4th Semester Student, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajesh Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

AC Singh

Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Agronomy, KAPG College, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ekta Singh

Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Undavalli Satyanarayana M. Sc.Ag. (Agronomy) 4th Semester Student, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of nutrient combinations on economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

Undavalli Satyanarayana, Rajesh Singh, AC Singh and Ekta Singh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i1ah.11598

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to determine the effect of sulphur, zinc and boron treatment combinations on plant growth, yield and its attributes on mustard at Central Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). The treatment combinations of the study were as sulphur (0, 30 and 40 kg/ha) zinc (0 and 5 kg/ha) and boron (0% and 0.2% spray) respectively. There were 12 treatments each replicated thrice.Addition of 40 Kg S along with 5 kg Zn and 0.2% Boron spray resulted significant higher seed yield and stalk yield (1893.33 Kg/ha) and (3161.33 Kg/ha) respectively and gross return (₹ 90892.13), net return (₹58396.53) and B:C (1.79) ratio were also recorded higher.

Keywords: Sulphur, boron, zinc, mustard, yield attributes, net return, and B:C ratio

Introduction

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) a member of Brassicaceae family and an important oil seed crop of the world. Brassica contains many agriculturally important species, including vegetable, condiment, and oilseed crops.

The genetic relationships between six diploid and allopolyploid cultivated Brassica species were described by Nagaheru. The three diploids are B. *rapa* (2n = 20), B. *nigra* (2n = 16), and B. *oleracea* (2n = 18) (Bayer, 2010)^[1].

The three allopolyploids are B. *juncea* (2n = 36), B. *napus* (2n = 38), and B. *carinata* (2n = 34), which have evolved as a result of hybridization between different mono genomic diploids. B. juncea (brown mustard, $2n = 4 \times = 36$) is an allotetraploid species evolved from a spontaneous hybridization of B. *rapa* and B. *nigra* (Bybord and Mamedov, 2010)^[3].

Mustard has primary center of its origin in central Asia with secondary centers in central and western China, eastern India, Burma and through Iran to Near East cultivated for centuries in many parts of Eurasia. However, the principal growing countries are Bangladesh, Central Africa, China, India, Japan, Nepal, and Pakistan, as well as southern Russia in north of the Caspian Sea (Kumar Vineet *et al.*, 2016)^[10].

Mustard seeds are excellent source of minerals such as magnesium, calcium, potassium and phosphorus. It is a great source of dietary folate and Vitamin A (Tripathi *et al.*, 2010)^[22]. Mustard leaves or greens are a great source of essential minerals such as calcium, potassium and phosphorus. It is a great source of dietary fiber and magnesium (Pandey *et al.*, 2006)^[15].

The role of Sin plants is to help in the formation of plant proteins, and it is essential for the formation of chlorophyll and improves root growth. Sulphur is involved in the formation of vitamins and enzymes required for the plant to conduct its biochemical processes (Scherer *et al.*, 2008) ^[18]. Sulphur deficiency in the soil can not only reduce grain yield and quality of produce but also make a sharp impact in agro-based economy (Fismesa *et al.*, 2000) ^[7]. Sulphur is accumulated in plants in low concentrations compared to N, but is an essential element as a constituent of proteins, cysteine-containing peptides such as glutathione, or numerous secondary metabolites (Kumar *et al.*, 2012)^[11].

Boron plays a prominent role in diverse range of the plants functions including cell wall formation, stability, maintenance of structural and functional integrity of the biological membranes, movement of the sugar products in the plants from source to sink (Brown *et al.*, 2002; Dordas and Brown, 2005) ^[2, 6]. Micronutrient Boron (B) has a prominent role in translocation of sugars, carbohydrate metabolism, flowering and regulation of hormones, acts as an activator of starch phosphorylase enzyme which is responsible for synthesis of starch and

seed reserve substances. Foliar application of Boron improves fertilization and enhances the production and productivity of the oilseed legumes (Cara *et al.*, 2002)^[4].

Zinc being one of the micronutrient, plays a significant role in the various enzymatic and physiological activities of the plant system. It is also essential for assimilation and Nmetabolism. It is important for stability of the cytoplasmic ribosome, cell division, dehydrogenase, proteinase, peptidase enzymes and helps in the synthesis of the protein and carotene (Das *et al.*, 2005 and Pandy *et al.*, 2006) ^[5, 15]. Zn⁺² deficiencies usually results in severe yield losses and in acute cases lead to the death of the crop plant. Kutuk *et al.*, (2000) ^[12] also suggested that the application of Zn has become necessary for improved crop yields. Mandal and Sinha, (2004) ^[14] recommended application of ZnSO₄ at the rate of 20 kg/ ha for oilseeds including mustard.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during *Rabi* season of 2019 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P).The soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.2), low in organic carbon (0.58%), medium in available N (238 Kg/ha), high in available P (32.10 Kg/ha) and low in available K (189 Kg/ha). The treatment consisted of 3 levels of sulphur *viz.* S₁ (0 Kg S/ha), S₂ (30 Kg S/ha), S₃ (40 Kg S/ha), 2 levels of Zinc Z₁ (0 Kg/ha) Z₂ (5 Kg/ha) and 2 levels of Boron B₁ (0% spray) and B₂ (0.2% spray) There are 12 treatments each replicated thrice. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design. It was sown on 6th December 2019 at spacing 45cm x 20cm recommended doses of nitrogen and potassium were applied.

Results and Discussion

The yield and economics were significantly affected by the application of sulphur, Boron and Zinc.

Yield

Mustard crop fertilized with 40 Kg S along with 5 kg Zn and 0.2% Boron spray resulted significant higher seed yield and stalk yield (1893.33 Kg/ha) and (3161.33 Kg/ha) respectively. Rana *et al.*, (2005) ^[16], Gopal *et al.*, (2018) ^[8], Rao *et al.*, (2013) ^[17] and Jat and Mehra (2007) ^[9] reported that the micro nutrients Zn+2 and B also play their significant role in the enzymatic activities, proteins synthesis and show effect on nutrient up taking capacity (Singh and Meena., 2005) ^[20]. Application of these treatment combinations, might have increased the microbial activity in the root zone which helped to uptake more nutrients thus maintain the proper nutritional system. As a result, all the growth parameters yield and its attributes increased which raised the Harvest Index (%) than that of control.

Economics

Gross return (₹ 90892.13), Net return (₹ 58396.53) and B:C ratio (1.79) recorded higher with the application of 40 Kg S along with 5 Kg Zn and 0.2% Boron spray.

Table 1: Effect of Nutrient Combinations on Economics of Mustard

Treatment Combinations	Seed Yield	Stalk Yield	Gross returns	Cost of Cultivation	Net Returns	Benefit cost
	(Kg/ha)	(Kg/ha)	(₹/ ha)	(て /ha)	(₹/ha)	Ratio(B:C ratio)
T ₁ : 0 Kg S + 0 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1584.33	2477.01	75704.53	30661.6	45042.93	1.46
T ₂ : 0 Kg S + 0 kg Zn +0.2% Boron spray	1656.33	2919.67	79838.13	30665.6	49172.53	1.60
T ₃ : 0 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1692.83	2863.33	81344.08	31411.6	49932.48	1.58
T ₄ : 0 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + 0.2% Boron spray	1724.33	2757.33	82656.73	31415.6	51241.13	1.63
T ₅ : 30 Kg S + 0 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1720.67	2763.33	84909.166	31471.6	53437.56	1.69
T ₆ : 30 Kg S + 0 kg Zn + 0.2% Boron spray	1785.33	2840.02	85411.33	31475.6	53935.73	1.71
T ₇ : 30 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1811.67	2941.67	86796.66	32221.6	54575.06	1.69
T ₈ : 30 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + 0.2% Boron spray	1879.67	2906.66	89749.16	32225.6	57523.56	1.78
T ₉ : 40 Kg S + 0 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1706.01	2983.33	82182	31741.6	50440.4	1.58
T ₁₀ : 40 Kg S + 0 kg Zn + 0.2% Boron spray	1717.12	2860.04	82412.5	31745.6	50666.9	1.59
T_{11} : 40 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + Boron no spray	1867.67	2971.67	89351.66	32491.6	56860.06	1.74
T ₁₂ : 40 Kg S + 5 kg Zn + 0.2% Boron spray	1893.33	3161.33	90892.13	32495.6	58396.53	1.79
S.Em±	57.29	99.91	-	-	-	-
CD(P = 0.05)	168.02	293.04	-	-	-	_

Conclusion

In conclusion, it is inferred from the present investigation that application of 40 Kg S along with 5 Kg Zn and 0.2% Boron sprayin addition to the full doses of nitrogen and potassium is recommended for farmers for receiving higher yield and economic benefits of Mustard.

Reference

- 1. Bayer. Bayer Sequence Genome of Canola. Bayer Crop Science: The Bio-energy Site, Retrieved 8 November 2010.
- 2. Brown PH, Bellaloui N, Wimmer MA, Bassil ES, Ruiz J, Hu H *et al.*, Boron in plant biology, Plant Biology 2002;4:205-223.
- 3. Bybordi A, Mamedov G. Evaluation of application methods efficiency of zinc and iron for canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Notulae Scientia Biologicae 2010;2(1):94-103.

- 4. Cara AF, Sanchez E, Ruiz JM, Rornero L. Is phenol oxidation responsible for the short-term effects of boron deficiency on plasma membrane permeability and function in squash roots? Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 2002;40:853-858.
- 5. Das K, Dang R, Shivananda TN, Sur P. Interaction between phosphorus and zinc on the biomass yield and yield attributes of the medicinal plant stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*). Science World Journal 2005;5:390-395.
- Dordas C, Brown PH. Boron deficiency affects cell viability, phenolic leakage and oxidative burst in rose cell cultures. Plant Cell Physiology 2005;268:293-301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-004-0309-1
- Fismesa J, Vong PC, Guckert A, Frossard E. Influence of sulfur on apparent N-use efficiency, yield and quality of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) grown on a calcareous soil. Eur J Agron 2000;12(2):127-141.

- Gopal Gupta M, Thomas GVA, Palaniswami C, Dhanapal R. Coconut leaf vermiwash: a bio-liquid from coconut leaf vermicompost for improving the crop production capacities of soil. Curr. Sci 2018;98(9):12021210.
- 9. Jat JR, Mehra RK. Effcet of sulphur and zinc on yield and macro nutrient content in and uptake by mustared on Haplusteps. Journal of Indian society of Soil Sciences 2007;55:190-195.
- Kumar Vineet, Knadpal, Diwedi Ashish, Sagar Vipin Kumar, Kumar Vikasah, Sharma Dinesh Kumar. Effect of nitrogen and zinc fertilizers rates on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). International Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2016;8(6):1031-1035.
- 11. Kumar, Raman, Trivedi SK. Effect of levels and sources of sulphur on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Progr. Agric 2012;12(1):69-73.
- Kutuk C, Gökhan C, Abdullah B, Başkan O. Effect of Humic Acid on some soil properties. BildiriÖzetleri, Soil Science Department, Agricultural Faculty, Ankara University, 06110- Ankara Turkey 2000.
- 13. Malewar GU, Kate SD, Walkar SL, Ismall SK. Interaction effect of zinc and boron on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Journal of Indian Society of Soil Sciences 2001;49(4):763-766.
- Mandal, Sinha AC. Nutrient Management Effects on Light Interception, Photosynthesis, Growth, Dry-matter Production and Yield of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). J Agronomy and Crop Sci 2004;55(4):287-291.
- 15. Pandey S, Manoj KM, Tripath MK. Study of inheritance of erucic acid in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Octa Journal of Biosciences 2006;1:77-84.
- 16. Rana KS, Rana DS, Gautam RC. Influence of phosphorous, zinc and sulphur on growth, nutrient uptake and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.) under rain-fed condition. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2005;50:314-316.
- 17. Rao PR, Subrhamanyam D, Sailaja B, Singh RP, Ravichandran V, Rao GS *et al.* Influence of boron on spikelet fertility under varied soil conditions in rice genotypes. J Plant Nutr 2013;36:390-400.
- Scherer HW, Pacyna S, Spoth KR, Schulz M. Low levels of ferredoxin, ATP, and leghemoglobin contribute to limited N2 -fixation of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) under S deficiency conditions. BiolFert Soils 2008;44:909-916.
- 19. Shailendra Kumar, Alok Patel, Triyugi Nath, Sudhanshu verma, Anurag Prajapathi. Response of sulphur and zinc nutrition on growth, yield attributes and yield of Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) under upland soil of Vindhya region. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochesmistry 2018;SP-(1):135-140.
- 20. Singh A, Meena NL. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield attributes and seed of mustard in eastern plains of Rajasthan. Indian journal of Agronomy 2005;49(3):186-188.
- 21. Singh P, Pantora N, Singh KN, Panhat RB. Interaction effect of sulphur and potassium on nutritional quality and profitability of brown sarason *Brassica rapa*. *Var brown sarosan*) template Kasmir. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2013;58:91-95.
- 22. Tripathi MK, Chaturvedi S, Shukla DK, Mahapatra BS. Yield performance and quality in Indian mustard

(*Brassica juncea* L.) as affected by integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2010;55(20):138-142.